

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the William J. Clinton
Presidential Library Staff.**

Collection/Record Group: Clinton Presidential Records
Subgroup/Office of Origin: National Economic Council
Series/Staff Member: Gene Sperling
Subseries:

OA/ID Number: 19189
FolderID:

Folder Title:
Contract With America Folder 2

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
S	17	5	11	1

Cost Estimates for "Contract with America"

(\$ in billions)

	5 Year Total
1. Balanced Budget Amendment/Line Item Veto	NO COST
2. Deterring Crime Reduce Social Spending in Crime Bill	-5.0
3. Welfare Reform (Personal Responsibility)	-40.0
4. Reinforcing Families Tax Incentives for Adoption Dependent Care Tax Credit for Seniors TOTAL, REINFORCING FAMILIES	0.9 8.0 8.900
5. American Family Tax Relief \$500 per-child Tax Credit Modify the Marriage Penalty American Dream Savings Account (Super IRA) TOTAL, FAMILY TAX CUTS	107.0 10.0 -4.7 112.300
6. Strengthen Defense	NO COST
7. Senior Citizens' Reforms Raise Social Security Earnings Limit to \$30,000 Phase-Out Clinton Social Security Tax Increases Tax Incentives for Private Long-Term Care Insurance Total, Senior Citizens' Reforms	6.8 17.0 1.3 25.1
8. Economic Growth/Regulatory Reform Cut Capital Gains Rate/Index to Inflation Neutral Cost Recovery Small Business Expensing Provision Regulatory Budget Raise Estate & Gift Tax Exclusion Restore Tax Deduction for Home Office Expenses Total, Economic Growth/Regulatory Reform	58.0 -19.5 2.5 -0.5 6.3 0.9 46.8
9. Common Sense Legal Reforms	NO COST
10. Term Limits	NO COST
11. Reduce Congressional Staff by One-Third	0.1
Total	147.9

* Due to the interaction of these item provisions, the total revenue loss is overstated. Therefore, the total cost of the Contract is lower than indicated on this sheet.

REPUBLICANS HAVE A PROVEN TRACK RECORD OF CUTTING SPENDING

EXAMPLES OF POSSIBLE OFFSETS FOR CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Taken from Republican FY 1994 & 1995 Budgets

(\$S IN BILLIONS)

TITLE	TOTAL 5 YR SAVINGS
Assume Control of Hetch Hetchy	-0.125
Reduce the Loan Guarantees Under the USDA's Export Credit Programs	-1.100
Student Loan Interest Accrual	-9.560
Reform Financing of Future Federal Retiree Health Benefits (No Benefit Cuts Required)	-11.550
Managed Care for Medicaid	-10.000
20% Coinsurance for Clinical Lab Services	-6.178
Require Wealthy Medicare Recipients to Pay Higher Part B Premiums	-7.350
Require Wealthy Medicare Recipients to Pay Higher Part A Deductibles	-1.650
Reduce Indirect Teaching Adjustment to 3%	-13.453
Eliminate Medicare Payments to Hospitals for Enrollees Bad Debts	-1.753
SUBTOTAL MANDATORY REDUCTIONS	-62.718
Restructure P.L. 480 (Food for Peace)	-3.020
Reduce Assistance for Eastern Europe and Former Soviet Union	-3.481
Reduce Ex-Im Bank's Credit Assistance	-1.380
Restructure the State Department	-1.160
Restructure the Agency for International Development (AID)	-1.310
Reform Multilateral Development Banks (excluding IDA)	-0.705
Reform the International Development Association (IDA)	-1.683
Freeze the Peace Corps	-0.066
Freeze International Security Assistance	-1.870
Reduce International Peacekeeping	-0.782
Reduce Contributions to International Organizations	-0.573
Reduce Educational and Cultural Exchange Programs	-0.276
Limit Rate of Growth for the National Science Foundation	-0.348
Restructure the Naval Petroleum Reserves (Elk Hills)	-0.143
Reduce Funding for Energy Technology Development	-2.139
Halt Purchases of Oil for Strategic Petroleum Reserve	-0.362
Consolidate Other Agencies into Federal Land Management	-3.228
Abolish Bureau of Mines	-0.872
Sell Helium Processing and Storage Facility	-0.016
Abolish Geological Survey	-3.261
Abolish National Biological Survey	-0.139
Downsize Minerals Management Service	-0.465
Restructure Bureau of Reclamation	-0.427
Reform Bureau of Indian Affairs	-0.215
Impose a Moratorium on Land Purchases	-1.066
Improve Forest Management Efficiency	-0.155
Freeze Funding for National Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin. (NOAA)	-0.805
De-Emphasize Permanence in Superfund Clean-ups	-1.140
Eliminate Funding for John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts	-0.102
Reduce Funding for Resource Conservation and Development	-0.610
Restructure Non-Farm Service Agencies	-0.535
Reduce Funding for Cooperative State Research Service	-0.331
Extension Service Refocus	-0.505

REPUBLICANS HAVE A PROVEN TRACK RECORD OF CUTTING SPENDING

EXAMPLES OF POSSIBLE OFFSETS FOR CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Taken from Republican FY 1994 & 1995 Budgets

(\$\$ IN BILLIONS)

TITLE	TOTAL 5 YR SAVINGS
Reform Foreign Agricultural Trade Service	-0.033
Reduce Agriculture Research Service	-0.830
Reform Farmers Home Administration	-0.423
Eliminate Travel and Tourism Admin. and Trade Promotion Activities of ITA	-1.177
Eliminate SBA Earmarks	-0.142
Repeal Transitional Expenses of the Post Office	-0.200
Consolidate Economic Development Funds into a Single Block Grant	-2.280
Reduce Spending for the High Performance Computing Program	-1.230
Cut Public Telecommunications Facilities Program	-0.085
Eliminate the Advanced Technology Program	-0.819
Eliminate the Interstate Commerce Commission	-0.188
Repeal Davis Bacon	-3.085
Successorship Provisions in Federal Service Contracts Paperwork	-1.050
Reduce Operating Subsidy for Amtrak and the NE Corridor Improvements	-2.840
Create Air Traffic Control Corporation Owned by Users	-18.518
Job Training Block Grant	-7.565
Block Granting Social Services Programs	-0.265
Reduce Arts and Humanities	-0.531
Convert Campus Based Aid	-2.870
Reduce the Overhead Rate on Federally Sponsored University Research	-1.620
Eliminate the Administrative Conference of the United States	-0.010
Moratorium on Construction and Acquisition of New Federal Buildings	-1.578
Reduce Funds for House Franking	-0.043
15% Reduction in the Executive Office of the President	-0.244
Reduce the President's Investment Spending	-4.051
Replace Dollar Bills with Dollar Coins	-0.112
Cap SES Accrued Leave	-0.068
Reduce the Number of Political Appointees	-0.355
SUBTOTAL DISCRETIONARY REDUCTIONS (EXCL. OVERHEAD)	-86.357
SUBTOTAL GENERAL OVERHEAD REDUCTIONS	-22.009
TOTAL DISCRETIONARY	-113.366
TOTAL REDUCTIONS	-176.085

Cost Estimates for "Contract with America"

(\$ in billions)

	5 Year Total
1. Balanced Budget Amendment/Line Item Veto	NO COST
2. Deterring Crime	
Reduce Social Spending in Crime Bill	-3.0
3. Welfare Reform (Personal Responsibility)	-40.0
4. Reinforcing Families	
Tax Incentives for Adoption	0.9
Dependent Care Tax Credit for Seniors	8.0
TOTAL, REINFORCING FAMILIES	8.900
5. American Family Tax Relief	
\$300 per-child Tax Credit	107.0
Modify the Marriage Penalty	10.0
American Dream Savings Account (Super IRA)	-4.7
TOTAL, FAMILY TAX CUTS	112.300
6. Strengthen Defense	NO COST
7. Senior Citizens' Reforms	
Raise Social Security Earnings Limit to \$30,000	6.8
Phase-Out Clinton Social Security Tax Increases	17.0
Tax Incentives for Private Long-Term Care Insurance	1.3
Total, Senior Citizens' Reforms	25.1
8. Economic Growth/Regulatory Reform	
Cut Capital Gains Rate/Index to Inflation	88.0
Neutral Cost Recovery	-19.5
Small Business Expensing Provision	2.5
Regulatory Budget	-0.5
Raise Estate & Gift Tax Exclusion	6.5
Restore Tax Deduction for Home Office Expenses	0.5
Total, Economic Growth/Regulatory Reform	48.5
9. Common Sense Legal Reforms	NO COST
10. Term Limits	NO COST
11. Reduce Congressional Staff by One-Third	0.1
Total	147.9

* Due to the interaction of these tax provisions, the total revenue loss is overstated. Therefore, the total cost of the Contract is lower than indicated on this chart.

REPUBLICANS HAVE A PROVEN TRACK RECORD OF CUTTING SPENDING

EXAMPLES OF POSSIBLE OFFSETS FOR CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Taken from Republican FY 1994 & 1995 Budgets

(\$S IN BILLIONS)

TITLE	TOTAL 5 YR SAVINGS
Assume Control of Hetch Hetchy	-0.125
Reduce the Loan Guarantees Under the USDA's Export Credit Programs	-1.100
Student Loan Interest Accrual	-9.560
Reform Financing of Future Federal Retiree Health Benefits (No Benefit Cuts Required)	-11.550
Managed Care for Medicaid	-10.000
20% Coinsurance for Clinical Lab Services	-8.178
Require Wealthy Medicare Recipients to Pay Higher Part B Premiums	-7.350
Require Wealthy Medicare Recipients to Pay Higher Part A Deductibles	-1.650
Reduce Indirect Teaching Adjustment to 3%	-13.453
Eliminate Medicare Payments to Hospitals for Enrollees Bad Debts	-1.753
SUBTOTAL MANDATORY REDUCTIONS	-62.719
Restructure P.L. 480 (Food for Peace)	-3.020
Reduce Assistance for Eastern Europe and Former Soviet Union	-3.481
Reduce Ex-Im Bank's Credit Assistance	-1.380
Restructure the State Department	-1.160
Restructure the Agency for International Development (AID)	-1.310
Reform Multilateral Development Banks (excluding IDA)	-0.705
Reform the International Development Association (IDA)	-1.663
Freeze the Peace Corps	-0.066
Freeze International Security Assistance	-1.870
Reduce International Peacekeeping	-0.782
Reduce Contributions to International Organizations	-0.573
Reduce Educational and Cultural Exchange Programs	-0.276
Limit Rate of Growth for the National Science Foundation	-0.348
Restructure the Naval Petroleum Reserves (Elk Hills)	-0.143
Reduce Funding for Energy Technology Development	-2.139
Halt Purchases of Oil for Strategic Petroleum Reserve	-0.362
Consolidate Other Agencies into Federal Land Management	-3.228
Abolish Bureau of Mines	-0.872
Sell Helium Processing and Storage Facility	-0.016
Abolish Geological Survey	-3.281
Abolish National Biological Survey	-0.139
Downsize Minerals Management Service	-0.465
Restructure Bureau of Reclamation	-0.427
Reform Bureau of Indian Affairs	-0.215
Impose a Moratorium on Land Purchases	-1.066
Improve Forest Management Efficiency	-0.155
Freeze Funding for National Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin. (NOAA)	-0.805
De-Emphasize Permanence in Superfund Clean-ups	-1.140
Eliminate Funding for John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts	-0.102
Reduce Funding for Resource Conservation and Development	-0.610
Restructure Non-Farm Service Agencies	-0.535
Reduce Funding for Cooperative State Research Service	-0.331
Extension Service Refocus	-0.505

REPUBLICANS HAVE A PROVEN TRACK RECORD OF CUTTING SPENDING

EXAMPLES OF POSSIBLE OFFSETS FOR CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Taken from Republican FY 1994 & 1995 Budgets

(\$'S IN BILLIONS)

TITLE	TOTAL 5 YR SAVINGS
Reform Foreign Agricultural Trade Service	-0.033
Reduce Agriculture Research Service	-0.830
Reform Farmers Home Administration	-0.423
Eliminate Travel and Tourism Admin. and Trade Promotion Activities of ITA	-1.177
Eliminate SBA Earmarks	-0.142
Repeal Transitional Expenses of the Post Office	-0.200
Consolidate Economic Development Funds into a Single Block Grant	-2.280
Reduce Spending for the High Performance Computing Program	-1.230
Cut Public Telecommunications Facilities Program	-0.085
Eliminate the Advanced Technology Program	-0.819
Eliminate the Interstate Commerce Commission	-0.188
Repeal Davis Bacon	-3.085
Successorship Provisions in Federal Service Contracts Paperwork	-1.050
Reduce Operating Subsidy for Amtrak and the NE Corridor Improvements	-2.840
Create Air Traffic Control Corporation Owned by Users	-18.518
Job Training Block Grant	-7.565
Block Granting Social Services Programs	-0.265
Reduce Arts and Humanities	-0.531
Convert Campus Based Aid	-2.870
Reduce the Overhead Rate on Federally Sponsored University Research	-1.620
Eliminate the Administrative Conference of the United States	-0.010
Moratorium on Construction and Acquisition of New Federal Buildings	-1.578
Reduce Funds for House Franking	-0.043
15% Reduction in the Executive Office of the President	-0.244
Reduce the President's Investment Spending	-4.051
Replace Dollar Bills with Dollar Coins	-0.112
Cap SES Accrued Leave	-0.088
Reduce the Number of Political Appointees	-0.355
SUBTOTAL DISCRETIONARY REDUCTIONS (EXCL. OVERHEAD)	-85.357
SUBTOTAL GENERAL OVERHEAD REDUCTIONS	-28.009
TOTAL DISCRETIONARY	-113.366
TOTAL REDUCTIONS	-176.085

Estimates of Cost of Contract -- \$ in Billions

Provision	1995-2000		2001-2005	
	Treasury	JCT	Treasury	JCT
Child Tax Credit	-123.9	-117.4	-288.3	-294.9
American Dream Savings Account	5.0	2.1	-17.7	-23.9
Long-term care insurance and services	-5.9	-5.3	-15.3	-16.9
Tax-free accelerated death benefits	-0.1	-0.1	-0.4	-0.6
Increased expensing limit for small business	-4.1	-3.8	-4.8	-4.5
\$5,000 refundable tax credit for adoption expenses	-1.4	-1.2	-3.4	-2.7
\$500 refundable tax credit for elderly care	-1.3	-1.8	-2.8	-4.3
Neutral Cost Recovery	-18.5	-16.7	-120.3	-88.7
Capital gains tax preferences	-60.9	-53.9	-183.2	-170.3
Social Security Tax Reduction	-15.0	-15.5	-48.3	-56.3
Tax Credit to Reduce Marriage Penalties	-9.0	-8.2	-19.0	-18.2
Expansion of Home Office Deduction	-0.4	-0.8	-0.9	-1.8
Increase of Estate Tax Exemption to \$750,000	-6.8	-6.8	-20.9	-20.9
Total	-205	-196	-725	-704

Treasury Estimates	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	5 sum	10 sum
child tax credit		-13.4	-27.0	-27.2	-27.4	-28.9	-30.4	-31.9	-33.4	-33.6	-35.1	-123.9	-288.3
American Dream Savings Account		0.3	1.3	1.9	1.3	0.2	-1.7	-3.6	-4.7	-5.9	-6.8	5.0	-17.7
Long-Term care insurance and services		-0.9	-1.1	-1.2	-1.3	-1.4	-1.6	-1.7	-1.9	-2.0	-2.2	-5.9	-15.3
Tax-free accelerated death benefits								-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.4
Increased expensing limit for small business		-0.8	-1.3	-0.9	-0.7	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	-4.1	-4.8
\$5,000 refundable tax credit for adoption expenses			-0.3	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-1.4	-3.4
\$500 refundable tax credit for elderly care		-0.1	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-1.3	-2.8
Neutral Cost Recovery	3.3	10.0	13.4	8.5	-2.6	-14.1	-21.5	-26.0	-28.7	-30.4	-32.2	18.5	-120.3
Capital gains tax preferences	0.2	-1.1	-8.4	-15.0	-17.4	-19.2	-20.9	-22.6	-24.4	-26.2	-28.2	-60.9	-183.2
Social Security Tax Reduction		-0.5	-1.9	-3.2	-4.2	-5.2	-5.9	-6.3	-6.7	-7.0	-7.4	-15.0	-48.3
tax credit to reduce marriage penalties		-1.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-9.0	-19.0
Expansion of Home Office Deduction		0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.4	-0.9
Increase of Estate tax Exemption to \$750,000		0.0	-1.4	-1.6	-1.8	-2.0	-2.2	-2.5	-2.8	-3.1	-3.5	-6.8	-20.9
Treasury Totals	3.5	-7.5	-29.1	-41.4	-56.9	-73.8	-87.3	-97.7	-105.6	-111.2	-118.3	-205.3	-725.3
JCT Totals	-0.0	0.9	-30.3	-41.6	-55.7	-69.2	-81.6	-91.9	-100.8	-111.9	-121.9	-196.0	-704.0

[illegible]

Estimates of Cost of Contract -- \$ in Billions

Provision	1995-2000		2001-2005	
	Treasury	JCT	Treasury	JCT
Child Tax Credit	-123.9	-117.4	-288.3	-294.9
American Dream Savings Account	5.0	2.1	-17.7	-23.9
Long-term care insurance and services	-5.9	-5.3	-15.3	-16.9
Tax-free accelerated death benefits	-0.1	-0.1	-0.4	-0.6
Increased expensing limit for small business	-4.1	-3.8	-4.8	-4.5
\$5,000 refundable tax credit for adoption expenses	-1.4	-1.2	-3.4	-2.7
\$500 refundable tax credit for elderly care	-1.3	-1.8	-2.8	-4.3
Neutral Cost Recovery	-18.5	-16.7	-120.3	-88.7
Capital gains tax preferences	-60.9	-53.9	-183.2	-170.3
Social Security Tax Reduction	-15.0	-15.5	-48.3	-56.3
Tax Credit to Reduce Marriage Penalties	-9.0	-8.2	-19.0	-18.2
Expansion of Home Office Deduction	-0.4	-0.8	-0.9	-1.8
Increase of Estate Tax Exemption to \$750,000	-6.8	-6.8	-20.9	-20.9
Total	-205	-196	-725	-704

												5	10
Treasury Estimates	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	sum	sum
child tax credit		-13.4	-27.0	-27.2	-27.4	-28.9	-30.4	-31.9	-33.4	-33.6	-35.1	-123.9	-288.3
American Dream Savings Account		0.3	1.3	1.9	1.3	0.2	-1.7	-3.6	-4.7	-5.9	-6.8	5.0	-17.7
Long-Term care insurance and services		-0.9	-1.1	-1.2	-1.3	-1.4	-1.6	-1.7	-1.9	-2.0	-2.2	-5.9	-15.3
Tax-free accelerated death benefits								-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.4
Increased expensing limit for small business		-0.8	-1.3	-0.9	-0.7	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	-4.1	-4.8
\$5,000 refundable tax credit for adoption expenses			-0.3	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-1.4	-3.4
\$500 refundable tax credit for elderly care		-0.1	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-1.3	-2.8
Neutral Cost Recovery	3.3	10.0	13.4	8.5	-2.6	-14.1	-21.5	-26.0	-28.7	-30.4	-32.2	18.5	-120.3
Capital gains tax preferences	0.2	-1.1	-8.4	-15.0	-17.4	-19.2	-20.9	-22.6	-24.4	-26.2	-28.2	-60.9	-183.2
Social Security Tax Reduction		-0.5	-1.9	-3.2	-4.2	-5.2	-5.9	-6.3	-6.7	-7.0	-7.4	-15.0	-48.3
tax credit to reduce marriage penalties		-1.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-9.0	-19.0
Expansion of Home Office Deduction		0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.4	-0.9
Increase of Estate tax Exemption to \$750,000		0.0	-1.4	-1.6	-1.8	-2.0	-2.2	-2.5	-2.8	-3.1	-3.5	-6.8	-20.9
Treasury Totals	3.5	-7.5	-29.1	-41.4	-56.9	-73.8	-87.3	-97.7	-105.6	-111.2	-118.3	-205.3	-725.3
JCT Totals	-0.0	0.9	-30.3	-41.6	-55.7	-69.2	-81.6	-91.9	-100.8	-111.9	-121.9	-196.0	-704.0

[illegible]

THE IMPACT ON ALABAMA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1.6 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,747 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$1.1 billion less in Medicare spending in Alabama, or \$1,492 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$101 million, affecting 68,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Alabama cut by \$11 million, affecting 88,000 students

Impact on the Alabama Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 12.7 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$15.4 billion
- Loss of 88,300 jobs in Alabama, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 4.2 percent

Impact on the Alabama State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.6 billion less in federal grants to the Alabama state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 22.5 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Alabama of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 36,700 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Alabama lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 108,350 children in Alabama lose Medicaid health coverage
- 4,350 children in Alabama lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON ALASKA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.1 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,880 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$1,249 less in Medicare spending per enrollee in Alaska

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$9 million, affecting 6,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Alaska cut by \$1 million, affecting 4,000 students

Impact on the Alaska Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 23.6 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$6.4 billion
- Loss of 93,500 jobs in Alaska, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 6.4 percent

Impact on the Alaska State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.4 billion less in federal grants to the Alaska state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 13.5 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Alaska of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 4,750 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Alaska lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 14,950 children in Alaska lose Medicaid health coverage
- 350 children in Alaska lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON ARIZONA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1.5 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,938 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.9 billion less in Medicare spending in Arizona, or \$1,413 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$72 million, affecting 44,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Arizona cut by \$18 million, affecting 136,000 students

Impact on the Arizona Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 14.6 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$18.2 billion
- Loss of 130,900 jobs in Arizona, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 8.8 percent

Impact on the Arizona State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.3 billion less in federal grants to the Arizona state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 14.2 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Arizona of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 35,150 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Arizona lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 128,600 children in Arizona lose Medicaid health coverage
- 2,950 children in Arizona lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON ARKANSAS OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,733 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.7 billion less in Medicare spending in Arkansas, or \$1,483 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$77 million, affecting 43,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Arkansas cut by \$6 million, affecting 50,000 students

Impact on the Arkansas Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 10.3 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$7.3 billion
- Loss of 54,000 jobs in Arkansas, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 2.6 percent

Impact on the Arkansas State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.0 billion less in federal grants to the Arkansas state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 22.7 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Arkansas of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 26,400 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Arkansas lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 59,350 children in Arkansas lose Medicaid health coverage
- 2,700 children in Arkansas lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON CALIFORNIA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$9 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,964 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$8.4 billion less in Medicare spending in California, or \$2,092 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$328 million, affecting 226,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in California cut by \$85 million, affecting 555,000 students

Impact on the California Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 12.2 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$148 billion
- Loss of 712,500 jobs in California, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 5.7 percent

Impact on the California State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$10.6 billion less in federal grants to the California state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 12.6 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in California of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 273,600 babies, preschoolers; and pregnant women in California lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 1,092,300 children in California lose Medicaid health coverage
- 21,250 children in California lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON COLORADO OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,882 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.7 billion less in Medicare spending in Colorado, or \$1,494 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$64 million, affecting 41,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Colorado cut by \$19 million, affecting 136,000 students

Impact on the Colorado Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 13.6 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$17.6 billion
- Loss of 104,300 jobs in Colorado, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3.3 percent

Impact on the Colorado State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.0 billion less in federal grants to the Colorado state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 16.2 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Colorado of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 20,700 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Colorado lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 62,200 children in Colorado lose Medicaid health coverage
- 2,450 children in Colorado lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON CONNECTICUT OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1.4 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$2,141 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$1 billion less in Medicare spending in Connecticut, or \$1,755 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$30 million, affecting 22,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Connecticut cut by \$8 million, affecting 52,000 students

Impact on the Connecticut Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 11.7 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$17.9 billion
- Loss of 63,600 jobs in Connecticut, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3.5 percent

Impact on the Connecticut State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.4 billion less in federal grants to the Connecticut state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 15.4 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Connecticut of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 19,650 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Connecticut lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 57,150 children in Connecticut lose Medicaid health coverage
- 1,700 children in Connecticut lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON DELAWARE OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.3 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$2,004 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.2 billion less in Medicare spending in Delaware, or \$1,484 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$8 million, affecting 6,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Delaware cut by \$1 million, affecting 9,000 students

Impact on the Delaware Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 11.3 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$3 billion
- Loss of 15,600 jobs in Delaware, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3.6 percent

Impact on the Delaware State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.2 billion less in federal grants to the Delaware state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 9.8 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Delaware of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 4,950 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Delaware lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 14,400 children in Delaware lose Medicaid health coverage
- 450 children in Delaware lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.2 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,664 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.3 billion less in Medicare spending in D.C., or \$3,073 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$13 million, affecting 7,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in D.C. cut by \$10 million, affecting 49,000 students

Impact on the D.C. Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 55.1 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$18.2 billion
- Loss of 241,800 jobs in D.C., with an increase in the unemployment rate of 13.3 percent

Impact on the D.C. Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.0 billion less in federal grants to the D.C. government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 27.9 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in D.C. of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 5,450 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in D.C. lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 22,950 children in D.C. lose Medicaid health coverage
- 850 children in D.C. lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON FLORIDA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$6.5 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,927 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$4.9 billion less in Medicare spending in Florida, or \$1,710 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$310 million, affecting 197,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Florida cut by \$38 million, affecting 282,000 students

Impact on the Florida Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 14 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$73.6 billion
- Loss of 520,900 jobs in Florida, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 5 percent

Impact on the Florida State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$3.6 billion less in federal grants to the Florida state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 14.0 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Florida of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 89,600 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Florida lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 394,750 children in Florida lose Medicaid health coverage
- 8,250 children in Florida lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON GEORGIA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$2 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,783 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$1.3 billion less in Medicare spending in Georgia, or \$1,428 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$132 million, affecting 84,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Georgia cut by \$20 million, affecting 148,000 students

Impact on the Georgia Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 15.4 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$35.2 billion
- Loss of 312,000 jobs in Georgia, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 4.8 percent

Impact on the Georgia State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$2.2 billion less in federal grants to the Georgia state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 16.5 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Georgia of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 61,850 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Georgia lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 183,450 children in Georgia lose Medicaid health coverage
- 5,850 children in Georgia lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON HAWAII OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.3 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,867 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.2 billion less in Medicare spending in Hawaii, or \$1,267 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$16 million, affecting 10,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Hawaii cut by \$1 million, affecting 6,000 students

Impact on the Hawaii Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 13.9 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$6.3 billion
- Loss of 52,600 jobs in Hawaii, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 2.2 percent

Impact on the Hawaii State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.5 billion less in federal grants to the Hawaii state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 9.3 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Hawaii of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 7,450 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Hawaii lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 21,350 children in Hawaii lose Medicaid health coverage
- 700 children in Hawaii lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON IDAHO OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.4 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,856 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.2 billion less in Medicare spending in Idaho, or \$1,237 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$15 million, affecting 10,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Idaho cut by \$3 million, affecting 24,000 students

Impact on the Idaho Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 13.3 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$4.6 billion
- Loss of 24,800 jobs in Idaho, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3.2 percent

Impact on the Idaho State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.3 billion less in federal grants to the Idaho state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 13.6 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Idaho of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 9,500 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Idaho lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 21,300 children in Idaho lose Medicaid health coverage
- 550 children in Idaho lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON ILLINOIS OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$4.5 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$2,059 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$3.7 billion less in Medicare spending in Illinois, or \$2,012 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$107 million, affecting 80,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Illinois cut by \$42 million, affecting 298,000 students

Impact on the Illinois Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 11.4 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$52.3 billion
- Loss of 190,400 jobs in Illinois, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 2.2 percent

Impact on the Illinois State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$3.5 billion less in federal grants to the Illinois state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 15.9 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Illinois of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 71,150 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Illinois lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 302,550 children in Illinois lose Medicaid health coverage
- 9,150 children in Illinois lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON INDIANA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$2.3 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$2,043 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$1.4 billion less in Medicare spending in Indiana, or \$1,477 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$61 million, affecting 43,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Indiana cut by \$22 million, affecting 167,000 students

Impact on the Indiana Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 10.7 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$20 billion
- Loss of 108,500 jobs in Indiana, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 2.1 percent

Impact on the Indiana State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$2.0 billion less in federal grants to the Indiana state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 18.9 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Indiana of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 40,200 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Indiana lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 107,200 children in Indiana lose Medicaid health coverage
- 3,500 children in Indiana lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON IOWA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1.2 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,951 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.8 billion less in Medicare spending in Iowa, or \$1,486 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$35 million, affecting 23,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Iowa cut by \$17 million, affecting 127,000 students

Impact on the Iowa Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 14.5 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$12.9 billion
- Loss of 59,000 jobs in Iowa, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3.3 percent

Impact on the Iowa State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.9 billion less in federal grants to the Iowa state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 15.0 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Iowa of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 18,450 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Iowa lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 50,800 children in Iowa lose Medicaid health coverage
- 1,800 children in Iowa lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON KANSAS OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,977 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.7 billion less in Medicare spending in Kansas, or \$1,698 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$38 million, affecting 24,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Kansas cut by \$11 million, affecting 86,000 students

Impact on the Kansas Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 10.4 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$9 billion
- Loss of 42,500 jobs in Kansas, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 2.2 percent

Impact on the Kansas State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.9 billion less in federal grants to the Kansas state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 17.8 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Kansas of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 17,550 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Kansas lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 47,400 children in Kansas lose Medicaid health coverage
- 1,750 children in Kansas lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON KENTUCKY OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1.4 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,743 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.9 billion less in Medicare spending in Kentucky, or \$1,333 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$71 million, affecting 46,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Kentucky cut by \$9 million, affecting 72,000 students

Impact on the Kentucky Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 11.6 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$13.7 billion
- Loss of 100,100 jobs in Kentucky, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 4.1 percent

Impact on the Kentucky State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.6 billion less in federal grants to the Kentucky state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 19.8 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Kentucky of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 34,400 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Kentucky lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 103,500 children in Kentucky lose Medicaid health coverage
- 4,250 children in Kentucky lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON LOUISIANA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1.4 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,748 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$1 billion less in Medicare spending in Louisiana, or \$1,501 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$75 million, affecting 48,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Louisiana cut by \$17 million, affecting 134,000 students

Impact on the Louisiana Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 12.7 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$17.7 billion
- Loss of 121,300 jobs in Louisiana, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 2.9 percent

Impact on the Louisiana State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$2.7 billion less in federal grants to the Louisiana state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 38.2 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Louisiana of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 35,400 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Louisiana lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 136,250 children in Louisiana lose Medicaid health coverage
- 5,800 children in Louisiana lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON MAINE OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.5 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,713 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.4 billion less in Medicare spending in Maine, or \$1,582 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$29 million, affecting 17,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Maine cut by \$3 million, affecting 26,000 students

Impact on the Maine Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 10.3 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$4.5 billion
- Loss of 24,000 jobs in Maine, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3.5 percent

Impact on the Maine State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.6 billion less in federal grants to the Maine state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 24.0 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Maine of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 8,200 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Maine lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 28,750 children in Maine lose Medicaid health coverage
- 1,050 children in Maine lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON MARYLAND OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1.5 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,953 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$1.3 billion less in Medicare spending in Maryland, or \$1,904 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$64 million, affecting 43,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Maryland cut by \$11 million, affecting 78,000 students

Impact on the Maryland Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 14.5 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$30.2 billion
- Loss of 186,400 jobs in Maryland, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 4.8 percent

Impact on the Maryland State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.5 billion less in federal grants to the Maryland state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 13.5 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Maryland of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 25,400 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Maryland lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 96,950 children in Maryland lose Medicaid health coverage
- 2,550 children in Maryland lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON MASSACHUSETTS OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$2.4 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,966 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$2.2 billion less in Medicare spending in Massachusetts, or \$2,134 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$100 million, affecting 67,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Massachusetts cut by \$25 million, affecting 153,000 students

Impact on the Massachusetts Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 8.6 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$20.9 billion
- Loss of 24,900 jobs in Massachusetts, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 2.2 percent

Impact on the Massachusetts State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$2.6 billion less in federal grants to the Massachusetts state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 17.3 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Massachusetts of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 34,000 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Massachusetts lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 118,150 children in Massachusetts lose Medicaid health coverage
- 3,250 children in Massachusetts lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON MICHIGAN OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$3.8 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$2,085 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$3 billion less in Medicare spending in Michigan, or \$2,034 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$96 million, affecting 71,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Michigan cut by \$25 million, affecting 203,000 students

Impact on the Michigan Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 10.1 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$33.2 billion
- Loss of 152,100 jobs in Michigan, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3 percent

Impact on the Michigan State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$3.4 billion less in federal grants to the Michigan state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 18.1 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Michigan of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 62,700 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Michigan lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 239,100 children in Michigan lose Medicaid health coverage
- 9,200 children in Michigan lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON MINNESOTA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1.6 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,905 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$1 billion less in Medicare spending in Minnesota, or \$1,438 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$58 million, affecting 40,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Minnesota cut by \$19 million, affecting 155,000 students

Impact on the Minnesota Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 9.7 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$16.3 billion
- Loss of 86,100 jobs in Minnesota, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 2.4 percent

Impact on the Minnesota State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.6 billion less in federal grants to the Minnesota state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 13.0 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Minnesota of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 29,150 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Minnesota lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 93,250 children in Minnesota lose Medicaid health coverage
- 2,550 children in Minnesota lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON MISSISSIPPI OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.9 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,638 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.6 billion less in Medicare spending in Mississippi, or \$1,470 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$60 million, affecting 37,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Mississippi cut by \$7 million, affecting 60,000 students

Impact on the Mississippi Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 13.7 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$10.3 billion
- Loss of 82,700 jobs in Mississippi, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3.5 percent

Impact on the Mississippi State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.2 billion less in federal grants to the Mississippi state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 28.5 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Mississippi of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 30,950 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Mississippi lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 97,450 children in Mississippi lose Medicaid health coverage
- 7,250 children in Mississippi lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON MISSOURI OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$2.1 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,898 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$1.6 billion less in Medicare spending in Missouri, or \$1,709 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$81 million, affecting 52,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Missouri cut by \$21 million, affecting 146,000 students

Impact on the Missouri Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 12.4 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$22.5 billion
- Loss of 98,800 jobs in Missouri, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 1.8 percent

Impact on the Missouri State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.8 billion less in federal grants to the Missouri state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 21.2 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Missouri of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 36,600 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Missouri lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 119,300 children in Missouri lose Medicaid health coverage
- 4,200 children in Missouri lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON MONTANA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.3 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,838 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.2 billion less in Medicare spending in Montana, or \$1,388 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$16 million, affecting 10,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Montana cut by \$4 million, affecting 32,000 students

Impact on the Montana Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 8.2 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$1.9 billion
- Loss of 11,000 jobs in Montana, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3.2 percent

Impact on the Montana State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.4 billion less in federal grants to the Montana state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 27.1 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Montana of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 5,800 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Montana lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 16,750 children in Montana lose Medicaid health coverage
- 700 children in Montana lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON NEBRASKA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.6 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,899 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.4 billion less in Medicare spending in Nebraska, or \$1,532 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$27 million, affecting 17,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Nebraska cut by \$8 million, affecting 63,000 students

Impact on the Nebraska Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 12.9 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$6.9 billion
- Loss of 29,300 jobs in Nebraska, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 2.6 percent

Impact on the Nebraska State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.5 billion less in federal grants to the Nebraska state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 18.3 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Nebraska of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 10,450 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Nebraska lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 31,100 children in Nebraska lose Medicaid health coverage
- 1,100 children in Nebraska lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON NEVADA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.5 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,962 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.3 billion less in Medicare spending in Nevada, or \$1,313 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$22 million, affecting 16,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Nevada cut by \$2 million, affecting 16,000 students

Impact on the Nevada Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 13 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$7.1 billion
- Loss of 59,000 jobs in Nevada, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 7.5 percent

Impact on the Nevada State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.3 billion less in federal grants to the Nevada state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 8.6 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Nevada of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 8,150 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Nevada lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 20,150 children in Nevada lose Medicaid health coverage
- 550 children in Nevada lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON NEW HAMPSHIRE OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.4 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,948 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.2 billion less in Medicare spending in New Hampshire, or \$1,461 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$20 million, affecting 13,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in New Hampshire cut by \$4 million, affecting 33,000 students

Impact on the New Hampshire Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 12.3 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$5.3 billion
- Loss of 29,000 jobs in New Hampshire, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 9.4 percent

Impact on the New Hampshire State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.3 billion less in federal grants to the New Hampshire state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 24.1 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in New Hampshire of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 5,900 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in New Hampshire lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 13,800 children in New Hampshire lose Medicaid health coverage
- 350 children in New Hampshire lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON NEW JERSEY OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$3.3 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$2,147 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$2.6 billion less in Medicare spending in New Jersey, or \$1,998 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$80 million, affecting 61,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in New Jersey cut by \$13 million, affecting 95,000 students

Impact on the New Jersey Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 11.8 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$43.6 billion
- Loss of 178,300 jobs in New Jersey, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 4.5 percent

Impact on the New Jersey State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$3.4 billion less in federal grants to the New Jersey state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 17.5 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in New Jersey of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 42,200 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in New Jersey lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 138,600 children in New Jersey lose Medicaid health coverage
- 3,850 children in New Jersey lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON NEW MEXICO OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.5 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,744 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.3 billion less in Medicare spending in New Mexico, or \$1,326 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$35 million, affecting 21,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in New Mexico cut by \$4 million, affecting 32,000 students

Impact on the New Mexico Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 16.7 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$8 billion
- Loss of 80,900 jobs in New Mexico, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3 percent

Impact on the New Mexico State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.7 billion less in federal grants to the New Mexico state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 17.6 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in New Mexico of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 15,700 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in New Mexico lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 47,650 children in New Mexico lose Medicaid health coverage
- 1,900 children in New Mexico lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON NEW YORK OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$7.3 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$2,074 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$6.3 billion less in Medicare spending in New York, or \$2,080 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$224 million, affecting 156,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in New York cut by \$79 million, affecting 549,000 students

Impact on the New York Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 8 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$64.2 billion
- Loss of 140,700 jobs in New York, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 2.2 percent

Impact on the New York State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$11.2 billion less in federal grants to the New York state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 23.8 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in New York of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 134,200 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in New York lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 500,400 children in New York lose Medicaid health coverage
- 11,700 children in New York lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON NORTH CAROLINA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$2.5 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,793 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$1.3 billion less in Medicare spending in North Carolina, or \$1,198 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$153 million, affecting 98,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in North Carolina cut by \$17 million, affecting 126,000 students

Impact on the North Carolina Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 14.2 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$32.4 billion
- Loss of 277,000 jobs in North Carolina, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3.3 percent

Impact on the North Carolina State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$2.3 billion less in federal grants to the North Carolina state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 15.2 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in North Carolina of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 53,350 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in North Carolina lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 165,050 children in North Carolina lose Medicaid health coverage
- 4,750 children in North Carolina lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON NORTH DAKOTA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.2 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,767 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.2 billion less in Medicare spending in North Dakota, or \$1,763 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$8 million, affecting 6,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in North Dakota cut by \$4 million, affecting 39,000 students

Impact on the North Dakota Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 11.2 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$2.2 billion
- Loss of 4,100 jobs in North Dakota, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 1.5 percent

Impact on the North Dakota State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.3 billion less in federal grants to the North Dakota state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 27.0 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in North Dakota of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 5,400 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in North Dakota lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 10,850 children in North Dakota lose Medicaid health coverage
- 500 children in North Dakota lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON OHIO OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$4.4 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,981 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$3.1 billion less in Medicare spending in Ohio, or \$1,676 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$137 million, affecting 97,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Ohio cut by \$38 million, affecting 276,000 students

Impact on the Ohio Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 11.8 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$43.4 billion
- Loss of 231,800 jobs in Ohio, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 2.4 percent

Impact on the Ohio State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$3.9 billion less in federal grants to the Ohio state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 19.8 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Ohio of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 75,800 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Ohio lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 284,400 children in Ohio lose Medicaid health coverage
- 10,200 children in Ohio lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON OKLAHOMA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1.2 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,823 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.8 billion less in Medicare spending in Oklahoma, or \$1,556 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$92 million, affecting 50,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Oklahoma cut by \$13 million, affecting 106,000 students

Impact on the Oklahoma Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 12 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$12 billion
- Loss of 46,900 jobs in Oklahoma, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3.3 percent

Impact on the Oklahoma State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.1 billion less in federal grants to the Oklahoma state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 17.0 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Oklahoma of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 26,800 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Oklahoma lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 75,950 children in Oklahoma lose Medicaid health coverage
- 3,300 children in Oklahoma lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON OREGON OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1.2 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,947 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.8 billion less in Medicare spending in Oregon, or \$1,468 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$56 million, affecting 34,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Oregon cut by \$12 million, affecting 92,000 students

Impact on the Oregon Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 21.4 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$21.2 billion
- Loss of 196,900 jobs in Oregon, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 7.7 percent

Impact on the Oregon State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.0 billion less in federal grants to the Oregon state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 16.8 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Oregon of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 23,100 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Oregon lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 63,650 children in Oregon lose Medicaid health coverage
- 1,400 children in Oregon lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON PENNSYLVANIA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$5.6 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$2,019 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$4.7 billion less in Medicare spending in Pennsylvania, or \$1,977 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$156 million, affecting 112,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Pennsylvania cut by \$57 million, affecting 454,000 students

Impact on the Pennsylvania Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 12.9 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$56.6 billion
- Loss of 254,600 jobs in Pennsylvania, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3.9 percent

Impact on the Pennsylvania State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$4.2 billion less in federal grants to the Pennsylvania state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 17.4 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Pennsylvania of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 77,500 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Pennsylvania lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 264,400 children in Pennsylvania lose Medicaid health coverage
- 7,650 children in Pennsylvania lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON RHODE ISLAND OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.4 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,954 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.3 billion less in Medicare spending in Rhode Island, or \$1,656 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$17 million, affecting 11,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Rhode Island cut by \$6 million, affecting 40,000 students

Impact on the Rhode Island Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 10.1 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$3.7 billion
- Loss of 15,500 jobs in Rhode Island, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 5.8 percent

Impact on the Rhode Island State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.6 billion less in federal grants to the Rhode Island state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 29.3 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Rhode Island of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 6,250 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Rhode Island lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 750 children in Rhode Island lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON SOUTH CAROLINA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1.2 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,788 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.6 billion less in Medicare spending in South Carolina, or \$1,147 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$67 million, affecting 45,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in South Carolina cut by \$10 million, affecting 72,000 students

Impact on the South Carolina Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 14.2 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$15.3 billion
- Loss of 162,300 jobs in South Carolina, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 4.5 percent

Impact on the South Carolina State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.4 billion less in federal grants to the South Carolina state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 19.6 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in South Carolina of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 36,900 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in South Carolina lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 86,000 children in South Carolina lose Medicaid health coverage
- 3,050 children in South Carolina lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON SOUTH DAKOTA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.3 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,739 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.2 billion less in Medicare spending in South Dakota, or \$1,407 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$14 million, affecting 9,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in South Dakota cut by \$4 million, affecting 38,000 students

Impact on the South Dakota Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 11.2 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$2.4 billion
- Loss of 13,500 jobs in South Dakota, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 1.6 percent

Impact on the South Dakota State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.3 billion less in federal grants to the South Dakota state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 33.8 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in South Dakota of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 7,000 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in South Dakota lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 14,200 children in South Dakota lose Medicaid health coverage
- 600 children in South Dakota lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON TENNESSEE OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1.9 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,784 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$1.2 billion less in Medicare spending in Tennessee, or \$1,460 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$98 million, affecting 64,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Tennessee cut by \$15 million, affecting 113,000 students

Impact on the Tennessee Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 13 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$21.8 billion
- Loss of 168,100 jobs in Tennessee, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 5.5 percent

Impact on the Tennessee State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$2.1 billion less in federal grants to the Tennessee state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 26.7 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Tennessee of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 39,500 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Tennessee lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 164,400 children in Tennessee lose Medicaid health coverage
- 4,350 children in Tennessee lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON TEXAS OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$5.1 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,835 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$3.9 billion less in Medicare spending in Texas, or \$1,755 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$328 million, affecting 204,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Texas cut by \$50 million, affecting 380,000 students

Impact on the Texas Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 14.3 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$93.6 billion
- Loss of 593,900 jobs in Texas, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3.2 percent

Impact on the Texas State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$5.7 billion less in federal grants to the Texas state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 19.2 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Texas of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 183,300 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Texas lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 510,050 children in Texas lose Medicaid health coverage
- 15,400 children in Texas lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON UTAH OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.5 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,903 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.2 billion less in Medicare spending in Utah, or \$1,091 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$21 million, affecting 14,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Utah cut by \$7 million, affecting 53,000 students

Impact on the Utah Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 13.9 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$7.8 billion
- Loss of 63,000 jobs in Utah, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3 percent

Impact on the Utah State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.6 billion less in federal grants to the Utah state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 15.6 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Utah of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 16,800 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Utah lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 36,200 children in Utah lose Medicaid health coverage
- 1,200 children in Utah lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON VERMONT OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.2 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,897 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.1 billion less in Medicare spending in Vermont, or \$1,512 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$10 million, affecting 6,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Vermont cut by \$4 million, affecting 28,000 students

Impact on the Vermont Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 5.4 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$1.2 billion
- Loss of 3,900 jobs in Vermont, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 0.5 percent

Impact on the Vermont State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.3 billion less in federal grants to the Vermont state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 23.9 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Vermont of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 4,850 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Vermont lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 13,750 children in Vermont lose Medicaid health coverage
- 400 children in Vermont lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON VIRGINIA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1.9 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,830 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$1.3 billion less in Medicare spending in Virginia, or \$1,421 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$116 million, affecting 74,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Virginia cut by \$19 million, affecting 137,000 students

Impact on the Virginia Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 13.8 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$31.5 billion
- Loss of 242,900 jobs in Virginia, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 3.4 percent

Impact on the Virginia State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.4 billion less in federal grants to the Virginia state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 11.2 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Virginia of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 38,800 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Virginia lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 115,750 children in Virginia lose Medicaid health coverage
- 3,300 children in Virginia lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON WASHINGTON OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$1.8 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$2,006 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$1 billion less in Medicare spending in Washington, or \$1,350 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$100 million, affecting 66,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Washington cut by \$14 million, affecting 104,000 students

Impact on the Washington Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 15.1 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$28.5 billion
- Loss of 208,800 jobs in Washington, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 4.5 percent

Impact on the Washington State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.8 billion less in federal grants to the Washington state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 11.5 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Washington of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 30,650 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Washington lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 122,700 children in Washington lose Medicaid health coverage
- 2,500 children in Washington lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON WEST VIRGINIA OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.9 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,885 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.5 billion less in Medicare spending in West Virginia, or \$1,468 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$42 million, affecting 26,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in West Virginia cut by \$6 million, affecting 47,000 students

Impact on the West Virginia Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 8 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$4.3 billion
- Loss of 22,100 jobs in West Virginia, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 2.6 percent

Impact on the West Virginia State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.0 billion less in federal grants to the West Virginia state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 28.3 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in West Virginia of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 16,000 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in West Virginia lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 70,300 children in West Virginia lose Medicaid health coverage
- 1,900 children in West Virginia lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON WISCONSIN OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$2.1 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,990 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$1.4 billion less in Medicare spending in Wisconsin, or \$1,591 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$60 million, affecting 41,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Wisconsin cut by \$15 million, affecting 128,000 students

Impact on the Wisconsin Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 10.9 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$18.8 billion
- Loss of 111,700 jobs in Wisconsin, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 2.1 percent

Impact on the Wisconsin State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$1.7 billion less in federal grants to the Wisconsin state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 14.2 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Wisconsin of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 32,150 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Wisconsin lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 106,600 children in Wisconsin lose Medicaid health coverage
- 3,600 children in Wisconsin lose Head Start early childhood services

THE IMPACT ON WYOMING OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

Impact on Social Security of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (House Budget Committee):

- \$0.2 billion reduction in Social Security benefits in FY 2002, or \$1,924 less for the average recipient's annual Social Security check
- \$0.1 billion less in Medicare spending in Wyoming, or \$1,381 less per enrollee

Impact on Middle Class of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Families USA):

- Veterans' benefits cut by \$7 million, affecting 5,000 veterans
- Federal spending for student loan programs in Wyoming cut by \$2 million, affecting 18,000 students

Impact on the Wyoming Economy of Balanced Budget Amendment (Wharton Econometrics Forecasting Associates):

- 13.9 percent drop in personal income in 2003, or a reduction of \$2 billion
- Loss of 18,400 jobs in Wyoming, with an increase in the unemployment rate of 2.9 percent

Impact on the Wyoming State Government of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Treasury Department):

- \$0.3 billion less in federal grants to the Wyoming state government in FY 2002
- State taxes increased by 25.7 percent to make up for the loss in grants

Impact on Federal Funding for Children in Wyoming of Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract Tax Cuts (Children's Defense Fund):

- 3,600 babies, preschoolers, and pregnant women in Wyoming lose infant formula and other WIC nutrition supplements
- 10,000 children in Wyoming lose Medicaid health coverage
- 400 children in Wyoming lose Head Start early childhood services

METHOD FOR ESTIMATING CUTS IN
VETERANS BENEFITS PER RECIPIENT
BY CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

Veterans

1. Cuts in Veteran Benefits Per Congressional District (CD)

- The Congressional Budget Office has projections for spending on veterans benefits until 1999. These projections were trended forward to the year 2002.
- The total amount of projected spending in 2002 was cut by 22.5 percent for the balanced budget amendment estimates and 30.9 percent for the Contract with America estimates (these percent cuts were taken from the Treasury study).
- The cuts were then distributed to C.D.s based on the C.D.s 1990 share of the nation's veterans (Census).

2. Recipient Veterans Per C.D.

- The Department of Veterans Affairs has projected total number of recipient veterans to the year 2000. This total was trended forward to the year 2002.
- The total was allocated to C.D.s based on the C.D.'s 1990 share of the nation's veterans (Census)

3. Cuts Per Recipient

- The total projected amount of cuts was divided by the total projected amount of recipients.

Cuts in Veterans Benefits in 2002 Due to Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract With America

Balanced Budget				Contract With America			
State/District	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	
AK	0	7,731	\$1,626	\$12.6	7,731	\$2,233	\$17.3
AL	1	7,249	\$1,626	\$11.8	7,249	\$2,233	\$16.2
AL	2	7,359	\$1,626	\$12.0	7,359	\$2,233	\$16.4
AL	3	6,871	\$1,626	\$11.2	6,871	\$2,233	\$15.3
AL	4	6,812	\$1,626	\$11.1	6,812	\$2,233	\$15.2
AL	5	7,624	\$1,626	\$12.4	7,624	\$2,233	\$17.0
AL	6	7,430	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,430	\$2,233	\$16.6
AL	7	5,907	\$1,626	\$9.6	5,907	\$2,233	\$13.2
AR	1	6,699	\$1,626	\$10.9	6,699	\$2,233	\$15.0
AR	2	7,860	\$1,626	\$12.8	7,860	\$2,233	\$17.6
AR	3	8,365	\$1,626	\$13.6	8,365	\$2,233	\$18.7
AR	4	7,100	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,100	\$2,233	\$15.9
AZ	1	7,655	\$1,626	\$12.4	7,655	\$2,233	\$17.1
AZ	2	6,695	\$1,626	\$10.9	6,695	\$2,233	\$15.0
AZ	3	10,834	\$1,626	\$17.6	10,834	\$2,233	\$24.2
AZ	4	9,154	\$1,626	\$14.9	9,154	\$2,233	\$20.4
AZ	5	10,094	\$1,626	\$16.4	10,094	\$2,233	\$22.5
AZ	6	8,131	\$1,626	\$13.2	8,131	\$2,233	\$18.2
CA	1	9,071	\$1,626	\$14.8	9,071	\$2,233	\$20.3
CA	2	9,348	\$1,626	\$15.2	9,348	\$2,233	\$20.9
CA	3	8,217	\$1,626	\$13.4	8,217	\$2,233	\$18.4
CA	4	9,598	\$1,626	\$15.6	9,598	\$2,233	\$21.4
CA	5	7,561	\$1,626	\$12.3	7,561	\$2,233	\$16.9
CA	6	7,888	\$1,626	\$12.8	7,888	\$2,233	\$17.6
CA	7	7,455	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,455	\$2,233	\$16.7
CA	8	5,622	\$1,626	\$9.1	5,622	\$2,233	\$12.6
CA	9	5,665	\$1,626	\$9.2	5,665	\$2,233	\$12.7
CA	10	7,941	\$1,626	\$12.9	7,941	\$2,233	\$17.7
CA	11	7,045	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,045	\$2,233	\$15.7
CA	12	6,484	\$1,626	\$10.5	6,484	\$2,233	\$14.5
CA	13	6,946	\$1,626	\$11.3	6,946	\$2,233	\$15.5
CA	14	6,879	\$1,626	\$11.2	6,879	\$2,233	\$15.4
CA	15	7,339	\$1,626	\$11.9	7,339	\$2,233	\$16.4
CA	16	4,901	\$1,626	\$8.0	4,901	\$2,233	\$10.9
CA	17	6,482	\$1,626	\$10.5	6,482	\$2,233	\$14.5
CA	18	6,138	\$1,626	\$10.0	6,138	\$2,233	\$13.7
CA	19	6,871	\$1,626	\$11.2	6,871	\$2,233	\$15.3
CA	20	4,061	\$1,626	\$6.6	4,061	\$2,233	\$9.1
CA	21	7,435	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,435	\$2,233	\$16.6

Cuts in Veterans Benefits in 2002 Due to Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract With America

Balanced Budget				Contract With America			
State/District	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	
CA	22	7,782	\$1,626	\$12.7	7,782	\$2,233	\$17.4
CA	23	7,080	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,080	\$2,233	\$15.8
CA	24	6,730	\$1,626	\$10.9	6,730	\$2,233	\$15.0
CA	25	7,354	\$1,626	\$12.0	7,354	\$2,233	\$16.4
CA	26	4,046	\$1,626	\$6.6	4,046	\$2,233	\$9.0
CA	27	5,629	\$1,626	\$9.2	5,629	\$2,233	\$12.6
CA	28	6,482	\$1,626	\$10.5	6,482	\$2,233	\$14.5
CA	29	5,651	\$1,626	\$9.2	5,651	\$2,233	\$12.6
CA	30	2,570	\$1,626	\$4.2	2,570	\$2,233	\$5.7
CA	31	3,276	\$1,626	\$5.3	3,276	\$2,233	\$7.3
CA	32	4,768	\$1,626	\$7.8	4,768	\$2,233	\$10.6
CA	33	2,074	\$1,626	\$3.4	2,074	\$2,233	\$4.6
CA	34	4,888	\$1,626	\$7.9	4,888	\$2,233	\$10.9
CA	35	4,047	\$1,626	\$6.6	4,047	\$2,233	\$9.0
CA	36	7,233	\$1,626	\$11.8	7,233	\$2,233	\$16.2
CA	37	4,092	\$1,626	\$6.7	4,092	\$2,233	\$9.1
CA	38	6,887	\$1,626	\$11.2	6,887	\$2,233	\$15.4
CA	39	6,849	\$1,626	\$11.1	6,849	\$2,233	\$15.3
CA	40	8,659	\$1,626	\$14.1	8,659	\$2,233	\$19.3
CA	41	5,482	\$1,626	\$8.9	5,482	\$2,233	\$12.2
CA	42	6,268	\$1,626	\$10.2	6,268	\$2,233	\$14.0
CA	43	7,076	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,076	\$2,233	\$15.8
CA	44	8,248	\$1,626	\$13.4	8,248	\$2,233	\$18.4
CA	45	7,101	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,101	\$2,233	\$15.9
CA	46	4,212	\$1,626	\$6.9	4,212	\$2,233	\$9.4
CA	47	6,827	\$1,626	\$11.1	6,827	\$2,233	\$15.2
CA	48	7,756	\$1,626	\$12.6	7,756	\$2,233	\$17.3
CA	49	8,681	\$1,626	\$14.1	8,681	\$2,233	\$19.4
CA	50	6,968	\$1,626	\$11.3	6,968	\$2,233	\$15.6
CA	51	8,134	\$1,626	\$13.2	8,134	\$2,233	\$18.2
CA	52	8,248	\$1,626	\$13.4	8,248	\$2,233	\$18.4
CO	1	7,825	\$1,626	\$12.7	7,825	\$2,233	\$17.5
CO	2	7,109	\$1,626	\$11.6	7,109	\$2,233	\$15.9
CO	3	8,029	\$1,626	\$13.1	8,029	\$2,233	\$17.9
CO	4	6,787	\$1,626	\$11.0	6,787	\$2,233	\$15.2
CO	5	8,674	\$1,626	\$14.1	8,674	\$2,233	\$19.4
CO	6	8,011	\$1,626	\$13.0	8,011	\$2,233	\$17.9
CT	1	6,487	\$1,626	\$10.5	6,487	\$2,233	\$14.5
CT	2	7,773	\$1,626	\$12.6	7,773	\$2,233	\$17.4

Cuts in Veterans Benefits in 2002 Due to Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract With America

Balanced Budget				Contract With America			
State/District	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	
CT	3	7,082	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,082	\$2,233	\$15.8
CT	4	6,404	\$1,626	\$10.4	6,404	\$2,233	\$14.3
CT	5	7,004	\$1,626	\$11.4	7,004	\$2,233	\$15.6
CT	6	7,608	\$1,626	\$12.4	7,608	\$2,233	\$17.0
DC	0	6,556	\$1,626	\$10.7	6,556	\$2,233	\$14.6
DE	0	9,165	\$1,626	\$14.9	9,165	\$2,233	\$20.5
FL	1	10,192	\$1,626	\$16.6	10,192	\$2,233	\$22.8
FL	2	7,611	\$1,626	\$12.4	7,611	\$2,233	\$17.0
FL	3	7,186	\$1,626	\$11.7	7,186	\$2,233	\$16.0
FL	4	9,410	\$1,626	\$15.3	9,410	\$2,233	\$21.0
FL	5	10,685	\$1,626	\$17.4	10,685	\$2,233	\$23.9
FL	6	10,464	\$1,626	\$17.0	10,464	\$2,233	\$23.4
FL	7	9,473	\$1,626	\$15.4	9,473	\$2,233	\$21.2
FL	8	8,341	\$1,626	\$13.6	8,341	\$2,233	\$18.6
FL	9	9,865	\$1,626	\$16.0	9,865	\$2,233	\$22.0
FL	10	10,174	\$1,626	\$16.5	10,174	\$2,233	\$22.7
FL	11	8,070	\$1,626	\$13.1	8,070	\$2,233	\$18.0
FL	12	8,517	\$1,626	\$13.9	8,517	\$2,233	\$19.0
FL	13	10,793	\$1,626	\$17.6	10,793	\$2,233	\$24.1
FL	14	10,864	\$1,626	\$17.7	10,864	\$2,233	\$24.3
FL	15	11,074	\$1,626	\$18.0	11,074	\$2,233	\$24.7
FL	16	9,829	\$1,626	\$16.0	9,829	\$2,233	\$22.0
FL	17	4,081	\$1,626	\$6.6	4,081	\$2,233	\$9.1
FL	18	3,543	\$1,626	\$5.8	3,543	\$2,233	\$7.9
FL	19	9,142	\$1,626	\$14.9	9,142	\$2,233	\$20.4
FL	20	8,376	\$1,626	\$13.6	8,376	\$2,233	\$18.7
FL	21	2,985	\$1,626	\$4.9	2,985	\$2,233	\$6.7
FL	22	8,664	\$1,626	\$14.1	8,664	\$2,233	\$19.4
FL	23	5,395	\$1,626	\$8.8	5,395	\$2,233	\$12.0
GA	1	7,425	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,425	\$2,233	\$16.6
GA	2	6,086	\$1,626	\$9.9	6,086	\$2,233	\$13.6
GA	3	8,329	\$1,626	\$13.5	8,329	\$2,233	\$18.6
GA	4	7,387	\$1,626	\$12.0	7,387	\$2,233	\$16.5
GA	5	6,452	\$1,626	\$10.5	6,452	\$2,233	\$14.4
GA	6	7,420	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,420	\$2,233	\$16.6
GA	7	7,182	\$1,626	\$11.7	7,182	\$2,233	\$16.0
GA	8	7,609	\$1,626	\$12.4	7,609	\$2,233	\$17.0
GA	9	7,199	\$1,626	\$11.7	7,199	\$2,233	\$16.1
GA	10	7,070	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,070	\$2,233	\$15.8

Cuts in Veterans Benefits in 2002 Due to Balanced Budget Amendment and
Contract With America

Balanced Budget				Contract With America			
State/District	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	
GA	11	6,367	\$1,626				
			\$10.4	6,367	\$2,233	\$14.2	
HI	1	7,140	\$1,626				
			\$11.6	7,140	\$2,233	\$15.9	
HI	2	6,369	\$1,626				
			\$10.4	6,369	\$2,233	\$14.2	
IA	1	6,997	\$1,626				
			\$11.4	6,997	\$2,233	\$15.6	
IA	2	6,784	\$1,626				
			\$11.0	6,784	\$2,233	\$15.2	
IA	3	7,083	\$1,626				
			\$11.5	7,083	\$2,233	\$15.8	
IA	4	7,231	\$1,626				
			\$11.8	7,231	\$2,233	\$16.2	
IA	5	7,034	\$1,626				
			\$11.4	7,034	\$2,233	\$15.7	
ID	1	7,266	\$1,626				
			\$11.8	7,266	\$2,233	\$16.2	
ID	2	5,943	\$1,626				
			\$9.7	5,943	\$2,233	\$13.3	
IL	1	6,024	\$1,626				
			\$9.8	6,024	\$2,233	\$13.5	
IL	2	6,123	\$1,626				
			\$10.0	6,123	\$2,233	\$13.7	
IL	3	7,599	\$1,626				
			\$12.4	7,599	\$2,233	\$17.0	
IL	4	3,024	\$1,626				
			\$4.9	3,024	\$2,233	\$6.8	
IL	5	5,642	\$1,626				
			\$9.2	5,642	\$2,233	\$12.6	
IL	6	6,715	\$1,626				
			\$10.9	6,715	\$2,233	\$15.0	
IL	7	4,770	\$1,626				
			\$7.8	4,770	\$2,233	\$10.7	
IL	8	6,501	\$1,626				
			\$10.6	6,501	\$2,233	\$14.5	
IL	9	5,421	\$1,626				
			\$8.8	5,421	\$2,233	\$12.1	
IL	10	6,598	\$1,626				
			\$10.7	6,598	\$2,233	\$14.7	
IL	11	7,435	\$1,626				
			\$12.1	7,435	\$2,233	\$16.6	
IL	12	7,858	\$1,626				
			\$12.8	7,858	\$2,233	\$17.6	
IL	13	6,315	\$1,626				
			\$10.3	6,315	\$2,233	\$14.1	
IL	14	6,202	\$1,626				
			\$10.1	6,202	\$2,233	\$13.9	
IL	15	6,826	\$1,626				
			\$11.1	6,826	\$2,233	\$15.2	
IL	16	7,253	\$1,626				
			\$11.8	7,253	\$2,233	\$16.2	
IL	17	7,731	\$1,626				
			\$12.6	7,731	\$2,233	\$17.3	
IL	18	7,749	\$1,626				
			\$12.6	7,749	\$2,233	\$17.3	
IL	19	7,934	\$1,626				
			\$12.9	7,934	\$2,233	\$17.7	
IL	20	7,925	\$1,626				
			\$12.9	7,925	\$2,233	\$17.7	
IN	1	7,168	\$1,626				
			\$11.7	7,168	\$2,233	\$16.0	
IN	2	7,173	\$1,626				
			\$11.7	7,173	\$2,233	\$16.0	
IN	3	7,025	\$1,626				
			\$11.4	7,025	\$2,233	\$15.7	
IN	4	6,551	\$1,626				
			\$10.7	6,551	\$2,233	\$14.6	
IN	5	7,400	\$1,626				
			\$12.0	7,400	\$2,233	\$16.5	
IN	6	7,025	\$1,626				
			\$11.4	7,025	\$2,233	\$15.7	
IN	7	7,047	\$1,626				
			\$11.5	7,047	\$2,233	\$15.7	
IN	8	7,029	\$1,626				
			\$11.4	7,029	\$2,233	\$15.7	
IN	9	7,295	\$1,626				
			\$11.9	7,295	\$2,233	\$16.3	

Cuts in Veterans Benefits in 2002 Due to Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract With America

Balanced Budget				Contract With America			
State/District		Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)
IN	10	6,870	\$1,626	\$11.2	6,870	\$2,233	\$15.3
KS	1	7,493	\$1,626	\$12.2	7,493	\$2,233	\$16.7
KS	2	8,536	\$1,626	\$13.9	8,536	\$2,233	\$19.1
KS	3	7,612	\$1,626	\$12.4	7,612	\$2,233	\$17.0
KS	4	8,168	\$1,626	\$13.3	8,168	\$2,233	\$18.2
KY	1	7,270	\$1,626	\$11.8	7,270	\$2,233	\$16.2
KY	2	7,261	\$1,626	\$11.8	7,261	\$2,233	\$16.2
KY	3	8,371	\$1,626	\$13.6	8,371	\$2,233	\$18.7
KY	4	7,577	\$1,626	\$12.3	7,577	\$2,233	\$16.9
KY	5	5,581	\$1,626	\$9.1	5,581	\$2,233	\$12.5
KY	6	7,054	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,054	\$2,233	\$15.8
LA	1	7,469	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,469	\$2,233	\$16.7
LA	2	6,138	\$1,626	\$10.0	6,138	\$2,233	\$13.7
LA	3	5,825	\$1,626	\$9.5	5,825	\$2,233	\$13.0
LA	4	5,288	\$1,626	\$8.6	5,288	\$2,233	\$11.8
LA	5	7,477	\$1,626	\$12.2	7,477	\$2,233	\$16.7
LA	6	7,113	\$1,626	\$11.6	7,113	\$2,233	\$15.9
LA	7	6,474	\$1,626	\$10.5	6,474	\$2,233	\$14.5
MA	1	8,077	\$1,626	\$13.1	8,077	\$2,233	\$18.0
MA	2	8,080	\$1,626	\$13.1	8,080	\$2,233	\$18.0
MA	3	7,544	\$1,626	\$12.3	7,544	\$2,233	\$16.8
MA	4	7,059	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,059	\$2,233	\$15.8
MA	5	7,019	\$1,626	\$11.4	7,019	\$2,233	\$15.7
MA	6	8,234	\$1,626	\$13.4	8,234	\$2,233	\$18.4
MA	7	7,502	\$1,626	\$12.2	7,502	\$2,233	\$16.8
MA	8	4,553	\$1,626	\$7.4	4,553	\$2,233	\$10.2
MA	9	7,265	\$1,626	\$11.8	7,265	\$2,233	\$16.2
MA	10	9,074	\$1,626	\$14.8	9,074	\$2,233	\$20.3
MD	1	8,847	\$1,626	\$14.4	8,847	\$2,233	\$19.8
MD	2	8,684	\$1,626	\$14.1	8,684	\$2,233	\$19.4
MD	3	7,768	\$1,626	\$12.6	7,768	\$2,233	\$17.4
MD	4	7,246	\$1,626	\$11.8	7,246	\$2,233	\$16.2
MD	5	8,672	\$1,626	\$14.1	8,672	\$2,233	\$19.4
MD	6	8,051	\$1,626	\$13.1	8,051	\$2,233	\$18.0
MD	7	6,789	\$1,626	\$11.0	6,789	\$2,233	\$15.2
MD	8	7,220	\$1,626	\$11.7	7,220	\$2,233	\$16.1
ME	1	9,430	\$1,626	\$15.3	9,430	\$2,233	\$21.1
ME	2	8,618	\$1,626	\$14.0	8,618	\$2,233	\$19.2
MI	1	8,950	\$1,626	\$14.6	8,950	\$2,233	\$20.0

Cuts in Veterans Benefits in 2002 Due to Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract With America

Balanced Budget				Contract With America			
State/District	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	
MI	2	7,234	\$1,626	\$11.8	7,234	\$2,233	\$16.2
MI	3	6,376	\$1,626	\$10.4	6,376	\$2,233	\$14.2
MI	4	7,329	\$1,626	\$11.9	7,329	\$2,233	\$16.4
MI	5	7,426	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,426	\$2,233	\$16.6
MI	6	7,098	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,098	\$2,233	\$15.9
MI	7	7,531	\$1,626	\$12.2	7,531	\$2,233	\$16.8
MI	8	6,564	\$1,626	\$10.7	6,564	\$2,233	\$14.7
MI	9	6,717	\$1,626	\$10.9	6,717	\$2,233	\$15.0
MI	10	7,654	\$1,626	\$12.4	7,654	\$2,233	\$17.1
MI	11	7,291	\$1,626	\$11.9	7,291	\$2,233	\$16.3
MI	12	7,009	\$1,626	\$11.4	7,009	\$2,233	\$15.7
MI	13	6,542	\$1,626	\$10.6	6,542	\$2,233	\$14.6
MI	14	6,273	\$1,626	\$10.2	6,273	\$2,233	\$14.0
MI	15	6,047	\$1,626	\$9.8	6,047	\$2,233	\$13.5
MI	16	7,881	\$1,626	\$12.8	7,881	\$2,233	\$17.6
MN	1	6,597	\$1,626	\$10.7	6,597	\$2,233	\$14.7
MN	2	6,375	\$1,626	\$10.4	6,375	\$2,233	\$14.2
MN	3	7,159	\$1,626	\$11.6	7,159	\$2,233	\$16.0
MN	4	6,743	\$1,626	\$11.0	6,743	\$2,233	\$15.1
MN	5	6,774	\$1,626	\$11.0	6,774	\$2,233	\$15.1
MN	6	6,782	\$1,626	\$11.0	6,782	\$2,233	\$15.1
MN	7	6,811	\$1,626	\$11.1	6,811	\$2,233	\$15.2
MN	8	8,208	\$1,626	\$13.3	8,208	\$2,233	\$18.3
MO	1	6,951	\$1,626	\$11.3	6,951	\$2,233	\$15.5
MO	2	7,830	\$1,626	\$12.7	7,830	\$2,233	\$17.5
MO	3	8,051	\$1,626	\$13.1	8,051	\$2,233	\$18.0
MO	4	8,184	\$1,626	\$13.3	8,184	\$2,233	\$18.3
MO	5	7,842	\$1,626	\$12.8	7,842	\$2,233	\$17.5
MO	6	7,819	\$1,626	\$12.7	7,819	\$2,233	\$17.5
MO	7	8,015	\$1,626	\$13.0	8,015	\$2,233	\$17.9
MO	8	7,629	\$1,626	\$12.4	7,629	\$2,233	\$17.0
MO	9	7,214	\$1,626	\$11.7	7,214	\$2,233	\$16.1
MS	1	5,145	\$1,626	\$8.4	5,145	\$2,233	\$11.5
MS	2	4,061	\$1,626	\$6.6	4,061	\$2,233	\$9.1
MS	3	5,430	\$1,626	\$8.8	5,430	\$2,233	\$12.1
MS	4	5,371	\$1,626	\$8.7	5,371	\$2,233	\$12.0
MS	5	6,951	\$1,626	\$11.3	6,951	\$2,233	\$15.5
MT	0	11,615	\$1,626	\$18.9	11,615	\$2,233	\$25.9
NC	1	5,576	\$1,626	\$9.1	5,576	\$2,233	\$12.5

Cuts in Veterans Benefits in 2002 Due to Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract With America

Balanced Budget				Contract With America			
State/District	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	
NC	2	6,591	\$1,626	\$10.7	6,591	\$2,233	\$14.7
NC	3	7,339	\$1,626	\$11.9	7,339	\$2,233	\$16.4
NC	4	6,201	\$1,626	\$10.1	6,201	\$2,233	\$13.8
NC	5	6,553	\$1,626	\$10.7	6,553	\$2,233	\$14.6
NC	6	7,164	\$1,626	\$11.7	7,164	\$2,233	\$16.0
NC	7	6,624	\$1,626	\$10.8	6,624	\$2,233	\$14.8
NC	8	6,984	\$1,626	\$11.4	6,984	\$2,233	\$15.6
NC	9	7,192	\$1,626	\$11.7	7,192	\$2,233	\$16.1
NC	10	7,070	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,070	\$2,233	\$15.8
NC	11	8,210	\$1,626	\$13.4	8,210	\$2,233	\$18.3
NC	12	5,994	\$1,626	\$9.7	5,994	\$2,233	\$13.4
ND	0	7,337	\$1,626	\$11.9	7,337	\$2,233	\$16.4
NE	1	6,448	\$1,626	\$10.5	6,448	\$2,233	\$14.4
NE	2	7,053	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,053	\$2,233	\$15.8
NE	3	6,645	\$1,626	\$10.8	6,645	\$2,233	\$14.8
NH	1	8,050	\$1,626	\$13.1	8,050	\$2,233	\$18.0
NH	2	7,992	\$1,626	\$13.0	7,992	\$2,233	\$17.8
NJ	1	7,440	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,440	\$2,233	\$16.6
NJ	2	7,896	\$1,626	\$12.8	7,896	\$2,233	\$17.6
NJ	3	9,111	\$1,626	\$14.8	9,111	\$2,233	\$20.3
NJ	4	7,870	\$1,626	\$12.8	7,870	\$2,233	\$17.6
NJ	5	7,734	\$1,626	\$12.6	7,734	\$2,233	\$17.3
NJ	6	7,380	\$1,626	\$12.0	7,380	\$2,233	\$16.5
NJ	7	7,664	\$1,626	\$12.5	7,664	\$2,233	\$17.1
NJ	8	6,230	\$1,626	\$10.1	6,230	\$2,233	\$13.9
NJ	9	6,955	\$1,626	\$11.3	6,955	\$2,233	\$15.5
NJ	10	5,387	\$1,626	\$8.8	5,387	\$2,233	\$12.0
NJ	11	7,419	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,419	\$2,233	\$16.6
NJ	12	7,253	\$1,626	\$11.8	7,253	\$2,233	\$16.2
NJ	13	4,254	\$1,626	\$6.9	4,254	\$2,233	\$9.5
NM	1	7,518	\$1,626	\$12.2	7,518	\$2,233	\$16.8
NM	2	6,634	\$1,626	\$10.8	6,634	\$2,233	\$14.8
NM	3	6,014	\$1,626	\$9.8	6,014	\$2,233	\$13.4
NV	1	10,408	\$1,626	\$16.9	10,408	\$2,233	\$23.2
NV	2	10,218	\$1,626	\$16.6	10,218	\$2,233	\$22.8
NY	1	7,460	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,460	\$2,233	\$16.7
NY	2	7,313	\$1,626	\$11.9	7,313	\$2,233	\$16.3
NY	3	8,107	\$1,626	\$13.2	8,107	\$2,233	\$18.1
NY	4	7,129	\$1,626	\$11.6	7,129	\$2,233	\$15.9

Cuts in Veterans Benefits in 2002 Due to Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract With America

Balanced Budget				Contract With America			
State/District	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	
NY	5	6,661	\$1,626	\$10.8	6,661	\$2,233	\$14.9
NY	6	5,052	\$1,626	\$8.2	5,052	\$2,233	\$11.3
NY	7	5,086	\$1,626	\$8.3	5,086	\$2,233	\$11.4
NY	8	4,604	\$1,626	\$7.5	4,604	\$2,233	\$10.3
NY	9	6,015	\$1,626	\$9.8	6,015	\$2,233	\$13.4
NY	10	3,868	\$1,626	\$6.3	3,868	\$2,233	\$8.6
NY	11	2,851	\$1,626	\$4.6	2,851	\$2,233	\$6.4
NY	12	2,315	\$1,626	\$3.8	2,315	\$2,233	\$5.2
NY	13	6,412	\$1,626	\$10.4	6,412	\$2,233	\$14.3
NY	14	5,606	\$1,626	\$9.1	5,606	\$2,233	\$12.5
NY	15	3,397	\$1,626	\$5.5	3,397	\$2,233	\$7.6
NY	16	2,558	\$1,626	\$4.2	2,558	\$2,233	\$5.7
NY	17	4,593	\$1,626	\$7.5	4,593	\$2,233	\$10.3
NY	18	6,059	\$1,626	\$9.9	6,059	\$2,233	\$13.5
NY	19	7,282	\$1,626	\$11.8	7,282	\$2,233	\$16.3
NY	20	6,829	\$1,626	\$11.1	6,829	\$2,233	\$15.3
NY	21	7,564	\$1,626	\$12.3	7,564	\$2,233	\$16.9
NY	22	8,194	\$1,626	\$13.3	8,194	\$2,233	\$18.3
NY	23	7,952	\$1,626	\$12.9	7,952	\$2,233	\$17.8
NY	24	7,486	\$1,626	\$12.2	7,486	\$2,233	\$16.7
NY	25	7,415	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,415	\$2,233	\$16.6
NY	26	7,430	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,430	\$2,233	\$16.6
NY	27	7,490	\$1,626	\$12.2	7,490	\$2,233	\$16.7
NY	28	6,578	\$1,626	\$10.7	6,578	\$2,233	\$14.7
NY	29	7,913	\$1,626	\$12.9	7,913	\$2,233	\$17.7
NY	30	8,065	\$1,626	\$13.1	8,065	\$2,233	\$18.0
NY	31	8,132	\$1,626	\$13.2	8,132	\$2,233	\$18.2
OH	1	6,750	\$1,626	\$11.0	6,750	\$2,233	\$15.1
OH	2	7,293	\$1,626	\$11.9	7,293	\$2,233	\$16.3
OH	3	8,132	\$1,626	\$13.2	8,132	\$2,233	\$18.2
OH	4	7,507	\$1,626	\$12.2	7,507	\$2,233	\$16.8
OH	5	7,398	\$1,626	\$12.0	7,398	\$2,233	\$16.5
OH	6	7,440	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,440	\$2,233	\$16.6
OH	7	8,157	\$1,626	\$13.3	8,157	\$2,233	\$18.2
OH	8	7,107	\$1,626	\$11.6	7,107	\$2,233	\$15.9
OH	9	6,887	\$1,626	\$11.2	6,887	\$2,233	\$15.4
OH	10	7,568	\$1,626	\$12.3	7,568	\$2,233	\$16.9
OH	11	6,888	\$1,626	\$11.2	6,888	\$2,233	\$15.4
OH	12	7,033	\$1,626	\$11.4	7,033	\$2,233	\$15.7

Cuts in Veterans Benefits in 2002 Due to Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract With America

Balanced Budget				Contract With America			
State/District	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	
OH	13	7,734	\$1,626	\$12.6	7,734	\$2,233	\$17.3
OH	14	7,561	\$1,626	\$12.3	7,561	\$2,233	\$16.9
OH	15	7,003	\$1,626	\$11.4	7,003	\$2,233	\$15.6
OH	16	7,254	\$1,626	\$11.8	7,254	\$2,233	\$16.2
OH	17	8,588	\$1,626	\$14.0	8,588	\$2,233	\$19.2
OH	18	7,997	\$1,626	\$13.0	7,997	\$2,233	\$17.9
OH	19	8,379	\$1,626	\$13.6	8,379	\$2,233	\$18.7
OK	1	7,005	\$1,626	\$11.4	7,005	\$2,233	\$15.6
OK	2	7,344	\$1,626	\$11.9	7,344	\$2,233	\$16.4
OK	3	6,948	\$1,626	\$11.3	6,948	\$2,233	\$15.5
OK	4	7,332	\$1,626	\$11.9	7,332	\$2,233	\$16.4
OK	5	7,007	\$1,626	\$11.4	7,007	\$2,233	\$15.7
OK	6	7,086	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,086	\$2,233	\$15.8
OR	1	8,142	\$1,626	\$13.2	8,142	\$2,233	\$18.2
OR	2	9,489	\$1,626	\$15.4	9,489	\$2,233	\$21.2
OR	3	8,178	\$1,626	\$13.3	8,178	\$2,233	\$18.3
OR	4	9,128	\$1,626	\$14.8	9,128	\$2,233	\$20.4
OR	5	8,582	\$1,626	\$14.0	8,582	\$2,233	\$19.2
PA	1	5,815	\$1,626	\$9.5	5,815	\$2,233	\$13.0
PA	2	6,442	\$1,626	\$10.5	6,442	\$2,233	\$14.4
PA	3	7,732	\$1,626	\$12.6	7,732	\$2,233	\$17.3
PA	4	8,554	\$1,626	\$13.9	8,554	\$2,233	\$19.1
PA	5	7,726	\$1,626	\$12.6	7,726	\$2,233	\$17.3
PA	6	8,272	\$1,626	\$13.5	8,272	\$2,233	\$18.5
PA	7	7,931	\$1,626	\$12.9	7,931	\$2,233	\$17.7
PA	8	7,506	\$1,626	\$12.2	7,506	\$2,233	\$16.8
PA	9	7,753	\$1,626	\$12.6	7,753	\$2,233	\$17.3
PA	10	8,240	\$1,626	\$13.4	8,240	\$2,233	\$18.4
PA	11	8,841	\$1,626	\$14.4	8,841	\$2,233	\$19.7
PA	12	8,154	\$1,626	\$13.3	8,154	\$2,233	\$18.2
PA	13	7,508	\$1,626	\$12.2	7,508	\$2,233	\$16.8
PA	14	7,878	\$1,626	\$12.8	7,878	\$2,233	\$17.6
PA	15	8,136	\$1,626	\$13.2	8,136	\$2,233	\$18.2
PA	16	6,645	\$1,626	\$10.8	6,645	\$2,233	\$14.8
PA	17	7,986	\$1,626	\$13.0	7,986	\$2,233	\$17.8
PA	18	8,937	\$1,626	\$14.5	8,937	\$2,233	\$20.0
PA	19	7,821	\$1,626	\$12.7	7,821	\$2,233	\$17.5
PA	20	8,440	\$1,626	\$13.7	8,440	\$2,233	\$18.9
PA	21	7,937	\$1,626	\$12.9	7,937	\$2,233	\$17.7

Cuts in Veterans Benefits in 2002 Due to Balanced Budget Amendment and
Contract With America

Balanced Budget				Contract With America			
State/District	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	
RI	1	6,763	\$1,626	\$11.0	6,763	\$2,233	\$15.1
RI	2	6,641	\$1,626	\$10.8	6,641	\$2,233	\$14.8
SC	1	8,588	\$1,626	\$14.0	8,588	\$2,233	\$19.2
SC	2	7,599	\$1,626	\$12.4	7,599	\$2,233	\$17.0
SC	3	7,348	\$1,626	\$12.0	7,348	\$2,233	\$16.4
SC	4	7,186	\$1,626	\$11.7	7,186	\$2,233	\$16.1
SC	5	6,685	\$1,626	\$10.9	6,685	\$2,233	\$14.9
SC	6	5,829	\$1,626	\$9.5	5,829	\$2,233	\$13.0
SD	0	8,714	\$1,626	\$14.2	8,714	\$2,233	\$19.5
TN	1	7,369	\$1,626	\$12.0	7,369	\$2,233	\$16.5
TN	2	7,072	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,072	\$2,233	\$15.8
TN	3	7,321	\$1,626	\$11.9	7,321	\$2,233	\$16.3
TN	4	6,527	\$1,626	\$10.6	6,527	\$2,233	\$14.6
TN	5	6,594	\$1,626	\$10.7	6,594	\$2,233	\$14.7
TN	6	6,323	\$1,626	\$10.3	6,323	\$2,233	\$14.1
TN	7	6,626	\$1,626	\$10.8	6,626	\$2,233	\$14.8
TN	8	6,421	\$1,626	\$10.4	6,421	\$2,233	\$14.3
TN	9	5,979	\$1,626	\$9.7	5,979	\$2,233	\$13.4
TX	1	7,606	\$1,626	\$12.4	7,606	\$2,233	\$17.0
TX	2	7,575	\$1,626	\$12.3	7,575	\$2,233	\$16.9
TX	3	6,735	\$1,626	\$11.0	6,735	\$2,233	\$15.0
TX	4	7,773	\$1,626	\$12.6	7,773	\$2,233	\$17.4
TX	5	6,486	\$1,626	\$10.5	6,486	\$2,233	\$14.5
TX	6	7,489	\$1,626	\$12.2	7,489	\$2,233	\$16.7
TX	7	5,961	\$1,626	\$9.7	5,961	\$2,233	\$13.3
TX	8	6,601	\$1,626	\$10.7	6,601	\$2,233	\$14.7
TX	9	7,401	\$1,626	\$12.0	7,401	\$2,233	\$16.5
TX	10	6,141	\$1,626	\$10.0	6,141	\$2,233	\$13.7
TX	11	7,953	\$1,626	\$12.9	7,953	\$2,233	\$17.8
TX	12	7,417	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,417	\$2,233	\$16.6
TX	13	6,546	\$1,626	\$10.6	6,546	\$2,233	\$14.6
TX	14	6,865	\$1,626	\$11.2	6,865	\$2,233	\$15.3
TX	15	4,237	\$1,626	\$6.9	4,237	\$2,233	\$9.5
TX	16	6,024	\$1,626	\$9.8	6,024	\$2,233	\$13.5
TX	17	7,384	\$1,626	\$12.0	7,384	\$2,233	\$16.5
TX	18	5,523	\$1,626	\$9.0	5,523	\$2,233	\$12.3
TX	19	6,331	\$1,626	\$10.3	6,331	\$2,233	\$14.1
TX	20	7,030	\$1,626	\$11.4	7,030	\$2,233	\$15.7
TX	21	8,805	\$1,626	\$14.3	8,805	\$2,233	\$19.7


Cuts in Veterans Benefits in 2002 Due to Balanced Budget Amendment and Contract With America

Balanced Budget				Contract With America			
State/District	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	
TX	22	6,338	\$1,626	\$10.3	6,338	\$2,233	\$14.2
TX	23	5,307	\$1,626	\$8.6	5,307	\$2,233	\$11.9
TX	24	5,954	\$1,626	\$9.7	5,954	\$2,233	\$13.3
TX	25	5,957	\$1,626	\$9.7	5,957	\$2,233	\$13.3
TX	26	6,459	\$1,626	\$10.5	6,459	\$2,233	\$14.4
TX	27	5,887	\$1,626	\$9.6	5,887	\$2,233	\$13.1
TX	28	6,319	\$1,626	\$10.3	6,319	\$2,233	\$14.1
TX	29	4,141	\$1,626	\$6.7	4,141	\$2,233	\$9.2
TX	30	5,338	\$1,626	\$8.7	5,338	\$2,233	\$11.9
UT	1	6,133	\$1,626	\$10.0	6,133	\$2,233	\$13.7
UT	2	5,621	\$1,626	\$9.1	5,621	\$2,233	\$12.6
UT	3	4,856	\$1,626	\$7.9	4,856	\$2,233	\$10.8
VA	1	8,312	\$1,626	\$13.5	8,312	\$2,233	\$18.6
VA	2	8,040	\$1,626	\$13.1	8,040	\$2,233	\$18.0
VA	3	7,116	\$1,626	\$11.6	7,116	\$2,233	\$15.9
VA	4	7,883	\$1,626	\$12.8	7,883	\$2,233	\$17.6
VA	5	6,817	\$1,626	\$11.1	6,817	\$2,233	\$15.2
VA	6	7,827	\$1,626	\$12.7	7,827	\$2,233	\$17.5
VA	7	7,374	\$1,626	\$12.0	7,374	\$2,233	\$16.5
VA	8	8,018	\$1,626	\$13.0	8,018	\$2,233	\$17.9
VA	9	6,429	\$1,626	\$10.5	6,429	\$2,233	\$14.4
VA	10	7,617	\$1,626	\$12.4	7,617	\$2,233	\$17.0
VA	11	7,610	\$1,626	\$12.4	7,610	\$2,233	\$17.0
VT	0	7,342	\$1,626	\$11.9	7,342	\$2,233	\$16.4
WA	1	7,905	\$1,626	\$12.9	7,905	\$2,233	\$17.7
WA	2	8,414	\$1,626	\$13.7	8,414	\$2,233	\$18.8
WA	3	8,548	\$1,626	\$13.9	8,548	\$2,233	\$19.1
WA	4	7,023	\$1,626	\$11.4	7,023	\$2,233	\$15.7
WA	5	8,068	\$1,626	\$13.1	8,068	\$2,233	\$18.0
WA	6	10,231	\$1,626	\$16.6	10,231	\$2,233	\$22.8
WA	7	7,134	\$1,626	\$11.6	7,134	\$2,233	\$15.9
WA	8	7,915	\$1,626	\$12.9	7,915	\$2,233	\$17.7
WA	9	8,740	\$1,626	\$14.2	8,740	\$2,233	\$19.5
WI	1	6,953	\$1,626	\$11.3	6,953	\$2,233	\$15.5
WI	2	5,945	\$1,626	\$9.7	5,945	\$2,233	\$13.3
WI	3	6,352	\$1,626	\$10.3	6,352	\$2,233	\$14.2
WI	4	7,443	\$1,626	\$12.1	7,443	\$2,233	\$16.6
WI	5	5,621	\$1,626	\$9.1	5,621	\$2,233	\$12.6
WI	6	7,113	\$1,626	\$11.6	7,113	\$2,233	\$15.9

Cuts in Veterans Benefits in 2002 Due to Balanced Budget Amendment and
Contract With America


Balanced Budget				Contract With America			
State/District	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	Recipient Veterans Per District	Cuts Per Veteran	Total Cuts Per District (millions)	
WI	7	7,055	\$1,626	\$11.5	7,055	\$2,233	\$15.8
WI	8	7,111	\$1,626	\$11.6	7,111	\$2,233	\$15.9
WI	9	6,777	\$1,626	\$11.0	6,777	\$2,233	\$15.1
WV	1	8,320	\$1,626	\$13.5	8,320	\$2,233	\$18.6
WV	2	8,176	\$1,626	\$13.3	8,176	\$2,233	\$18.3
WV	3	7,398	\$1,626	\$12.0	7,398	\$2,233	\$16.5
WY	0	6,169	\$1,626	\$10.0	6,169	\$2,233	\$13.8

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**




PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**



PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)

**The Republican "Contract with America" requires
\$371.5 billion in savings in FY 2002. What will they cut?**



PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING CUTS, EXCLUDING DEFENSE
(CBO FY 2002 Projections)