

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Rick.rosendall to Sandra Thurman and Todd A. Summers at 11:52:00.00. Subject: Re: FYI. The Official Spin. (3 pages)	11/12/1998	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Tape Restoration Project [Email]
Default ([Defense of Marriage or DOMA])
OA/Box Number: 1100000

FOLDER TITLE:

[06/24/1998 - 11/12/1998]

2013-0028-F

ab1141

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.
PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).
RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

RECORD TYPE: FEDERAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Doug.Case (Doug.Case@sdsu.edu@INET@LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:24-JUN-1998 01:11:00.00

SUBJECT: California Initiative Process

TO: Richard Socarides (Richard Socarides@EOP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stuart D. Rosenstein (Stuart D. Rosenstein@eop [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

INFORMATION BELOW COURTESY OF THE CAPITOL RESOURCE INSTITUTE WEBSITE

(Note that initiatives must be certified by June 25, 1998 to appear on the November 1998 ballot; therefore, if the CA DOMA gets enough signatures by Sept. 21, 1998, it will appear on the Primary Election Ballot in 2000)

The California Constitution enables citizens to bypass the Legislature with issues and bring them directly to the voters through the initiative process. Defined as "the power of the electors to propose statutes and amendments to the Constitution and to adopt and reject them,"¹ initiatives may appear on the ballot every two years. There are two types of initiatives-statutory revisions and constitutional amendments. Provisions of successful initiatives become law the day after the election, unless a measure itself states otherwise. If there are conflicting measures on the ballot, the provisions of the initiative receiving the highest vote count prevail.

The initiative process begins with the submission of the initiative proposal to the Attorney General's Office. After preparing the official title and summary of the proposal, the Attorney General's Office forwards it to the Secretary of State's Office to be cleared for circulation. At this point in the process, proponents must obtain the required number of signatures for their initiative to appear on the ballot. For statutory

revisions, that number is equal to five percent of the voters in the last election for governor. For constitutional amendments, the number of signatures required is equal to eight percent of the votes cast in the last gubernatorial election. Currently, that translates into 433,269 signatures for statutory revisions and 693,230 for constitutional amendments.

Once the signatures are gathered, the initiative petition is submitted to county election officials for the signatures to be certified to the Secretary of State's office and put before the voters at the next statewide election at least 131 days after its qualification. For the June 2, 1998 ballot, the suggested initiative qualification deadline is January 22, 1998, and for the November 1998 ballot, the suggested deadline is June 25, 1998.

Initiative proponents should "count the cost" before filing their initiative. Signatures are collected, in large part, by paid gatherers. These gatherers are paid between 75 cents and \$1.50 per signature. The price fluctuates depending on the number of initiatives in circulation and rises as deadlines approach. In addition, those campaigning for a "yes" vote should be willing to outspend their opposition two-to-one. An organized constituency also helps. For example, an anti-abortion initiative would have the support of pro-life organizations, but a proposition dealing with divorce reform has no readily identifiable constituency. Finally, starting out, before any opposition gets organized, initiative proponents should have a two-thirds majority support in the polls.

With a two-thirds vote of both houses, the Legislature may also place initiatives on the ballot for citizens' approval or rejection. The Legislature is precluded from acting without citizen approval in the cases of amending the state Constitution, passing bond measures or amending

initiatives already passed by the voters-unless an initiative contained language stating that it could be amended by legislation.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-9 #29131)
id <01IYLFCEG99C00H65P@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Wed, 24 Jun 1998 00:14:10 EDT

Received: from Storm.EOP.GOV by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-9 #29131)
with ESMTTP id <01IYLFCECK4W000IA3A@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Wed,
24 Jun 1998 00:14:01 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from mail.sdsu.edu ([130.191.25.1])
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-10 #29131)
with ESMTTP id <01IYLFCEBUP46C0022ET@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Wed,
24 Jun 1998 00:13:35 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from [130.191.242.121] ([130.191.242.121])
by mail.sdsu.edu (8.8.7/8.8.7) with ESMTTP id VAA27172; Tue,
23 Jun 1998 21:11:51 -0700 (PDT)

X-Sender: dcase@mail.sdsu.edu

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: FEDERAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: rwockner (rwockner@netcom.com@INET@LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:16-OCT-1998 21:41:00.00

SUBJECT: NC8330: Calif. gov. candidates on gay marriage

TO: Richard Socarides (Richard Socarides@EOP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stuart D. Rosenstein (Stuart D. Rosenstein@eop [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

* Reprint rights for this copyrighted news article must be obtained *

* ---> by you <--- from the originating news organization. *

ASSOCIATED PRESS, October 16, 1998

Excerpt from Thursday's gubernatorial debate between Gray Davis and Dan Lungren.

Lungren: "With respect to the Defense of Marriage Act, the fact of the matter is we would have that act as law today if it hadn't been for Gray Davis' vote. It was made very, very clear that Pete Wilson would sign an absolutely clean Defense of Marriage Act which said simply this: Legal marriage in California is recognized only between one man and one woman."

Davis: "I do believe discrimination in any form is repulsive and repugnant, particularly in a democracy. But as I've said many times before, I do not believe this state is ready for gay marriages or same gender marriages, and if someone put a bill on my desk today to sign such a measure, I would not sign it." ===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-9 #29131)
id <01J31XM1F8WW002P9I@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Fri, 16 Oct 1998 21:43:55 EDT
Received: from Storm.EOP.GOV by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-9 #29131)
with ESMTP id <01J31XLZ069C002U05@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Fri,
16 Oct 1998 21:43:52 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from netcom13.netcom.com ([192.100.81.125])
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-12 #29131)
with ESMTP id <01J31XL8WZZW002PM6@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Fri,
16 Oct 1998 21:43:18 -0400 (EDT)
Received: (from rwockner@localhost)
by netcom13.netcom.com (8.8.5-r-beta/8.8.5/(NETCOM v1.02)) id SAA17591; Fri,
16 Oct 1998 18:41:22 -0700 (PDT)
===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Rick.rosendall to Sandra Thurman and Todd A. Summers at 11:52:00.00. Subject: Re: FYI. The Official Spin. (3 pages)	11/12/1998	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Tape Restoration Project [Email]
Default ([Defense of Marriage or DOMA])
OA/Box Number: 1100000

FOLDER TITLE:

[06/24/1998 - 11/12/1998]

2013-0028-F
ab1141

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]