

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Collection/Record Group: Clinton Presidential Records
Subgroup/Office of Origin: Council on Environmental Quality
Series/Staff Member: Kathleen (Katie) McGinty
Subseries:

OA/ID Number: 2899
FolderID:

Folder Title:
Fiscal Year 1994 Request to OMB [Office of Management and Budget] Health of the Atmosphere

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
S	61	6	2	2

Katie

**PHOTOCOPY
PRESERVATION**




FY 1994 Request to OMB



Health of the Atmosphere

CONTEXT:

THE CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENTS OF 1990



TITLE I. URBAN SMOG REDUCTION

ACTION: ► LOWER THE EMISSIONS FROM AUTO'S.

(BUT, WHAT'S HAPPENING, IN RURAL AREAS?)

TITLE IV. ACID RAIN ABATEMENT

ACTION: ► REDUCE SULFUR DIOXIDE EMISSIONS.

(BUT, AFTER STEP 1, WHAT?)

TITLE VI. STRATOSPHERIC OZONE PROTECTION

ACTION: ► GET RID OF THE CFCs.

BUT, WHAT TO USE IN THEIR PLACE & HOW ABOUT OTHER OZONE DEPLETERS?

FY 1994 Resources Requested

(dollars in millions)

Major Actions	FTE ¹	Amount
Rural Ozone	2	3.87
Earlier Detection of Cleaner Air	3	4.70
Stratospheric Ozone Layer Rehabilitation	1	1.93
Total Request for FY 1994	6	10.50

TODAY'S SUMMARY...

• WHAT'S THE PROBLEM?

THE KEY SCIENCE/POLICY ISSUES

• APPROPRIATENESS FOR NOAA?

- REQUIREMENTS PLACED ON US
- RELATION TO OTHERS
- OUR TRACK RECORD

• WHAT'S PROPOSED?

GOALS & TASKS

• TIMELINESS?

WHY 1994 IS THE YEAR

• WHAT IS THE PAYOFF?


WHAT BENEFITS TO WHOM

(DISCUSSION, QUESTIONS, & ANSWERS)

THREE SCIENTIFIC/POLICY ISSUES OF THE 1990s

● IMPLEMENTING THE CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENTS...


THE ARENA



● CAN WE SAFELY USE COMPOUNDS THAT ARE LARGELY REMOVED IN THE LOWER ATMOSPHERE?

→ CHEMISTRY IN THE TROPOSPHERE
 • CFC SUBSTITUTES? REMOVAL
 • METHYL BROMIDE?

● CAN WE SEE THE FIRST EFFECTS OF THE CLEAN-UP?



● CAN WE PROTECT NON-URBAN AREAS?

ARE THE STRATEGIES THE SAME FOR EACH? → URBAN AREAS RURAL AREAS

● THERE IS A COMMON THEME:
LOWER ATMOSPHERE,
REGIONAL,
NON-URBAN PROCESSES

THIS INITIATIVE IS AN INTEGRATED PLAN FOR TACKLING THIS NEW GROUP OF PROBLEMS.

WHY IS NOAA A "NATURAL"?



(THE ANSWERS LIE IN THREE "SPHERES")

● LEGISLATIVE/GOVERNMENTAL:




- CAAA MANDATES INFO FROM NOAA (E.G., OZONE & CFC SUBSTITUTES)
- NAPAP CONSORTIUM INCLUDES NOAA (E.G., MONITORING)
- NOAA: ONE OF TWO SCIENCE ADVISORS TO POLICY MAKERS (E.G., U.N. - OZONE LAYER
U.S. - CLIMATE CONVENTION)

● OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES:



- FORMULATED A COMMON MONITORING PLAN (EPA - EFFECTS ORIENTED)
(NOAA - EARLIER DETECTION)
- SIGNED MOU FOR SOUTHERN OXIDANTS STUDY (SOS)
(EPA - URBAN, NOAA - RURAL)
- PREPARING A JOINT 5-YR RESEARCH PLAN (EPA, NOAA, INDUSTRY)
- PREPARING MOU ON EPA - NOAA AIR QUALITY RESEARCH
(COMPLEMENTARY RESEARCH ROLES)


● SCIENCE/RESEARCH:



- NAT'L ACAD SCI.: CALL FOR A MORE-FUNDAMENTAL APPROACH TO AIR-QUALITY SCIENCE AND SEPARATION OF POLICY & SCIENCE. (E.G., NOAA)
- NOAA DISCOVERED/PIONEERED THE 3 ISSUES HERE.
(HENCE, POISED FOR AN EFFECTIVE START)
- NOAA COVERS ALL ASPECTS: FOCUS ON NATURAL & HUMAN INFLUENCES

THE RESEARCH PROPOSED

RURAL OZONE



REGIONAL FIELD CAMPAIGNS


(WHICH AREAS HAVE THE • MAN-MADE MIX? • NATURAL MIX?)

NATURAL HYDROCARBON EMISSIONS SURVEY

(CORRECT INPUT FOR OZONE MODELS)

MODEL SIMULATIONS OF OZONE RESPONSE (E.G., OF NITROGEN OXIDE REDUCTIONS)

EARLY DETECTION OF CLEANER AIR




ESTABLISH AN AIR CONCENTRATION MONITORING NETWORK.

(AIRBORNE ABUNDANCES ARE MORE SENSITIVE TO CHANGES THAN DEPOSITION)

ANALYZE FOR CLEAN-UP RESPONSES VIA RATIOS OF COMPOUNDS.

MODEL SIMULATIONS TO PROVIDE INSIGHT INTO PHASE TWO.

REHABILITATION OF THE OZONE LAYER



NEED: KNOW ENOUGH TO MANAGE THIS MAXIMUM OZONE DEPLETION PERIOD!

FIELD MEASUREMENTS

(TRENDS & DISTRIBUTIONS OF SUBSTITUTES AND METHYL BROMIDE)

STUDIES OF REMOVAL PROCESSES OF SUBSTITUTES AND METHYL BROMIDE.

(OCEANS & SOILS? CHEMISTRY?)

CALCULATION OF IMPROVED ODPs.

WHY IS THE MOMENT EPHEMERAL?



(SOME EXAMPLE ANSWERS...)


GET AHEAD OF THE POLICY CRUNCH IN THE SOUTHEAST.

- THE SE IS LIKELY POISED FOR A HI-OZONE PROBLEM: SUNBELT GROWTH.
- POTENTIAL HI-IMPACT AREA: THE NATION'S PAPER/PULP IS GROWN THERE.

IF NITROGEN OXIDE CONTROLS ARE REVISITED, THE SCIENCE MUST BE THERE.

- HARD! EXPENSIVE! POLITICAL! ... RURAL OZONE MAY BE THE NEW INPUT THAT WILL BE THE DEBATE. GET READY.
[RURAL OZONE]

MONITORING MUST BEGIN BEFORE CAAA PHASE 1.



INSTRUMENT/METHODOLOGY DEVELOPMENT IS "STEP ZERO".

DEVELOPMENT/EVALUATION MUST PRECEDE OBSERVATION IN SOME CASES.
[EARLIER DETECTION]

THE LARGEST OZONE LAYER LOSSES ARE LESS THAN 10 YEARS AWAY.

MANAGING THE CFC SUBSTITUTES AND METHYL BROMIDE IS THE KEY TO MINIMIZING THE LARGEST LOSSES.

TO MAKE PLANT INVESTMENTS, INDUSTRY NEEDS A CLEAR, EARLY STATEMENT ON WHAT SUBSTITUTES ARE O.K.


THE ANSWERS TO OZONE-FRIENDLINESS LIE IN UNDERSTANDING THE LOWER-ATMOSPHERIC ROLE. START SOON!

[OZONE LAYER REHABILITATION]

PAYOFFS \$

(TO GOVERNMENTS, INDUSTRY, PUBLIC, ...)

RURAL OZONE



- A POTENTIAL SUBSTANTIAL COST SAVING.

(OTA: A 25% REDUCTION IN OZONE LEVELS WOULD BOOST AG. OUTPUT \$0.5-1B PER YEAR)


- BETTER REGIONAL APPLICATION OF CAAA.

(GEORGIA $\neq$ NEW JERSEY)

- DON'T REGULATE AGAINST MS. NATURE

(REQUIRING FURTHER REDUCTIONS IN MAN-MADE HYDROCARBONS MAY NOT HELP IN SOME RURAL/WOODED REGIONS.)

EARLY DETECTION OF CLEANER AIR




- BETTER SCIENCE BASE FOR PHASE 2.
(WAS THE CLEAN-UP WHAT WAS EXPECTED? IF NOT, WHY NOT? AVOID OVER-REGULATION!)

- INCREASE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE.

(THEY PAID. DID THEY GET THEIR MONEY'S WORTH?)

OZONE LAYER REHABILITATION



SUBSTITUTE:

- ☐ A MULTI-
- ☐ B MILLION
- ☐ C DECISION

☒ U.S.

ANNOUNCEMENT

☐ METHYL BROMIDE ?

- A WRONG PATH ON A SUBSTITUTE: \$
(HI COSTS TO INDUSTRY & OZONE LAYER)
- THE CAAA MAY RESTRICT SOME
(OVER-REGULATION?)


- WILL OUR PRODUCTS HAVE THE BEST SUBSTITUTES?
(E.G., REFRIGERATORS - DOMESTIC & EXPORT)

FY 1994 Resources Requested

(dollars in millions)

Major Actions	FTE ¹	Amount
Rural Ozone	2	3.87
Earlier Detection of Cleaner Air	3	4.70
Stratospheric Ozone Layer Rehabilitation	1	1.93
Total Request for FY 1994	6	10.50

EPILOGUE: THE DECISIONS OF THE 1990's



A SCIENTIFIC UNDERSTANDING
OF THE ISSUES IS ONE OF THE
KEY BASIC INPUTS TO DECISIONS.

THE
BOTTOM
LINE:



THE COST OF
THIS RESEARCH IS $\sim \frac{1}{500}$ OF

- OZONE-RELATED CROP LOSSES
- CAAA PHASE 2
- A WRONG SUBSTITUTE CHOICE

BY ANY STANDARDS OF COST OF ADVICE [E.G.,
LEGAL
MEDICAL], THIS IS A BARGAIN!




FY 1994 Request to OMB



Health of the Atmosphere

CONTEXT:

THE CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENTS OF 1990



• TITLE I. URBAN SMOG REDUCTION

ACTION: ► LOWER THE EMISSION FROM AUTO'S.

(BUT, WHAT'S HAPPENING, IN RURAL AREAS?)

• TITLE IV. ACID RAIN ABATEMENT

ACTION: ► REDUCE SULFUR DIOXIDE EMISSIONS.

(BUT, AFTER STEP 1, WHAT?)

• TITLE VI. STRATOSPHERIC OZONE PROTECTION

ACTION: ► GET RID OF THE CFCs.

BUT, WHAT TO USE IN THEIR PLACE & HOW ABOUT OTHER OZONE DEPLETERS?

FY 1994 Resources Requested

(dollars in millions)

Major Actions	FTE ¹	Amount
Rural Ozone	2	3.87
Earlier Detection of Cleaner Air	3	4.70
Stratospheric Ozone Layer Rehabilitation	1	1.93
Total Request for FY 1994	6	10.50

TODAY'S SUMMARY...

- WHAT'S THE PROBLEM?

THE KEY SCIENCE/POLICY ISSUES

- APPROPRIATENESS FOR NOAA?

- REQUIREMENTS PLACED ON US
- RELATION TO OTHERS
- OUR TRACK RECORD

- WHAT'S PROPOSED?

GOALS & TASKS

- TIMELINESS?

WHY 1994 IS THE YEAR

- WHAT IS THE PAYOFF?


WHAT BENEFITS TO WHOM

(DISCUSSION, QUESTIONS, & ANSWERS)

THREE SCIENTIFIC/POLICY ISSUES OF THE 1990s

● IMPLEMENTING THE CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENTS...


THE ARENA



● CAN WE SAFELY USE COMPOUNDS THAT ARE LARGELY REMOVED IN THE LOWER ATMOSPHERE?

→ CHEMISTRY IN THE TROPOSPHERE
 • CFC SUBSTITUTES? REMOVAL
 • METHYL BROMIDE?

● CAN WE SEE THE FIRST EFFECTS OF THE CLEAN-UP?



● CAN WE PROTECT NON-URBAN AREAS?

ARE THE STRATEGIES THE SAME FOR EACH? → URBAN AREAS RURAL AREAS

● THERE IS A COMMON THEME:

LOWER ATMOSPHERE,
REGIONAL,
NON-URBAN PROCESSES

THIS INITIATIVE IS AN INTEGRATED PLAN FOR TACKLING THIS NEW GROUP OF PROBLEMS.

WHY IS NOAA A "NATURAL"?



(THE ANSWERS LIE IN THREE "SPHERES")

● LEGISLATIVE/GOVERNMENTAL:




- CAAA MANDATES INFO FROM NOAA (E.G., OZONE & CFC SUBSTITUTES)
- NAPAP CONSORTIUM INCLUDES NOAA (E.G., MONITORING)
- NOAA: ONE OF TWO SCIENCE ADVISORS TO POLICY MAKERS (E.G., U.N. - OZONE LAYER, U.S. - CLIMATE CONVENTION)

● OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES:



- FORMULATED A COMMON MONITORING PLAN (EPA - EFFECTS ORIENTED, NOAA - EARLIER DETECTION)
- SIGNED MOU FOR SOUTHERN OXIDANTS STUDY (SOS) (EPA - URBAN, NOAA - RURAL)
- PREPARING A JOINT 5-YR RESEARCH PLAN (EPA, NOAA, INDUSTRY)
- PREPARING MOU ON EPA - NOAA AIR QUALITY RESEARCH (COMPLEMENTARY RESEARCH ROLES)


● SCIENCE/RESEARCH:



- NAT'L ACAD. SCI.: CALL FOR A MORE-FUNDAMENTAL APPROACH TO AIR-QUALITY SCIENCE AND SEPARATION OF POLICY & SCIENCE. (E.G., NOAA)
- NOAA DISCOVERED/PIONEERED THE 3 ISSUES HERE. (HENCE, POISED FOR AN EFFECTIVE START)
- NOAA COVERS ALL ASPECTS: FOCUS ON NATURAL & HUMAN INFLUENCES

THE RESEARCH PROPOSED

RURAL OZONE



REGIONAL FIELD CAMPAIGNS


(WHICH AREAS HAVE THE • MAN-MADE MIX?
• NATURAL MIX?)

NATURAL HYDROCARBON EMISSIONS SURVEY

(CORRECT INPUT FOR OZONE MODELS)

MODEL SIMULATIONS OF OZONE RESPONSE (E.G., OF NITROGEN OXIDE REDUCTIONS)

EARLY DETECTION OF CLEANER AIR




ESTABLISH AN AIR CONCENTRATION MONITORING NETWORK.

(AIRBORNE ABUNDANCES ARE MORE SENSITIVE TO CHANGES THAN DEPOSITION)

ANALYZE FOR CLEAN-UP RESPONSES VIA RATIOS OF COMPOUNDS.

MODEL SIMULATIONS TO PROVIDE INSIGHT INTO PHASE TWO.

REHABILITATION OF THE OZONE LAYER



NEED: KNOW ENOUGH TO MANAGE THIS MAXIMUM OZONE DEPLETION PERIOD!

FIELD MEASUREMENTS

(TRENDS & DISTRIBUTIONS OF SUBSTITUTES AND METHYL BROMIDE)

STUDIES OF REMOVAL PROCESSES OF SUBSTITUTES AND METHYL BROMIDE.

(OCEANS & SOILS? CHEMISTRY?)

CALCULATION OF IMPROVED ODPs.

WHY IS THE MOMENT EPHEMERAL?



(SOME EXAMPLE ANSWERS...)


GET AHEAD OF THE POLICY CRUNCH IN THE SOUTHEAST.

- THE SE IS LIKELY POISED FOR A HI-OZONE PROBLEM: SUNBELT GROWTH.
- POTENTIAL HI-IMPACT AREA: THE NATION'S PAPER/PULP IS GROWN THERE.

IF NITROGEN OXIDE CONTROLS ARE REVISITED, THE SCIENCE MUST BE THERE.

- HARD! EXPENSIVE! POLITICAL! ... RURAL OZONE MAY BE THE NEW INPUT THAT WILL BE THE DEBATE. GET READY.
[RURAL OZONE]

MONITORING MUST BEGIN BEFORE CAAA PHASE 1.



INSTRUMENT/METHODOLOGY DEVELOPMENT IS "STEP ZERO".

DEVELOPMENT/EVALUATION MUST PRECEDE OBSERVATION IN SOME CASES.
[EARLIER DETECTION]

THE LARGEST OZONE LAYER LOSSES ARE LESS THAN 10 YEARS AWAY.

MANAGING THE CFC SUBSTITUTES AND METHYL BROMIDE IS THE KEY TO MINIMIZING THE LARGEST LOSSES.

TO MAKE PLANT INVESTMENTS, INDUSTRY NEEDS A CLEAR, EARLY STATEMENT ON WHAT SUBSTITUTES ARE O.K.


THE ANSWERS TO OZONE-FRIENDLINESS LIE IN UNDERSTANDING THE LOWER-ATMOSPHERIC ROLE. START SOON!

[OZONE LAYER REHABILITATION]

PAYOFFS \$

(TO GOVERNMENTS, INDUSTRY, PUBLIC, ...)

RURAL OZONE



- A POTENTIAL SUBSTANTIAL COST SAVING.


(OTA: A 25% REDUCTION IN OZONE LEVELS WOULD BOOST AG. OUTPUT \$0.5-1B PER YEAR)

- BETTER REGIONAL APPLICATION OF CAAA.
(GEORGIA $\neq$ NEW JERSEY)

- DON'T REGULATE AGAINST MS. NATURE

(REQUIRING FURTHER REDUCTIONS IN MAN-MADE HYDROCARBONS MAY NOT HELP IN SOME RURAL/WOODED REGIONS.)

EARLY DETECTION OF CLEANER AIR




- BETTER SCIENCE BASE FOR PHASE 2.
(WAS THE CLEAN-UP WHAT WAS EXPECTED? IF NOT, WHY NOT? AVOID OVER-REGULATION!)

- INCREASE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE.

(THEY PAID. DID THEY GET THEIR MONEY'S WORTH?)

OZONE LAYER REHABILITATION



SUBSTITUTE:

- ☐ A MULTI-
- ☐ B MILLION
- ☐ C DECISION

☒ U.S.

ANNOUNCEMENT

☐ METHYL BROMIDE ?

- A WRONG PATH ON A SUBSTITUTE: \$
(HI COSTS TO INDUSTRY & OZONE LAYER)
- THE CAAA MAY RESTRICT SOME
(OVER-REGULATION?)


- WILL OUR PRODUCTS HAVE THE BEST SUBSTITUTES?
(E.G., REFRIGERATORS - DOMESTIC & EXPORT)

FY 1994 Resources Requested

(dollars in millions)

Major Actions	FTE ¹	Amount
Rural Ozone	2	3.87
Earlier Detection of Cleaner Air	3	4.70
Stratospheric Ozone Layer Rehabilitation	1	1.93
Total Request for FY 1994	6	10.50

EPILOGUE: THE DECISIONS OF THE 1990's



A SCIENTIFIC UNDERSTANDING
OF THE ISSUES IS ONE OF THE
KEY BASIC INPUTS TO DECISIONS.

THE
BOTTOM
LINE:



THE COST OF
THIS RESEARCH IS $\sim \frac{1}{500}$ OF

- OZONE-RELATED CROP LOSSES
- CAAA PHASE 2
- A WRONG SUBSTITUTE CHOICE

BY ANY STANDARDS OF COST OF ADVICE [E.G., LEGAL MEDICAL], THIS IS A BARGAIN!