

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the William J. Clinton
Presidential Library Staff.**

Collection/Record Group: Clinton Library Collection
Subgroup/Office of Origin: Council on Environmental Quality
Series/Staff Member: Kathleen (Katie) McGinty
Subseries: [Correspondence]

OA/ID Number: 2254
FolderID:

Folder Title:
[C - January 1994] [Loose]

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
S	61	5	2	2

1/31/94

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Julia —
Congratulations &
best wishes. I know you'll
make a terrific
contribution

Sincerely
Bill Clinton

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Julia Chamovitz
1800 Key Blvd. #495
Arlington
Va. 22201

Julia Eden Chamovitz

1800 Key Boulevard, #495

Arlington, VA 22201

#703-522-5539

F-41

January 27, 1994


Dear Ms. McGinty,

Thank you interviewing me for your assistant position. Although this did not work out, I want to thank you for making the process a positive and educational experience. I enjoyed getting to know you, your staff, and how your office operates.

I also want to let you know that I have accepted a position with the National Economic Council, working with the inner-city issues cluster group. Once I settle in, I hope to stop by your office and say Hello.

Again, thank you for your support in the interview process.

With much appreciation,


Julia Chamovitz

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 25, 1994

Ms. Paula Jackson
Administrator
The San Francisco Foundation
685 Market Street
Suite 910
San Francisco, CA 94105

Dear Ms. Jackson:


I would like to take this opportunity to recommend Jennifer Colamónico for the Program Associate position in your office. Jennifer worked in my office during the fall of 1993 and proved to be a valuable asset to our operation. She worked on a variety of projects and accomplished each task with a high level of creativity and professionalism.

Jennifer had the opportunity to contribute to the office in a variety of ways, including tracking and drafting correspondence and planning special events. With regard to her correspondence responsibilities, Jennifer showed a great deal of professionalism in responding to the concerns of constituents, Members of Congress, and other VIPs. Both her computer experience and her writing skills contributed to our efforts in maintaining contact with the public. In addition, she exhibited great proficiency in performing other duties required in the office.

With regard to her responsibilities in planning special events, Jennifer demonstrated an ability to organize and work well under pressure. Specifically, she organized a demonstration of energy efficient technologies for the President's announcement of the Climate Change Action Plan in October. She was proactive in taking on the required tasks, including designing a cover for the plan itself, and devoting the extra time necessary to produce a very successful event.

In a short period of time, Jennifer demonstrated her ability to integrate herself into our busy office. She is a very personable and dedicated worker with a strong office demeanor, a positive combination for any endeavor. I highly recommend Jennifer to you.

Sincerely,



Kathleen A. McGinty
Director, White House Office on
Environmental Policy

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 25, 1994

Administrator
Department 02
Natural Resources Defense Council
71 Stevenson Street
Suite 1825
San Francisco, CA 94105

To Whom It May Concern:


I would like to take this opportunity to recommend Jennifer Colamonico for the Program Assistant position in your office. Jennifer worked in my office during the fall of 1993 and proved to be a valuable asset to our operation. She worked on a variety of projects and accomplished each task with a high level of creativity and professionalism.

Jennifer had the opportunity to contribute to the office in a variety of ways, including tracking and drafting correspondence and planning special events. With regard to her correspondence responsibilities, Jennifer showed a great deal of professionalism in responding to the concerns of constituents, Members of Congress, and other VIPs. Both her computer experience and her writing skills contributed to our efforts in maintaining contact with the public. In addition, she exhibited great proficiency in performing other duties required in the office.

With regard to her responsibilities in planning special events, Jennifer demonstrated an ability to organize and work well under pressure. Specifically, she organized a demonstration of energy efficient technologies for the President's announcement of the Climate Change Action Plan in October. She was proactive in taking on the required tasks, including designing a cover for the plan itself, and devoting the extra time necessary to produce a very successful event.

In a short period of time, Jennifer demonstrated her ability to integrate herself into our busy office. She is a very personable and dedicated worker with a strong office demeanor, a positive combination for any endeavor. I highly recommend Jennifer to you.

Sincerely,



Kathleen A. McGinty
Director, White House Office on
Environmental Policy

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 25, 1994

Director of Human Resources
The Nature Conservancy
785 Market Street
4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

To Whom It May Concern:


I would like to take this opportunity to recommend Jennifer Colamonico for the Development Assistant position in your office. Jennifer worked in my office during the fall of 1993 and proved to be a valuable asset to our operation. She worked on a variety of projects and accomplished each task with a high level of creativity and professionalism.

Jennifer had the opportunity to contribute to the office in a variety of ways, including tracking and drafting correspondence and planning special events. With regard to her correspondence responsibilities, Jennifer showed a great deal of professionalism in responding to the concerns of constituents, Members of Congress, and other VIPs. Both her computer experience and her writing skills contributed to our efforts in maintaining contact with the public. In addition, she exhibited great proficiency in performing other duties required in the office.

With regard to her responsibilities in planning special events, Jennifer demonstrated an ability to organize and work well under pressure. Specifically, she organized a demonstration of energy efficient technologies for the President's announcement of the Climate Change Action Plan in October. She was proactive in taking on the required tasks, including designing a cover for the plan itself, and devoting the extra time necessary to produce a very successful event.

In a short period of time, Jennifer demonstrated her ability to integrate herself into our busy office. She is a very personable and dedicated worker with a strong office demeanor, a positive combination for any endeavor. I highly recommend Jennifer to you.

Sincerely,



Kathleen A. McGinty
Director, White House Office on
Environmental Policy

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 20, 1994

Mr. Brian R. Coniglio
3 Lenox Avenue
Lancaster, NY 14086

Dear Mr. Coniglio:


Thank you for contacting me regarding your thoughts about President Clinton's Forest Management Plan. It was good to hear from you.

As you know, President Clinton announced his Forest Plan for a Sustainable Economy and a Sustainable Environment, based on the analytical work of three working groups established at the Forest Conference. This Administration believes that the Forest Plan is scientifically-sound and legally responsible and will provide a sustainable harvest. This plan also includes a new economic assistance program that helps local workers, businesses and communities in strengthening the region's economy by creating family-wage jobs, offering new economic opportunities, and ensuring the region's long-term economic health.

After receiving over 100,000 comments on the proposed plan, we are now working to refine it further and expect to submit it to the court by the end of March. I appreciate learning your views on this very important issue.

Again, thank you for sharing your thoughts with me.

Sincerely,



Kathleen A. McGinty
Director, White House Office on
Environmental Policy

KAM/avl

3 Lenox Ave.
Lancaster, NY 14086-2518
November 30, 1993

Kathleen McGinly
Office of Environmental Policy
Old Executive Office Bldg., Rm 358
Washington, DC 20501

Dear Ms. McGinly,


The endangered Siskiyou Forest in southwestern Oregon desperately needs your help. This is the largest, most intact Ancient Forest ecosystem on the West Coast, with almost 300,000 acres of unprotected roadless wildlands. It is a global treasure, a genetic Noah's Ark containing the most diverse coniferous forest in the world. Scientists have recognized this region as one of the biologically most valuable areas in all of North America. Please do not allow these irreplaceable wild areas - so important as habitat for owls, salmon and scores of rare plants - to be sacrificed for a few more years of jobs and profits for the timber industry.

Please permanently withdraw all Siskiyou National Forest Roadless Areas from logging and development. And, please create an Ancient Forest Preserve System, enforce our environmental laws, and provide transitional assistance and forest restoration projects for displaced timber workers.

It is time to send a message to all segments of society that the few natural areas remaining need to be saved from being made into urbanized trash heaps. There are already plenty of condos, shopping malls and infrastructure. The idea that nature exists for Man to abuse and destroy needs to be buried.

I will be monitoring the situation in the Siskiyou, as well as other environmental issues, to see what the administration's response is. I vote for candidates who show genuine concern for environmental causes.

Sincerely,



Brian R. Coniglio
3 Lenox Ave.
Lancaster, NY 14086

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 4, 1994

Mr. Bruce Carpenter
Executive Director
New York Rivers United
199 Liberty Plaza
Marine Midland Bank Bldg.
Rome, N.Y. 13440

Dear Mr. Carpenter:


Thank you for contacting me regarding your thoughts about federal wetlands policy. It was good to hear from you, and I sincerely apologize for the delay in responding.

President Clinton and Vice President Gore are committed to the preservation of our natural resources in a way that leads to strong and sustainable economic growth. As you know, an Interagency Working Group on Federal Wetlands Policy was convened on June 4 to review and seek to clarify the issues surrounding federal wetlands policy in anticipation of the reauthorization of the Clean Water Act. It included representatives of all federal agencies involved in, or impacted by, the protection of wetlands. In addition, the working group solicited a broad range of views, including those of the Congress; state and local government; environmentalists; developers and homebuilders; agricultural interests; and others. The wetlands policy announced last August is the culmination of the hard work of this working group.

With regard to your specific concerns about mitigation, section 404 of the regulatory program relies upon a sequential approach to mitigating the harmful effects of such activities by first avoiding unnecessary impacts, then minimizing environmental harm, and, finally, compensating for remaining unavoidable damage to wetlands and other waters through, for example, the restoration or creation of wetlands. We believe that this sequential approach to mitigation provides an effective and environmentally-sound framework for addressing this important issue.

Again, thank you for sharing your thoughts with me.

Sincerely,



Kathleen A. McGinty
Director, White House Office on
Environmental Policy

KAM/avl

NEW YORK RIVERS UNITED

199 Liberty Plaza, Marine Midland Bank Bldg.
Rome, New York 13440 • (315) 339 2097
November 23, 1993



The White House
Office of Environmental Policy
1600 Pennsylvania Av., NW
Washington, DC 20500

To those individuals currently working on formulating wetlands policy for President Clinton:

New York Rivers United has recently learned of the Clinton Administration's wetlands proposals in support of Senate bill S. 1304. These proposals would decrease already lacking federal protection under the reauthorization of the federal Clean Water Act. The administration seems to seek to streamline Clean Water Act regulations by further relying upon questionable mitigation efforts to replace naturally occurring wetlands in order to allow for development.

This type of mitigation threatens not only wetlands in the traditional sense but riparian lands in addition. Little is left across the country of these riverine ecosystems that were the homes and habitats for countless animals, birds and other biota. The flooding that has occurred this past year is a grim reminder that naturally occurring floodplains serve not only as homes to a wide natural biodiversity, but could serve to protect valuable properties and millions of taxpayer dollars.

Also this ill conceived legislation would give federal permitting control to local governments (politicizing and fiscalizing wetland protection.) The National Academy of Sciences has stated that mitigation and creation have not proven ecologically effective. The loss of naturally occurring wetlands, riparian forests and floodplains must be halted.

We must urge that wetlands protection policies be strengthened, due to the devastating losses already incurred, not weakened, as this proposal would do. Mitigation and creation "banks" make the situation seem like a "win/win" model, however the wetlands, and us in turn, are the losers. We lose the benefits they provide us such as: ground water recharge, coastal barrier protection, flood control, water quality control, etc., in trade for manmade wetlands of little or no ecological value to ourselves and wildlife.

We urge President Clinton to reject Senate bill S. 1304 as currently drafted. We

instead ask him to support Barbara Boxer(CA)/ Don Edwards(CA) "Wetlands Reform Act of 1993", (Senate bill S 1195 and House bill HR 350), or a bill which conforms to this legislation.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter.

Your Sincerely,



Bruce R. Carpenter, Executive Director
New York Rivers United

cc Bruce Babbitt, Sec. of the Interior
Congressman Mineta, Chairman Public Works and Transportation
Congressman Boelhart, Ranking Minority, Water Resources and Environment
Kevin Coyle, American Rivers
John Encheverria, National Audubon
Bob Adler, Clean Water Network
Dan Palm, NYRU Board

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

January 3, 1994

His Excellency John de Chastelain
Ambassador
Embassy of Canada
501 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Dear Ambassador de Chastelain:


Thank you for your recent note and the various gifts you enclosed. It was good to hear from you.

I certainly appreciate the maple syrup and other gifts you included in the package.

I have enjoyed working with you over the past year, and I wish you the best as you return to Ottawa as Chief of the Defense Staff.

Again, thank you for thinking of me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Kathleen McGinty', written over the printed name.

Kathleen A. McGinty
Director, Office on
Environmental Policy

KAM/avl

John de Ghastelain
Ambassador - Ambassadeur

15 December 1993

Dear *Katie,*


A brief note to wish you an enjoyable Christmas and the best of fortune in 1994.

The Holiday Season marks the end of my tour as Ambassador in Washington -- I return to Ottawa to resume my post as Chief of the Defence Staff effective January 1st.

I have very much enjoyed the past eleven months in Washington and the chance to work with the new Administration. In particular, I am grateful to you for being so helpful to me and to Canada during that period.

Please accept my best wishes.

*maple syrup
cutting board
pancake mix*

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'John', with a large, stylized loop and a diagonal stroke crossing through it.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

January 27, 1994

Ms. Gina Cooley
Director of Admissions and Development
Community School
P.O. Box 2118
Ketchum, ID 83340


Dear Ms. Cooley:

Thank you for contacting my office regarding your thoughts about the U.S. Air Force's proposed bombing range in Owyhee County, Idaho. It was good to hear from you.

As you know, the protection of our nation's valuable natural resources continues to be one of the Administration's highest priorities. I appreciate learning your views about the potential environmental impacts of the proposed bombing range. I have taken the liberty of forwarding a copy of your letter to the Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Environmental Security for review of the specific issues that you raise. I am sure that your concerns will be given the appropriate consideration.

Again, thank you for sharing your views with me.

Sincerely,



Kathleen A. McGinty
Director, White House Office on
Environmental Policy

KAM/avl

COMMUNITY SCHOOL

181 Dollar Road, Sun Valley, Idaho

Post Office Box 2118, Ketchum, Idaho 83340 • 208-622-3955 • Fax 208-622-3962

January 5, 1994

President Bill Clinton
c/o Kathleen McGinty
Deputy Assistant to the President
Director of Office on Environmental Policy
Old Executive Office Building - Room 358
Washington, DC 20501

Dear President Clinton:

I am writing to express great concern over the Air Force's proposed bombing range in Owyhee County, Idaho. As a citizen of the State of Idaho I would like to share with you the following reasons why this bombing range should not be developed.

1. The grasslands themselves would be lost to fires. This is an ecological community that would normally burn every 200-400 years. Hot flares and tracers on the existing Saylor Creek range have increased the frequency of fire there to every two to four years.
2. The unique wildlife of the watershed would be lost. The range is proposed for the area immediately surrounding the "nursery herd" for international efforts to save the California Bighorn Sheep. Experience on other training ranges shows that we have strong reason to expect the sheep herd to be impacted.
3. The silence of the sacred is threatened. The largest archeological district in Idaho, full of uncharted artifacts and Native American religious sites, lies within the range proposal.
4. The river wilderness will be shattered. Boaters go there for the unparalleled wilderness experience, not the whitewater. Military jets already use the area and existing overflights are only marginally compatible with a river wilderness experience. Doubling, tripling or quadrupling the number and frequency of overflights would not be compatible with quality recreational use of the area.
5. The bombing range proposal will not work to save Mountain Home. The Department of Defense is already considering mothballing the Utah Test and Training Range near Hill Air Force Base to cut costs. Congress established the Base Closure Commission to get state politics out of the process of downsizing the military and Idaho will not be immune from that effort.

6. The consequences of the range are understated. Only 25,000 acres of land would be in the range "target areas" but much more public land will be affected. A network of threat emitters for the electronic battlefield will extend far beyond the proposed target areas. The military will control an area of nearly three million acres.

7. River access is threatened. The Air Force cannot assure that they will always let people into the canyons, especially on the proposed southern range which provides access to the East Fork and South Fork of the Owyhee. Access to the river corridor would be threatened because of the possibility of dummy bombs and classified military operations.

I urge you to not allow the Air Force to forever impact this pristine area in a negative way. Does the Air Force truly need this expansion or is a matter of want? Two former Air Force pilots visited our school today to stress the importance of citizen input regarding this expansion. They are strongly opposed to the use of this land as an Air Force Tactical Area. I must agree with their assessment.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Gina Cooley". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name and title.

Gina Cooley
Director of Admissions & Development

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

January 27, 1994

Mr. Steve Cook
P.O. Box 680
Ketchum, ID 83340


Dear Mr. Cook:

Thank you for contacting my office regarding your thoughts about the U.S. Air Force's proposed bombing range in Owyhee County, Idaho. It was good to hear from you.

As you know, the protection of our nation's valuable natural resources continues to be one of the Administration's highest priorities. I appreciate learning your views about the potential environmental impacts of the proposed bombing range. I have taken the liberty of forwarding a copy of your letter to the Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Environmental Security for review of the specific issues that you raise. I am sure that your concerns will be given the appropriate consideration.

Again, thank you for sharing your views with me.

Sincerely,



Kathleen A. McGinty
Director, White House Office on
Environmental Policy

KAM/avl

STEVE R. COOK
P.O. BOX 680
KETCHUM, IDAHO 83340

January 7, 1994

President Bill Clinton
c/o Kathleen McGinty
Director of the Office of
Environmental Policy
Old Executive Building (Rm. 358)
Washington, D.C. 20501

Dear Mr. President:

This letter is being written to strongly oppose the Air Force bombing range being proposed adjacent to the Mountain Home Air Force in Owyhee County, Idaho.

The area they propose to convert to a bombing range encompasses a 3 million acre area, larger than the state of Connecticut! This area contains one of the wildest and most challenging white water systems in the nation, the largest herd of bighorn sheep in the continental United States, and one of the largest fawning areas for prong-horn antelope.

Perhaps more importantly this range would irretrievably destroy the lives, the livelihood, as well as the archaeological and sacred history of the 3000 Shoshone-Paiute Indians who live on the Duck Valley Reservation.

Proponents for this bombing range argue that without it Mountain Home Air Force Base would be in danger of being closed. This is simply not true as the Air Force has already disclosed that the base would not be closed in the near future with or without the bombing range.

I urge you to investigate this horrendous taking of land for an unnecessary range at once and stop the tragedy.

Sincerely,

Steve Cook

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

January 27, 1994

Ms. Esa Chandler
P.O. Box 1270
Ketchum, ID 83340


Dear Ms. Chandler:

Thank you for contacting my office regarding your thoughts about the U.S. Air Force's proposed bombing range in Owyhee County, Idaho. It was good to hear from you.

As you know, the protection of our nation's valuable natural resources continues to be one of the Administration's highest priorities. I appreciate learning your views about the potential environmental impacts of the proposed bombing range. I have taken the liberty of forwarding a copy of your letter to the Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Environmental Security for review of the specific issues that you raise. I am sure that your concerns will be given the appropriate consideration.

Again, thank you for sharing your views with me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Kathleen A. McGinty', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Kathleen A. McGinty
Director, White House Office on
Environmental Policy

KAM/avl

11/16/94

President Bill Clinton
c/o Kathleen McGinty
Deputy assistant to the president
Director of office on Environmental Policy
Old Executive Office Bldg. Room 358
Washington DC 20501

There is something very sick and wrong with the proposed Idaho Training or Bombing Range. To begin with, the NEED has never been established. It is sickening to think that more than three million acres of our public land stand to be destroyed at a whim by the air force. This area contains the largest population of Carey Bighorn Sheep in the country. Within the impact area lies the jaunting grounds for the pronghorn antelope, the largest in the state. There are more than fifteen wilderness study areas, plus proposed wild & scenic rivers. Another major concern is the effect on the Shoshone-Paiute

Indians of the Snake Valley Reservations, half of the reservation lies within the electronic combat zone + the new range would destroy their sacred sites and their lives would be destroyed by the constant eruption of sonic booms. Will we ever stop the war on the native American people?

Why? The Airforce says that 80% of the training for the composite wing can be taken care of at the existing Saylor Creek Bombing Range. The rest of the missions should be taking place on the existing ranges in Utah + Nevada. This way the tax payer would be saved millions and millions of dollars + our public lands would be saved.

Furthermore, the 25,000 acre land swap with the state of Idaho would violate the Engle Act of 1957. The list goes on...

Idaho is to great to bomb
and destroy. We dont want your
bombing range.

Thank you
Lisa Chandler
Box 1270
Ketchum ID 83340

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

January 27, 1994

Ms. Karen Cardozo
P.O. Box 2403
Ketchum, ID 83340


Dear Ms. Cardozo:

Thank you for contacting my office regarding your thoughts about the U.S. Air Force's proposed bombing range in Owyhee County, Idaho. It was good to hear from you.

As you know, the protection of our nation's valuable natural resources continues to be one of the Administration's highest priorities. I appreciate learning your views about the potential environmental impacts of the proposed bombing range. I have taken the liberty of forwarding a copy of your letter to the Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Environmental Security for review of the specific issues that you raise. I am sure that your concerns will be given the appropriate consideration.

Again, thank you for sharing your views with me.

Sincerely,



Kathleen A. McGinty
Director, White House Office on
Environmental Policy

KAM/avl

January 11, 1994

President Bill Clinton
c/o Kathleen McGinty
Deputy Assistant to the President
Director of Office on Environmental Policy
Old Executive Office Building - Room 358
Washington, DC 20501

Dear Kathleen,

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed Air Force Bombing Range in Owyhee County in the state of Idaho.

I have lived in Idaho for fourteen years. Every spring I head south to spend time in the desert. Last May, I was on the Bruneau River on a Monday when the Air Force was out practicing. I cannot fully explain the feeling I experienced when the jets flew over. The sound hit the canyon walls and then me. It was amazing - my bones ached and I became incredibly tense as I wondered when the next one would come. It is definitely not an experience conducive to breeding wildlife or any person seeking a wilderness trip to the desert!!!

The DEIS does not show that there is a national need for this range to begin with. The amount of land affected by this proposal is way too large in a state that still has some land left untouched. I want my son to be able to see these places - they are right up there with the beauty of the Grand Canyon!!! Why can't the Air Force use the bombing ranges already established in Utah and Nevada? To point out just a few issues that the DEIS fails to consider:

- 1.) The people of the Duck Valley Indian Reservation.
- 2.) Department of Defense says this range isn't needed to keep Mountain Home Air Force Base open.
- 3.) The BLM by law must protect Wildlife Study Areas - how can it make this trade? What about the archeological sites, animals and plants will be destroyed by bombs, the range will impair the land.
- 4.) The threat of wildfires from the bombs and flares.
- 5.) Inadequate range of alternatives.
- 6.) The noise study is totally inaccurate - taking an average and then comparing to International airport noise is absurd!!!

This issue should be decided by Congress since it involves using current Federal Lands. Please do whatever is possible to stop this range from happening.

Thank You,



Karen Cardozo
P.O. Box 2403
Ketchum, ID 83340

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

January 27, 1994

Ms. Patricia Clark
3120 Crescent Rim #305
Boise, ID 83706


Dear Ms. Clark:

Thank you for contacting my office regarding your thoughts about the U.S. Air Force's proposed bombing range in Owyhee County, Idaho. It was good to hear from you.

As you know, the protection of our nation's valuable natural resources continues to be one of the Administration's highest priorities. I appreciate learning your views about the potential environmental impacts of the proposed bombing range. I have taken the liberty of forwarding a copy of your letter to the Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Environmental Security for review of the specific issues that you raise. I am sure that your concerns will be given the appropriate consideration.

Again, thank you for sharing your views with me.

Sincerely,



Kathleen A. McGinty
Director, White House Office on
Environmental Policy

KAM/avl

testimony, Jan 12, 1977
for hearing on the
Bombing Range in Boise, Idaho

I believe South-West Idaho will never be the same if the Air Force builds this bombing Range complex.

- I believe this Training Range will impact more than 2 million acres, not just 25,000 as is claimed.
- I believe the impact of this project will degrade significantly, the Duck Valley Reservation & the families who live there.
- I believe this degradation will affect the wild & scenic rivers, the Wilderness Study Areas & the Natl. Register Archaeological Site.
- I believe all of the wild life in this area will be negatively impacted, way into the future. I also believe we have no way of knowing Long Range the full damage that may ensue.
- I believe we've abused the native American Indians Long enough!
- I believe we don't have to buy the argument that this Range is "needed"

OR else Mtn Home will die. That's a sham.

- I also Believe this project is too costly, at a time so many Real programs are needed. This is a "want" project not a needed one.
- I am submitting the Coalition fact sheet with my testimony to be entered into the record. It says it all, better than I can
- Last but not least I want to enter in the public record a color print of the Owyhee Canyon Lands, done by Steve Bly, one of Idaho's most well known & prestigious photographers.

Patricia L. Clark
3120 Crescent Rim #305
Boise, Idaho
83706

OR else Mtn Home will die. That's a sham.

- I also Believe this project is too costly, at a time so many Real programs are needed. This is a "want" project not a needed one.
- I am submitting the Coalition fact sheet with my testimony to be entered into the record. It says it all, better than I can
- Last but not least I want to enter in the public record a color print of the Owyhee Canyon Lands, done by Steve Bly, one of Idaho's most well known & prestigious photographers.

Patricia L. Clark
3120 Crescent Rim #305
Boise, Idaho
83706