

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Collection/Record Group: Clinton Presidential Records
Subgroup/Office of Origin: Council on Environmental Quality
Series/Staff Member: Kathleen (Katie) McGinty
Subseries:

OA/ID Number: 2573
FolderID:

Folder Title:
Legislative Referral Comments: Default Legislative Referrals [2]

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
S	61	5	5	1

Chupla

11-2

10:00

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the **subject** shown below.

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
response sheet

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

____ Keith Laughlin

____ Will Stelle

~~____~~ Marc Chupka

____ David Doniger

____ Dan Blank

____ Brian Johnson

____ David Cottingham

____ Ken Connolly

Please review this document by 10:00 11-2 and return any
comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I may register
OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be submitting
extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt assistance in
this matter is greatly appreciated.

Trey,

Already faxed to Bob Tuccillo at OMB--
don't know if you need to by
it in also.


-Jess

WHITE HOUSE OFFICE ON ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY

TO: Bob Tuccillo
FROM: Marc Chupka
DATE: 11-10
FAX: 26899

Number of pages including cover: 10

COMMENTS: As you requested - Marc's comments. Will also
log in through regular channels



PHONE - (202) 456-6224 FAX - (202) 456-2710
OLD EXECUTIVE OFFICE BUILDING, ROOM 360
WASHINGTON, DC 20501

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503

SPECIAL

October 27, 1993

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM #M-842

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

AGRIC-CR - Hal Gross (all testimony) - (202)720-7095 - 230
COMMERCE - Michael A. Levitt - (202)482-3086 - 324
DEFENSE - Samuel T. Brick, Jr. - (703)697-1305 - 325
ENERGY - Bob Rabben - (202)586-6718 - 209
HHS - Frances White - (202)690-7760 - 328
INTERIOR - Danny Consenstein - (202)208-6706 - 329
STATE - Julia C. Norton - (202)647-4463 - 225
TRANSPORTATION - Tom Herlihy - (202)366-4687 - 226
TREASURY - Richard S. Carro - (202)622-1146 - 228
CEA - Francine Obermiller - (202)395-5036 - 242
NEC - Sonia Mathews - (202)456-6722 - 429
NSC - William H. Itoh - (202)395-3723 - 249
NASA - Jeff Lawrence - (202)358-1948 - 219
NSF - Lawrence Rudolph - (202)357-9435 - 248
OSTP - Chris Clary - (202)456-7116 - 288
TVA - Alan Carmichael - (202)479-4412 - 332
SMITHSONIAN - Mark Rodgers - (202)357-2962 - 292

FROM: RONALD K. PETERSON (for) *Ronald K. Peterson*
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: Robert TUCCILLO (395-4993)

SUBJECT: EPA Qs and As on Global Climate Change (from
August 4 Hearing)

DEADLINE: 10:00 AM November 2, 1993

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:

C. Wolz
G. Henry
J. Mietus
J. Fellows
A. Erbach
J. Payne
J. Minkler
M. Norman

R. Turman
G. Simon
K. McGinty
B. Sasser
R. Lyon
C. Walden/K. Way

House Energy and Commerce Follow-up Questions to the August 4 Hearing on Global Climate Change

Joint Implementation

Please provide EPA's view on the advisability of including joint implementation actions as part of the national action plan.

ask not EPA supports inclusion of joint implementation in the Climate Change Action Plan, but only as a pilot program at this stage. ~~Reductions under the U.S. Initiative on Joint Implementation (USII) will be counted toward the President's commitment to stabilize emissions at 1990 levels by 2000.~~ EPA believes that it is advisable to test joint implementation in practice, through pilot projects, before fully embracing it as a strategy toward fulfilling our international commitments. EPA believes USII projects will supply valuable information to the Conference of the Parties (CoP) and accelerate development of criteria for joint implementation in accordance with Article 4.2(d) of the Framework Convention on Climate Change (FCCC).

concur with State

Please explain how joint implementation efforts, if included as part of our national action plan, could be adequately enforced and monitored.

delete EPA shares your concern that no provisions currently exist, neither domestic nor international, for monitoring and enforcement of joint implementation efforts. EPA is actively evaluating options for monitoring and verification of joint implementation actions. EPA expects these issues to be taken up by the Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee as early as its next meeting in February 1994. The official criteria for joint implementation may not be adopted until after the convention enters into force and the CoP holds its first session (probably in early 1995).

concur with State

Please explain whether any federal agency currently has adequate authority to monitor emission levels, to oversee enforcement and to assure credible joint implementation actions.

EPA is not aware of direct authority in any federal agency to oversee enforcement or ensure credibility of joint implementation actions. EPA expects these issues will be addressed through the inter-agency U.S. Initiative on Joint Implementation (USII) described in the Climate Change Action Plan.

concur with State

Please explain EPA's current views on the need for . . . an international regime. Please also explain who will bear liability for making up lost emission reductions in the event that it is subsequently discovered, after, for example, a five-year period, that a joint implementation project has not been properly carried out.

R. Menn 260-5417

EPA strongly supports the development of "criteria for joint implementation" by the CoP in accordance with Article 4.2(d) of the FCCC. Such criteria will be essential to ensuring fair, credible, and effective joint implementation actions. A stable and widely accepted international regime is essential to the realization of the long-term benefits of joint implementation.

EPA is evaluating the complex question of liability for failed joint implementation projects, but is not ready to recommend a specific policy or position on the issue at this time. Because parties to the convention are national governments (plus the EC), liability for joint implementation projects could affect the emissions reduction commitments of participating nations. In assigning liability, parties must also consider their communications requirements and financial obligations under the convention. EPA

An additional concern is how we can assure that joint implementation does not provide credit for steps that would have been taken in any case. Please provide your views on this matter.

For countries without emissions targets (non-Annex 1 countries), EPA shares your concern that credit not be given for actions that would have been taken anyway (Annex 1 countries have a baseline in their stabilization commitments.) EPA believes that countries without targets should at least have prepared greenhouse gas inventories and baseline policy and emissions scenarios against which to measure reductions. Certain kinds of projects may be less vulnerable to this uncertainty. Discounting reductions in countries without targets could help assure overall levels of reductions, though not for individual projects.

Concern with State

2
6

Finally, please provide your views on whether a concrete emissions cap in other countries that are the site of joint implementation actions is necessary to assure that emission reductions secured through joint implementation will assure actual reductions in aggregate emissions. For example, in the absence of a cap, is it not possible that some foreign countries might first produce emission increases, and then take credit toward our greenhouse reduction targets -- and actually get paid U.S. dollars -- for reducing the amount of such increases. Please explain why such a scenario, where joint implementation would actually create an incentive for countries to increase emissions, is not plausible.

EPA is concerned that there will be incentives to boost projected emissions if joint implementation is permitted with countries that do not have internationally recognized emissions targets against which to measure actions. For this reason, some parties to the convention believe joint implementation should be allowed only among Annex 1 countries, all of which have an emissions reduction target (effectively, a "cap" among those countries.)

Because important questions like this remain, EPA is strongly in favor the approach to joint implementation adopted by the President in the Climate Change Action Plan. The U.S. Initiative on Joint Implementation is intended to accelerate the international effort to develop criteria that will eliminate incentives to increase emissions, while encouraging countries to participate and strengthen their commitments to reduce emissions.

Concern with State

3

To make a market trading program work in the context of acid rain control, the Bush Administration was willing to support a cap. Please explain why some form of cap is not also needed in the context of an effort to limit air pollutants contributing to global climate change.

EPA agrees that some form of "cap" would be desirable for any "trading" regime (e.g. - joint implementation) to limit greenhouse gas emissions. ~~Because no cap or equivalent safeguard of environmental results is now in place for joint implementation, EPA agrees with the President that joint implementation should be pursued only as a pilot program.~~ EPA expects that the U.S. Initiative on Joint Implementation will use project experience to help develop international criteria that will guarantee the environmental results of joint implementation activities.

J.I. # trading

Concern w/ State

EPA agrees w/ President!

Tree Planting

Are greenhouse benefits real for reforestation?

Forestry projects vary in the reliability and certainty of greenhouse gas reductions, depending on their design. EPA feels most confident about the carbon sequestration benefits of tree planting projects on crop or pasture lands (afforestation), or of reforestation of harvested stands. Standard forestry yield tables compiled over decades offer highly reliable data on growth rates expected for given species for given soils and location (known as "site class"). EPA and DOE analyses of the benefits of urban tree planting are based on similar yield data for urban conditions, which are fairly well known, and building-scale measurements of potential cooling and heating energy savings from "strategic landscaping" (planting to shade residences and low buildings). Large-scale reforestation outside the U.S. occurs in conditions that require more careful monitoring and analysis to ensure that benefits actually occur and are not lost through deforestation. In all situations, careful analysis and monitoring is needed to assess the extent of, or to prevent "leakages" to other sites.

Does EPA favor granting credit for such carbon sequestration steps?

EPA has actively performed or supported analyses of the benefits of a wide range of carbon sequestration projects in the U.S. The results of these studies over almost five years indicate that the carbon benefits can be real, measurable, monitored, and ~~can be included in the Climate Change Action Plan.~~ ^{show the} Protection and enhancement of sinks is a valid response measure to reduce greenhouse gas accumulation in the atmosphere. EPA believes that emissions reduction measures should be relied on as much as possible to meet USG commitments to stabilize emissions, and that sink enhancement measures should ~~also be pursued but~~ ^{also be} counted toward stabilization in 2000 only when they are ~~essential and reliable.~~ ^{consult with DOE in drafting} In the context of the EP Act Section 1605b voluntary registry of greenhouse gas reductions, EPA will ~~draft strict guidelines to register, count effects of, and monitor projects.~~ ^{and cost effectiveness} EPA will ~~contribute these in its consultations with DOE, as required by law.~~ Section 1605b, as you know, calls for the volunteer submission of projects to a registry, and does not provide "credits" for any such activities.

Bas - DOE Comments are on the mark here

4

Explain how reforestation initiatives would be monitored. Does EPA favor monitoring to assure that trees are properly cared for? Will credits vary depending on how well trees develop? How will credits be recovered if trees do not develop well or a die-off occurs?

EPA strongly favors counting credits as they accrue, based on prospective estimates but backed up by on-site verification. EPA will work to develop detailed implementation guidelines and periodic monitoring of all forest and non-forest greenhouse reduction projects or programs included in the Climate Change Action Plan, Energy Policy Act Section 1605b guidelines, joint implementation, and other processes. Forest projects in the U.S. could be monitored in several ways. One approach might involve the use of standard forestry yield tables to estimate forest growth for new plantations under specific site conditions. Field inspections would measure actual growth every 2-5 years, depending on the size of the plantation, costs, ownership, etc. "Credits" could either be estimated from yield tables for the first years, and adjusted once field monitoring occurs, or could simply be granted only after growth occurs and is verified. Monitoring could be carried out by the project initiator, and verified by State Foresters, private contractors, or USDA-Forest Service extension staff, using a combination of standard site visits and aerial photography (similar to the USDA commodity crop monitoring program). These procedures may need to be supplemented with modeling to gain confidence that no "leakages", or shifting of emitting activities to other sites, have occurred.

Is it realistic to expect tree growth over the seven years between now and 2000 at levels adequate to produce substantial greenhouse reduction gains?

Yes. EPA, USDA-Forest Service, and other analysts conclude that about half to several million metric tonnes of carbon could be sequestered over this period, depending on the funding level and efficiency of implementation. The Conservation Reserve Program of USDA accepted bids from farmers for removal of cropland from commodity crop programs within one year after announcement of the program. The Forest Service developed a substantially expanded extension capability over three years to implement the America the Beautiful program (minimally funded), now available for rapid start-up. Analyses show that growth rates in the southern and central U.S. are substantial within 3-4 years, since plantations there often operate on 20- to 30-year rotations.

How can we be certain that nations undertaking reforestation might not engage in deforestation at the same time credits are granted for tree planting? Describe safeguards to assure that reductions are credible and enforceable.

The careful design of forest projects for carbon benefits outside the U.S. is critical to ensure benefits. Project designs will need to consider the need to isolate project impacts from background land use changes, to clearly demonstrate benefits. Projects enhancing supply of forest products in sustainable management regimes (e.g., afforestation of degraded or abandoned lands) may be more likely to produce easily measured and monitored benefits than projects that change harvest methods or reduce deforestation, for example. Several projects funded by U.S. electric utilities have attempted to minimize leakage of carbon (e.g., forest conservation by a

5

project simply displacing harvest outside the project area) by locating projects adjacent to national parks, in areas without other forests, or in other ways that somewhat isolate them from broader markets for land and forest products.

EPA has begun work adapting a dynamic computer model of land use changes to address evaluation of this leakage problem. We will evaluate the certainty and magnitude of benefits of 5-10 existing international carbon forestry projects using this dynamic approach to land use analysis. Last, remote sensing by aerial photography or satellite imagery is likely to be necessary to monitor project land uses, changes, and displacement. In sum, EPA recognizes the difficulty of evaluating international projects, and the uncertainties of socioeconomic change on greenhouse benefits, but is working to develop adequate monitoring and evaluation techniques.

Milestones

Does EPA favor inclusion of interim points in the action plan at which progress will be evaluated, with contingency measures to be put in place quickly if interim assessments show that we are going to come up short of our greenhouse reductions target in the year 2000? Does EPA favor inclusion of such a step in any future international agreement?

It is my view that such a milestone program -- analogous to the provisions for assuring progress toward meeting ambient air quality standards in section 182((g) of the Clean Air Act -- is essential. If EPA does not favor inclusion of such a safeguard, please explain how, in the absence of such a structure, the Administration can assure the credibility of a plan that relies upon models and economic projections, as well as on voluntary measures that may not be implemented as expected.

We agree that such measures are essential to ensure that all countries meet their respective goals. EPA will use its greenhouse gas emissions inventory activity as a means of evaluating our national climate policies annually. We expect that the Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee will establish a milestone program and decide on how frequently plans should be reviewed and updated. It is likely that an update will be required every 3-5 years.

inventories will provide essential information that will be essential as the Administration

Under Article 12 of the FCCC, each Annex 1 Party is required to report, "for all greenhouse gases not covered by the Montreal Protocol":

- "a national inventory of [emissions and sinks]";
- "a detailed description of its policies and measures"; and
- "a specific estimate of the effects that policies and measures... will have on [emissions and sinks] of greenhouse gases".

check w/ DOE, State
Congress has already given EPA primary responsibility for developing climate policy and preparing greenhouse gas emissions inventories. EPA expects to have a leading role in the development of periodic assessments of the effects of climate change policies and measures, but ~~existing authorities are vague~~

6

The Climate Change Action Plan

With proper authorities from Congress, EPA could establish a program to periodically assess the impacts of policies and measures in accordance with the requirements of the FCCC. Such an assessment could be coordinated with EPA activities on inventories, national policies and measures, and communication with the INC/CoP.

will

Inventories

At the hearing, it was explained that a new effort is being undertaken to coordinate ongoing EPA and DOE efforts related to the compilation of accurate inventories of greenhouse gases. Please describe the process by which the data which DOE collects from voluntary submissions, as well as the inventories based on existing data at the Energy Information Administration, is forwarded for consideration in EPA's compilation of broad inventories of greenhouse air pollutants.

I urge that DOE and EPA coordinate fully as each undertakes its statutory responsibility, leading ultimately to comprehensive inventories for each greenhouse pollutant. Such coordination is especially important as we prepare to move forward into an era where these inventories will be essential to the implementation of new programs to address the global climate issue.

EPA and DOE have agreed to work together to meet our respective greenhouse gas emissions inventory obligations. We are preparing a Memorandum of Understanding between the two agencies to outline our joint commitments and to avoid duplicating efforts. We have reviewed each other's methods and data sources. At this point, we anticipate that some minor, but explainable differences will remain between the two inventories. The EPA inventory is being developed to be consistent with internationally agreed guidelines and will serve as the official U.S. greenhouse gas inventory to meet reporting requirements under the Climate Convention. The DOE inventory uses slightly different methods that provide greater levels of sectoral detail. However, in aggregate, the differences between the two inventories are less than one percent. These differences will be reduced as EPA and DOE continue to review and reflect on each other's methods. Reports will provide cross-walks between the different formats. EPA hopes to establish

EPA and DOE have also agreed to work together to establish guidelines for reporting voluntary emissions reductions and to establish an emissions reductions recognition program. EPA and DOE will share the information collected under the voluntary reporting program. It will supplement CO₂ monitoring data collected by EPA under the CAAA and be used to enhance the national inventory and measurement of progress Climate Change Action Plan. At this point, neither EPA nor DOE have plans for incorporating these data into the national aggregate inventories of greenhouse gas emissions.

7

Scope of the Commitment

In his Earth Day speech, President Clinton pledged to "continue the trend of reduced emissions" after the year 2000. In testimony last month before the Senate Energy Committee, Secretary O'Leary explained that at a minimum this pledge means that emissions after the year 2000 will not rise above 1990 levels. Please explain [whether] EPA agrees with this view.

EPA agrees with the President's statement that the Climate Change Action Plan will continue the trend of reduced emissions after the year 2000.

Thank you!

Substitute

*continuing impact
process for cost zero
transport*

Suggested answer to last question (scope of commitment).

The policies proposed Climate Change Action Plan will continue help reduce greenhouse gas emissions from projected levels beyond the year 2000. The Action Plan also establishes a working group to develop policies that will provide additional long-term emission reductions, with an initial focus on the transportation sector. Additional policies developed over the course of the year will help continue the trend of reduced emissions.

P.S. Bob -- You have blanket authority to delete any references to "EPA agrees with the President..." in future testimony.

11-5

Doniger

3:30

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
response sheet

Stella

11-5

3:00

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503

November 3, 1993

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM #M-867

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

INTERIOR - Danny Conenstein - (202)208-6706 - 329
JUSTICE - Sheila F. Anthony - (202)514-2141 - 217
EPA - Thomas C. Roberts - (202)260-5414 - 326

FROM: RONALD K. PETERSON (for) *Ronald K. Peterson*
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: Elizabeth MORI (395-3708)

SUBJECT: ENERGY Proposed Testimony on Uranium Wastes
on Navajo Lands

DEADLINE: 5:30 AM November 3, 1993

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:

I. James
R. Mertens
H. Paster
K. McGinty

Will your thoughts?
Ken

N/C

Will

5:30

11-3

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur
_____ No objection
_____ No comment
_____ See proposed edits on pages _____
_____ Other: _____
_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet

Stelle

11-5

3:00

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet

Breggen

10:50

11-4

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

_____ Cathy Zoi	_____ Keith Laughlin
_____ Will Stelle	_____ Marc Chupka
_____ David Doniger	_____ Dan Blank
_____ Brian Johnson	_____ David Cottingham
_____ Ken Connolly	

Please review this document by 11-8 10:00 and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

N/C

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

If your response to this request for views is simple (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by faxing us this response sheet. If the response is simple and you prefer to call, please call the branch-wide line shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

TO: Craig CRUTCHFIELD
Office of Management and Budget
Fax Number: (202) 395-5691
Analyst/Attorney's Direct Number: (202) 395-3857
Branch-Wide Line (to reach secretary): (202) 395-6194

FROM: 11-10 (Date)
____ (Name)
____ (Agency)
____ (Telephone)

SUBJECT: OMB Request for Views RE: S 1288, National
Aquaculture Development, Commercialization,
and Promotion Act of 1993

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

____ Concur
____ No objection
____ No comment
____ See proposed edits on pages _____
____ Other: _____
____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
response sheet

Chupka

3:00

11.8

LRM #M-896

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

If your response to this request for views is simple (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by faxing us this response sheet. If the response is simple and you prefer to call, please call the branch-wide line shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

TO: Lauren HABER (395-3781)
Office of Management and Budget
Fax Number: (202) 395-3722

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

SUBJECT: DEFENSE Proposed Testimony on Benefit Cost Analysis and Risk Analysis

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur
_____ No objection
_____ No comment
_____ See proposed edits on pages _____
_____ Other: _____
_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet

Chupka

11-8

1:00

Gelle

2:00
11-8

Yelle

11-8

2:00

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

_____ Cathy Zoi	_____ Keith Laughlin
<u>X</u> _____ Will Stelle	_____ Marc Chupka
_____ David Doniger	_____ Dan Blank
_____ Brian Johnson	_____ David Cottingham
_____ Ken Connolly	

10:00

Please review this document by 11-12 and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

m/c

Will

11-12

10:00

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

____ Keith Laughlin

X Will Stelle

____ Marc Chupka

____ David Doniger

____ Dan Blank

____ Brian Johnson

____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 11-12 3:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

H/C

Stelle
3:00
11-12

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur
_____ No objection
_____ No comment
_____ See proposed edits on pages _____
_____ Other: _____
_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

_____ Cathy Zoi	_____ Keith Laughlin
X _____ Will Stelle	_____ Marc Chupka
_____ David Doniger	_____ Dan Blank
_____ Brian Johnson	_____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 3:00 11/17 Wed.
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

M/K

Stelle

3:00 Wed 11/17

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

If your response to this request for views is simple (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by faxing us this response sheet. If the response is simple and you prefer to call, please call the branch-wide line shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

TO: MIKE GOAD
Office of Management and Budget
Fax Number: (202) 395-5691
Analyst/Attorney's Direct Number: (202) 395-7301
Branch-Wide Line (to reach secretary): (202) 395-6194

FROM:		(Date)
		(Name)
		(Agency)
		(Telephone)

SUBJECT: Interior Proposed Testimony RE: S 1526,
Indian Fish and Wildlife Resource Management
Act of 1993

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this response sheet

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

____ Keith Laughlin

X Will Stelle

____ Marc Chupka

____ David Doniger

____ Dan Blank

____ Brian Johnson

____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 11-72 12:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

m/c

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

____ Keith Laughlin

~~X~~ Will Stelle

____ Marc Chupka

____ David Doniger

____ Dan Blank

____ Brian Johnson

____ David Cottingham

____ Ken Connolly

Please review this document by 11-12 ^{12:00} and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

HR 3286 Presidio LRM I-1663

S 433 Cameron Parish, LA

Land Conveyance LRM I-1666

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503

November 10, 1993

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM #I-1666

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

DEFENSE - Samuel T. Brick, Jr. - (703) 697-1305 - 325
INTERIOR - Danny Consenstein - (202) 208-6706 - 329
JUSTICE - Sheila F. Anthony - (202) 514-2141 - 217
TREASURY - Richard S. Carro - (202) 622-1146 - 228
EPA - Thomas C. Roberts - (202) 260-5414 - 326
FEMA - John P. Carey - (202) 646-4105 - 327
CSA - William R. Ratchford - (202) 501-0563 - 237
ARMY - Col. John P. McLaurin, III - (703) 697-2106 - 246

FROM: RONALD K. PETERSON (for) *Ronald K. Peterson*
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: Craig CRUTCHFIELD (395-3857)
Secretary's line (for simple responses): 395-6194
Crawford TUTTLE (395-4993)

SUBJECT: Proposed Statement of Administration Policy
RE: S 433, Cameron Parish, LA, Land
Conveyance

DEADLINE: NOON November 12, 1993

COMMENTS: The Administration will be sending up a Statement of Administration Policy advising support for S. 433 as ordered reported today by the House Natural Resources Committee. The bill includes both technical revisions (see attached) and the text of H.R. 3286 as ordered reported by the Committee (see attachment) ~~XXXXXX~~. Please provide your views on this position by 12:00 Noon, Friday, November 12, 1993.

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:
B. Retzlaff
B. McLeod
E. Rea
A. Stigile
B. Coleman

C. Heiser
K. McGinty
B. Burke
H. Paster

*7 pages
(2 SAR)*

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi	____ Keith Laughlin
<u>X</u> Will Stelle	____ Marc Chupka
____ David Doniger	____ Dan Blank
____ Brian Johnson	____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 11-12 12:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

m/c

Stelle

11-12
12:00

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

If your response to this request for views is simple (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by faxing us this response sheet. If the response is simple and you prefer to call, please call the branch-wide line shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

To: Holly FITTER
Office of Management and Budget
Fax Number: (202) 395-5691
Analyst/Attorney's Direct Number: (202) 395-3233
Branch-Wide Line (to reach secretary): (202) 395-6194

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

SUBJECT: Proposed Statement of Administration Policy
RE: HR 2620, Shasta County (CA) land exchange

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
response sheet

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

____ Keith Laughlin

X Will Stelle

____ Marc Chupka

____ David Doniger

____ Dan Blank

____ Brian Johnson

____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 11-15 1:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

n/c

~~REL~~ Stelle
1:00
11-15

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

____ Keith Laughlin

X Will Stelle

____ Marc Chupka

____ David Doniger

____ Dan Blank

____ Brian Johnson

____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 11-16 1:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

N/R

Stelle
11-16
1:00

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

If your response to this request for views is simple (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by faxing us this response sheet. If the response is simple and you prefer to call, please call the branch-wide line shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may **also respond** by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please **include** the LRM number shown above, and the **subject** shown below.

TO: Craig CRUTCHFIELD
Office of Management and Budget
Fax Number: (202) 395-5691
Analyst/Attorney's Direct Number: (202) 395-3857
Branch-Wide Line (to reach secretary): (202) 395-6194

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

SUBJECT: INTERIOR Proposed Testimony RE: S 472, Land Management Agency Housing Improvement Act of 1993

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet

Stelle

—

11-12

1:00

—

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____	Concur	
_____	No objection	
_____	No comment	
_____	See proposed edits on pages	
_____	Other: _____	
_____	FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this response sheet	

Doniger
11-10
4:00

11-12 KGL
12:00

Chupka

11-12

2:00

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

FROM:		(Date)
		(Name)
		(Agency)
		(Telephone)

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this response sheet

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Trey

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

____ Keith Laughlin

____ Will Stelle

____ Marc Chupka

X ~~____~~ David Doniger

____ Dan Blank

____ Brian Johnson

____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 11-15 12:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

OK by
Doniger
~~except for ext. comments~~
P. b

Doniger
11-15
12:00

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

If your response to this request for views is simple (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by faxing us this response sheet. If the response is simple and you prefer to call, please call the branch-wide line shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

TO: Jeffrey WEINBERG (395-3457)
Office of Management and Budget
Fax Number: (202) 395-3109
Analyst/Attorney's Direct Number: (202) 395-3457
Branch-Wide Line (to reach secretary): (202) 395-3454

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

SUBJECT: NSF Proposed Testimony on Legislation to
implement the Antarctic Protocol

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur
_____ No objection
_____ No comment
_____ See proposed edits on pages _____
_____ Other: _____
_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

____ Keith Laughlin

~~____~~ Will Stelle

____ Marc Chupka

____ David Doniger

____ Dan Blank

____ Brian Johnson

____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 11-16 2:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

n/c

Stelle
11-16
2:00

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

____ Keith Laughlin

X Will Stelle

____ Marc Chupka

____ David Doniger

____ Dan Blank


____ Brian Johnson

____ Linda Breggin

TODAY

Please review this document by 11-18 5:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

H/C



_____ Concur _____

_____ No objection _____

_____ No comment _____

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet _____

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

____ Keith Laughlin

X Will Stelle

____ Marc Chupka

____ David Doniger

____ Dan Blank *today*

____ Brian Johnson

____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by

11-18 1:00

and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

H/V

Stelle

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503

November 18, 1993

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM #I-1726

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

HHS - Frances White - (202)690-7760 - 328
COMMERCE - Michael A. Levitt - (202)482-3086 - 324
ENERGY - Bob Rabben - (202)586-6718 - 209
INTERIOR - Danny Conenstein - (202)208-6706 - 329
JUSTICE - Sheila F. Anthony - (202)514-2141 - 217
STATE - Julia C. Norton - (202)647-4463 - 225
TRANSPORTATION - Tom Herlihy - (202)366-4687 - 226
TREASURY - Richard S. Carro - (202)622-1146 - 228
CEA - - (202)395-5036 - 242 469
EPA - Thomas C. Roberts - (202)260-5414 - 326
OSTP - Chris Clary - (202)456-7116 - 288
USTR - Fred Montgomery - (202)395-3475 - 223

FROM:

RONALD K. PETERSON (for) *Ronald K. Peterson*
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: Craig CRUTCHFIELD (395-3857)
Secretary's line (for simple responses): 395-6194
Adrienne ERBACH (395-3446)

SUBJECT: AGRICULTURE Proposed Testimony RE: S 1288,
National Aquaculture Development,
Commercialization, and Promotion Act of 1993

DEADLINE: 1:00 P.M. November 18, 1993

COMMENTS: The Senate Agriculture Committee is scheduled to markup S. 1288 tomorrow. Please respond by the deadline to provide your comments or to report on the status of your review. If you do not respond, we must assume your agency has no comment.

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:

J. Payne
S. Cameron
K. McGinty
B. Burke
H. Paster
K. Kelly

A. Stigile
M. Reed
L. Cash
J. Pillar

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

☒ Cathy Zoi	☐ Keith Laughlin
☒ Will Stelle	☐ Marc Chupka
☐ David Doniger	☐ Dan Blank
☐ Brian Johnson	☐ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 11-16 12:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

H/L

Stelle
11-16

12:00

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the **subject** shown below.

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
response sheet

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

☒ Cathy Zoi	☐ Keith Laughlin
☒ Will Stelle	☐ Marc Chupka
☐ David Doniger	☐ Dan Blank
☐ Brian Johnson	☐ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 11-16 12:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

N/E

Stelle

11-16

12:00

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi	____ Keith Laughlin
<u>X</u> Will Stelle	____ Marc Chupka
____ David Doniger	____ Dan Blank
____ Brian Johnson	____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 11-16 12:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

N/L

Stelle
12:00
11-16

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

X Keith Laughlin

____ Will Stelle

____ Marc Chupka

____ David Doniger

____ Dan Blank

____ Brian Johnson

____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 11-18 3:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

No
Comment
11/18
5:00pm

11-18
3:00
1000

11/18/93 11:15 OMB LRM/RD1 001

**EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503**

SPECIAL

November 18, 1993

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM #I-1730

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

EPA - Thomas C. Roberts - (202)260-5414 - 326

FROM: RONALD K. PETERSON (for)
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: Holly FITTER (395-3233)
Secretary's line (for simple responses): 395-6194
Robert TUCCILLO (395-4993)

SUBJECT: Proposed Statement of Administration Policy
RE: HR 3512, National Environmental Policy
Act Administrative Reorganization Amendments
of 1993

DEADLINE: 10:00 AM November 19, 1993

COMMENTS: HR 3512 may come to the House floor this Saturday, November 20th. Unless you advise otherwise, the Administration will issue a Statement of Administration Policy stating support for HR 3512. Please advise whether you concur in this approach by 10:00 AM Friday, November 19th.

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:

J. Quinn/M. Burton
H. Paster/L. Miller
K. McGinty/K. Zoi

J. PALMIER

B. DAMUS

Circular 1730

Doniger
11-17

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

If your response to this request for views is **simple** (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by **faxing** us this response sheet. If the response is **simple** and you prefer to call, please **call the branch-wide line** shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may **also respond** by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please **include** the LRM number shown above, and the **subject** shown below.

TO: Annette ROONEY
 Office of Management and Budget
 Fax Number: (202) 395-5691
 Analyst/Attorney's Direct Number: (202) 395-7300
 Branch-Wide Line (to reach secretary): (202) 395-6194

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

SUBJECT: ACDA Draft Bill Chemical Weapons Convention
 Implementation Act of 1994

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur
_____ No objection
_____ No comment
_____ See proposed edits on pages _____
_____ Other: _____
_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
 response sheet

Will

~~11-12~~

~~10:00~~

HOLD

10:00
Friday

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet

VGL

11-16

10:00

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

____ Keith Laughlin

X Will Stelle

____ Marc Chupka

____ David Doniger

____ Dan Blank

____ Brian Johnson

____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 11-23 12:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

W/K

Stelle
11-23
12:00

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
response sheet

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Trey

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi	____ Keith Laughlin
____ Will Stelle	____ Marc Chupka
____ David Doniger	____ Dan Blank
<u>X</u> ____ Brian Johnson	____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by

11-19 2:00

and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

I know time has passed, but let me know if you have comments.

NO

Trey

Johnson

11-19

2:00

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

_____ Cathy Zoi	_____ Keith Laughlin
_____ Will Stelle	_____ Marc Chupka
_____ David Doniger	_____ Dan Blank
_____ Brian Johnson	_____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 12-6 10:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Greg - no comments

Wilde 12/6

Breggin 12-6 10:00

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

____ Keith Laughlin

____ Will Stelle

____ Marc Chupka

____ David Doniger

____ Dan Blank

____ Brian Johnson

X Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 12-6 10:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Treg -

no comments

Breggin

12-6
10:00

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
response sheet

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi	____ Keith Laughlin
____ Will Stelle	____ Marc Chupka
____ David Doniger	____ Dan Blank
____ Brian Johnson	X Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 10:00 12-6
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Trey

no comments

12-6

10:00

Breggin

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
response sheet

Chungka

12-10

12:00

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
response sheet

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

_____ Cathy Zoi	_____ Keith Laughlin
<u>✓</u> Will Stelle	_____ Marc Chupka
_____ David Doniger	_____ Dan Blank
_____ Brian Johnson	_____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 12-9 NOON
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

H/e

Stelle
12-9
Noon

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

_____ Concur
_____ No objection
_____ No comment
_____ See proposed edits on pages _____
_____ Other: _____
_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet

Stille

12-13

10:00

12-14

12/22

10:00

BQ

Stille
1-4

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

☒ Cathy Zoi	☐ Keith Laughlin
☒ Will Stelle	☐ Marc Chupka
☐ David Doniger	☐ Dan Blank
☐ Brian Johnson	☐ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 1-18 10:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

N/C

Stelle
1/18
10:00

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

If your response to this request for views is **simple** (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by **faxing** us this response sheet. If the response is **simple** and you prefer to call, please **call the branch-wide line** shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may **also respond** by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please **include** the LRM number shown above, and the **subject** shown below.

TO: Annette ROONEY
 Office of Management and Budget
 Fax Number: (202) 395-5691
 Analyst/Attorney's Direct Number: (202) 395-7300
 Branch-Wide Line (to reach secretary): (202) 395-6194

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

SUBJECT: ACDA REVISED Draft Bill Chemical
 Weapons Convention Implementation Act of 1994

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur
_____ No objection
_____ No comment
_____ See proposed edits on pages _____
_____ Other: _____
_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
 response sheet

Doniger

1/13

Bregg

1/25

5:20

LRM #M-1033

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

If your response to this request for views is simple (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by faxing us this response sheet. If the response is simple and you prefer to call, please call the branch-wide line shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

TO: Holly FITTER (395-3233)
Office of Management and Budget
Fax Number: (202) 395-5691
Branch-Wide Line (to reach secretary): (202) 395-6194

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

SUBJECT: EPA Proposed Testimony on Export of U.S.
Manufactured Pesticides

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

Concur _____

No objection _____

No comment _____

See proposed edits on pages _____

Other: _____

FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this response sheet _____

1/25

1:00

Breggen

Blezzin

10:00

1/24

5:00

2/1

Blank

_____ Concur
_____ No objection
_____ No comment
_____ See proposed edits on pages _____
_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503

Will

January 25, 1994

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM #M-1046

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

EPA - Thomas C. Roberts - (202)260-5414 - 326
COMMERCE - Michael A. Levitt - (202)482-3086 - 324
INTERIOR - Danny Consenstein - (202)208-6706 - 329

FROM: *HAS - Selma G.* RONALD K. PETERSON (for) *Ronald K. Peterson*
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: Stuart KASDIN (395-3446)

SUBJECT: AGRICULTURE Proposed Testimony on Agriculture
soil and water quality

DEADLINE: 1:00 p.m. January 26, 1994

COMMENTS: EPA is also scheduled to testify.

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before
advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in
accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or
receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of
Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:
L. Wiesman
S. Cameron
K. McGinty

[Handwritten signatures]

9pgs

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

If your response to this request for views is **simple** (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by **faxing** us this response sheet. If the response is **simple** and you prefer to call, please **call the branch-wide line** shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may **also respond** by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please **include** the LRM number shown above, and the **subject** shown below.

TO: Stuart KASDIN (395-3446)
 Office of Management and Budget
 Fax Number: (202) 395-4941

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

SUBJECT: AGRICULTURE Proposed Testimony on Agriculture
 soil and water quality

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur
_____ No objection
_____ No comment
_____ See proposed edits on pages _____
_____ Other: _____
_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
 response sheet

2/2
3:50
Laughlin

LRM #M-1063

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

If your response to this request for views is simple (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by faxing us this response sheet. If the response is simple and you prefer to call, please call the branch-wide line shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

TO: Randolph LYON (395-5800)
Office of Management and Budget
Fax Number: (202) 395-1151

FROM:

(Date)

(Name)

(Agency)

(Telephone)

SUBJECT: OMB Request for Views on Principles for
Federal Infrastructure Investments

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
response sheet

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

____ Will Stelle

____ David Doniger

____ Brian Johnson

____ Keith Laughlin

~~____~~ Marc Chupka

____ Dan Blank

____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 2/9 10:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

*No
comment*

2/9

10:00

Chupka

LRM #1-1972

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

If your response to this request for views is simple (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by faxing us this response sheet. If the response is simple and you prefer to call, please call the branch-wide line shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

TO:

Holly FITTER
Office of Management and Budget
Fax Number: (202) 395-5691
Analyst/Attorney's Direct Number: (202) 395-3233
Branch-Wide Line (to reach secretary): (202) 395-6194

FROM:

(Date)

(Name)

(Agency)

(Telephone)

SUBJECT:

EPA Proposed Report RE: HR 2919, Indoor Air
Act of 1993

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this response sheet

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

____ Cathy Zoi

____ Will Stelle

____ David Doniger

____ Brian Johnson

____ Keith Laughlin

~~____~~ Marc Chupka

____ Dan Blank

____ Linda Breggin

Please review this document by 2/7 4:00
and return any comments to me prior to this deadline, so that I
may register OEP's comments. Please let me know if you will be
submitting extensive comments directly to OMB. Your prompt
assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

*no
comment*

*2/7
4:00
Chupka*

You may **also respond** by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please **include** the LRM number shown above, and the **subject** shown below.

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

Chupko
2/9