

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Collection/Record Group: Clinton Presidential Records

Subgroup/Office of Origin: Public Liaison

Series/Staff Member: Alexis Herman/Ruby Moy

Subseries:

OA/ID Number: 6275

FolderID:

Folder Title:
Alexis Herman- Folder #5

Stack:

S

Row:

30

Section:

1

Shelf:

6

Position:

3

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. list	[Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	09/06/1995	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Public Liaison
Alexis Herman
OA/Box Number: 6275

FOLDER TITLE:

Alexis Herman Folder #5

2012-0741-F

rs2659

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

September 19, 1995

INFORMATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: Alexis Herman
Flo McAfee

SUBJECT: Rev. Amos Brown

Rev. Brown will come by the hotel to say hello during your visit to San Francisco. As you recall, he was unable to attend the September 8 prayer breakfast due to unexpected heart trouble. He did not have a heart attack, but was close to one. Under the doctor's advice, he is spending the next three weeks recuperating at home. Last week you sent him a letter wishing him a speedy recovery.

He wants to let you know how he plans to assist in organizing ministers.

SEP 21 1995

AMH

Ben
Impt

291

AMH -
He's on list -
write FBI
Dubbie

29 August 1995

On a phone conversation this morning with Rev. Amos Brown, the Reverend remarked that at the Georgetown Address the President indicated that he wanted to attend the Baptist Convention in Birmingham. The Reverend said that we "blew it:" the President ended up attending the Progressive convention, not the convention in Birmingham. Rev. Brown further indicated interest in the Sept. 8 religious breakfast-- as such he was referred to Ben

Brown wants to be invited to B'fast.

Ruby

THE WHITE HOUSE

Alexis -

I need some
advice on this.

Nov. 10 is the
only event that is
at all feasible.

Are some (all) of
the folks invited
to the religious
brkfst?

who is the
from

Nov 10 1995

MEMORANDUM

TO: Bruce Lindsey
 FROM: Carol Willis
 DATE: August 14, 1995
 RE: Follow up on Religious Organizations

C. Kelly White
The Melife
Ben Johnson
from
Nancy Harris

=====

Bruce, following up on our discussion last week about POTUS visiting the National Baptist Convention of America, National Baptist Convention, USA, and the Church of God in Christ.

POTUS should attend the National Baptist Convention of America, headed by Dr. E. Edward Jones, for the following reason:

- Dr. Jones, an early supporter, has been requesting a visit since 1992
- POTUS has attended the other major denominations' conference with the exception of this one and maybe one other
- Though this group feel slighted, they will remain faithful supporters
- This convention is scheduled September 3-8, 1995 in Dallas, Texas
- Dr. Jones has already publicly endorsed Bill Clinton for re-election

I suggest POTUS attend the National Baptist Convention, USA, Inc. for the following reasons:

- Dr. Henry Lyons is the new president. This is his 1st convention as president
- This convention is scheduled for September 4-9, 1995 in Birmingham, Alabama

I suggest POTUS attend the Church of God in Christ (COGIC) conference for the following reasons:

- COGIC has a new presiding bishop, Bishop Chandler Owens, due to the death of Bishop Louis H. Ford. It would be good for the President to cement the relationship with the new bishop. I have been talking with the bishop in charge of logistics for the event.
- The date for the President's visit would be November 10, 1995

As you know all of these groups have been very helpful to POTUS from the early days of October 1991 up to now. I am hopeful their requests will be received favorably.

① IF we can only
 do ONE, The Dallas mtg
 is it.

② Option, The Vice President P.?

Myager
Debbie
Kate Conn

Amlet

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

~~PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL~~

August 28, 1995

DETERMINED TO BE AN ADMINISTRATIVE

MARKING INITIALS: N DATE: 7/27/95
2012-0741-f

TO: PAT GRIFFIN
ALEXIS M. HERMAN
KITTY HIGGINS

FROM: ABNER J. MIKVA *ajm*

RE: Tobacco Meetings

Now that the comment period on the tobacco rule is underway, it is important that the White House continue to follow certain guidelines to protect the integrity of the process:

1. To the extent White House staff meets, confers with, or hears from interested groups, the staff member should:

a) clearly and explicitly convey that the meeting is not intended as a substitute for the comment process;

b) convey that, if the group has comments to make, it should submit them to the FDA according to the procedures set out in the proposed rule.

c) make clear that we are not a conduit for comments to the FDA.

2. The White House should have no involvement in the process of reviewing or responding to any comments that may be submitted.

In general, the effort to address the critical problem of tobacco use by minors represents an important policy initiative by the President. While it is appropriate to meet with interested groups to explain and even advocate for that initiative, such meetings are entirely separate and apart from the rulemaking proceeding.

I would be grateful if you would pass these guidelines on to relevant members of your staff.

cc: Harold Ickes
Erskine Bowles

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM FOR ALEXIS HERMAN

FROM: SUZANNA VALDEZ
DATE: SEPTEMBER 5, 1995
SUBJECT: UPDATE ON HISPANIC HERITAGE MONTH

The following is an updated list of White House activities during Hispanic Heritage Month:

- *Presidential Video* -- September 12th, A request has been submitted for the President to produce a non-interactive video to be broadcast during the 1995 Hispanic Heritage Awards; televised in September, October and November in syndication.
- *Mass Mailing* -- September 15th, Hispanic Heritage Month Presidential Proclamation will be sent to approximately 1000 Hispanic leaders nationwide.
- *Agency Kick-off* -- September 15th, A day-long celebration has been planned on the Ellipse to "kick-off" Hispanic Heritage Month (sponsors include the Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, Interior, Transportation, and Treasury. The program is scheduled from 10am-3pm. While there are no formal activities scheduled between 11:30am and 1pm, there will be a private VIP reception from 12pm-1pm at the Commerce Department. This event will be privately funded, and we are anticipating between 200-250 participants.
- *Luncheon* -- September 18th, Secretary Rubin will host a Treasury Department luncheon for Hispanic dignitaries and leaders.
- *Conference* -- September 20th, The Treasury Department's Hispanic Finance Leaders Forum will sponsor a conference from 9am-12pm.
- *Exhibition* -- September 22nd, The Treasury Department will present an all-day Hispanic heritage exhibition in the Cash Room.
- *CHCI Gala* -- September 27th, the President will make remarks at the Congressional Hispanic Caucus Institute Annual Gala.
- *DNC Activities* -- September 28th, The DNC Office of Latino Outreach will sponsor a political briefing for Hispanic leaders on the 1996 campaign, and host a reception honoring Willie Velasquez (Presidential Medal of Freedom).

Our primary focus will be on the State Visit of President Zedillo of Mexico.

950 - A 101

To: Harold Ickes, Doug Sosnick
From: Lynn Cutler *lsc*
Re: POTUS Speech on Women's Equality Day
Aug. 22, 1995

Several of us met yesterday in the First Lady's office on a variety of women's issues and the upcoming Beijing Conference.

We know that there will be a strong call for the President to establish a Commission on the Status of Women sometime around the 6th of September. This will be done in relation to the ongoing commitments of the Administration as a result of the Fourth World Conference on Women. Bella Abzug and a number of other individuals and organizations will be issuing this demand.

It is our suggestion that the President pre-empt this call by announcing his speech on Aug. 26th, Women's Equality Day, that he intends to follow-up on the important work of the conference and the U.S. delegation, by having the delegation remain intact as an advisory body to the President until the end of the year. This connotes action, commitment to the concerns of women, and most of all, he is not in a responding posture, but is ahead of the requests. (By the way, thousands of women have written in over the last year also requesting the establishment of a commission.)

I also think that this speech is a great time for the President to announce the formation of the Women's Office at the White House. This hasn't been done formally, and there was criticism at the DNC meeting about our keeping this "secret". Betsy should go to Jackson Hole and be the visible statement about this whole new and important initiative.

In calling for the extension of the delegation into an advisory group, the POTUS buys time to look at different options in response to the many requests that have come in. I hope that you will weigh in on this--it is a very good opportunity for us to get ahead of the curve and make an important statement at the same time.

Cc: Melanne Verveer
Betsy Myers
Alexis Herman
Maureen Shea



THE
KAMBER
GROUP

Facsimile Cover Sheet

1920 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Suite 700
FAX# (202) 659-5559

To: Alexis Herman
Fax Number: 456-2983
From: Lynn Cutler
Date: ^{Aug 22} ~~February 23, 1995~~ Time: ~~3:10pm~~
Client Number: 64800

Number of pages including this cover: ² 4. If you do not receive all pages, contact sender by telephone at (202)223-8700.

MESSAGE:

08/04/95 12:19

TO: Harold Ickes
White House
FAX PHONE: (202) 456-2883

FROM: AAUW
Katherine Levy (202) 785-7786

8/19

Alexis -

This is part
of what we discussed.

Janice



To: Voter Education "Get the Facts" Network

From: Katherine Levy, AAUW

Subject: Fax Transmittals

Date: August 4, 1995

We have begun faxing "Get the Facts" alerts to members of our network twice a month. You may have received a call last night on your phone line that was intended for your fax machine. Due to a miscommunication with our fax vendor, your phone and fax numbers were reversed. This was a one-time, unexpected problem and we have since solved the problem with the vendor.

We sincerely apologize for any inconvenience this caused you and we appreciate your patience with us as we navigate our way through the channels of a new technology.

Please contact me at 202/785-7786 if I can be of further assistance.



INFORMATION ABOUT "GET THE FACTS: WOMEN'S NETWORK FOR CHANGE"

In July the Women's Network for Change launched our fax and e-mail network. The network is part of a nationwide voter education campaign to defend women's rights against attacks already evident from the current conservative majority leaders in Congress. We hope to educate more women voters and women's advocates about these attacks. The backlash in the 104th Congress threatens civil rights, reproductive choice, workplace equity issues, gender fairness in education, and women's health.

This campaign will be non-partisan and will inform you about the issues. It will not endorse political candidates. It will link women's and progressive organizations across the country, reaching into every state and thousands of communities. You have been chosen to play a key part.

The attached Women's Network for Change alert was developed by representatives of the organizations listed below. Similar alerts will be issued approximately twice a month when Congress is in session. In most cases, we will send the alerts without a cover memo.

We hope you will use these alerts in several ways, to have an impact on Congress:

- Alert your members and women they know or work with about what is happening on Capitol Hill, and generate rapid response grassroots lobbying action.
- Share the information in the alerts immediately with unaffiliated women in your community. Share it with women you serve if you are a program provider. Be creative. Wherever women gather--be it a day care center, a grocery store, a library, a laundromat--make this information available to them so that they, too, can take action and make their voices heard in this Congress.
- Share the information with other community activists, friends and family members who care about women's rights.

It is vitally important that advocates for women raise these important issues with members of Congress personally to advocate vigorously for women's rights. Whenever members of Congress are home, please encourage many women in your community to communicate with them especially in public forums such as town hall meetings. Watch these alerts for notice of upcoming Congressional recesses. The stakes are high. We're glad you've joined us.

Should you have questions about the campaign, please contact the name at the top of this page.

WOMEN'S NETWORK FOR CHANGE • American Association of University Women • Advocates for Youth • American Jewish Congress • American School Health Association • Business and Professional Women/USA • Center for Policy Alternatives • Coalition of Labor Union Women • Feminist Majority • Fifty Plus One • Independent Federation of Flight Attendants • International Association for Feminist Exchanges • MANA, A National Indian Organization • Ms. Foundation for Women • National Abortion Federation • National Abortion and Reproductive Rights Action League • National Council of Negro Women • National Organization for Women • Planned Parenthood Federation of America • Pro-Choice Resource Center • Wider Opportunities for Women • Women's Campaign Fund • Women's Legal Defense Fund • YWCA of the USA • a project of the Council of Presidents



EDUCATION HARDEST HIT BY CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET CUTS

The House of Representatives Appropriations Committee has voted to cut education funding by a higher percentage than any other federal spending area, severely diminishing the national commitment to learning, to children, and to adult literacy. At a time when we need to increase our investment in future generations, education programs are being reduced by \$3.9 billion--a 15.9 percent cut. By comparison, agriculture spending is being cut by only 9 percent, transportation by 7 percent, and defense spending by 0.3 percent. All Republicans on the Committee voted for the education cuts; no Democrats did.

If Congress successfully cuts the federal share of education spending to this degree, it is unlikely that state and local governments will be able to make up the difference. The following is a sample of the cuts:

- A school reform program designed to help states develop consistent, high standards in all academic subject areas is being completely eliminated. The program received bipartisan support last year.
- Head Start is slated for a cut of \$200 million. According to the Children's Defense Fund, this reduction will deny services to 48,000 children.
- The Safe and Drug-free Schools program, which funds prevention of alcohol, drugs, and violence in schools in every state, is being cut by 50 percent.
- Local library construction, literacy, and other library programs are being cut by \$43 million.
- Star Schools, which helps bring computers and technology into classrooms around the country by fostering private/public partnerships, is being eliminated.

Here's What You Can Do!



Call your Representative and Senators at 202/774-3121. Urge them to oppose any appropriations bill that makes education bear an unfair burden of federal budget cuts.



Your Members of Congress will be home in your district from August 5 to September 5. Contact their district offices or attend town meetings.



Share this information with friends and others in your community.

TO: Alexis *GPJ*
FROM: Gloria
RE: Today's Washington Post article on WOMEN and POLLING
DATE: 8/15/95

If this article does not get them moving this week, I don't know what will! I thought I'd pass it along in case you missed it in the clips.

Also, please remember to let me know if you decide to bring in the pollsters again for analysis and discussion. It would help us be able to hit the ground running.

ALB 15



Pollsters View Gender Gap as Political Fixture

*White Men Heed GOP Call;
Women Lean to Democrats*

By Thomas B. Edsall

Washington Post Staff Writer

If 1992 was the year of the woman and 1994 was the year of the angry white man, 1996 is shaping up as an unprecedented political war of the sexes in white America.

The Washington confrontation between a Democratic Party led by President Clinton and a Republican Party dominated by House Speaker Newt Gingrich (Ga.) is producing the widest political gender gap between white men and white women ever seen by public opinion analysts.

Republican pollster Bill McInturff calls it "the permanent gender gap."

"What was an artifact of the '80s is now being institutionalized and locked into place," he said.

A July survey by his firm, Public Opinion Strategies, found that white men are now inclined to vote for Republican congressional candidates over Democrats by 51 percent to 23 percent. He said "Democrats are in the worst shape among white men I've seen" during 15 years of public opinion analysis. White women are evenly split on the question, while black and Hispanic voters of both sexes are strongly Democratic.

Democrat pollster Celinda Lake said there was a large gender gap in the early 1980s specifically about President Ronald Reagan, but now the split encompasses virtually all politics. "You'll get it in a race for dogcatcher in Montana, if it's a Republican against a Democrat," she said.

The gender split is present in such seemingly rock-solid conservative constituencies as white Christians who identify themselves as "born again." Lake said that a plurality of white, born-again women believe the budget cuts approved by the Republican-controlled Congress are going "too far," while white born-again men support the cuts by 56 percent to 29 percent.

The gender trends are bolstered by another emerging pattern—in which married people hold more conservative and Republican views while single status increases the likelihood of a liberal and Democratic outlook—that is changing the structure of the parties. Married, middle-aged white men are becoming a crucial constituency of the GOP, while single women, white and black, have become a key Democratic constituency.

"There is a real chasm between the genders," said Democratic pollster Guy Molyneux, senior

See GENDER, A11, Col. 1

Gender Gap Becomes a Political Fixture

GENDER, From A1

analyst at the Democratic firm of Peter D. Hart Research Associates. "We are looking at unbelievably high gender gaps."

While there is bipartisan agreement on the scope of the differences between white men and women, there is substantial disagreement on the forces driving the conflict.

Molyneux argued that Gingrich and the tough budget and regulatory policies pressed by the House GOP are the principal causes of the trends. "This is being driven by women turned off and white men turned on by the Republican agenda, and I think Clinton is almost irrelevant to this gender polarization," he said.

GOP survey specialist Ed Goetas of the Tarrance Group countered that "the feminization of Bill Clinton" has been the sustaining force behind the split between the sexes, "to the point that he has aggravated the gender gap more than Reagan was able to do from the other direction."

Goetas contended that huge gender splits in the public assessment of Gingrich are more offshoots of the divisions caused by Clinton than valid differences on their own. In a recent survey for U.S. News & World Report, Goetas found that Gingrich had a decisively favorable rating among white men, 54 percent positive to 35 percent negative, compared to decisively negative ratings from white women, 37-47; black men, 35-55; and black women, 20-51. Others were undecided or offered no opinion.

The pattern for Clinton, whose overall favorable ratings are higher than Gingrich's, was diametrically opposed: The positive-to-negative ratio for Clinton among white men was 35-56; among white women, 51-40; black men, 76-18; and black women, 72-21.

Pollsters believe that the 1994 gender gap between the parties is likely to grow even larger in 1996. In 1994, white men identified with the Republican Party by a decisive margin, 53 percent to 37 percent for the Democrats, and 10 percent indepen-

dent, according to analysis of National Election Studies data by Martin P. Wattenberg of the University of California at Irvine. A plurality of white women, 48 percent, identified with the Democratic Party, 42 percent with the GOP and 10 percent were independents. Black men were overwhelmingly Democratic, 75 percent, compared to 14 percent Republican and 11 percent independent, and black women were even more Democratic, 88 percent, compared to 7 percent Republican and 5 percent independent.

Goetas said the growing power of the GOP among white men is changing the basic election calculus for strategists of both parties. In the past, he said, Democrats had a strong advantage in any House or statewide race in which the black vote exceeded 20 percent. Because white men have become so Republican, he said, the strong Democratic advantage now occurs only if the black voting population is 25 percent or higher.

Although Molyneux placed primary responsibility for the gender patterns on Gingrich and the GOP, he noted that "Clinton and Gingrich epitomize the strengths and weaknesses [with men and women] of the two parties."

In their evaluation of policy and legislative decisions, Molyneux said, "women are strong on the role of family and society, and they tend to be concerned about what can go wrong. Men tend to see the opposite side of things, and discount heavily what can go wrong until it is absolutely thrust in their face."

Most of the pollsters agreed that women are much more concerned with the potential harm of spending cuts than are men, especially white men. Such bottom-line issues as the deficit, tax cuts and spending reductions get much higher priority from men than women.

These differing views then play directly into the very different assessments of Clinton and Gingrich. Molyneux said that a January Wall Street Journal-NBC poll found that a

much higher percentage of voters of all races believe Clinton "cares about average people" compared to Gingrich, while many more believe Gingrich is a strong leader compared to Clinton.

Another specialist in public opinion said that with Clinton, "you have a president who is the son of an alcoholic, who feels your pain, a walking New Ager, baby boomer," who appeals to many women. Men, in contrast, say: "I don't want my president to feel my pain, I want him to get tough with North Korea."

Further complicating gender splits are substantial differences in the political outlook between married people and single people, regardless of race.

For example, married men support by 55 percent to 30 percent what the Republicans are doing in Congress, while unmarried men are conflicted, with 44 percent supportive and 41 percent critical, according to a recent Wall Street Journal-NBC poll, Molyneux said. Married women are somewhat critical of the GOP's policies in Congress, with 41 percent negative and 36 percent positive, while unmarried women—never married, divorced and widowed—are overwhelmingly hostile to the GOP, 48-27.

Along similar lines, pollster Lake said that 1994 exit polls showed that unmarried women backed Democrats over Republicans by a 32 percentage-point margin, while married men were in the Republican camp by a 12-point margin. The effect of the growing gender division will be an increasingly factionalized white electorate. The differences will cross traditional partisan divisions of education and income, in which Republican support has generally increased with rising income and education.

FOR MORE INFORMATION

For a state-by-state look at key 1996 primary dates, see *Digital Ink*, The Post's on-line service. To learn about *Digital Ink*, call 1-800-510-5104, ext. 9000.

Corporation for National Service
FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET
External Affairs Office

CORPORATION
FOR NATIONAL
SERVICE

TO: Alexis Ruben
LOCATION: White House
FAX #: 456-2983 PHONE #: _____
DATE: 8/15/95 RETRANSMISSION? _____ YES ☒ NO

FROM: Gloria Johnson, Director of Constituent Relations and White House Liaison

FAX #: (202) 565-2794 PHONE #: (202) 606-5000 Ext. 234

COMMENTS:

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER SHEET) 4

IF THERE IS ANY PROBLEM WITH THIS TRANSMISSION, PLEASE CALL THE
EXTENSION NOTED ABOVE.

1201 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20525
Telephone 202-606-5000

Getting Things Done.
AmeriCorps, National Service
Learn and Serve America
National Senior Service Corps

September 1, 1995

MEMORANDUM FOR ALEXIS HERMAN ✓

FROM: Debbie Fine ✓

SUBJECT: Goodwill Industries

Attached is a request from Michael Langton of Goodwill Sarasota (private welfare to work program) to meet with you in mid-September to discuss their program. He will have with him: former U.S. Senator Marlow Cook, Reverend Don Roberts, Sandy Purahn and Carl Bauer.

Several months ago I had met with representatives of this organization at the request of Kerry Kirschner (as a substitute for you). At the time, it seemed that they were looking to get higher visibility of their program from the President visiting one of their sites, with a longer term goal of getting funding or a 'loan' for their program through Congress.


It is my understanding that they have been working with Congress, HHS and Labor to achieve this throughout the course of the past year. They have also had visits from several administration officials -- I think Laura Tyson, Mack McLarty and Phil Lader.

Given the number of meetings they seem to have had with administration representatives, I do not think it is necessary for you to meet with Goodwill. It may, however, be important to you for political or other reasons that I am not aware of.

Please let me know how you would like to respond, or if you would like me to get more information.

Thanks.

cc: Ruby Moy



To: Alexis Herman c/o Debbie Fine - transmitted via fax 202-456-2983

From: Michael Langton, Goodwill Sarasota, Florida

Re: Visit to Washington, D.C. September 18-20

Date: August 29, 1995

After meeting you back in June in Jacksonville, through our mutual friend, Congresswomen Corrine Brown, I mentioned I was working on a wonderful Welfare to Work project and as a result would be in Washington from time to time and would try to see you and discuss the program with you.

When we were in D.C. back in March we met with Debbie Fine of your staff. As you may remember Kerry Kirschner arranged that meeting.

We have scheduled a visit to Washington, D.C. on September 18, 19, & 20 and would like to bring you up to date on our progress to include our very successful Welfare to Work private sector program in the Congressional Welfare Bill.

Former U.S. Senator Marlow Cook, Rev. Don Roberts, Sandy Purgahn, Carl Bauer, and myself will be in town and would greatly appreciate a few minutes with you.

Please let me know if you will be available to meet with us during our visit. Thanks Alexis!

September 18, 1995

MEMORANDUM FOR KIRK HANLIN

FROM: CHRIS WAYNE

SUBJECT: VIP'S FOR JACKSONVILLE EVENT

I would really appreciate it if you would make sure that the following two gentlemen are seated in the VIP section for tomorrow's event:

- 1) Mr. Jimmy Holderfield
Florida State President
Fraternal Order of Police
- 2) Mr. Pete Fire
National Trustee
Fraternal Order of Police

Mr. Holderfield is a likely candidate for National President of the FOP in two years. Their current leadership has been very supportive but the support of their membership has started erode a little. I need to start including the local guys wherever possible.

Please give me a call at 6-5165 to confirm.

Thanks.

cc: Alexis Herman
Rahm Emanuel

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. list	[Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	09/06/1995	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Public Liaison
Alexis Herman
OA/Box Number: 6275

FOLDER TITLE:

Alexis Herman Folder #5

2012-0741-F

rs2659

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

CEOS ATTENDING MEETING WITH POTUS ON EDUCATION 9/6/95

FIRST NAME FAX	LAST NAME	TITLE	COMPANY	SS#	DOB	PHONE(O)
Edward 610-481-7798	Donley	Former Chairman	Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.	(b)(6)		610-481-7004
Walter 803-547-3786	Elisha	CEO	Springs Industries, Inc.			803-547-3780
Louis 914-765-7030	Gerstner	Chairman and CEO	IBM			914-765-6717
Joseph T. 216-291-7115	Gorman	Chairman & CEO	TRW Inc.			216-291-7106
Albert 212-258-4018	Hoser	President and CEO	Siemens Company			212-258-4015
Kent 404-828-6619	Nelson	Chairman & CEO	United Parcel Service			404-828-6108
John 513-983-9626	Pepper	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	Proctor and Gamble			513-983-1100
David W. 616-923-3978	Whitwam	Chairman, President, & CEO	Whirlpool Corporation			616-923-3150

NAM **National Association**
of Manufacturers**Jerry J. Jasinowski****President**

September 13, 1995

Ms. Alexis Herman
Public Liaison
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

NAM Board of Directors Meeting
September 21-23, 1995

Dear Alexis:

Following up on our conversation on decoupling the budget and debt ceiling, we are very interested in getting the Administration's views on this and other budget-related matters at our Board Meeting session on Saturday morning, September 23, 1995. The time frame would be sometime between 10 a.m. and Noon, and we can be flexible.

As you know, the NAM Board of Directors is holding their Fall Meeting at the J. W. Marriott this year, and we feel it would be very useful for an Administration spokesperson to make a presentation on the overall budget issue.

We would like to ask for your help in obtaining one of the following:

Bob Rubin - 456 2174 (Paul)

Leon Panetta

Alice Rivlin

Laura Tyson - 395 2042

*Denise
to 2174
our tour
mon/tues*

I will be in touch with you on Thursday. Thanks for your help.

Sincerely,

Jerry J.

Manufacturing Makes America Strong

1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 1500-North Tower, Washington, DC 20004-1710 • (202) 637-3106 • Fax: (202) 637-3182

**CEO Meeting on Educational Technology
San Francisco Exploratorium
September 21, 1995**

Eric Benhamou	CEO	3 COM
John Seely Brown	Chief Scientist and Corporate Vice President, Director, Palo Alto Research Center	XEROX Corporation
Stephen Case	CEO	America Online
Tony Coelho		
Ken Coleman	Senior Vice President	Silicon Graphics, Inc.
Lawrence Ellison	Chairman & CEO	Oracle Corporation
Lynn Forrester		
Gary Forsey	President & CEO	Sprint
John Gage		
Steve W. Hooper	President	AT&T Wireless Services
Reed Hunt		Federal Communications Commission
John P. Morgridge	CEO	Cisco Systems
Philip J. Quigley	Chairman & CEO	Pacific Telesis Group
William Raduchel	Chief Information Officer	Sun Microsystems
Bruce Ravenel	Senior Vice President and CEO	TCI
Bert Roberts	Chairman & CEO	MCI Communications
Harry Saal	President	Smart Valley

Michael H. Spindler

President & CEO

Apple Computer, Inc.

Glen Toney

Vice President

Applied Materials

**REMARKS BY THE PRESIDENT
RESPONSE TO QUESTION ON MEDICARE**

September 14, 1995
The Oval Office

10:45 A.M. EDT

Q The Speaker of the House today said that the Democratic position on Medicare is to scare 85-year-olds, and he called the party "morally bankrupt."

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you know, I think it's questionable to use words like morally bankrupt, but let's look at the facts. For two years, I said the Medicare Trust Fund was in trouble, and Mr. Gingrich and others mocked me and denied that it was in trouble. All by ourselves, with no help from them, we added three years to the life of the Trust Fund. We have proposed legislation which would add 10 years to the life of the Trust Fund and will get Medicare out of trouble.

I don't want to use a term like "morally bankrupt," but I think it is morally questionable at least to propose vast Medicare cuts which would increase the cost of Medicare to elderly people living on under \$24,000 a year, and claim that it's going to the Trust Fund when they know not one red cent of the money being paid by seniors will go to the Trust Fund. It will go to fund a tax cut that is too big. And they should tell the truth to the American people that they want to charge the providers more money and put that in the Trust Fund. They want to charge the elderly people of this country more money and put that into the tax cut.

Now, that is the truth. And if we're going to talk about what morality requires, morality requires them to tell the truth to the American people.

**REMARKS BY THE PRESIDENT
RESPONSE TO QUESTION ON MEDICARE**

September 14, 1995
The Oval Office


10:45 A.M. EDT

Q The Speaker of the House today said that the Democratic position on Medicare is to scare 85-year-olds, and he called the party "morally bankrupt."

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you know, I think it's questionable to use words like morally bankrupt, but let's look at the facts. For two years, I said the Medicare Trust Fund was in trouble, and Mr. Gingrich and others mocked me and denied that it was in trouble. All by ourselves, with no help from them, we added three years to the life of the Trust Fund. We have proposed legislation which would add 10 years to the life of the Trust Fund and will get Medicare out of trouble.

I don't want to use a term like "morally bankrupt," but I think it is morally questionable at least to propose vast Medicare cuts which would increase the cost of Medicare to elderly people living on under \$24,000 a year, and claim that it's going to the Trust Fund when they know not one red cent of the money being paid by seniors will go to the Trust Fund. It will go to fund a tax cut that is too big. And they should tell the truth to the American people that they want to charge the providers more money and put that in the Trust Fund. They want to charge the elderly people of this country more money and put that into the tax cut.

Now, that is the truth. And if we're going to talk about what morality requires, morality requires them to tell the truth to the American people.



Stone Mountain Co-Op

Trust & Love + Quality

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 22, 1995

MEMORANDUM FOR BETTY CURRIE

cc: ALEXIS HERMAN
LEE SATTERFIELD

FROM: JAY K. FOOTLIK *JKF*
OFFICE OF PUBLIC LIAISON

RE: BEN MEED/AMERICAN GATHERING OF JEWISH
HOLOCAUST SURVIVORS

*Amk
Told Lee to
inform Jay
the system for
sending memos
to POTUS
12*
AUG 22 1995

Ben Meed, a holocaust survivor who traveled with the President to Poland in July 1994, forwarded to me a copy of his organizations Rosh Hashana greeting (Jewish New Year). The President is featured prominently on the inside of the card as well as an excerpt from his speech at the Holocaust Remembrance Commemoration at Madison Square Garden in New York, April 30, 1995.

100,000 copies of this card are being sent to Jewish families throughout the United States.

Ben wanted me to pass along a copy to the President.

Thanks.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

August 16, 1995

Note to: Leon Panetta
Harold Ickes
Alexis Herman

From: Kitty Higgins

Attached is a report from the
Labor Department on the issue
Alexis raised at our Wednesday
Senior Staff meeting.

AUG 16 1995

MEMORANDUM

To: Leslie Lobel and Bibb Hubbard

From: Maria Echaveste

Re: KTOZ-radio in Springfield, MO

Date: August 16, 1995

The Labor Department received two complaints from employees of the station (one of whom is also an investor) about workers not being paid by the radio station for scheduled work hours. We do not disclose the bases for our compliance investigations to protect the anonymity of complaints.

Wage & Hour officials had an initial meeting with the employer to attempt to determine the facts of this case. The employer refused to cooperate and would not provide access to any records. Wage and Hour had not made a determination as to whether to pursue this matter. The employer, however, went to the press to create a story unwarranted by the facts. Given the Department's limited resources and the fact that the Fair Labor Standards Act provides complaints with a private right of action the Department decided not to pursue this matter.

This area is not a high priority for already stretched investigative resources. Our priorities are agriculture, garment and other low-wage industries.

The complainants have been notified that their complaints can be pursued in the civil courts through exercise of their "private right of action".

The Department's decision not to pursue this matter should not be viewed as condoning work for no pay. There are very good and strong policy reasons why for-profit companies are not allowed to employ people for no pay. First of all, those practices take wages out of worker's pockets and force everyone's wages down. Such practices also create unfair competition for employers who comply with the law. If volunteering in such circumstances is permitted, there will be increased pressure on employees to volunteer after time for no pay in order to keep their job.