

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Kenneth Lieberthal to Glyn Davies, Donna Dejban, Nina Hachigian et al. Subject: Message to China. (3 pages)	04/02/1999	P1/b(1)
002. email	Glyn Davies to Kenneth Lieberthal, Donna Dejban, Nina Hachigian et al. Subject: RE: China and WTO. (3 pages)	04/03/1999	P1/b(1)
003. email	Mona Sutphen to Glyn Davies. Subject: RE: China and WTO. (3 pages)	04/03/1999	P1/b(1)
004. email	James Keith to Kenneth Lieberthal. Subject: Draft WTO Letter. (3 pages)	05/01/1999	P1/b(1)
005. email	Kenneth Lieberthal to Glyn Davies, Donna Dejban, Nina Hachigian et al. Subject: WTO End Game. (7 pages)	05/04/1999	P1/b(1)
006. email	Malcolm Lee to Donna Dejban, Wendy Gray and Mara Rudman. Subject: China WTO. (1 page)	08/25/1999	P1/b(1)
007. email	Malcolm Lee to David Goldberg. Subject: China WTO. (6 pages)	09/01/1999	P1/b(1)
008. email	Malcolm Lee to bathsheba Crocker, Mona Sutphen, Holly Hammonds and Mara Rudman. Subject: China WTO: Background. (7 pages)	09/06/1999	P1/b(1)
009. email	James Smith to Kenneth Lieberthal. Subject: USTR. (3 pages)	09/07/1999	P1/b(1)
010. email	James Keith to Kenneh Lieberthal. Subject: For Your Use on the Plane. (2 pages)	09/09/1999	P1/b(1)
011. email	James Keith to Kenneh Lieberthal. Subject: A Few Thought on WTO. (2 pages)	09/09/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([China, WTO, Barshefsky, Kantor])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1999-10/30/1999]

2010-1024-F

vz1903

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
012. email	Shelia Moretz to Bathsheba Crocker, Marilyn Scott-Perez, Sharon Storey, and Mona Sutphen. Subject: FW: WTO Letter and Package. (5 pages)	10/30/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([China, WTO, Barshefsky, Kantor])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1999-10/30/1999]

2010-1024-F
vz1903

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Kenneth Lieberthal to Glyn Davies, Donna Dejban, Nina Hachigian et al. Subject: Message to China. (3 pages)	04/02/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([China, WTO, Barshefsky, Kantor])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1999-10/30/1999]

2010-1024-F
vz1903

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. email	Glyn Davies to Kenneth Lieberthal, Donna Dejban, Nina Hachigian et al. Subject: RE: China and WTO. (3 pages)	04/03/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([China, WTO, Barshefsky, Kantor])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1999-10/30/1999]

2010-1024-F
vz1903

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003. email	Mona Sutphen to Glyn Davies. Subject: RE: China and WTO. (3 pages)	04/03/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([China, WTO, Barshefsky, Kantor])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1999-10/30/1999]

2010-1024-F
vz1903

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
004. email	James Keith to Kenneth Lieberthal. Subject: Draft WTO Letter. (3 pages)	05/01/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([China, WTO, Barshefsky, Kantor])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1999-10/30/1999]

2010-1024-F
vz1903

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
005. email	Kenneth Lieberthal to Glyn Davies, Donna Dejban, Nina Hachigian et al. Subject: WTO End Game. (7 pages)	05/04/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([China, WTO, Barshefsky, Kantor])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1999-10/30/1999]

2010-1024-F
vz1903

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.
PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).
RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 5/11/99 12:59:37 PM
FROM Keith, James R.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT AUSTRALIAN VIEWS CHINESE ACCESSION TO THE WTO
[UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Lee, Malcolm R.

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

-----Original Message-----

From: WHSR
Sent: Monday, May 10,
1999 9:31 PM
To: Arvizu, Alexander A.; Hammonds, D. Holly; Holtzapple,
Richard A.;
Keith, James R.; Lee, Malcolm R.; Lieberthal, Kenneth
G.; Osius,
Theodore G.; Pritchard, Charles (Jack) L.; Schaefer,
Matthew P.; Shah,
Sonal R.
Subject: AUSTRALIAN VIEWS CHINESE ACCESSION
TO THE WTO

UNCLAS CANBERRA 001521

SENSITIVE

STATE ALSO
FOR EAP/ANP
STATE PASS TO USTR FOR BARSHEFSKY/CASSIDY
WHITE HOUSE
FOR NSC
GENEVA FOR USTR
USDOC FOR 4530/IEP/EAP/OPB

E.O. 12958:

N/A

TAGS: ETRD, ECON, PREL, AS

SUBJECT: AUSTRALIAN VIEWS CHINESE

ACCESSION TO THE WTO

REF: BEIJING 4246

1. (U) SENSITIVE BUT
UNCLASSIFIED, NOT FOR INTERNET
DISTRIBUTION.

2. (SBU) SUMMARY:
SENIOR GOA OFFICIALS PLAN TO TRAVEL TO
BEIJING ON MAY 12 TO CONTINUE
NEGOTIATIONS ON CHINESE WTO
ACCESSION. MINISTER FOR TRADE TIM FISCHER
WILL BE IN
BEIJING ON MAY 17. WHILE THE AUSTRALIANS HOPE TO FINALIZE
A
BILATERAL AGREEMENT WITH CHINA AS PART OF FISCHER'S VISIT,
CONTACTS
IN DFAT REMAIN SKEPTICAL THAT ALL THE DETAILS CAN
BE WRAPPED UP
BY THEN. PRINCIPAL AUSTRALIAN CONCERNS ARE
WITH SUGAR, OILS AND
OILSEEDS, AND SOME SERVICES. THEY HAVE
SOME LESSER CONCERNS WITH
WOOL AND BEEF. END SUMMARY.

3. (SBU) THE WTO ACCESSIONS OFFICE
IN THE DEPARTMENT OF
FOREIGN AFFAIRS AND TRADE (DFAT) CONFIRMED
THAT TRADE
NEGOTIATOR GRAEME THOMPSON AND DEPUTY SECRETARY
DAVID
SPENCER
WILL GO TO BEIJING MAY 12 TO FURTHER WORK ON THE WTO
ACCESSION AGREEMENT.
MINISTER FOR TRADE TIM FISCHER WILL
VISIT BEIJING MAY 17 ON HIS
WAY TO WASHINGTON. THE
AUSTRALIANS ORIGINALLY HAD A LONG LIST OF
OUTSTANDING ISSUES
TO BE RESOLVED AS PART OF CHINA'S ACCESSION TO
THE WTO, BUT
WITH THE PROGRESS MADE DURING PREMIER ZHU'S VISIT TO
THE
U.S., DFAT NOW SAYS THAT THE LIST HAS BEEN SHORTENED
CONSIDERABLY.
DFAT SAYS THAT THE CHINESE CONCESSIONS MADE
ON RICE AND WHEAT WERE
IMPORTANT TO THEM.

4. (SBU) THE SINGLE GREATEST ISSUE OUTSTANDING FOR THE GOA IS SUGAR, WHERE THEY HOPE TO GET A REDUCTION IN THE CURRENT TARIFF OF 25 PERCENT. THE AUSTRALIANS ARE ALSO LOOKING FOR CHINESE CONCESSIONS ON OIL AND OIL SEEDS, TO BRING THE TREATMENT OF AUSTRALIAN CANOLA INTO LINE WITH U.S. SOYBEANS. THE AUSTRALIANS ARE ALSO HOPING TO MAKE PROGRESS ON WOOL, WHERE THEIR CONCERNS ARE WITH THE CHINESE INSPECTION AND LICENSING SYSTEMS. IN THE AREA OF SERVICES, THE AUSTRALIANS ARE HOPING TO OBTAIN A COMMITMENT FOR BETTER TREATMENT OF LEGAL AND ACCOUNTANCY FIRMS WITH RESPECT TO LICENSING.

5. (SBU) OUR SOURCES IN DFAT SAY THEY HAVE HAD CONTINUING DIFFICULTIES IN GETTING THE CHINESE TO MAKE COMMITMENTS IN WRITING. THE CHINESE HAVE MADE LOTS OF VERBAL STATEMENTS, BUT DFAT IS CONCERNED TO GET THEM PINNED DOWN. TO THIS END, DFAT HAS PREPARED A 78 PAGE DOCUMENT, COMPILING ALL THE COMMITMENTS THEY BELIEVE CHINA HAS MADE TO THEM UP TO NOW. SPENCER AND THOMPSON WILL LEAVE A COPY OF THIS PAPER WITH THE CHINESE DURING THEIR UPCOMING VISIT.

6. (SBU) WHEN ASKED WHY THE CHINESE HAVE RECENTLY BECOME MORE INTERESTED IN ATTAINING WTO MEMBERSHIP, JOHN STROOP OF THE DFAT WTO ACCESSIONS OFFICE SAID THAT IN HIS VIEW THERE ARE A NUMBER OF FACTORS AT PLAY. FOR THE PAST COUPLE OF YEARS, THE CHINESE HAVE BEEN MORE FOCUSED ON THE ASIAN FINANCIAL CRISIS. BUT THEY HAVE NOW BEGUN TO TURN THEIR ATTENTION TO THE DECLINE IN FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN THE WAKE OF THE CRISIS. THEY SEE WTO MEMBERSHIP AS A MEANS OF

REASSURING INVESTORS AND
ATTRACTING NEW INVESTMENT. WHEN
ASKED WHAT IMPACT HE SAW THE ACCIDENTAL
BOMBING OF THE
BELGRADE EMBASSY MIGHT HAVE ON WTO ACCESSION, STROOP
SAID
THAT IT WAS TOO EARLY TO SAY, BUT THE AUSTRALIANS WILL
CONTINUE
WITH THEIR NEGOTIATIONS.
HOLMES

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 5/19/99 10:20:33 PM
FROM Lee, Malcolm R.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Urgent Clearance: China WTO remarks [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Keith, James R.

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

Jim: I need to make these remarks at 8:00 AM Thursday. Please page me in the morning if you see any problems with this. I will be going directly from home to the site. thanks much ML

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT

chinawtoptswita.doc
CHINA WTO - STATUS
May 20, 1999
Washington International Trade Association

* Thank you. Many familiar faces. Want to update you on where we are in our talks with China, why we believe they are important and where we want to go. I will be short, and take a few questions after my colleagues have spoken.

* First, let me say that President Clinton and the entire Administration strongly support China's admission to the WTO this year on strong commercial terms.

* Our WTO discussions with China, led by Ambassador Barshefsky and her superb team of negotiators led by Assistant U.S. Trade Representative Robert Cassidy - are an integral part of our broader strategy of engagement.

* We have no illusions about China. But we must engage the world's most populous nation. It serves our broad national interest to do so - working together

where possible, and dealing forthrightly with our differences wherever necessary. This is the best way to advance our national interests and promote our values.

* We believe that China's accession to the WTO -- on strong commercial terms -- is in America's interest. We also believe it is squarely in the interest of China, and in the interest of the global trading system.

* China's emergence as a trade power is of enormous global significance. WTO accession represents an important opportunity for Asia's largest nation and fastest growing economy to create and implement a rules-based trade regime, and to advance fundamental and historic economic reforms.

* It is also an opportunity to open China's largely closed agricultural, manufacturing and services markets to our exports, and to bring China into a rules-based trading system .

* To meet WTO requirements, China must, among other things, make laws public, require judicial review of all trade actions, apply all trade laws uniformly, and submit to WTO dispute settlement to ensure compliance with WTO rules. These sound basic, but for those of you who have done business in China, they are enormously important.

* All of these measures enhance the rule of law and the application of international norms in China's trade regime. They would benefit China and the United States. And we believe the rule of law in trade has spillover benefits to the rule of law elsewhere.

So Where Are We in the Talks

* These discussions have been going on for over a decade. We were very disappointed China was not prepared to make sufficient market opening commitments during the President's trip to Beijing last June. We have made consistently

clear that any agreement must be commercially viable.

* A "political" accession would have weakened, not strengthened the global trading system, and slowed domestic reform and sustainable growth in China.

* Thus we rejected attempts by China to win accession at the creation of the WTO in 1995 and before the Presidential Summits in 1997 and 1998.

* Late last year and early this year, we began to test the waters once again. When Deputy USTR Fisher and I traveled to Beijing in late January, we did not know whether the Chinese would engage seriously or not.

* Ambassador Fisher committed to table a serious offer that reflected our interests. Many of you consulted closely with USTR in that process.

* In the weeks before Premier Zhu's visit in May, our USTR-led negotiators made very meaningful progress.

* China made important commitments to open its goods, services and agricultural markets, covering unfair trade practices including quotas, other non-tariff measures, application of non-scientific agricultural standards, discriminatory regulatory processes, lack of transparency, export subsidies, and other barriers.

* China agreed to address tariffs and other barriers at the border; limits on trading rights and distribution in the Chinese market, and restrictions on services, and to address subsidies, state trading, technology transfer, offsets, export performance requirements and other rules issues.

* China agreed to begin opening its market on day one, with phase in of further concessions limited to five years in almost all cases, and in many cases in one to three years.

* With this package, we came close, but were unable to resolve a few important

issues.

* These include both market access issues (greater openness of banking, securities and audiovisual markets), and some rules that would apply to China as it transitions to a market economy (e.g., preserving our ability to apply special rules to China for dumping cases and to address import surges, a mechanism to address China's implementation of WTO obligations, and textile treatment).

* At the conclusion of the visit, President Clinton and Premier Zhu instructed our respective trade ministers to satisfactorily resolve the important remaining issues and reach agreement on strong commercial terms as soon as possible in support of the PRC's accession to the WTO in 1999.

* That is what we have been working to do ever since.

* In late April, Assistant USTR Cassidy led a full negotiating team to Beijing. I joined him. He had a mandate to close the deal if we could satisfactorily resolve the remaining issues. The talks were constructive in clarifying our positions, but we were unable to resolve the remaining issues.

* You are all aware of the tragic accidental bombing in Belgrade. As our spokesperson Mr. Mr. Lockhart has stated, and I will not comment further on this, the President, in a call with President Jiang last week, expressed his sincere regrets and condolences to President Jiang and the Chinese people concerning the tragic accident. The President expressed his desire to move beyond this tragic accident in our relationship. WTO did come up briefly. As Mr. Lockhart stated, the President expressed his view that both sides need to continue working this subject.

* We stand prepared to reengage.

* Are we confident that we will do so and conclude a deal? We

continue to
believe that effective U.S.-China relations serve the vital interests of
both
sides and are very important for the peace, stability and prosperity of
Asia. We
believe the Chinese ultimately share that view, and look forward to
stabilizing
our relationship and moving forward in a way that serves the interests
of both
countries and the international community. That includes resolving all
outstanding issues and bringing China into the WTO in 1999.

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 5/22/99 3:28:48 PM
FROM Keith, James R.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: Congressional correspondence re. China/WTO
[UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Lee, Malcolm R.
CARBON_COPY Moyn, Samuel A.

TEXT_BODY Malcom: pls take a look. I have borrowed your Baucus language.
Note I have added a cautionary tone on timing. Jim

-----Original
Message-----
From: Moyn, Samuel A.
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 1999
3:22 PM
To: @ASIA - Asian Affairs
Cc: Hurley, C. Michael
Subject: Congressional
correspondence re. China/WTO [UNCLASSIFIED]

We need to task you
guys to do a paragraph or two in this letter to Rep. Rick Boucher
about China's entry in the WTO. I have faxed the incoming to you.
Sam

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT 3010ltr.doc

Dear Representative Boucher:

I was glad to have a better chance to get to know you and Wendy at

dinner. I am writing to thank you for your comments on the situation in Kosovo and on China's entry in the WTO and to explain our position on both matters.

Let me address Kosovo first. Mr. Milosevic's ethnic cleansing there and the fact that it was taking place on NATO's doorstep demanded a response. Only after every diplomatic option had been explored and exhausted did we and our NATO allies reluctantly resort to force. Ethnic hatred has caused too much death and suffering in this century. On the eve of the 21st century we could not let so massive an onslaught go unchallenged. Even as military action is underway, we continue to explore all diplomatic opportunities in an effort to achieve a just peace for the region and a secure return of the Kosovar Albanians to their homes.

The aim of our current policy is, and has always been, to make that peace. Ongoing NATO air strikes therefore have a very clear objective. It will have been met when Mr. Milosevic withdraws all Serb forces -- including paramilitary and police forces -- from the province, allows all refugees to return to their homes, and permits the stationing of an international security force to protect all Kosovars, both ethnic Albanian and Serb. I agree with you that the refugees will only return to their homes if their security is assured. For that very reason we cannot accept any Serb proposal that falls short of NATO's fundamental conditions.

The air campaign is exacting an ever higher price from Milosevic. We have badly damaged the infrastructure that supports his war-making machine. We have functionally degraded Serbia's petroleum and oil refining capability and interrupted its electrical power supply throughout the country. And each day NATO air strikes cause further damage to his forces deployed in the field.

With respect to your concern about the possible deployment of ground troops, part of our strategy entails planning for success, i.e., Mr. Milosevic accepting our conditions, including the introduction of an effective international security force. This means a military force with NATO at its core, with NATO command and control and rules of engagement. NATO had planned for such a Kosovo Force (KFOR) prior to the air strikes, and is now in the process of updating that planning. KFOR assumes Serb consent. NATO is also updating its assessment of a ground force in a non-permissive environment. I have not ruled this out, since it is useful to keep Mr. Milosevic uncertain. At the same time, I can assure that the focus of planning is on a permissive environment, and I would want to consult with you and your colleagues carefully before any change.

As to China's entry in the WTO, bringing China into the WTO on strong commercial terms is clearly in America's interest, and in the interest of the global trading system. It will open Asia's largest and fastest growing economy to U.S. agricultural, manufacturing and services export, integrate China into a rules-based trading system, and advance fundamental, historic economic reforms and the rule of law.

Ambassador Barshefsky made significant progress in these negotiations in the weeks before Premier Zhu's visit to Washington. Important issues remain, but I believe in time these can be bridged with determination and flexibility on both sides. As you know, the recent tragic accident in Belgrade and subsequent events in Beijing have not made this task easier. Nevertheless, both sides share a mutual interest in the success of these talks, and I am confident that we can achieve a strong and workable agreement.

Thank you again for your letter.

Sincerely,

[Name/Title in Initial Caps]
[Title/Senate/House in Initial Caps]
[Organization/Position - Initial Caps]
[Address - Initial Caps]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
006. email	Malcolm Lee to Donna Dejbán, Wendy Gray and Mara Rudman. Subject: China WTO. (1 page)	08/25/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([China, WTO, Barshefsky, Kantor])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1999-10/30/1999]

2010-1024-F
vz1903

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
007. email	Malcolm Lee to David Goldberg. Subject: China WTO. (6 pages)	09/01/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([China, WTO, Barshefsky, Kantor])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1999-10/30/1999]

2010-1024-F
vz1903

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 9/2/99 4:53:46 PM

FROM Lieberthal, Kenneth G. (ASIA)

CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED

SUBJECT FW: China WTO Press Guidance [UNCLASSIFIED]

TO Fallin, James (PRESS)
Gobush, Matthew N. (PRESS)
Hammer, Michael A. (PRESS)
Huff, Lindsey E. (PRESS)
Leavy, David C. (PRESS)
Wozniak, Natalie S. (PRESS)
Huso, Ravic R. (ASIA)
Keith, James R. (ASIA)
Lieberthal, Kenneth G. (ASIA)
Matthews, Sonyia (ADMIN)
Pritchard, Charles (Jack) L. (ASIA)
Williams, Mary C. (ADMIN)
Duncan, John D. (INTECON/DOS)
Hammonds, D. Holly (INTECON)
Hendricks, Lori A. (INTECON)
Holtzapple, Richard A.
Lee, Malcolm R.
Mitsler, Elaine M. (INTECON)
Schaefer, Matthew P. (INTECON)
Shah, Sonal R.

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

I would make the edit noted in the attached file. My understanding is that we, not the PRC, suggested technical talks first.

-----Original

Message-----

From: Wozniak, Natalie S. (PRESS)

Sent: Thursday,

September 02, 1999 3:48 PM

To: @PRESS - Public Affairs; @ASIA -
Asian Affairs

Subject: China WTO Press Guidance [UNCLASSIFIED]

From

Malcolm Lee --

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT GuidanceWTOSept2.doc

China WTO Press Guidance
September 2, 1999

RESUMPTION OF CHINA WTO NEGOTIATIONS

Q: Have the United States and China agreed to re-start negotiations for China's entry into the WTO this year?

* We believe China's entry into the WTO on strong commercial terms is in the long term interests of China and the United States.

* We haven't met in five months. A stock-taking is in order. As such, we have tentatively agreed that a review at the expert level will occur next week, most likely in Beijing or possibly in Auckland.

Q: Will there be a WTO announcement when Jiang and Clinton meet at APEC (on 11 September)?

* WTO will very likely be a topic of discussion, but cannot predict beyond that.

It is our hope to move discussions forward.

* We would hope that we could, at a minimum, agree on resumption of negotiations at that time.

Q: What is the Chinese position on resuming talks?

* I would not characterize the Chinese position. Best ask them.

ITC REPORT ON IMPACT OF CHINA WTO ACCESSION ON U.S. ECONOMY

Why does the Administration plan to withhold an ITC report on a China WTO.

agreement?

* Ambassador Barshefsky has stated that she wants the report to be made public.

USTR is reviewing to ensure that there is nothing in the report the disclosure of which would prejudice the U.S. negotiating position with the Chinese.

Background Talking Points

1. Direction to release the report was made yesterday by letter from USTR General

Counsel Robert Novick to the ITC today.

2. USTR received the report late Monday (8/31/99).

3. The Executive Summary could be available from the ITC as early as later today.

4. The balance of the report (approx. 250 pages) could be available within a

couple of days.

5. The original Confidential report will be transmitted to all cleared advisors

and Members of Congress.

Why was it classified in the first place?

* It is standard procedure, and in the national interest, to classify ITC reports

concerning on-going negotiations. They tend to contain sensitive economic or

national security information that could impact on-going negotiations.

* The report is available to Members of Congress.

Why did the Administration delay the ITC report analyzing a China WTO deal?

* There has been no delay. USTR requested a comprehensive analysis in December,

1998, when negotiations between the U.S. and China appeared to be advancing in earnest.

* As the negotiations progressed, and as some elements of a possible agreement

became apparent, USTR provided such information to the ITC, and provided

additional time required by the ITC for further evaluation.

* Is the Administration trying to hide something?

* No. Any agreement this Administration concludes with China will be clearly in America's national interest. We want Congress, and the American public, to have full information on such an agreement.

* But we do not yet have an agreement, and our interest and objective is to conclude the best agreement possible (not to adversely affect on going negotiations).

1

2

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
008. email	Malcolm Lee to bathsheba Crocker, Mona Sutphen, Holly Hammonds and Mara Rudman. Subject: China WTO: Background. (7 pages)	09/06/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([China, WTO, Barshefsky, Kantor])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1999-10/30/1999]

2010-1024-F
vz1903

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or
financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President
and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed
of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C.
2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of
an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial
information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement
purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of
financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information
concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
009. email	James Smith to Kenneth Lieberthal. Subject: USTR. (3 pages)	09/07/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([China, WTO, Barshefsky, Kantor])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1999-10/30/1999]

2010-1024-F
vz1903

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
010. email	James Keith to Kenneh Lieberthal. Subject: For Your Use on the Plane. (2 pages)	09/09/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([China, WTO, Barshefsky, Kantor])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1999-10/30/1999]

2010-1024-F
vz1903

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
011. email	James Keith to Kenneh Lieberthal. Subject: A Few Thought on WTO. (2 pages)	09/09/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([China, WTO, Barshefsky, Kantor])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1999-10/30/1999]

2010-1024-F
vz1903

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 9/22/99 10:53:03 AM
FROM Hammonds, D. Holly (INTECON)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Seattle WTO [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Duncan, John D. (INTECON/DOS)

CARBON_COPY Schaefer, Matthew P. (INTECON)

TEXT_BODY Thx for your thoughts which sound good. let me consider today and then I will send you forth!!

-----Original Message-----

From: Duncan,
John D. (INTECON/DOS)
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 1999 8:50 PM
To: Hammonds,
D. Holly (INTECON)
Cc: Schaefer, Matthew P. (INTECON)
Subject: RE:
Seattle WTO [UNCLASSIFIED]

Holly,
I know we have to think this through more, but my inclination is that we would handle all POTUS related events (in a fashion similar to APEC) and USTR will handle all Ministerial stuff. That would suggest, I would hope, a rather limited scope to what we have to do. Would not require a full-scale trip book, for example. Perhaps a speech event, a China signing, a Vietnam signing, some early harvest stuff, some bilats at the outside. Much like APEC, would be working with regional bureaus on bilats and doing the econ stuff ourselves with input. Don't think fact it is not overseas would effect our work product at all. Leaders' participation in any grand scale could change outlook significantly, however. John

-----Original Message-----

From: Hammonds, D.
Holly (INTECON)
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 1999 4:42 PM
To: Gire,
Cynthia L. (EXSEC)
Cc: Duncan, John D. (INTECON/DOS); @EXECSEC

- Executive Secretary
Subject: RE: Seattle WTO [UNCLASSIFIED]

He
is going...Big deal. 130 plus WTO member countries so LOTS of reps
from round the world.

I am heavily involved (for NSC and NEC)
in substance of negotiating positions ...and have briefed SRB on
same. Matt and John both working on this.

John/I would love to
discuss with you whenever...Question for you: I am totally unclear
how White House will handle trip logistics, POTUS briefers, etc...What
role you will play? This is clearly an international event. But
does the fact that location is domestic - as opposed to say Geneva
-- change dynamic of trip prep at all? If not, great. If so, how?

Note:
This is Ministerial level. So POTUS to go but most bilats/meetings
at Barshefsky level...Altho we have had (very) private inquiry from
at least one country (Japan) about whether we are open to other heads
of state.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gire, Cynthia L.
(EXSEC)
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 1999 12:42 PM
To: Hammonds,
D. Holly (INTECON)
Subject: Seattle WTO [UNCLASSIFIED]

Holly,

What's
the story w/ POTUS going to Seattle for WTO? Can we discuss when
you get a chance? Let me know. -cg

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
012. email	Shelia Moretz to Bathsheba Crocker, Marilyn Scott-Perez, Sharon Storey, and Mona Sutphen. Subject: FW: WTO Letter and Package. (5 pages)	10/30/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([China, WTO, Barshefsky, Kantor])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1999-10/30/1999]

2010-1024-F
vz1903

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]