

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the William J. Clinton
Presidential Library Staff.**

Collection/Record Group: Clinton Presidential Records

Subgroup/Office of Origin: Public Liaison

Series/Staff Member: Minyon Moore

Subseries:

OA/ID Number: 13340

FolderID:

Folder Title:

Issues: ADA [Americans with Disabilities Act]

Stack:

S

Row:

31

Section:

2

Shelf:

3

Position:

2

File :
ADA
under Disability
Outreach

Diana Fortuna

07/21/98 02:00:46

PM

Record Type: Record

To: Jennifer M. Palmieri/WHO/EOP, Minyon Moore/WHO/EOP, William H. White Jr./WHO/EOP

cc: Jocelyn Neis/WHO/EOP

Subject: This is draft of briefing for Erskine on meeting with Alexis Herman and Tony Coelho on disability task force

I am awaiting comments from NEC and OMB, but thought you might be interested. Briefing is due tonight. The meeting is Thursday. Alexis and Tony will push Erskine on doing an ADA-related event in the next week or so.

**Briefing for Meeting with Secretary Herman and Tony Coelho
on President's Task Force on Employment of Adults with Disabilities**

Summary

As Chair and Vice-Chair of the new President's Task Force on Employment of Adults with Disabilities, Secretary Herman and Tony Coelho want to brief you on their progress since beginning their work in March. They also will request that the President do an event in the coming days to commemorate the eight anniversary of the Americans with Disabilities Act. (The anniversary is July 26.) Their concept is that the President would acknowledge the anniversary at a small event where he would sign some Presidential directives prepared by the Task Force. We are currently vetting these potential directives, several of which have budget implications. Moreover, the President may not have time to do such an event in the first place.

We recommend that you listen to the progress report, congratulate Alexis and Tony on their work to date, and hear out their arguments for an event, but hold open the question of whether an event is possible.

Background

In March of this year, the President signed an executive order creating this Task Force. Its mandate is to determine what the federal government can do to help bring the employment rate of adults with disabilities to a rate "as close as possible" to that of the general population. The Task Force is composed entirely of Administration agency heads, including those at HHS, SSA, Education, Treasury, Commerce, Transportation, SBA, VA, EEOC, OPM, and the National Council on Disability. It has met once so far.

The Task Force is mandated to issue its first report on November 15, with subsequent reports in 1999, 2000, and 2002. However, Alexis and Tony have decided to issue an early report now, at their second meeting in July, to show that the Task Force will move quickly to address this issue so critical to the disability community.

The proposal for the President's signing of the Executive Order grew partly out of the disability community's dissatisfaction with our recent progress on issues important to them. In early 1997, you met with a group of Administration appointees with disabilities, led by Marca Bristo, where the group expressed its strong support for the President but stated that they felt disability issues too often took a back seat to other Administration initiatives. In September 1997, the President met with a group of disability advocates, where he spoke knowledgeably about disability issues, and endorsed the idea of a task force. He signed the executive order in March.

(Tony's role in the Task Force arises from his role as Chair of the President's Committee on Employment of People with Disabilities, as well as his own longstanding interest in this subject as a person with a disability. Alexis and DOL had not previously been very involved in these issues, but have embraced them since we made the decision to have DOL chair the Task Force.)

Specifics of Event Request

Alexis and Tony would like an event in the Roosevelt Room with 25-30 representatives of the disability community. Their proposed format is (1) Alexis and Tony would present the President with their July report; (2) the President would sign some directives they have prepared; and (3) the President would make brief remarks acknowledging the anniversary.

Alexis and Tony submitted an earlier request to Scheduling for a much larger event -- for the President to attend a meeting of the Task Force before an audience of 200. There was little interest here in such an event, and they have now scaled back their request.

Substantive Concerns

There are two unresolved problems with the task force's suggestions for immediate action by the President, and therefore with the concept of an event itself. First, most of their suggestions either cost money that we have not yet agreed to spend, or else they are fairly small items that may not rise to the level of a Presidential directive. (See attached summary of suggestions.) Therefore, we are trying to sort through this hodge-podge to determine whether there is enough meat to warrant a Presidential event, should we decide to hold one. Second, this event would come at an awkward point in the debate on health insurance for people with disabilities who go to work. The disability community's top priority is legislation to allow people to keep Medicaid or Medicare when they leave the SSI or SSDI rolls to return to work. Senators Jeffords and Kennedy have introduced a bill with a cost of \$5 billion that is tremendously popular with the community. In addition to the tremendous difficulty of finding \$5 billion in offsets, we have major policy concerns with the bill (e.g., partial benefit package, means-testing of Medicare).

We have been silent about the bill publicly, but are now working quietly with Jeffords and Kennedy on a lower-cost alternative. We do not know if we will reach agreement with the Hill on this issue within the next week or so, but it seems unlikely.

Alexis and Tony are aware of these issues, but are still optimistic that we will resolve the health issue or find some middle ground. They also believe that the worst outcome would be no event at all.

Talking Points

Thank you so much for your hard work on this critical issue in the four months since the President signed the Executive Order in March. I understand that the Task Force has gotten off to a very strong start.

Thanks especially to Seth Harris [counselor to Secretary Herman, who has organized the work of the Task Force to date] and to Becky Ogle [newly named Executive Director of the Task Force; an activist who handled disability issues for the 1996 campaign].

In spite of advances in civil rights and technology that should make it possible for more of the 50 million Americans with disabilities to work, I know that far too many do not, especially those with severe disabilities. Determining how to remove barriers to work for people with disabilities is the critical work that we have asked the Task Force to undertake. I assure you of our commitment to work constructively with you and to consider seriously all the recommendations you make.

Because of the President's busy schedule, it is not clear at this time whether he can do an event. However, I know you have been working productively with staff from Scheduling, DPC, NEC, and OMB. I encourage you to continue to do so over the next few days as we determine whether an event is indeed possible.

Suggestions by Task Force for Immediate Presidential Action

Major Proposals with Budget Impact

- New health initiative that is an "incremental step" toward Kennedy-Jeffords.
- New tax credit for employers and/or individuals with disabilities with extraordinary disability-related expenses, such as assistive technology or a personal assistant.
- New BRIDGE grant program for states and locals to better coordinate assistance for those seeking to return to work.

(The task force proposes that the President direct the relevant agency to propose these items for inclusion in the FY2000 budget. If we were able to reach agreement on any of these in time for an event, presumably we would instead simply announce that it would be in the FY2000 budget.)

Other Presidential Directives (still being vetted by OMB and agencies)

- Direct SBA to educate people with disabilities about eligibility for Section 8(a) program.
- Direct HHS to inform states and people with disabilities about a new Medicaid buy-in that the Administration proposed and championed, and that was enacted as part of the Balanced Budget Act last year. It will help people with disabilities keep health coverage under Medicaid as their earnings increase. (This is our answer to Kennedy-Jeffords, but unfortunately no states have yet embraced this state option.)
- Direct federal agencies to evaluate whether the technology they use is accessible to employees with disabilities, as called for in legislation we support.
- Direct federal agencies to make their Internet sites accessible by July 1999.
- Direct OMB to ensure that federal agencies know they are no longer under headcount ceilings that formerly served as a disincentive for them to hire people with disabilities who need a personal assistant, such as a reader for a blind employee.
- Challenge Congress to extend the Work Opportunity Tax Credit and Welfare-to-Work Tax Credit, and direct federal agencies to publicize that the credit can be used to hire people in the federal SSI and vocational rehabilitation programs.
- Direct DOJ and EEOC to develop a public education campaign on ADA requirements.
- Direct EEOC, DOJ, and SBA to educate small businesses about ADA requirements.
- Direct federal agencies to ensure that people with disabilities are integrated into One-Stop Centers, and that America's Job Bank is accessible to people with disabilities.
- Direct VA and Labor to work better together to return disabled veterans to work.
- Direct federal agencies to increase the number of student interns with disabilities.
- Direct agencies to encourage universities to increase the number of candidates with disabilities for the Presidential Management Interns and Presidential Scholars programs.
- Direct DOT to do a better job on outreach on the ADA and other laws.

Presidential Challenges or Statements

- Challenge Congress to enact "Ticket to Independence" proposal that we proposed and has now been passed by the House.
- Challenge Congress to pass the Patient Bill of Rights, to help people with disabilities who move from the disability rolls to private employment and health insurance.
- Challenge Congress to reauthorize the Rehabilitation Act.

File Disability

Factual and Participatory Voting Information from the 1998 N.O.D./Harris Poll

Questions, Total Percentages, and Comments

Questions

1. In the past year, have you contributed money to a political party or candidate, or not?

Percentage that said Yes: 15%

Comments: Percentage increases with age, a college education, and household income.

2. In the last year, have you written or spoken to an elected representative or public official, or not?

Percentage that said Yes: 35%

Comments: Males had a higher percentage rate (38%) than females (32%). Those in the 16-29 age group had a lower percentage participation (18%) with everyone else participating at approximately the same percentage (35%). Participation increases with both education and income.

3. In the last year, have you attended a political meeting, or not?

Percentage that said Yes: 10%

Comments: Those in the 16-29 age group had a lower percentage participation (3%) with everyone else participating at approximately the same rate (10%). Participation increases with education and for those making over \$35,000 in household income.

4. In the last year, have you written a letter to a newspaper, or not?

Percentage that said Yes: 8%

Comments: For percentages by age, it looks like a bell curve with 16-29 year olds at 4%, middle age at 12%, and over 65 at 8%. Percentages increase with education and household income.

5. In the last year, have you contributed money to an organization which is trying to influence government policy or legislation, or not?

Percentage that said Yes: 18%

Comments: Participation increases with age, except that the middle age group gives 24% while the over 65 group gives 18%. Participation increases with education and household income, except that those making \$35,000-\$50,000 give 28% while those making over 50,000 give 21%.

6. Did you vote in the 1996 presidential election in which Bill Clinton ran against Bob Dole, or did you not vote in that election?

Percentage that said Yes: 70%

Comments: Participation increases with age, education, and income. (Except that those making over \$50,000 gave at a tad lower rate than those making \$35-\$50,000.)

Absolutes/col percents

12 Jun 98
Table 253

Q2005A. In the last year, have you -- Contributed money to a political party or
candidate, or not?

Base: All respondents

	TOTAL	SEX		AGE				RACE			EDUCATION				HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
		Male	Female	16 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic /Other	< HS grad	HS grad	Some College	College Grad +	7.5k or less	7.6-15k	15.1-35k	35.1-50k	> 50k
Unweighted Base	1000	396	604	54	189	389	364	815	85	86	207	284	306	196	145	196	291	123	111
Weighted Base	1000	450	550	79	221	344	353	807	87	92	219	282	303	189	139	196	293	121	118
Yes	154 15%	71 16%	82 15%	2 3%	24 11%	48 14%	79 22%	123 15%	15 18%	11 12%	21 10%	32 11%	44 15%	55 29%	7 5%	17 9%	54 18%	30 25%	24 20%
No	840 84%	378 84%	462 84%	77 97%	195 89%	292 85%	272 77%	677 84%	71 82%	82 88%	198 90%	249 88%	257 85%	130 69%	131 95%	179 91%	239 82%	91 75%	91 77%
Don't know	6 1%	1 *	5 1%	- -	2 1%	4 1%	1 *	6 1%	- -	- -	1 *	1 *	1 *	3 2%	- -	- -	- -	- -	3 2%
Refused	1 *	- -	1 *	- -	- -	- -	1 *	1 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Sigma	1000 100%	450 100%	550 100%	79 100%	221 100%	344 100%	353 100%	807 100%	87 100%	92 100%	219 100%	282 100%	303 100%	189 100%	139 100%	196 100%	293 100%	121 100%	118 100%

Q2005B. In the last year, have you -- Written or spoken to an elected representative or public official, or not?

Absolutes/col percents

12 Jun 98
Table 254

Base: All respondents

	TOTAL	SEX		AGE				RACE			EDUCATION				HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
		Male	Female	16 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic /Other	< HS grad	HS grad	Some College	College Grad +	7.5k or less	7.6-15k	15.1-35k	35.1-50k	> 50k
Unweighted Base	1000	396	604	54	189	389	364	815	85	86	207	284	306	196	145	196	291	123	111
Weighted Base	1000	450	550	79	221	344	353	807	87	92	219	282	303	189	139	196	293	121	118
Yes	346 35%	171 38%	175 32%	14 18%	77 35%	132 38%	122 34%	277 34%	29 34%	34 37%	40 18%	84 30%	133 44%	89 47%	37 27%	59 30%	108 37%	55 45%	44 37%
No	652 65%	278 62%	374 68%	65 82%	144 65%	211 61%	230 65%	529 66%	57 66%	59 63%	179 82%	197 70%	170 56%	100 53%	101 73%	137 70%	186 63%	66 55%	74 63%
Don't know	2 *	1 *	1 *	- -	- -	1 *	1 *	1 *	- -	- -	- -	1 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Sigma	1000 100%	450 100%	550 100%	79 100%	221 100%	344 100%	353 100%	807 100%	87 100%	92 100%	219 100%	282 100%	303 100%	189 100%	139 100%	196 100%	293 100%	121 100%	118 100%

Americans with Disabilities
Louis Harris & Associates
j828373

Absolutes/col percents

12 Jun 98
Table 255

Q2005C. In the last year, have you -- Attended a political meeting, or not?

Base: All respondents

	TOTAL	SEX		AGE				RACE			EDUCATION				HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
		Male	Female	16 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic /Other	< HS grad	HS grad	Some College	College Grad +	7.5k or less	7.6-15k	15.1-35k	35.1-50k	> 50k
Unweighted Base	1000	396	604	54	189	389	364	815	85	86	207	284	306	196	145	196	291	123	111
Weighted Base	1000	450	550	79	221	344	353	807	87	92	219	282	303	189	139	196	293	121	118
Yes	96 10%	44 10%	51 9%	3 3%	21 10%	37 11%	35 10%	73 9%	13 15%	8 8%	8 4%	15 5%	37 12%	36 19%	11 8%	12 6%	26 9%	18 15%	18 15%
No	904 90%	405 90%	499 91%	76 97%	199 90%	307 89%	318 90%	733 91%	73 85%	85 92%	211 96%	267 95%	266 88%	153 81%	128 92%	184 94%	267 91%	103 85%	100 85%
Sigma	1000 100%	450 100%	550 100%	79 100%	221 100%	344 100%	353 100%	807 100%	87 100%	92 100%	219 100%	282 100%	303 100%	189 100%	139 100%	196 100%	293 100%	121 100%	118 100%

Americans with Disabilities
Louis Harris & Associates
j828373

Absolutes/col percents

12 Jun 98
Table 256

Q2005D. In the last year, have you -- Written a letter to a newspaper, or not?

Base: All respondents

TOTAL	SEX		AGE				RACE			EDUCATION				HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
	Male	Female	16 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic /Other	< HS grad	HS grad	Some College	College Grad +	7.5k or less	7.6- 15k	15.1- 35k	35.1- 50k	> 50k
1000	396	604	54	189	389	364	815	85	86	207	284	306	196	145	196	291	123	111
1000	450	550	79	221	344	353	807	87	92	219	282	303	189	139	196	293	121	118
85 8%	40 9%	45 8%	3 4%	11 5%	42 12%	28 8%	77 10%	2 2%	6 6%	3 1%	15 5%	38 12%	29 16%	10 7%	13 7%	26 9%	17 14%	14 12%
914 91%	409 91%	505 92%	75 96%	210 95%	303 88%	324 92%	729 90%	85 98%	87 94%	217 99%	267 95%	265 88%	159 84%	129 93%	183 93%	268 91%	104 86%	104 88%
1 *	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1000 100%	450 100%	550 100%	79 100%	221 100%	344 100%	353 100%	807 100%	87 100%	92 100%	219 100%	282 100%	303 100%	189 100%	139 100%	196 100%	293 100%	121 100%	118 100%

Absolutes/col percents

12 Jun 98
Table 257

Q2005E. In the last year, have you -- Contributed money to an organization
which is trying to influence government policy or legislation, or not?

Base: All respondents

	TOTAL	SEX		AGE				RACE			EDUCATION				HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
		Male	Female	16 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic /Other	< HS grad	HS grad	Some College	College Grad +	7.5k or less	7.6- 15k	15.1- 35k	35.1- 50k	> 50k
Unweighted Base	1000	396	604	54	189	389	364	815	85	86	207	284	306	196	145	196	291	123	111
Weighted Base	1000	450	550	79	221	344	353	807	87	92	219	282	303	189	139	196	293	121	118
Yes	175 18%	74 16%	101 18%	2 3%	30 14%	58 17%	85 24%	142 18%	13 15%	15 16%	21 10%	29 10%	62 21%	62 33%	12 9%	28 14%	53 18%	34 28%	25 21%
No	818 82%	375 83%	443 81%	76 97%	190 86%	285 83%	263 74%	658 82%	74 85%	78 84%	198 90%	252 89%	235 78%	127 67%	126 91%	165 84%	240 82%	88 72%	91 78%
Don't know	6 1%	1 *	4 1%	- -	- -	1 *	5 1%	6 1%	- -	- -	1 *	1 *	4 1%	- -	1 1%	3 2%	1 *	- -	1 1%
Refused	1 *	- -	1 *	- -	- -	- -	1 *	1 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Sigma	1000 100%	450 100%	550 100%	79 100%	221 100%	344 100%	353 100%	807 100%	87 100%	92 100%	219 100%	282 100%	303 100%	189 100%	139 100%	196 100%	293 100%	121 100%	118 100%

Americans with Disabilities
Louis Harris & Associates
828373

Absolutes/col percents

12 Jun 98
Table 258

Q2010. In the last five years, have you been offered voter registration services from a government or community agency, or not?

Base: All respondents

	SEX		AGE				RACE			EDUCATION				HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
	TOTAL	Male	Female	16-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic/Other	< HS grad	HS grad	Some College	College Grad +	7.5k or less	7.6-15k	15.1-35k	35.1-50k	> 50k
Inweighted Base	1000	396	604	54	189	389	364	815	85	86	207	284	306	196	145	196	291	123	111
Weighted Base	1000	450	550	79	221	344	353	807	87	92	219	282	303	189	139	196	293	121	118
Yes, offered	243 24%	115 25%	128 23%	22 28%	63 29%	82 24%	75 21%	185 23%	29 34%	27 29%	36 16%	63 22%	85 28%	58 31%	32 23%	53 27%	70 24%	37 30%	26 22%
No, not offered	723 72%	315 70%	409 74%	44 56%	153 69%	254 74%	270 76%	594 74%	55 64%	64 70%	170 77%	210 74%	210 69%	128 68%	107 77%	140 71%	216 74%	81 67%	88 74%
Don't Know	27 3%	17 4%	9 2%	9 12%	4 2%	7 2%	6 2%	22 3%	1 1%	2 2%	9 4%	8 3%	6 2%	2 1%	-	3 2%	8 3%	4 3%	2 2%
Refused	7 1%	3 1%	4 1%	3 4%	1 *	1 *	2 1%	6 1%	1 1%	-	5 2%	1 *	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	2 2%
Sigma	1000 100%	450 100%	550 100%	79 100%	221 100%	344 100%	353 100%	807 100%	87 100%	92 100%	219 100%	282 100%	303 100%	189 100%	139 100%	196 100%	293 100%	121 100%	118 100%

Americans with Disabilities
Louis Harris & Associates
j828373

Absolutes/col percents

12 Jun 98

Table 263

Q2125. Did you vote in the 1996 presidential election in which Bill Clinton ran
against Bob Dole, or did you not vote in that election?

Base: All respondents

	TOTAL	SEX		AGE				RACE			EDUCATION				HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
		Male	Female	16 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic /Other	< HS grad	HS grad	Some College	College Grad +	7.5k or less	7.6- 15k	15.1- 35k	35.1- 50k	> 50k
Unweighted Base	1000	396	604	54	189	389	364	815	85	86	207	284	306	196	145	196	291	123	111
Weighted Base	1000	450	550	79	221	344	353	807	87	92	219	282	303	189	139	196	293	121	118
Voted	700 70%	314 70%	385 70%	20 25%	134 61%	250 73%	293 83%	572 71%	56 65%	62 67%	91 42%	194 69%	235 78%	177 94%	78 56%	134 68%	217 74%	96 79%	87 74%
Did not vote	283 28%	129 29%	154 28%	53 67%	84 38%	88 26%	58 16%	222 28%	27 31%	30 32%	118 54%	86 31%	64 21%	10 5%	59 42%	61 31%	75 26%	23 19%	28 24%
Don't Know	16 2%	6 1%	10 2%	6 8%	2 1%	6 2%	2 *	12 2%	3 4%	1 1%	10 5%	2 1%	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 *	1 *	3 3%	3 2%
Refused	1 *	- -	1 *	- -	- -	- -	1 *	1 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 *	- -	1 1%	- -	- -	- -	- -
Sigma	1000 100%	450 100%	550 100%	79 100%	221 100%	344 100%	353 100%	807 100%	87 100%	92 100%	219 100%	282 100%	303 100%	189 100%	139 100%	196 100%	293 100%	121 100%	118 100%

Americans with Disabilities
Louis Harris & Associates
j828373

Absolutes/col percents

12 Jun 98
Table 264

Q2130. What was the main reason you did not vote?

Base: Did not vote in 1996 presidential election

	SEX			AGE				RACE			EDUCATION				HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	16 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic /Other	< HS grad	HS grad	Some College	College Grad +	7.5k or less	7.6- 15k	15.1- 35k	35.1- 50k	> 50k
Unweighted Base	265	98	167	35	71	99	60	213	23	25	106	81	62	11	60	58	72	22	19
Weighted Base	283	129	154	53	84	88	58	222	27	30	118	86	64	10	59	61	75	23	28
Polling place not easily accessible	21 8%	8 6%	14 9%	2 3%	3 4%	6 7%	11 19%	17 8%	4 15%	- -	7 6%	7 8%	6 9%	2 22%	4 7%	5 8%	6 8%	2 8%	2 6%
No one at polling place to provide necessary assistance	3 1%	- -	3 2%	- -	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 4%	1 3%	2 2%	- -	1 1%	1 8%	- -	1 1%	2 2%	- -	- -
Process of registering not convenient enough	5 2%	1 1%	4 2%	- -	- -	2 2%	3 5%	5 2%	- -	- -	3 2%	1 1%	1 2%	- -	1 2%	- -	3 4%	1 4%	- -
Politicians don't address issues of importance to me	21 8%	9 7%	12 8%	2 3%	9 10%	9 10%	2 3%	19 9%	1 4%	1 3%	5 5%	5 6%	10 15%	1 10%	5 8%	7 12%	6 8%	2 7%	- -
Unfamiliar with absentee balloting	3 1%	- -	3 2%	- -	1 1%	- -	2 3%	3 1%	- -	- -	2 2%	1 1%	- -	- -	- -	1 1%	1 1%	- -	- -
Was too young to vote in 1996	23 8%	15 12%	7 5%	23 43%	- -	- -	- -	16 7%	1 4%	6 21%	16 13%	2 2%	5 8%	- -	- -	6 9%	5 6%	- -	8 28%
Not registered to vote	27 10%	8 7%	19 12%	2 3%	11 13%	11 12%	3 6%	24 11%	2 7%	1 4%	15 13%	7 8%	5 8%	- -	8 13%	7 12%	10 13%	1 3%	- -
Didn't like the candidates	14 5%	9 7%	5 3%	- -	7 8%	5 6%	2 3%	10 5%	- -	2 8%	4 3%	6 7%	4 7%	- -	1 1%	2 4%	4 5%	2 7%	4 13%
Just didn't vote/did not want to	34 12%	18 14%	16 10%	4 7%	7 8%	11 12%	12 21%	27 12%	2 8%	5 17%	17 14%	6 7%	11 17%	1 8%	10 16%	6 10%	6 8%	2 7%	3 12%
Health reasons	20 7%	10 7%	11 7%	1 1%	4 5%	8 9%	7 13%	17 8%	- -	3 11%	8 7%	8 9%	3 5%	1 10%	1 2%	5 8%	7 10%	2 8%	3 11%

Americans with Disabilities
Louis Harris & Associates
J828373

Absolutes/col percents

12 Jun 98
Table 264

Q2130. What was the main reason you did not vote?

Base: Did not vote in 1996 presidential election

	SEX			AGE				RACE			EDUCATION				HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	16 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic /Other	< HS grad	HS grad	Some College	College Grad +	7.5k or less	7.6-15k	15.1-35k	35.1-50k	> 50k
Weighted Base	283	129	154	53	84	88	58	222	27	30	118	86	64	10	59	61	75	23	28
Not a U.S. citizen	9 3%	3 2%	6 4%	1 2%	5 6%	2 2%	1 2%	3 1%	3 11%	3 9%	2 2%	2 2%	4 6%	2 18%	- -	6 10%	1 1%	1 4%	- -
Unable to understand issues/voting	11 4%	4 3%	7 4%	3 6%	6 7%	2 2%	- -	9 4%	- -	2 6%	4 3%	4 5%	- -	- -	1 1%	3 5%	3 4%	3 11%	- -
ynical/distrustful of politics	12 4%	3 3%	8 5%	1 2%	4 5%	5 6%	1 1%	10 4%	2 8%	- -	4 4%	4 5%	3 5%	- -	6 10%	1 1%	2 3%	2 8%	- -
Other (specify)	18 6%	11 8%	7 4%	2 4%	6 7%	7 7%	3 5%	9 4%	4 16%	2 8%	4 3%	7 8%	4 7%	1 8%	7 12%	3 4%	4 5%	3 14%	1 3%
Don't Know	33 11%	17 13%	15 10%	8 16%	10 12%	12 13%	2 4%	31 14%	1 3%	1 3%	17 15%	12 14%	3 5%	1 8%	8 13%	5 8%	7 9%	2 7%	3 11%
Refused	31 11%	13 10%	18 11%	5 9%	9 11%	8 9%	9 15%	21 10%	6 21%	2 8%	10 8%	16 19%	4 7%	1 8%	8 14%	4 7%	8 11%	2 10%	4 15%
Sigma	283 100%	129 100%	154 100%	53 100%	84 100%	88 100%	58 100%	222 100%	27 100%	30 100%	118 100%	86 100%	64 100%	10 100%	59 100%	61 100%	75 100%	23 100%	28 100%