

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	To Tracey Jacobson, Robert Bradtke, Mara Rudman et al. from Eric Schwartz. Subject: Personnel. [partial] (1 page)	11/05/1999	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([Marceca, Livingstone, Kennedy, FBI])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[12/19/1997-08/09/2000]

2006-1066-F
vz882

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 12/19/97 3:08:12 PM

FROM Leary, William H.

CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED

SUBJECT Document Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]

TO Baker, James E.
DeRosa, Mary B.
Highsmith, Newell L.
Hunerwadel, Joan S.
Sparks, John E.
Atlas, Edwin L.
Clark, Bronya H.
Dimel, Marsha L.
Haines, Mary A.
Hall, James A.
Hill, Roseanne M.
Williams, Mary C.
Wright, Allison M.
Baker, Jane E.
Beers, Rand R.
Bobbitt, Philip C.
Gregory, Susan J.
Hawkins, Ardenia R.
Knepper, Charlotte
McCarthy, Mary O.
Merchant, Brian T.
Sherman, David J.

CARBON_COPY Cosgriff, Kevin J.
Davies, Glyn T.
Elkon, Nicole L.
Hilliard, Brenda I.
Hurwitz, Marc I.
Joshi, M. Kay
Kerrick, Donald L.
Millison, Cathy L.
Poole, Jennifer C.
Quinn, Mary E.
Rice, Edward A.
Bellamy, Ralph C.
Bolinski, Charlene C.
Darnes, Victoria J.
Dean, Susan W.
Ficklin, John W.

George, Christopher L.
Hasman, Thomas M.
Leary, William H.
Marsh, Thomas S
McIntyre, Stuart H.
Merchant, Brian T.
Neil, M. Elise
Porter, Pete
Prise, Edith M.
Salvetti, Lisa M.
Sanborn, Daniel R. K.
Sculimbrene, Thomas A.
Smith, Michael P.
Soubers, Richard R.
Starks, Tali T.

TEXT_BODY

On December 18, the White House Counsel's Office wrote to all EOP staff requesting that they produce any and all documents related to Craig Livingstone, Anthony Marceca, and requests for and use of FBI background investigation files on former Reagan and Bush Administration personnel. Because of the subject matter requested, copies of the request have been distributed only to the offices listed above.

In order to comply with deadlines, I must receive an e-mail from each addressee of this note by COB January 2 informing me that a search has been conducted as requested in the 12/18/97 memorandum from Sally Paxton and:

1. Copies of responsive documents have been provided to Bill Leary's office; or
2. Your office has located no responsive documents.

PLEASE SEND ONLY ONE RESPONSE PER OFFICE. If you have any reason to believe that responsive documents may be found among files previously retired from your office to Records Management, please contact me ASAP.

If you have any questions, please call me (or Rod Soubers or John Ficklin).

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 12/19/97 5:28:14 PM
FROM Cosgriff, Kevin J.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: Document Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Sigler, Ralph H.

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

Did you get one of the paper ones? I did and have no such records
-- do we do a consolidated response for Sitroom? kevin

-----Original
Message-----

From: Leary, William H.
Sent: Friday, December 19,
1997 3:08 PM
To: @LEGAL - Legal Advisor; @NSCADMIN - Administration;
@INTEL - Intelligence Programs
Cc: @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary;
@RECORDS & ACCESS MGMT
Subject: Document Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]

On
December 18, the White House Counsel's Office wrote to all EOP staff
requesting that they produce any and all documents related to Craig
Livingstone, Anthony Marceca, and requests for and use of FBI background
investigation files on former Reagan and Bush Administration personnel.
Because of the subject matter requested, copies of the request have
been distributed only to the offices listed above.

In order to
comply with deadlines, I must receive an e-mail from each addressee
of this note by COB January 2 informing me that a search has been
conducted as requested in the 12/18/97 memorandum from Sally Paxton
and:

1. Copies of responsive documents have been provided to
Bill Leary's office; or
2. Your office has located no responsive
documents.

PLEASE SEND ONLY ONE RESPONSE PER OFFICE. If you have

any reason to believe that responsive documents may be found among files previously retired from your office to Records Management, please contact me ASAP.

If you have any questions, please call me (or Rod Soubers or John Ficklin).

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 12/19/97 6:06:17 PM
FROM Cosgriff, Kevin J.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Document Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Sigler, Ralph H.

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

thanks -- include me please in your negative response...kjc

-----Original

Message-----

From: Sigler, Ralph H.

Sent: Friday, December 19,
1997 5:37 PM

To: Cosgriff, Kevin J.

Subject: RE: Document Request,
12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]

Kevin - I normally do an e-mail response on behalf of the WHSR back to Leary/NSC legal after searching our logs, summaries, and cable databases. This one should be easy; if memory serves me, this is the second such request with none being discovered last time. All other databases, to include email, calendars, documents, etc., are searched by Dave Hawes/systems, who submits a separate report.

-----Original Message-----

From: Cosgriff,
Kevin J.

Sent: Friday, December 19, 1997 5:28 PM

To: Sigler, Ralph
H.

Subject: FW: Document Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]

Did

you get one of the paper ones? I did and have no such records -- do we do a consolidated response for Sitroom? kevin

-----Original

Message-----

From: Leary, William H.

Sent: Friday, December 19,

1997 3:08 PM

To: @LEGAL - Legal Advisor; @NSCADMIN - Administration;
@INTEL - Intelligence Programs
Cc: @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary;
@RECORDS & ACCESS MGMT
Subject: Document Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]

On

December 18, the White House Counsel's Office wrote to all EOP staff requesting that they produce any and all documents related to Craig Livingstone, Anthony Marceca, and requests for and use of FBI background investigation files on former Reagan and Bush Administration personnel. Because of the subject matter requested, copies of the request have been distributed only to the offices listed above.

In order to

comply with deadlines, I must receive an e-mail from each addressee of this note by COB January 2 informing me that a search has been conducted as requested in the 12/18/97 memorandum from Sally Paxton and:

1. Copies of responsive documents have been provided to Bill Leary's office; or
2. Your office has located no responsive documents.

PLEASE SEND ONLY ONE RESPONSE PER OFFICE. If you have any reason to believe that responsive documents may be found among files previously retired from your office to Records Management, please contact me ASAP.

If you have any questions, please call me (or Rod Soubers or John Ficklin).

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 12/23/97 9:13:27 AM
FROM Cosgriff, Kevin J.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Document Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Hawes, David J.

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

Thanks...kjc

-----Original Message-----

From: Hawes, David J.

Sent: Tuesday, December 23, 1997 8:25 AM

To: Cosgriff, Kevin J.

Cc: Sigler,

Ralph H.

Subject: RE: Document Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]

Through

our E-Mail collection system, we provide Ficklin and Leary with the capability to do the searches themselves. Our only response would be as individuals, and since Bill's note was not sent to @STP, I wasn't planning to respond.

-----Original Message-----

From: Cosgriff,

Kevin J.

Sent: Friday, December 19, 1997 6:08 PM

To: Hawes, David

J.

Subject: FW: Document Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]

Over

to you for any Systems input

-----Original Message-----

From: Sigler,

Ralph H.

Sent: Friday, December 19, 1997 5:37 PM

To: Cosgriff,

Kevin J.

Subject: RE: Document Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]

Kevin

- I normally do an e-mail response on behalf of the WHSR back to Leary/NSC legal after searching our logs, summaries, and cable databases. This one should be easy; if memory serves me, this is the second such request with none being discovered last time. All other databases, to include email, calendars, documents, etc., are searched by Dave Hawes/systems, who submits a separate report.

-----Original

Message-----

From: Cosgriff, Kevin J.
Sent: Friday, December 19, 1997 5:28 PM
To: Sigler, Ralph H.
Subject: FW: Document Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]

Did you get one of the paper ones? I did and have no such records -- do we do a consolidated response for Sitroom? kevin

-----Original Message-----

From: Leary, William H.
Sent: Friday, December 19, 1997 3:08 PM
To: @LEGAL - Legal
Advisor; @NSCADMIN - Administration; @INTEL - Intelligence Programs
Cc: @EXECSEC
- Executive Secretary; @RECORDS & ACCESS MGMT
Subject: Document Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]

On December 18, the White House Counsel's Office wrote to all EOP staff requesting that they produce any and all documents related to Craig Livingstone, Anthony Marceca, and requests for and use of FBI background investigation files on former Reagan and Bush Administration personnel. Because of the subject matter requested, copies of the request have been distributed only to the offices listed above.

In order to comply with deadlines, I must receive an e-mail from each addressee of this note by COB January 2 informing me that a search has been conducted as requested in the 12/18/97 memorandum from Sally Paxton and:

1. Copies
of responsive documents have been provided to Bill Leary's office;
or

2. Your office has located no responsive documents.

PLEASE

SEND ONLY ONE RESPONSE PER OFFICE. If you have any reason to believe

that responsive documents may be found among files previously retired from your office to Records Management, please contact me ASAP.

If

you have any questions, please call me (or Rod Soubers or John Ficklin).

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 12/24/97 5:52:00 PM

FROM Millison, Cathy L.

CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED

SUBJECT RE: Document Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]

TO Leary, William H.
Baker, James E.
DeRosa, Mary B.
Highsmith, Newell L.
Hunerwadel, Joan S.
Sparks, John E.

CARBON_COPY Cosgriff, Kevin J.
Davies, Glyn T.
Elkon, Nicole L.
Hilliard, Brenda I.
Hurwitz, Marc I.
Joshi, M. Kay
Kerrick, Donald L.
Millison, Cathy L.
Poole, Jennifer C.
Quinn, Mary E.
Rice, Edward A.
Bellamy, Ralph C.
Bolinski, Charlene C.
Darnes, Victoria J.
Dean, Susan W.
Ficklin, John W.
George, Christopher L.
Hasman, Thomas M.
Leary, William H.
Marsh, Thomas S.
McIntyre, Stuart H.
Merchant, Brian T.
Neil, M. Elise
Porter, Pete
Prise, Edith M.
Salvetti, Lisa M.
Sanborn, Daniel R. K.
Sculimbrene, Thomas A.
Smith, Michael P.
Soubers, Richard R.
Starks, Tali T.

TEXT_BODY

Office of Exec Sec and Gen Kerrick's office do not find any documents relevant to this request.

-----Original Message-----

From: Leary,
William H.

Sent: Friday, December 19, 1997 3:08 PM

To: @LEGAL

- Legal Advisor; @NSCADMIN - Administration; @INTEL - Intelligence Programs

Cc: @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary; @RECORDS & ACCESS MGMT

Subject: Document

Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]

On December 18, the White House Counsel's Office wrote to all EOP staff requesting that they produce any and all documents related to Craig Livingstone, Anthony Marceca, and requests for and use of FBI background investigation files on former Reagan and Bush Administration personnel. Because of the subject matter requested, copies of the request have been distributed only to the offices listed above.

In order to comply with deadlines,
I must receive an e-mail from each addressee of this note by COB January 2 informing me that a search has been conducted as requested in the 12/18/97 memorandum from Sally Paxton and:

1. Copies
of responsive documents have been provided to Bill Leary's office;
or

2. Your office has located no responsive documents.

PLEASE

SEND ONLY ONE RESPONSE PER OFFICE. If you have any reason to believe

that responsive documents may be found among files previously retired from your office to Records Management, please contact me ASAP.

If
you have any questions, please call me (or Rod Soubers or John Ficklin).

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 1/2/98 9:49:52 AM
FROM Hawkins, Ardenia R.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Document Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Leary, William H.

CARBON_COPY Baker, Jane E.
Beers, Rand R.
Bobbitt, Philip C.
Gregory, Susan J.
Hawkins, Ardenia R.
Knepper, Charlotte
McCarthy, Mary O.
Merchant, Brian T.
Sherman, David J.

TEXT_BODY

For Your Records: No documents responsive to this search in Intel Directorate.

-----Original Message-----

From: Leary, William
H.

Sent: Friday, December 19, 1997 3:08 PM

To: @LEGAL - Legal

Advisor; @NSCADMIN - Administration; @INTEL - Intelligence Programs

Cc: @EXECSEC

- Executive Secretary; @RECORDS & ACCESS MGMT

Subject: Document

Request, 12/18/97 [UNCLASSIFIED]

On December 18, the White House Counsel's Office wrote to all EOP staff requesting that they produce any and all documents related to Craig Livingstone, Anthony Marceca, and requests for and use of FBI background investigation files on former Reagan and Bush Administration personnel. Because of the subject matter requested, copies of the request have been distributed only to the offices listed above.

In order to comply with deadlines,
I must receive an e-mail from each addressee of this note by COB
January 2 informing me that a search has been conducted as requested
in the 12/18/97 memorandum from Sally Paxton and:

1. Copies
of responsive documents have been provided to Bill Leary's office;
or

2. Your office has located no responsive documents.

PLEASE

SEND ONLY ONE RESPONSE PER OFFICE. If you have any reason to believe

that responsive documents may be found among files previously retired
from your office to Records Management, please contact me ASAP.

If
you have any questions, please call me (or Rod Soubers or John Ficklin).

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 12/4/98 1:33:24 PM
FROM Paliokas, John J.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Jane Stromseth [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Jacobson, Tracey A.

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

I will save this response. Perfect, and thank you.

-----Original

Message-----

From: Jacobson, Tracey A.

Sent: Friday, December

04, 1998 1:30 PM

To: Wippman, David; Paliokas, John J.

Cc: Schwartz,

Eric P.

Subject: RE: Jane Stromseth [UNCLASSIFIED]

Unfortunately,

no. This is in the hands of the FBI. Since filegate, we are not allowed to pester them. In fact, NSC is not allowed to call them at all. All calls to the FBI must go through EOP security, which is the organization that does followup and status checks. NSC has taken considerable flak from Congress and in the media lately for what was called lax adherence to security procedures, especially concerning clearances. We must be scrupulous about the clearance process. We'll let you know when the clearance is ready.

TJ

-----Original

Message-----

From: Wippman, David

Sent: Friday, December 04, 1998

12:24 PM

To: Paliokas, John J.

Cc: Schwartz, Eric P.; Jacobson,

Tracey A.

Subject: RE: Jane Stromseth [UNCLASSIFIED]

Is there

something we can do to make sure the case does come back in the next two weeks?

-----Original Message-----

From: Paliokas, John

J.

Sent: Friday, December 04, 1998 11:38 AM

To: Wippman, David

Cc: Schwartz,

Eric P.; Jacobson, Tracey A.

Subject: RE: Jane Stromseth [UNCLASSIFIED]

If

the case comes back in the next 2 weeks we've got a shot. That's the unpredictable part. The SCI portion can go quickly if she doesn't have a lot of foreign contacts. I talked to her several weeks ago and she told me the agent had been talking to her peers. That's a good sign.

Jack

-----Original Message-----

From: Wippman,

David

Sent: Thursday, December 03, 1998 5:20 PM

To: Paliokas,

John J.

Cc: Schwartz, Eric P.

Subject: Jane Stromseth [UNCLASSIFIED]

Jane

Stromseth is scheduled to start in our office at the beginning of the new year, but has not yet heard about her security clearance. Can you tell me if she is on track to get the clearance by New Years?

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 5/13/99 1:18:26 PM
FROM Jacobson, Tracey A.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Gephardt [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Lackey, Miles M.
Fendley, Edward J.
Jacobson, Tracey A.
Murphy, Allison M.

CARBON_COPY Paliokas, John J.
Kerrick, Donald L.

TEXT_BODY Charles Easley, Director, White House Security, The White House (20504)
NEOB 4026

395-6206

I told him he might get a call (we've already given him a copy of the letter).

Points to make should the staff contact you again:

- * FBI processes all White House cases on an expedited basis.

- * NSC does not pressure law enforcement agencies to speed up clearances -- especially given various security issues we are involved in.

- * NSC, by White House policy, is forbidden to speak directly to FBI (this is a result of filegate.)

- * NSC is happy to have Lauren join us, but we will in no way interfere with the processing of her security clearance. Keeping our security record clean is simply too important (ESPECIALLY FOR AN INTERN!!!)

-----Original

Message-----

From: Lackey, Miles M.

Sent: Thursday, May 13, 1999

1:10 PM

To: @JACOBSON (Tracey & Allison)

Subject: Gephardt [UNCLASSIFIED]

I
saw Gephardt's staff yesterday. As expected, he asked me for the
name/address/phone of the office you mentioned yesterday w/ regard
to the intern issue.

Could you e-mail to me?

Thanks
Miles

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 11/5/99 9:38:03 AM
FROM Paliokas, John J. (ADMIN)
CLASSIFICATION ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
CLASSIFICATIONREASON 1.5(d)
DATECLASSIFIEDON 11/05/1999
DECLASSIFYON 11/05/2009
SUBJECT RE: Personnel [~~CONFIDENTIAL~~]
TO Jacobson, Tracey A. (ADMIN)
Schwartz, Eric P. (MULTI)
Bradtke, Robert A. (EXSEC)
Rudman, Mara E. (NSA)

CARBON_COPY Smith, Gayle E. (AF)
Vaccaro, Jonathan M. (Matt) (MULTI)

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By VL NARA, Date 9/8/2011
2006-1066-F

TEXT_BODY

I have been in contact with Mr. Wilcox during the process, most recently last week when he called to update his contact info. At that time he said the FBI agent told him he had completed his legwork and was he was sending his report forward. That's a good sign, but experience still dictates no predictions on a timeline, because that's only part of the entire picture. It would be unfair to Mr. Wilcox for me to give him an estimate on when the process will be finished when it's not possible to know specifically. As Tracey indicated, the 120 day period is typical, not firm. It includes the time we (WH sec and FBI too) need to clarify items and make sure the investigation will proceed as quickly as possible. Every facet of this process is streamlined, and I can attest to the speed and efficiency of the WH security folks in particular, who make all of our cases their top priority.

Jack

-----Original Message-----

From: Jacobson,
Tracey A. (ADMIN)
Sent: Friday, November 05, 1999 9:14 AM
To: Schwartz,
Eric P. (MULTI); Bradtke, Robert A. (EXSEC); Rudman, Mara E. (NSA);

Paliokas, John J. (ADMIN)

Cc: Smith, Gayle E. (AF); Vaccaro, Jonathan
M. (Matt) (MULTI)
Subject: RE: Personnel [~~CONFIDENTIAL~~]

Eric

-- it has not been more than four months since Mr. Wilcox's security clearance went in. He didn't fill out the paperwork completely, so we had to ask him to redo it, which lost a little time.

As

I explained before, 120 days (we're about there now) is the minimum time it takes to get a clearance. This is the fastest anywhere in government, since FBI does our clearances on a priority basis (usually, TS background investigations can take up to a year -- or even longer, if there are issues). They simply cannot be done any faster -- the law requires that the subject's ENTIRE ADULT LIFE be investigated, and confirmed to be as he says it is. This takes time for any grown up. And following Filegate and last year's GAO report blasting the NSC and White House for sacrificing rigorous security practices in the name of expediency, it wouldn't be in our interests to do so (even if it would yield results, which it won't). We have started to get some investigations back which went in just before Wilcox's, and our checks have not shown any problematic "issues" on Wilcox, so we're hopeful we'll be getting his investigation back soon. But I cannot predict with certainty when that will be. Depends on how complicated his life has been and what the investigators find.

Eric,

as you know, we have bent over backwards to support Multilat. Since I've been here, we've worked with AID and counsel to create a developmental program to bring Valerie on board, we've transferred our last ICAP position to you, and we've turned over the last remaining "swing space" for your use. If there was something else we could be doing to support you, we'd be doing it.

TJ

-----Original Message-----

From: Schwartz,
Eric P. (MULTI)

Sent: Friday, November 05, 1999 7:56 AM

To: Bradtke,

Robert A. (EXSEC); Rudman, Mara E. (NSA); Jacobson, Tracey A.
(ADMIN); Paliokas, John J. (ADMIN)

Cc: Smith, Gayle E. (AF); Vaccaro,
Jonathan M. (Matt) (MULTI)

Subject: Personnel [~~CONFIDENTIAL~~]

Mara,

Bob, Tracey, and Jack:

I've got a real problem over here.

Bottom

line: I need to get Richard Wilcox into this office as quickly as possible, but -- after more than four months -- I still have no sense of when that will happen. Admin has described to me the sensitivities of our encouraging promptness in the security clearance process. At the same time, if obtaining a clearance in a timely manner serves an important policy interest, it seems to be that there has to be an appropriate way to communicate that fact.

I wouldn't be writing
if the problem wasn't getting critical.

THE PROBLEM: The fact
that I have one guy -- Vaccaro -- trying to do the work of three
is right now creating very serious difficulties. The peacekeeping
and planning portfolios are exploding, and we are barely treading
water. Of particular concern --

1. Deputies at State and DoD
are now complaining to Jim about our slowness in moving the Civpol
PDD and our action plan -- agreed upon by SRB -- on planning for
complex contingencies.

2. The UN is not doing the job on Sierra
Leone DDR, and we have only limited time and energy to assiduously
press them -- given the interest of the President and the Secretary
of State on this issue, we are not devoting adequate time and energy
to ensure this does not turn into a major disaster.

3. We risk
being handed an unworkable and politically unsustainable Congo PKO
proposal, but have only limited ability to work this issue day-in
and day-out.

Pardon my language, but shit will happen on these
issues, and it will do my office little good to complain, after the
fact, that "had we had the personnel, I could have done the job."

THE

SOLUTION: We have it in our sights, and you all have been genuinely
terrific in recognizing the need for support in my shop. If all
goes well, we'll have an ICAP here in early December. But, in addition
to that, I need Wilcox quickly.

Is there anything that can be
done without landing somebody in the slammer?

Eric

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	To Tracey Jacobson, Robert Bradtke, Mara Rudman et al. from Eric Schwartz. Subject: Personnel. [partial] (1 page)	11/05/1999	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Email
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([Marceca, Livingstone, Kennedy, FBI])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[12/19/1997-08/09/2000]

2006-1066-F

vz882

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 11/5/99 9:39:26 AM
FROM Schwartz, Eric P. (MULTI)
CLASSIFICATION ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
CLASSIFICATIONREASON 1.5(d)
DATECLASSIFIEDON 11/05/1999
DECLASSIFYON 11/05/2009
SUBJECT RE: Personnel [~~CONFIDENTIAL~~]
TO Jacobson, Tracey A. (ADMIN)
Bradtke, Robert A. (EXSEC)
Rudman, Mara E. (NSA)
Paliokas, John J. (ADMIN)

CARBON_COPY Smith, Gayle E. (AF)
Vaccaro, Jonathan M. (Matt) (MULTI)

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By VZ NARA, Date 9/8/2011
2006-1066-F

TEXT_BODY

Tracey:

I know, and my note was not designed to suggest that anyone was at fault -- in fact, I indicated that you guys have been genuinely terrific.

But I am getting pressured by Assistant Secretaries at State and Defense, backed up by their Deputies, about our slowness in moving on important issues. The latest attack was at a dinner last night.

When Jim calls me, as he has, on these issues after hearing from his counterparts, it just doesn't cut it for me to say I'm working on getting more staff.

[001]

P6/(b)(6)

Eric

-----Original Message-----

From: Jacobson,

Tracey A. (ADMIN)

Sent: Friday, November 05, 1999 9:14 AM

To: Schwartz,

Eric P. (MULTI); Bradtke, Robert A. (EXSEC); Rudman, Mara E. (NSA);

Paliokas, John J. (ADMIN)

Cc: Smith, Gayle E. (AF); Vaccaro, Jonathan

M. (Matt) (MULTI)

Subject: RE: Personnel [CONFIDENTIAL]

Eric

-- it has not been more than four months since Mr. Wilcox's security clearance went in. He didn't fill out the paperwork completely, so we had to ask him to redo it, which lost a little time.

As

I explained before, 120 days (we're about there now) is the minimum time it takes to get a clearance. This is the fastest anywhere in government, since FBI does our clearances on a priority basis (usually, TS background investigations can take up to a year -- or even longer, if there are issues). They simply cannot be done any faster -- the law requires that the subject's ENTIRE ADULT LIFE be investigated, and confirmed to be as he says it is. This takes time for any grown up. And following Filegate and last year's GAO report blasting the NSC and White House for sacrificing rigorous security practices in the name of expediency, it wouldn't be in our interests to do so (even if it would yield results, which it won't). We have started to get some investigations back which went in just before Wilcox's, and our checks have not shown any problematic "issues" on Wilcox, so we're hopeful we'll be getting his investigation back soon. But I cannot predict with certainty when that will be. Depends on how complicated his life has been and what the investigators find.

Eric,

as you know, we have bent over backwards to support Multilat. Since I've been here, we've worked with AID and counsel to create a developmental

program to bring Valerie on board, we've transferred our last ICAP position to you, and we've turned over the last remaining "swing space" for your use. If there was something else we could be doing to support you, we'd be doing it.

TJ

-----Original Message-----

From: Schwartz,

Eric P. (MULTI)

Sent: Friday, November 05, 1999 7:56 AM
To: Bradtke,
Robert A. (EXSEC); Rudman, Mara E. (NSA); Jacobson, Tracey A.
(ADMIN); Paliokas, John J. (ADMIN)
Cc: Smith, Gayle E. (AF); Vaccaro,
Jonathan M. (Matt) (MULTI)
Subject: Personnel ~~[CONFIDENTIAL]~~

Mara,
Bob, Tracey, and Jack:

I've got a real problem over here.

Bottom

line: I need to get Richard Wilcox into this office as quickly as possible, but -- after more than four months -- I still have no sense of when that will happen. Admin has described to me the sensitivities of our encouraging promptness in the security clearance process. At the same time, if obtaining a clearance in a timely manner serves an important policy interest, it seems to be that there has to be an appropriate way to communicate that fact.

I wouldn't be writing
if the problem wasn't getting critical.

THE PROBLEM: The fact
that I have one guy -- Vaccaro -- trying to do the work of three is right now creating very serious difficulties. The peacekeeping and planning portfolios are exploding, and we are barely treading water. Of particular concern --

1. Deputies at State and DoD
are now complaining to Jim about our slowness in moving the Civpol PDD and our action plan -- agreed upon by SRB -- on planning for complex contingencies.

2. The UN is not doing the job on Sierra Leone DDR, and we have only limited time and energy to assiduously press them -- given the interest of the President and the Secretary of State on this issue, we are not devoting adequate time and energy to ensure this does not turn into a major disaster.

3. We risk
being handed an unworkable and politically unsustainable Congo PKO proposal, but have only limited ability to work this issue day-in and day-out.

Pardon my language, but shit will happen on these issues, and it will do my office little good to complain, after the fact, that "had we had the personnel, I could have done the job."

THE

SOLUTION: We have it in our sights, and you all have been genuinely terrific in recognizing the need for support in my shop. If all goes well, we'll have an ICAP here in early December. But, in addition to that, I need Wilcox quickly.

Is there anything that can be
done without landing somebody in the slammer?

Eric

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 06/02/2000 9:32:40 AM
FROM Smith, Peter D. R. (MULTI)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Awaiting Pass Status [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Paliokas, John J. (ADMIN)

CARBON_COPY Cooper, Colby J. (ADMIN)
Schwartz, Eric P. (MULTI)

TEXT_BODY OK, thanks. Will stand by. Until further advised I'll have myself cleared in on an "appointment" basis. pdrs

-----Original Message-----

From: Paliokas,
John J. (ADMIN)
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2000 9:29 AM
To: Smith,
Peter D. R. (MULTI)
Cc: Schwartz, Eric P. (MULTI)
Subject: RE:
Awaiting Pass Status [UNCLASSIFIED]

As I explained this is a White House security function. Folks are placed on Awaiting Pass until the FBI namecheck is back and has been reviewed. It's at that time the picture pass is issued. We (NSC) are prohibited from contacting the FBI, post filegate. I will check with the WH security folks, who at a minimum should extend the Awaiting Pass.
Jack

-----Original
Message-----

From: Smith, Peter D. R. (MULTI)
Sent: Friday, June
02, 2000 9:18 AM
To: Paliokas, John J. (ADMIN)
Cc: Schwartz, Eric
P. (MULTI)
Subject: Awaiting Pass Status [UNCLASSIFIED]

Jack:

Hereby

request that you put me in the system with "awaiting pass" status until 15 June... The USSS at the gate told me this morning that my AP status had expired as of 1 June. As you know, I then had to call up to be cleared in as an appointment. It would be helpful to know the duration of my AP status in advance so I can then know to re-up it, instead of being told at the gate that they don't know me. I have two meetings out of the office today, which means facing the prospect of returning to the building under "appointment" status unless the "await pass" status is reestablished. I also don't understand why I've been on AP status so long... Thanks in advance for your help. pdrs

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 08/09/2000 8:41:37 AM
FROM Paliokas, John J. (ADMIN)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Tom Greenwood's Pass [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Schulte, Gregory L. (SEE)

CARBON_COPY Baum, Russell A. (ADMIN)
Haas, Dean J. (ADMIN)
Joshlyn, Gay L. (ADMIN)

TEXT_BODY

In order to get the picture pass, one's FBI namecheck has to come back. His is not back. As Tom will tell you, this has been a major source of frustration for me, (I can't call FBI, post filegate) and a real sore spot with him, understandably. I've been pestering the WH folks, (Tom's called himself too) but it's time to now to push harder. If he fell off the Awaiting Pass list again, which it sounds like he did, that's inexcusable. I'll check and get back to you all.
Jack

PS...for your perm pass, it was my understanding they were going to issue you one on your first day, because you've been away less than a year and all the boxes should have already been checked. I'll check that too.

-----Original Message-----

From: Schulte,
Gregory L. (SEE)
Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2000 7:37 AM
To: Paliokas,
John J. (ADMIN)
Cc: @HAAS (Dean & Gay)
Subject: Tom Greenwood's
Pass [UNCLASSIFIED]

Jack -

I came in this morning at 0700 and found Tom Greenwood at the SW gate, where he had been standing since 0600 waiting to be cleared in over WAVES.

Can't we get Tom a temporary

picture badge, with a three-month expiration date, like you got for me on my first day here?

Thanks, Greg

P.S. - Any news on getting me a perm pass?