

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Collection/Record Group: Clinton Presidential Records

Subgroup/Office of Origin: Domestic Policy Council

Series/Staff Member: Karin Kullman

Subseries:

OA/ID Number: 14401

FolderID:

Folder Title:

Assault Weapon Ban Event, March 21, 1996: Assault Weapon - Quotes [1]

Stack:

S

Row:

98

Section:

3

Shelf:

5

Position:

3

60222
1707-7132
65165

123456

Stephanie Hill

Leg.

Press Releases

Statements.

Gun Control Issues

682-1740 ext. 7412

5700

QCS Report

on Request
inexpensive

Assault Weapons Ban Talking Points

March 21, 1996

"It would be deeply wrong for Congress to repeal this assault weapons ban and take the side of the Washington gun lobby over the interests of the law-enforcement people of this country and the law-abiding citizens of this country."

- President Clinton, March 21, 1996

Choose kids and cops over lobbyists. Too many police officers have lost their lives outgunned by violent criminals armed with assault weapons. Too many children have been killed by violent criminals spraying a rain of bullets from assault weapons. The bottom line is simple: Will America stand with the police officers who risk their lives for us, or will we cave in to the pressure tactics of the Washington gun lobby?

The assault weapons ban is working. The assault weapons ban is taking 19 deadly assault weapons and their copies off our streets. Before the ban, the number of assault weapons involved in crimes was rising steadily. But in just the first year since the ban took effect, the number of assault weapons traced to crimes has dropped eighteen percent.

Make no mistake about it: these are weapons of war. Assault weapons are the weapons of choice for gang leaders and drug dealers. They are designed for one reason only: the close-quarter, rapid-fire slaughter of human beings.

Protects the rights of hunters and sportsmen. The assault weapons ban explicitly protects the rights of hunters and target shooters to enjoy their sport. For the first time ever, the ban specifically exempts over 650 hunting and recreational guns. No law-abiding hunter will have his gun taken away.

America's police officers asked for the assault weapons ban. Every single major law enforcement organization in the country fought for the ban, including:

- National Association of Police Organizations
- Fraternal Order of Police
- International Union of Police Associations
- National Sheriffs Association
- International Association of Chiefs of Police
- Federal Law Enforcement Officers Association
- National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Executives
- National Narcotic Officers Association Coalition
- International Brotherhood of Police Officers
- Major Cities Chiefs
- Police Foundation
- Police Executive Research Forum

As long as Bill Clinton is President, the assault weapons ban will be the law of the land. The President calls on the Republican Congressional leadership to reconsider their decision to vote on a repeal, and he has vowed to veto any bill that repeals the assault weapons ban.

**NEW YORK CIVIC AND LAW ENFORCEMENT LEADERS
COMMENTS SUPPORTING THE ASSAULT WEAPON BAN**

MAYOR RUDOLPH GIULIANI

"There is no justification for protecting weapons that kill the maximum number of people in the minimum amount of time." [New York Daily News, 1/29/96]

"The assault weapons ban is vital to making a difference in our communities. Eliminating weapons that kill the maximum number of people in the minimum amount of time will make law enforcement safer and more successful." [Nation's Cities Weekly, 8/22/94]

**WILLIAM BRATTON
FORMER POLICE COMMISSIONER, NEW YORK CITY**

When asked his opinion on Congress' efforts to repeal the assault weapons ban Former Police Commissioner, William Bratton replied:

"Oh, rabidly against what they did. They are talking out of both sides of their mouths -- they want to say they want to support American police and on the other hand they are arming the people that are killing us left and right... Congress wake up!..." [Larry King Live, 3/27/96]

**MARY JO WHITE
U.S. ATTORNEY SOUTHERN DISTRICT NEW YORK**

"...And maybe someday someone can explain to me both the resistance to and the movement to repeal the ban on the sale of assault weapons. Weapons designed for the sole and single purpose of killing the most number of people in the shortest period of time." [Statement of Mary Jo White, 5/3/96]

**CAROLYN MCCARTHY
FORMER REPUBLICAN; WIDOW AND MOTHER OF LIRR MASSACRE VICTIMS**

"I'm a Republican, and I can't understand why Republicans like George Pitaki have opposed the ban on assault weapons....We're not trying to take away guns for hunting or sport. We're trying to take away these killing machines." [Buffalo News, 11/1/94]

**JOHN PITTA
EXEC. VICE PRESIDENT, FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSN.**

"While assault weapon laws are not the panacea to the violence that plagues America, they are another important tool needed to help law enforcement....The issue of these weapons may be a political one to some of you on the subcommittee but it is a 'life and death' issue for us every day as we step out of the front doors of our homes and go to work." [Testimony of John Pitta, Subcommittee on Crime and Criminal Justice, U.S. House of Representatives, 4/25/94]

**Media Plan: Washington, D.C. market only
CNN - 8/20 - 8/24
NBC - "Meet the Press" - 8/21**

Copy:

**GUTS
:30**

Tom Scotto:

I'm not an actor. I'm a New York City cop. And I want to know why the Washington gun lobby isn't telling the truth about the Crime Bill.

It's got three strikes you're out to lock up violent offenders for life, 100,000 new police officers, a ban on cop killing assault weapons and tough new sentences to shut the revolving door on violent criminals.

Every major police organization, including my own, supports the Crime Bill.

If police officers have the guts to face down punks armed with assault weapons, shouldn't politicians have the guts to face down the special interests?

The Law Enforcement Steering Committee

1001 22nd St., N.W., Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20037

July 11, 1996

Senator Robert Dole
Dole for President
810 First Street, NE
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20002

MEDINA
FAX

622 - 7301

Dear Senator Dole:

We, the undersigned, represent the Law Enforcement Steering Committee (LESC) a nonpartisan coalition of national police associations representing over 500,000 law enforcement officials. We are dedicated to the advancement of legislation and policy that will ensure the safety and security of the American people.

The LESC coalition consists of the Federal Law Enforcement Officers Association, the Fraternal Order of Police, the International Brotherhood of Police Officers, the Major Cities Chiefs, the National Association of Police Organizations, the National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Executives, the Police Research Forum, and the Police Foundation.

For the past six years, the LESC has been a leader in the struggle to ban assault weapons. According to a recent study, 36% of law enforcement officers killed with firearms, when the make and model could be identified, involved assault weapons or guns sold with magazines affected by the assault weapons ban. Neither the police nor the American people will tolerate these "cop killer" guns appearing again on the streets of America.

The members of the LESC are concerned over your most recent statement regarding a repeal of the 1994 law banning some assault guns. We call upon you to clarify your position on the assault weapons ban. The assault weapons ban is favored by more than 70% of Americans in recent polls. The American people support the bill and understand that it is working.

Steering Committee
Members

Federal Law Enforcement
Officers Association
Fraternal Order of Police

International
Brotherhood of
Police Officers
Major Cities Chiefs

National Association of
Police Organizations
National Organization of
Black Law Enforcement
Executives

National Sheriffs' Association
National Troopers Coalition
Police Executive Research
Forum
Police Foundation


Representing
Policing in
America



page 2

Crime control legislation has been continually undermined by partisan politics and pressure from special interest groups. In the meantime, violence is still a cause of concern for many Americans, making peace officers' jobs that much more dangerous. The LESC urges you to rise above partisan politics and stand with the nation's law enforcement. We cannot afford any ambiguity regarding this crucial public safety issue.

Sincerely,



Kenneth T. Lyons
National President, IBPO
Chair, LESC
(703) 979-0290

**HANDGUN CONTROL, INC.
1225 Eye Street, NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005**

For Immediate Release:
July 9, 1996

Contact: Holly Richardson
or Bob Walker (202-898-0792)

BOB DOLE TO CALL FOR NATIONAL INSTANT CHECK SYSTEM OF GUN SALES

His Position is Hypocritical Charges Sarah Brady

Brady Law, which he voted against, already mandates instant check by end of 1998

(Washington, DC) Bob Dole is expected to make a speech today (2:30pm EDT) in Richmond, Virginia calling for adoption of a national "instant check" system to approve gun sales more readily.

"When Bob Dole calls for a national instant check system he is speaking for the National Rifle Association, not for the American people," stated Sarah Brady, Chair of Handgun Control, Inc. "Most Americans, including most gun owners, favor a background check and a waiting period. A waiting period not only serves as a cooling off period, it also gives law enforcement the time that is needed to conduct a thorough background check to make sure guns don't end up in the hands of criminals."

The Brady Law currently provides for a five-day waiting period and requires local law enforcement to conduct background checks on handgun purchasers. The Brady Law already requires the creation of a national instant check system by December 1998 and authorized \$200 million for the improvement of State criminal history files.

Dole voted against the Brady Law in November 1993.

According to Mrs. Brady, the national instant check system, as envisioned and proposed by Bob Dole, would "destroy the Brady Law, not improve it." In order to institute an effective national instant check system, she said, "you need fully computerized criminal history files. We are not there yet."

In 1992, the Justice Department reported that only 18.2 percent of all criminal history records were complete, computerized and computer accessible by the federal government.

"Under Bob Dole's system, the criminal will walk out of the gun store with a gun four out of five times because there's no record of his criminal conviction," noted Mrs. Brady. "Some states, like Virginia, have pretty good criminal records, but in other states, like Maine and West Virginia, we have a long way to go. If we are to meet the goal of establishing a national instant check system we need to spend more dollars on improving the computerization of all the records."

Since the Brady Law was implemented in February of 1994, more than 60,000 felons and other prohibited purchasers have been stopped from buying handguns over the counter.

Former Presidential Press Secretary Jim Brady, for whom the Brady Law is named, said, "I have some advice for Bob Dole: Don't mess with success."

BACKGROUND INFO ON THE BRADY LAW IS AVAILABLE FROM HANDGUN CONTROL, INC.

Handgun Control, Inc. is the nation's largest citizens' gun control lobbying organization. Based in Washington, DC, HCI works to enact stronger federal, state and local gun control laws, but does not seek to ban handguns. Founded in 1974, HCI has more than 400,000 members nationwide.

###

On November 30, 1993, President Clinton signed the Brady Act into law. This much needed legislation -- which was supported by every major law enforcement organization in the country -- established a 5 day waiting period for persons seeking to purchase handguns and permits law enforcement agencies to conduct criminal history background check on such individuals.

According to figures compiled by the Department of Treasury, since being enacted the Brady Law has prevented over 60,000 prohibited persons from purchasing handguns.

The Brady Law also provided for the establishment of an instant-response point-of-purchase background check system. As soon as the instant-check is operational, the waiting period goes out of effect. However, that is several years off in the future. In the interim, the Brady law provides \$200 million to the states to get their records computerized so that an instant check system will someday be a reality..

If you repeal Brady and attempt to adopt an instant background check system, today, then you create a very probable risk that a felon will buy a handgun that Brady would have prevented.

Statement by Mary Jo White, US Attorney for the Southern District of New York on Sept. 16. 1994 at a joint press conference in support of the crime bill with AG Reno, then Gov. Mario Cuomo, former NYC Police Commissioner William Bratton and Cong. Charles Schumer.

" We want to emphasize the vital importance of the Crime Bill and the ban against assault weapons."

Mary Jo White in a speech on May 3, 1996-

She called for "meaningful gun control to stem the surging tide of violence that plagues our country. We have a shamefully high homicide rate in this country--no other country is anywhere near us. And maybe someday someone can explain to me both the resistance to and the movement to repeal the ban on the sale of assault weapons. Weapons designed for the sole and single purpose of killing the most number of people in the shortest period of time."

BLUEPRINT FOR ANTI-CRIME LEGISLATION

Presented to
PRESIDENT BILL CLINTON
April 14, 1994

Founded in 1985, the Law Enforcement Steering Committee (LESC) is a nonpartisan coalition consisting of the Federal Law Enforcement Officers Association, the Fraternal Order of Police, the International Brotherhood of Police Officers, the Major City Chiefs, the National Association of Police Organizations, the National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Executives, the National Sheriffs' Association, the National Troopers Coalition, the Police Executive Research Forum, and the Police Foundation. These groups represent over 500,000 law enforcement officers nationwide. The LESC is a model of unprecedented cooperative effort between law enforcement research organizations, police management, and labor, dedicated to the advancement of legislation and policies that will ensure the safety and security of our country's people.



Representing
Policing in
America

Over the past eight year's LESC members have worked diligently on law enforcement issues of mutual concern and have been able to articulate to the White House, the Congress, and the American people our ideas for achieving a safer society. We have expressed our concerns over the increase in violence and crime, the easy access criminals have to weapons, and the need for more support for professional law enforcement.

For too many years, crime control—and legislative means of achieving it—has been undermined by partisan politics and pressure from special interest groups. In the meantime, violence has exploded in our communities, making peace officers' jobs increasingly dangerous and frustrating.

While we fully recognize that anti-crime initiatives in the legislation pending before Congress do not present a panacea for crime, they are a solid beginning toward a comprehensive approach to making our nation's streets safe and secure. The members of the LESC stand firmly behind many major provisions of the legislation, including those that would enhance police presence in the community and that would confine violent criminals until they are no longer a danger to our communities.

Members of the LESC met with congressional and Administration leaders in 1992 in an effort to forge a compromise and enact a comprehensive crime bill. We were very disappointed when that effort failed, and are committed to working with policy makers to ensure this crime bill does not suffer the same fate. This year, the case for strong, effective crime legislation is so compelling that no such effort should be needed. The nation's police and the citizens they are sworn to protect have no doubt that crime is threatening the quality of life in our communities. Nonetheless, the LESC offers its assistance in securing sensible, effective legislation to address what has become the public's number one concern. The LESC feels that the following items are key elements to any package of crime legislation:

- **Funding for 100,000 additional police officers** — There is a broad consensus among the law enforcement community that additional personnel dedicated to community policing will assist some agencies in reducing crime, and is essential in our efforts towards working with the community to take back our streets.

(more)

Steering Committee
Members

Federal Law Enforcement
Officers Association
Fraternal Order of Police

International
Brotherhood of
Police Officers
Major City Chiefs

National Association of
Police Organizations
National Organization of
Black Law Enforcement
Executives

National Sheriffs' Association
National Troopers Coalition
Police Executive Research
Forum
Police Foundation



• **Community Policing** – New policing strategies in which police are in close contact with residents of the community and in which the police and residents become partners in solving community problems offer the best hope for improving the quality of life for all citizens we serve. While change will be difficult, it seems that traditional responses alone have not been enough.

• **Funding for more prisons, jails and boot camps** – The current state and local prison systems are overflowing and in serious need of repair. Arrests mean nothing unless we can back them up with the threat of doing jail time, but criminals cannot be kept behind bars if there is no available prison space. Criminals must know that if they commit a crime, they will serve the full sentence. Boot camps are a pragmatic approach to dealing with juvenile, non-violent offenders for whom training and guidance can serve to turn their lives around. Youths can receive education, discipline, and drug treatment through these innovative programs. If law enforcement can deter young people from a life of crime, then American society will be the winner.

• **Protecting our youth** – Children, our most precious resource, have become both the victims and the perpetrators of the violence sweeping this country. One in 25 high school students carry a gun to school and over 30% of students responding to a survey said they have been physically threatened. We need to make every effort to ensure that our schools are crime and gun-free. We must support programs that help all of our children stay crime and drug-free, and that offer opportunities for productive employment and meaningful lives.

→ • **Assault weapons prohibition** – The easy availability of assault weapons wreaks havoc daily on the streets of America. These dangerous weapons, with their rapid rate of fire, ease of handling in close quarters, and military features, are simply not sporting weapons. As long as assault weapons are sold legally, the public and law enforcement will continue to be at great risk.

• **National Commission to Support Law Enforcement** – Comprised of members from all aspects of law enforcement, including management, labor, federal law enforcement agencies, academia, together with Members of Congress, the members of the LESC believe a commission to support law enforcement will be an excellent forum for sharing law enforcement resources and intelligence. A partnership such as this will help ensure that law enforcement at every level has the tools it needs to conduct a coordinated, national approach to fighting crime.

• **Research on issues affecting the cop on the street** – To help effectively address the problems of crime and disorder in the community, the LESC feels that there needs to be a sufficient level of research funding that directly benefits the cop on the street.

The LESC supports a crime bill that encompasses these and other issues contained in the crime bill. The LESC is committed to standing with President Clinton and his Administration in pressing for prompt enactment of such a bill by the Congress.

4th CALLER, [Idaho Falls, Idaho]: Hello?

LARRY KING: Hi.

4th CALLER: Hey, Larry, how are you doing?

LARRY KING: Fine.

4th CALLER: Hey, I'd like to ask Mr. & Mrs. Brady if they would welcome a debate between the NRA and themselves over this issue of the assault weapons so that we can properly define assault weapons, you know?

LARRY KING: Do you want to do a whole hour? Do you want to sit down with the NRA?

SARAH BRADY: Oh, we have done hour after hour after hour, with the NRA and I suppose we could do it again. It never seems to get anywhere, because, as he says—now, his issue happens to be definition. It's well defined in this bill.

LARRY KING: There is no doubt in your mind—

SARAH BRADY: Whatever—

LARRY KING: —what an assault weapon is?

SARAH BRADY: —no, of what an assault weapon is. And we're not talking about all semi-automatic guns by any means. I mean, a majority of hunting guns are semi-automatic, that is not the problem.

LARRY KING: Is this one of those debates like abortion, where nobody's mind changes? The debate goes on but nobody's mind changes?

SARAH BRADY: Well—probably when you come to the true believers. And I call them the true believers, and they are the ones who really, truly don't want any gun laws whatsoever. And who really don't want to listen to us. I think we're pretty middle of the road.

LARRY KING: Middle of the road?

SARAH BRADY: We know that — Yeah, I mean there are people—

LARRY KING: You're not hardliners?

SARAH BRADY: We're not hardliners. I mean, there are many people who want to ban all guns.

LARRY KING: You don't?

SARAH BRADY: No. Absolutely not. There are others who want to make guns legal for anyone, no matter who you are, and all guns, and all weapons. And we don't believe in either of those sides. We say: we don't want to ban all weapons we want some common sense regulations. We want to keep them out of the wrong hands. The fugitives, the felons, the kids—people who have had just—

LARRY KING: The crazies.

SARAH BRADY: —the crazies. And people who have had a history of domestic abuse, who have been accused of — and convicted — not just accused but convicted of domestic abuse. Those are the people we're trying to keep them out of. We don't want to interfere with this gentleman in Idaho Falls and his gun, as long as he doesn't fall into one of those categories.

LARRY KING: Do you think we're going to see in your lifetime Jim, a ban on most of the weapons you want banned? Do you think you are going to win this fight?

JAMES BRADY: I think so.

LARRY KING: You are— you do think so?

JAMES BRADY: Yes, sir.

LARRY KING: In your heart, too?

JAMES BRADY: Yes, so

LARRY KING: Do you think so, Sarah?

SARAH BRADY: I think we're going to see very good common sense laws. And they are coming along. Already tomorrow, as you may know, I think there will be a final vote in Maryland, which will give Maryland the one gun a month that Virginia already has. I think we will eventually see that nationwide. It's a very effective way of stopping guns going into the illegal market, making guns easily accessible—underground.

LARRY KING: And most police are on your side, aren't they?

SARAH BRADY: Oh, law enforcement—

JAMES BRADY: All of them.

SARAH BRADY: —is just overwhelmingly behind us.

JAMES BRADY: All of them.

LARRY KING: So good seeing you. We will try to set up, we will give the NRA some time and then get you all on together.

SARAH BRADY: Sounds good.

LARRY KING: Do a whole hour. Maybe we can change some minds.

SARAH BRADY: Maybe we can.

LARRY KING: One way or the other.

Jim and Sarah Brady of Handgun Control Inc.

When we come back, big story today, William Bratton, New York police commissioner, since January of '93, one of the best in the country, formerly in Boston, leaving the post. Why? We will find out after these words.

[Commercial break]

William Bratton

LARRY KING: Two years ago, he left Boston to take the job of 58th police commissioner in the history of the City of New York, one of the finest and toughest jobs in the world. In the past two years, as commissioner New York City has experienced the steepest crime drop in its history and yesterday, William Bratton says he's leaving.

Why?

WILLIAM BRATTON, former Police Commissioner, New York City: Well, Larry, several reasons — one personal, that after 26 years of the business that I am going to start taking a look at my financial situation and my family situation and after 26 years and six departments that I'm still floating around without a pension — as there is a personal issue. From the professional side, unfortunately, for almost a year here in New York City, the media has constantly attempted to show that the Mayor and I have been at odds with each other, despite both of our denials at every turn, it's a story that would not go away, and increasingly in recent months has become very debilitating — and was beginning, I think, to have the potential to impact negatively on our ability to work together to keep the crime going down. So—

LARRY KING: So, did you call him and say "I'm going to leave," or did he ask you or what? How did it happen?

WILLIAM BRATTON: There's different versions of what happened. Whether I was pushed —

LARRY KING: What's the correct one?

WILLIAM BRATTON: —or whether I left — I think it a convergence of both. I think that—

LARRY KING: You were pushed a little?

WILLIAM BRATTON: Both of us were very frustrated at our inability to push the— the media reports aside, and coincidentally, over the last week or so, I got an offer that really I could not turn down. It met all of my needs, allowed me to stay here in New York, to get into a new venture that has potential growth. I will be working for a very large security firm that's going to seek to expand significantly in the years ahead. I'd like the excitement of new challenges and being quite frank with you that after two years in this job, we've had great success, I've been able to tell the story of 38,000 great cops. I get a lot of credit for it, but it's the cops who are doing the job out there.

LARRY KING: All right. Why— why let the media — well, first of all, what began the stories? Was there a certain break? Was there a little— what— where did it begin?

WILLIAM BRATTON: Oh, it began about a year or so ago, that my deputy commissioner of public information, was forced out, he was — resigned. And it was widely felt that the Mayor had pushed him out the door. And—

LARRY KING: And you were angry over that?

WILLIAM BRATTON: And— I— I was disappointed. I a great deputy commissioner, he's a personal friend, he remains a very close personal friend.

LARRY KING: So there was a rift then?

WILLIAM BRATTON: I think there was a difference of opinion about that particular individual, but both the Mayor and I are professionals and life went on and the second year crime went down 17 percent versus 12 percent in the first year.

LARRY KING: So, are you saying that the media — while there was some cleavage over this incident — the media overplayed it and made it bigger than it was?

WILLIAM BRATTON: I think they made it bigger than it is, bigger than it was. And that's unfortunate because the job was getting done. Crime is down another 9 percent so far this year, we have a goal of 10 percent. And the crime drops, you have to look beyond the percentages, that reality is that in New York there were 1,000 fewer people murdered last year in New York versus 1990, 70,000 some odd fewer cars stolen versus 1990 — and the good news is, in the City of New York, we have found a way to not only reduce crime but to keep it down. I'm an optimist when it comes to crime in the United States. I think that American policing has finally woken up to the reality that we can make a difference and criminologists be damned, there is something that the police can do. And one of the things we can do is we can focus on results, and the results we can focus on is controlling crime and in New York one of the city's in this country that had the toughest reputation for crime, it is now clearly one of the safest city's in America if not the world.

LARRY KING: And you are very pro-handgun control and you're against what the Congress did the other day,

right?

WILLIAM BRATTON: Oh, rabidly against what they did. They are talking out of both sides of their mouths — they want to say they want to support American police and on the other hand they are arming the people that are killing us left and right. We lost two police officers in New York state with two others shot, in just the last week. A New York City police officer, killed by some character on parole armed with two .9 millimeters, with multiple round clips, supposedly banned by the recent legislation. For Congress to even contemplate, let alone act on the idea of once again legalizing these weapons — we believe are about 1 percent of what's in the possession of American citizens, constitute 13 percent of the weapons used to kill American police officers. Congress wake up! American police forces are out there to protect you as well as the rest of the citizens, and this baloney that you keep coming up with, when are you going to wake up? You say you are so close to the American citizenry. Get out and listen to what the 60 percent of them want. And they want gun control and they want it now.

LARRY KING: Since you've done such a good job, and the Mayor has been done in that time, couldn't this have been done — couldn't it have been said, "Look fellas, we had a disagreement over something, but I'm doing a good job as Mayor, he's doing a great job as police commissioner, let's keep it going." I mean, couldn't that have been a better way to resolve this? Granted you need more money and granted there is a nice, private security job, couldn't that— for the benefit of the city couldn't this have been handled better?

WILLIAM BRATTON: Maybe it could have been. But I am also an optimist about the changes that have been made in this organization. We have found a new way to police and it's not just Bill Bratton. It's the New York City police department. It's the organization it's become. When I left the Transit Police in 1992, crime was down 22 percent in that system, now in 1996, and I was gone for three of the last five years, crime is now down 63 percent in that subway system, robberies are down 75 percent, so the systems we put in place in '92 kept the momentum going. I'm comfortable with the systems we developed over the last two years, long after Bill Bratton is gone, crime is going to continue to go down in this city, because the cops know how to police this city. And the good news is, you transfer what we're doing in New York to any city in America.

LARRY KING: The New York papers said today that the Mayor — you can have an opinion on this — was jealous of all of the good publicity you were getting. Do you buy that?

WILLIAM BRATTON: There is no denying that the New York City police department and myself included, get publicity, as they should — it's a success story here — but it's a success story that—

LARRY KING: Well, why wouldn't the Mayor be happy over that? He's the Mayor—

WILLIAM BRATTON: He—

LARRY KING: —he gets the eventual credit.

WILLIAM BRATTON: —he personally has not expressed

any dissatisfaction with that. He appointed me. It's the political changes here that have also helped to make this a safer city. The idea that — the appreciation that the police can now go out and enforce things that for 25 years they could not enforce: that we can go out and arrest drug dealers on the street — that we can aggressively attack quality of life crimes, and most importantly, that the police can change behavior. And that's what it's all about, changing behavior. That you don't tolerate graffiti, you don't tolerate squeegee persons, you don't tolerate drinking on the corner. And the good news is, if you change the behaviors of the adults, all those kids watching to see what the adults are doing, that big surge at the end of the decade — change the behavior now of the adults and you will be able to change the behavior of those kids that basically emulate the behavior of the adults that they associate with.

LARRY KING: Bill, did the Mayor ask you to reconsider?

WILLIAM BRATTON: No, he did not.

LARRY KING: Had he asked you to reconsider, would you have reconsidered?

WILLIAM BRATTON: At this time, I don't think I could have. Again, the offer was made that came at the right time for me. It's — it's time to move on. I'm going to stay very active in American law enforcement —

LARRY KING: Meaning?

WILLIAM BRATTON: —the president of the United States has appointed me as one of his representatives to the Crime Commission that is now being formed. The environment I am moving into, the private security field, part of the success of the future of America is going to be improvement in the quality of the security forces in America. And I intend to be a leader in the new company that I am going to, in developing private security to be regarded as highly as their counterparts in the public sector are now regarded. And I think that is doable.

LARRY KING: Do you expect to have any input into the selection of your replacement?

WILLIAM BRATTON: The Mayor and I met yesterday for about an hour and a half, I expressed to him — my — my comments if you will, on the various candidates that he was looking at as of that time. I appreciated that opportunity and my understanding is that he is rapidly moving toward a selection or replacement. And I hope so — the sooner the better. These organizations, you don't want them to leave — to be left out there too long.

LARRY KING: Well, you leave — you leave April 15th. Shouldn't that selection be made before you leave?

WILLIAM BRATTON: Oh, I think every indication is that it will be made long before that.

LARRY KING: Is it going to come from the department?

WILLIAM BRATTON: The selection — we have — we are in great shape in New York. We have tremendous candidates inside the organization. There are candidates outside the organization that have also — one of them is the fire commissioner who is well known to the Mayor, well known in this city and he's had successes of his own. I — I trust that whoever the Mayor will put in will be able to keep the momentum going forward.

LARRY KING: And, as in your case, it might be someone

from the outside, right?

WILLIAM BRATTON: There's the potential. One of the candidates that is talked about is the fire commissioner here in New York City.

LARRY KING: No, I mean, a police chief somewhere else.

WILLIAM BRATTON: No, I believe that the selection is going to be restricted to three or four candidates from within the police department, people who have been part of my team —

LARRY KING: Mm-hm.

WILLIAM BRATTON: —and two candidates have been named from outside the organization.

LARRY KING: So that's — that's a definite. You are not going to get the police chief of Indianapolis?

WILLIAM BRATTON: I don't think that is in the archives. I think the Mayor has confidence in the people that are already here.

LARRY KING: We will be right back with your phone calls for William Bratton, New York City police commissioner since January of 1993. Before that he was in Boston, before that he was in the Traffic Division in New York. He's had an amazing success ratio and now goes into private industry with First Securities.

We will be right back, after these words.

[Commercial break]

LARRY KING: Let's take some calls for one of the policemen in America, William Bratton of New York. Columbus, Ohio. Hello?

5th CALLER (Columbus, Ohio): Hello. Hi, Larry, how are you doing?

LARRY KING: Hi, go ahead.

5th CALLER: Yes, I'd like to know what he thinks of the concealed carry law that's going around the United States, and its positive impact on the country.

WILLIAM BRATTON: Well, first off, I disagree strongly that it's having a positive impact. I am strongly opposed to those concealed gun actions that have occurred in a number of states and am very fearful that, over time, they are going to result in a significant increase in gun violence in this country. Like most American police chiefs, we are opposed to that concept, unfortunately it seems to be a growing one.

LARRY KING: Indianapolis, Indiana. Hello? Hello, Indianapolis, are you there? Do you hear me? Indianapolis, one more chance. Okay, we lost Indianapolis. Are you going to support Mayor Giuliani?

WILLIAM BRATTON: Oh, sure. In terms of the changes that have occurred in the —

LARRY KING: No, I mean, when he runs for reelection.

WILLIAM BRATTON: When I go to the ballot box, I usually keep my opinions to myself —

LARRY KING: Aw, Bill —

WILLIAM BRATTON: —but we'll see.

LARRY KING: —you're not going to endorse him?

WILLIAM BRATTON: We'll — we shall see.

LARRY KING: Is he a good mayor?

WILLIAM BRATTON: He's an excellent mayor. He's an excellent mayor. The changes that have occurred in this

city that—a lot of focus on the crime control, but there are a lot of other good things happening here. A lot of people being returned to work from the welfare rolls. The cleaning up of the city, the sense that there is somebody who is in control. This city has a very different feel than it did several years ago. A very different feel.

LARRY KING: What's — what's the toughest job about running that police force. The single toughest thing about it.

WILLIAM BRATTON: The single toughest thing is dealing with the officers that are shot and injured in the line of duty. Every other aspect of this job I love immensely. It is the greatest job any person could ever hope to have and I've been blessed to, for the last 27 months have it, and it will remain with me for the rest of my life. But the negative is two police funerals in the past week, going to hospitals in the middle of the night too frequently for officers injured all too frequently by the .9 millimeter handgun, by the assault weapon that Congress seems to intent on putting out into the streets in even greater numbers.

LARRY KING: Do we know why, Bill, police officers want to be police officers?

WILLIAM BRATTON: I would think that many of them, like myself, that they come into the business, I came in at age 23, all my life wanting to be one — we came in wanting to do good. We come in wanting to make a difference and that's the — the beauty of the public sector, that where else can the actions of one individual, or a police department affect, in this case, 8 million people; or, in the case of the United States, that the *Time* cover story a few months ago, "Finally, We're Winning the War Against Crime."

Americans need to be more optimistic about our ability to with crime. We can in fact reduce it, we can control it, we can do a better job than we've done, we've shown it in New York. And I — I intend to speak loud and clear that there needs to be more optimism on this issue. The crime bill that President Clinton was able to get through after so many years of being stalled in Congress, it has made phenomenal changes in this country. We're benefited in New York, by almost \$50 million from that bill. And the good things that emanate from that type of positive action, it resonates throughout the land. These are good times for American law enforcement, we are beginning to make a difference.

LARRY KING: We will be back with our remaining moments with William Bratton who will be missed, the outgoing police commissioner of the City of New York.

Don't go away.
[Commercial break]

LARRY KING: We're back with police commissioner of New York William Bratton, he's given a lot of his life to service like this in other divisions of police work and in Boston. Going to miss it, aren't you?

WILLIAM BRATTON: I'm going to miss it terribly, but with the appointment to the crime commission, the close cooperation between the public and private sector, while I will be out of the public sector, [unintelligible] I will be heard from, I am not going away.

LARRY KING: Not quietly into the good night.

WILLIAM BRATTON: No, not at all. Not at all.

LARRY KING: What's — what's First Security Services?

WILLIAM BRATTON: It's a Boston based firm. It's about the 10th, 11th largest in the country, largest in the northeast region. It's a multi-faceted security firm — investigative services, guard services. It's an entity that's rated among the best in its field and is offering me an opportunity to get into that field, be part of an expansion that's contemplated and to be part of a — I think, a very significant change that's going to occur in that industry over the next 10 years. Americans are going to spend billions of dollars in the private sector and the image of the private security sector needs to be enhanced, and as we have been able to enhance it in the public sector, I think the same thing can happen in the private sector.

LARRY KING: You've got to get away from the private eye image, right?

WILLIAM BRATTON: Exactly, that it's — it's much more than that. It's much more sophisticated in today's day and age, and I think you are going to see a lot more reliance on the private security sector.

LARRY KING: Yeah. Did they come to you?

WILLIAM BRATTON: The gentleman that owns the company has been a friend for many, many years, and for a number of years has been attempting to recruit me and finally, the time was right and I am pleased to have able to accept the offer.

LARRY KING: One other thing, Bill, can we say that on the list that you saw that you discussed with the mayor yesterday, any one of them would be satisfactory with you?

WILLIAM BRATTON: Actually, that is the case. Some more satisfactory than others?

LARRY KING: Is there one standout — you don't have to tell us who — but is there one in your mind that, if they said yes, you would say "Yes, now."

WILLIAM BRATTON: There's several in that group.

LARRY KING: There are several?

WILLIAM BRATTON: And that's the good news for the city.

LARRY KING: Several meaning more than two?

WILLIAM BRATTON: Several meaning two or three.

LARRY KING: Thanks very much, Bill, best of luck.

We will be seeing lots of you.

WILLIAM BRATTON: Okay, I hope so.

LARRY KING: William Bratton, the outgoing police commissioner of New York, resigned yesterday, he will leave April 15th.

Tomorrow night we are up in New York City. Sotheby's is going to be auctioning off a whole bunch of items from the collection of the late Jacqueline Onassis. We're going to see a lot of them. Pierre Salinger is going to be of our guides. This is tomorrow night in New York.

Friday night, Newt Gingrich will host this program. His guests will be Jack Hannah and a whole bunch of animals from the Columbus Zoo.

Next week, among our guests will be Richard Gere, Robert Shapiro and Hillary Rodham Clinton.

From Washington, this is Larry King. Good night.

say the most promising answer and it's very promising because the for is not exclusively an I. I am convinced that the Arab countries need peace as badly as immediately as we do. You know, for the first time in Sharm el-Sheikh, 13 Arab countries answered the call of President Clinton and came in to denounce terror, to condemn terror after an event, or events that took place in it. This is something unprecedented. And I don't think they did it just to keep us happy. They understand that terror and war is a menace to them as much as to us.

LARRY KING: Waterford, Michigan, with the Prime Minister. Hello?

3rd CALLER, [Waterford, Michigan]: Yes, Mr. Prime Minister, I would like to ask you sir, knowing that the Golan Heights is strategically important to the land of Israel, why would this administration give any consideration to turning it over to Syria, after the Israeli's have shed their blood for this land?

SHIMON PERES: Well, the Israeli's have shed their not only for the Golan Heights, but for peace as well. You know, we have had so many military victories, but the real victory that we are looking for is not just to have more land, but it's really to enjoy a full peace. Now, we have two questions: would we have just one if we give up the Golan Heights or not, everybody would say "No, don't give up." But we have a second question, "Should we give up the chances of peace with Syria," and then many people in Israel, maybe a majority, would say "Don't do it." So we are not suggesting to give up the land just because we don't want it. But we are suggesting to have a territorial compromise so to obtain a real peace with our northern neighbor. And by doing so having a comprehensive peace all around us.

LARRY KING: Mr. Prime Minister one other thing before we leave us, what— what keeps you going? You've had a long and fruitful life, you've had dedicated service to your country, held many positions. Why— why this— why stay?

SHIMON PERES: The only reason, really, for me to stay on, is to do a service to the younger generation. You know, at my age, with my experience I want to put aside many of the difficult dilemmas. Take it upon myself, upon my generation, to make the tough decisions, the unpopular decisions and pave the way for a new future. So that our children and grandchildren will not have to go through the experiences and pains that we went through. I feel myself, as totally serving a young generation.

LARRY KING: Last Friday, here in Washington, House Republicans voted to overturn the ban on assault weapons in the 1994 Crime Bill — that came as a severe blow to Jim and Sarah Brady. They are the — what's your title, Sarah, with Handgun Control Inc.?

SARAH BRADY, Chair, Handgun Control Inc.: I am chair.

LARRY KING: And Jim?

JAMES BRADY, Handgun Control Inc., Board of Directors: I am a member of the Board of Directors.

LARRY KING: And Handgun Control Inc. is out to get effective control of handguns. We have the Brady Bill, etcetera. Were you shocked at the House actions, Sarah?

SARAH BRADY: Well, we actually knew that we didn't have the votes to— to overturn it. I was surprised at the final moment that the Republican leadership would have actually scheduled it. I— I don't think it was probably the smartest thing on earth for them to have done and I—

LARRY KING: Do you think especially after hearing the plea of young Mr. Kennedy?

SARAH BRADY: Well, that was a very dramatic place. And what a shock hearing Solomon, and his—

LARRY KING: Response.

SARAH BRADY: —rebuttal. You know, I think the whole world thought "Oh, poor Mr. Solomon stuck up in northern New York, somewhere, without an assault weapon." You know, we kind of—

JAMES BRADY: "Come and get me."

SARAH BRADY: "Come and get me. Here I am all alone."

LARRY KING: Jim, were you surprised that the — the House did what it did?

JAMES BRADY: Well, I was shocked. But at the same time we can count as well as they can count and we knew pretty well where the chips were on this.

LARRY KING: But it's not going to happen is it? The Senate is not going to do it and the president will veto, I mean this is just a—

SARAH BRADY: No. And we— and there were not enough votes in this one to— to override a veto, even if— even if it would pass the Senate. And, of course, we know the president—

JAMES BRADY: Which it's not going to do.

SARAH BRADY: —it's not going to anyway, we really feel pretty confident it wouldn't.

NO.914 P004/009

03/29/96 08:15 JOURNAL GRAPHICS → 2028987686

LARRY KING: It's tough, is it not, Jim, taking on the NRA?

JAMES BRADY: They— they are good trans-writers.

LARRY KING: You've learned to respect that?

JAMES BRADY: Yes.

LARRY KING: Have you Sarah?

SARAH BRADY: Yeah. I act like I have a lot of money. They have a lot of money and they— they buy this

LARRY KING: In your head, you hope not.

JAMES BRADY: But never say never.

LARRY KING: They don't give up, do they?

SARAH BRADY: We'll fight it.

LARRY KING: This is a tough other side.

SARAH BRADY: Yeah, they don't give up and we will be to fight that side. What a shame. When gun violence, especially amongst our young kids and amongst

have a lot of money and I really bought the freshman ()
LARRY KING: And do you think this Congress in this case was just fulfilling a debt?
SARAH BRADY: I think there are some true believers but yes, I think the Republican leadership was fulfilling a debt. It was a Congress that was paid for, and you know I owed—they owed them.
LARRY KING: In other words, you don't—you don't believe necessarily that Mr. Gingrich is all that—all that in favor of having assault weapons sold on the open market?
SARAH BRADY: Well, he's always voted against us. But I think this was a good example, and I think Jim will agree with me on this, of government at its worst—really special interests being able to say, "Look, we put money into your races, you owe us one, you promised," and both Gingrich and Dole wrote to the NRA early on and promised that there would be a vote. And they were—Gingrich was fulfilling this promise. Is that really what people voted in? Or they thought they were voting in two years ago? I don't think so. I think they wanted change. But I don't think they wanted to vote in government by special interests and by big money.

LARRY KING: Jim, can you figure out why assault weapons would be such a big deal?

JAMES BRADY: Well—

LARRY KING: I mean, they are not your everyday average weapons.

JAMES BRADY: No, but they are showing up in—ATF tell us in 20 percent of crimes that are committed with firearms. They are up 28 percent. So it appears to be the weapon of choice of drug dealers, and people that—that know they are going to have to shoot it out with the police. They're—they're designed to defeat the soft body armor that our law enforcement officers make.

SARAH BRADY: Actually, they were and are still a large percentage of guns with crimes, but it has gone down in this last year and a half, since the last year.

LARRY KING: Since the Brady bill, they've gone down.

SARAH BRADY: Well, and since the ban on assault weapons. Since the ban on assault weapons the number—especially in Chicago, I think it was down by 28 percent.

LARRY KING: Well, then why can't you just say "Let's not make a big deal, they were going through the motions to fulfill a promise to a heavy lobby."

SARAH BRADY: Actually it was all political and I think that's pretty much the case.

LARRY KING: Do you think you are ever going to see assault weapons sold again, Jim?

JAMES BRADY: I hope not.

LARRY KING: You're not sure?

JAMES BRADY: In—in my heart, I'm sure. But—

—in domestic disputes, gun violence is every day.

LARRY KING: The Second argument holds no weight with you, Jim?

JAMES BRADY: No, not on this where—

LARRY KING: Second Amendment—

JAMES BRADY: No.

LARRY KING:—the Second Amendment doesn't move you at all?

JAMES BRADY: In one word—fraud.

SARAH BRADY: It is a fraud on the American people. I mean, the courts—time after time after time have upheld gun control measures.

LARRY KING: Of course, with a strict interpretation you could have an atomic bomb.

SARAH BRADY: Exactly. I mean, what are we going to do? Rocket launchers—

LARRY KING: We will be right back with Jim and Sarah Brady, they head the Handgun Control Inc., that organization by the way is listed in your 800 numbers if you would like to help them.

And, when we come back, we will take your phone calls and then we will meet William Bratton the outgoing police commissioner of New York we will get his thoughts on this, too.

Don't go away.

[Commercial break]

LARRY KING: We're back with Jim and Sarah Brady, of Handgun Control Inc., we're going to take some of your phone calls and then William Bratton.

Jim, I'd be remiss in not asking you how you feel. I know that you had a cardiac problem during a dental exam. How's—how are you doing?

JAMES BRADY: Well, I'm staying away from dentists, let's put it that way.

LARRY KING: The dentist caused this. What's—what's the situation?

JAMES BRADY: Well, I don't know, I—I'm not sure what caused it. I think fear may have had something to do with it.

LARRY KING: Sarah, what happened?

SARAH BRADY: Actually, he had a blood infection. A strep infection of the blood, which kind of causes his heart to weaken a little bit but he has no heart damage from it and he's fine.

LARRY KING: So we don't have to worry?

SARAH BRADY: A thinner bear.

LARRY KING: Yeah, he looks good.

SARAH BRADY: And we're happy about this.

JAMES BRADY: A meaner, thinner bear.

LARRY KING: Idaho Falls, Idaho. Hello?

This transcript has not yet been checked against videotape and cannot, for that reason, be guaranteed as to accuracy of speakers and spelling.
(ad)

LARRY KING LIVE Transcript #1706

March 27, 1996

Shimon Peres

LARRY KING: *(voice-over)* He says he kills for his land and his lord, and now Yitzhak Rabin's assassin faces life imprisonment. Today's sentence closes a sad chapter for Israeli Prime Minister Shimon Peres and he will update us on where he country goes from here. Plus, James and ah Brady fight against assault weapons, and a successful crime fighter tells us he is leaving the NYPD — Commissioner William Bratton. All next on *Larry King Live*.
ANNOUNCER: Now, live from Washington, here's Larry King.

LARRY KING: We're back in Washington, our first guest tonight is at our bureau in Jerusalem. It is a great pleasure to always welcome to these cameras, the distinguished Prime Minister of Israel, Shimon Peres. Mr. Prime Minister, Yitzhak Rabin's assassin, Yigal Amir, sentenced today to life in prison, and an additional six years for wounding the bodyguard — your comments on that result today?

SHIMON PERES, Prime Minister, Israel: Well, the verdict is pale in comparison with the crime. What this man did to our country, to our political life, to our future, is something of untold damage. And the murder is a murder, it goes against everything that we stand for, as a state, as the Jewish people, and the crime is unforgettable and we will shall never pardon this terrible young man.

LARRY KING: You do not agree with capital punishment, though, do you?

SHIMON PERES: No, I am against capital punishment, because still there can be human error and this is again an unforgivable crime.

LARRY KING: Now, what can you tell us about the peace process? It is on hold, is it not? If so, for how long?

SHIMON PERES: Well, shall I say that we are, in effect, in a transitional period. You know, the old times are still with us, yet they are disappearing. The new period is coming in, yet not complete, so we are suffering from the hatred, the belligerency, the terror of the past, but we are also breeding the hopes and opportunities of an entirely new era. If I can say it in my world, the old Middle East is disappearing, but not yet completely. The new Middle East, is arriving, but not yet fully.

LARRY KING: So, we're in a phase here?

SHIMON PERES: Yes, we have to phase the two of them, to pay the price of the hatred and the terror, and yet not to give up the chances for a new future.

LARRY KING: Can you tell us when you think negotiations will resume with Syria and with Palestine?

SHIMON PERES: With the Palestinians we have to start

to negotiate the permanent settlements at the beginning of May. I imagine that we shall meet that date, though we have three years for the negotiations, and again, I believe that the real negotiations will take place after the elections. We are having the elections by the end of May and I think we shall get a mandate from our people to negotiate and then we shall go on.

LARRY KING: All right—

SHIMON PERES: With the Syrians—

LARRY KING: —with Syria, yes?

SHIMON PERES: —with the Syrians, there is an interruption, because the Syrians must make up their minds in concern with terror. You know, they did not condemn the acts of terror, they did not participate in the Sharm el-Sheik international meeting against terror, they have some headquarters of terroristic organizations in Damascus. And they have to decide either peace and draw all the conclusions or terror and pay the whole cost.

LARRY KING: Are you satisfied, Mr. Prime Minister with the way Mr. Arafat has handled himself during these past couple of months.

SHIMON PERES: Well, shall I say during the last couple of weeks, yes. He has started to take it more seriously than ever before, and now the problem is will he remain constant in his combat against violence and terror that comes from Gaza which is under his jurisdiction?

LARRY KING: Are you saying—

SHIMON PERES: We don't ask— we don't ask Arafat to become the defender of Israel, nor do we ask Arafat to do any favors to Israel but we are demanding that in both areas which are under his control that he will not permit any acts of terror, any exports of terroristic activities.

LARRY KING: Are you confident he will meet that test?

SHIMON PERES: Well, I think he has more than one reason to do so. He won't do it because of us, he has to do it because of himself. If he won't establish himself as the sole authority in Gaza, he is endangering his remaining the sole authority in the eyes of his own people and in the eyes of the rest of the world.

LARRY KING: There are rumors that you are going to deport Palestinians that you believe were involved with Hamas in the attacks. Is that true?

SHIMON PERES: Yes, we have it on our list. Our problem is the following: how to deter a young man, or young people, from committing suicide. We came to the conclusion that the only way to prevent him from doing so, is to make him aware that is family may pay for this act of violence and killing and assassination. So there are two means actually left under our control: one is to destroy the house of the family, the other is to expel members of the family, not elderly people, not women nor children, and not innocent members of the family. But if there are in the family, members who helped him, supported him or encouraged him, we may expel them. We have a problem because we cannot do it against the law, and it is now under the legal consideration of our experts.

LARRY KING: More in a minute, with the Prime Minister of Israel, Shimon Peres, and then we will meet Jim and Sarah Brady and their reaction to that Congressional vote

next week about attack weaponry, and then William Bratton, who announced yesterday, that he is resigning as police commissioner of the City of New York. Newt Gingrich will host this show on Friday night with some very surprising guests. And one week from tonight, back from her trip, the guest will be First Lady Hillary Rodham Clinton.

We will be right back.

[Commercial break]

LARRY KING: We're back with the Prime Minister of Israel, Shimon Peres, at our studios at our Jerusalem location. Mr. Prime Minister the elections are coming May 29th?

SHIMON PERES: Right.

LARRY KING: It looked like you were way ahead, now it is regarded as close. Do you think it is going to be very close?

SHIMON PERES: Yes, I think the country or the people are rather divided almost equally. But this time we are going to have a double vote—

LARRY KING: What do you mean?

SHIMON PERES: —and then the Prime Minister of Israel will be elected in direct elections for the first time in our history. And then there will be a vote for a different parties.

LARRY KING: So you are going to run like they do in the United States, then?

SHIMON PERES: Yes, but we shall not have a president. It shall be sort of having sort of a presidential system and a parliamentary system, it's quite complicated.

LARRY KING: Is that— is that idea better for you to run head to head with Mr. Netanyahu?

SHIMON PERES: Well, I would like to have a larger sort of reform, namely, that the members of the Parliament would also be elected in districts and not just on a national list.

LARRY KING: Do you expect to win?

SHIMON PERES: Yes.

LARRY KING: Would you— would you agree that the Hamas attacks, and the like, and the other recent occurrences have hurt you badly?

SHIMON PERES: Yes, I agree.

LARRY KING: How do you overcome it?

SHIMON PERES: And for that— and for that reason I wouldn't suggest that the Hamas will decide our elections. I hope our people will do so.

LARRY KING: But they couldn't they?

SHIMON PERES: You see— Yes, they certainly have an effect. But this, too, is not a simple story. Because if the people in Israel would be asked what will happen if we should have an explosion during the electoral period of time, many of them would say 'Well, then you will lose completely the elections'. And then look, we have had one, two, three, four explosions — one after another. Very painful, very complicated. And the nation was shocked with the many people that were killed and wounded. In spite of this, I still have an edge, in the public—in the polls, and that shows also that the Hamas has— has a limited way of affecting our elections. The people are stronger than the

bombs.

LARRY KING: Do you think the Hamas wants the election of Mr. Netanyahu?

SHIMON PERES: Well, it will be unfair, whatever question— whichever answer I shall I provide. I do believe that the Hamas is very much interested to stop the peace process, to topple down the government that is for it. I don't think they were for Netanyahu, but they were clearly against peace.

LARRY KING: Are you certain that Mr. Netanyahu will turn that page back or that maybe he won't?

SHIMON PERES: Well, I am a little bit worried about their announced policy of settlements. I do believe if more settlements should be put on the West Bank this may either bring an end or at least interrupt the peace process.

LARRY KING: We will take a break and when we come back we are going to take some phone calls for Prime Minister Shimon Peres of Israel and then we are going to meet the Brady's, Jim and Sarah, and then William Bratton the outgoing police commissioner of the City of New York.

This is *Larry King Live*, back in Washington. Tomorrow night we head to New York where Sotheby's very soon now, will be auctioning off all of Jackie Onassis' private things and there are going to be many of them, and we're going to show you many of them tomorrow night in New York.

We will be right back.

[Commercial break]

LARRY KING: You're watching the world's only life talk presentation that includes phone calls, no better example of it than to have the Prime Minister of Israel as our guest and the caller from Manchester, England. Hello?

1st CALLER, [Manchester, England]: Yes, good morning, Prime Minister Peres.

SHIMON PERES: Good morning.

1st CALLER: Recently, within the last few days there has been coverage, media coverage of the terrorists involving nuclear and biological weapons and you have also stated that towards the end of this century there could be an 'us or them situation.' Would you like to elaborate on this and hopefully what solutions you have for this problem on a worldwide scale.

SHIMON PERES: Well, you know, we have this fundamentalistic movement which is an extreme religious undertaking, and they use terror, and death and lies for terror, if they want to, to spread their evil moods. Well, is not new in history. There were many evil movements before this. But this is the first time that this sort of a movement can acquire a nuclear capacity and that can really become a real catastrophe. At the head of this movement you have Iran, the Iranian government and maybe before the end of this century they can get hold of a nuclear capacity. Imagine for example would Hitler have had a nuclear capacity, what a catastrophe it could have been for the rest of us. And I think we have to do whatever we can to prevent this marriage between such a militant movement with this terrible weapon.

LARRY KING: Buffalo, New York. Hello?

2nd CALLER, [Buffalo, New York]: Hello, Larry.

LEVEL 1 - 76 OF 89 STORIES

Copyright 1994 The Buffalo News
The Buffalo News

November 1, 1994, Tuesday, City Edition

SECTION: LOCAL; Pg. 16

LENGTH: 400 words

HEADLINE: WIDOWED BY GUNMAN, WOMAN SUPPORTS CUOMO

BYLINE: By GENE WARNER, News Staff Reporter

BODY:

No ban on assault weapons would have saved the life of her husband, Dennis McCarthy.

Even a limit of 10 bullets in a single clip wouldn't have kept her son, Kevin, from being shot and paralyzed.

But Carolyn McCarthy -- whose husband and son were shot at the beginning of a Dec. 7, 1993, shooting spree on the Long Island Railroad -- won't quit fighting for tougher restrictions on guns.

Mrs. McCarthy, wearing a "Republicans for Cuomo" button, appeared in Buffalo today to chastise Republican gubernatorial candidate George Pataki for opposing a ban on assault weapons.

As a state senator, Pataki voted against an amendment to ban such weapons, Mrs. McCarthy charged.

"I'm a Republican, and I can't understand why Republicans like George Pataki have opposed the ban on assault weapons," Mrs. McCarthy told a late-morning news conference in front of Buffalo Police Headquarters. "What happened to my family should never happen to anyone else."

In last December's shooting rampage, Colin Ferguson of Brooklyn is accused of walking up the aisle of the commuter train and randomly firing at least 30 shots with a semiautomatic pistol, injuring 19 people and killing six.

Limiting a clip to 10 bullets could have saved other victims on that train from being killed or injured, Mrs. McCarthy claimed.

"We're not trying to take away guns for hunting or for sport," she added. "We're trying to take away these killing machines."

Mrs. McCarthy has become one of two crime victims to go on the airwaves in the Cuomo-Pataki race. Carol McAuliff, whose son Thomas was slain in July, has blamed Gov. Cuomo "and his liberal policies" for her son's death.

"I felt she was being used as a victim," Mrs. McCarthy said. "I believe the Pataki camp misled her."



LEXIS·NEXIS[®]

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS[®]

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS[®]

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group

GRAPHIC: ROBERT STODDARD, Buffalo News, Carolyn McCarthy talks about supporting Gov. Cuomo and gun control outside Police Headquarters today.

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

LOAD-DATE: November 3, 1994



LEXIS·NEXIS
A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS
A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS
A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group

LEVEL 1 - 15 OF 27 STORIES

Copyright 1994 Newsday, Inc.
Newsday

June 23, 1994, Thursday, CITY EDITION

SECTION: NEWS; Pg. A05

LENGTH: 256 words

HEADLINE: ASSAULT GUNS KILL 1 IN 4

BYLINE: By William K. Rashbaum. STAFF WRITER

BODY:

Assault weapons and pistols with large-capacity magazines were involved in as many as 25 percent of the city killings last year in which guns were recovered and positively linked to the crime, according to a state study released yesterday.

Based on information from the New York Police Department ballistics unit, the study by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services found that the majority of those weapons were semiautomatic pistols with magazines that hold 10 or more rounds.

Such weapons - including most of the 9-mm. semiautomatics favored by drug dealers - are defined as assault weapons under Gov. Mario Cuomo's assault weapons ban, which has been passed by the assembly. A less expansive bill is before the Senate.

"This study underscores the importance of state legislation that will ban assault weapons," said Richard Girgenti, DCJS commissioner and Cuomo's top criminal justice adviser.

Even with a possible federal ban, which is pending in Congress, the overwhelming majority of assault weapons arrests are made by local law enforcement.

The study found that firearms were recovered in 271 of the 1,946 homicides in 1993 and that in 169 cases, the guns were positively linked to the killing through ballistics evidence.

Of those weapons, 43 were assault weapons as defined under the pending ban. The legislation defines an assault weapon as any semiautomatic rifle, pistol or shotgun capable of having carrying more than six cartridges for a long gun or ten for a pistol.

TOP STORY

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

- we're looking for a better quote from him.

LEXIS-NEXIS[™]

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group

LEXIS-NEXIS[™]

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group

LEXIS-NEXIS[™]

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group

LEVEL 1 - 10 OF 27 STORIES

Copyright 1994 The Buffalo News
The Buffalo News

August 13, 1994, Saturday, Final Edition

SECTION: LOCAL

LENGTH: 840 words

HEADLINE: CUOMO ASSAILS CRIME BILL CRITICS DURING AREA VISIT CALLS DEFEATED
MEASURE AN 'INSULT TO LAW ENFORCEMENT'

BYLINE: By ROBERT J. MCCARTHY, News Political Reporter

BODY:

Surrounded by confiscated assault weapons and Erie County's top cops, Gov. Cuomo rallied to President Clinton's aid Friday by branding Thursday's congressional defeat of the crime bill "an insult to every man and woman in law enforcement."

Cuomo picked Buffalo Police Headquarters to lash out at the crime bill's defeat and called on Congress to reconsider. He watched as Buffalo police officers fired assault weapons into car doors on the firing range and labeled them "instruments designed to kill the largest number of people in the shortest period of time."

"The government of the United States just said we can't ban these weapons," Cuomo told police officials and community activists at Police Headquarters. "What are you talking about? What kind of people are we?"

Facing a tough bid for re-election to a fourth term, the governor has made crime-fighting a centerpiece of his campaign. Along with economic development, he touts his administration's law-enforcement accomplishments at virtually every campaign stop. And he wasted no effort Friday in making support for Clinton's crime bill part of the program.

"I've been given the chance here today to remind you that we've done a fundamentally wrong thing by not passing this bill in Washington," he said. "This is a contradiction of common sense.

"How did it happen?" he continued. "Because we haven't spoken."

He listed his own crime-fighting program, which has included an increase in State Police numbers, and hinted that his Republican opponents are in league with those who voted down the federal crime bill. He continually referred to efforts to control assault weapons thwarted in the Republican State Senate.

"They want to be the leaders of the greatest state in the United States of America, and they start by telling us they won't ban assault weapons," he said. "Forget it. The people won't buy it."

Cuomo said he didn't buy the argument that Clinton's crime bill would prove



LEXIS·NEXIS™
A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS™
A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS™
A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group

The Buffalo News, August 13, 1994

too costly or ineffective because it directs too much money toward "pork barrel" programs. And when asked about Rep. Bill Paxon's description of the bill as a "social welfare bill disguised as a crime bill," Cuomo said he couldn't disagree more.

"Is that a good reason to allow police people to be killed? Is that a good reason to eliminate the possibility of banning assault weapons?" he asked. "Does he mean other programs that will reach children and give them new inspiration and maybe have them avoid becoming involved with drugs and therefore avoid becoming the kind of people that use assault weapons?"

"I don't think that's pork," he said. "That's beef."

Cuomo was joined at his afternoon session by Erie County District Attorney Kevin M. Dillon, Undersheriff William Payne, state Criminal Justice Director Richard Girgenti, State Police Major Pedro Perez and Buffalo Police Commissioner R. Gil Kerlikowske.

Kerlikowske told Cuomo during the firepower demonstration that assault weapons are partially responsible for the city's spiraling homicide rate -- especially among drug dealers and in drive-by shootings.

Kerlikowske quote
"A vote against it was a vote against law enforcement in New York and in this country," said Kerlikowske, who conferred with the president about the bill earlier this week in Washington. "It's important that we move forward and get a crime bill that protects us, including one that bans assault weapons."

Cuomo also appeared at the Buffalo AFL-CIO picnic at Elma Meadows Park in Elma. The annual gathering proved a mecca for virtually every political candidate in Erie County, but the governor spent most of his afternoon working up a sweat on the basketball court with State Sen. Anthony R. Nanula.

Buffalo AFL-CIO President George L. Wessel characterized Cuomo's reception as "tremendous," discounting some of the troubles the governor has encountered recently with public employee unions.

"People here today are really thrilled to see him," Wessel said. "And everyone said the same thing: 'We're with you all the way, Governor.'"

Wessel said Buffalo's labor community will provide phone banks and letter-writing efforts to the Cuomo campaign after Labor Day.

GRAPHIC: BILL WIPPERT, Buffalo News, Gov. Cuomo, second from right, discusses assault weapons with Police Commissioner R. Gil Kerlikowske.

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

LOAD-DATE: August 14, 1994



LEXIS·NEXIS

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSOCIATION

"A Professional Association for Federal Law Enforcement Officers"

Representing Members of the.

Dept. of Agriculture — OIG — Special Agents
US Forest Service — Special Agents
Dept. of Commerce — Office of Export Enforce. — S A
Office of Inspector General — Special Agents
National Marine & Fisheries Serv. Law Enf. — S A
Dept. of Defense
US Army — CID — Special Agents
Defense Investigative Service — Special Agents
Defense Criminal Investigative Service — S A
Naval Investigative Service — Special Agents
US Air Force — OSI — Special Agents
Dept. of Education — Investigation — S A
Dept. of Energy — OIG — Special Agents
Dept. of Health & Human Services — S A
Dept. of Housing & Urban Devel. — Inves. — S A
Dept. of Interior — OIG — Special Agents
US Fish & Wildlife Services — Law Enforce. — S A
Bureau of Indian Affairs — S A & Police
US Park Police — Park Police Officers
Bureau of Land Management — Special Agents & Rangers
Dept. of Justice — US Attorneys — C.I.E. Attorneys
Drug Enforcement Administration — S A
Federal Bureau of Investigation — S A
INS — Special Agents & Border Patrol
US Marshals Service — Marshals & Dep. Marshals
Parole & Prob. Service — Parole & Prob. Officers
Dept. of Labor — OIG — Special Agents
Office of Labor Racketeering — S A
Dept. of State — Diplomatic Sec. Ser. — Special Agents
Investigation — Special Agents
Dept. of Trans. — Investigation — Special Agents
US Coast Guard — Intel. Officers & S A
Federal Aviation Admin (FAA) — Investigators
Dept. of Treasury
B A T F — Special Agents
US Customs Service — Office of Enforcement — Special Agents
Customs Investigators
Internal Affairs — Special Agents
Internal Revenue Service
Criminal Investigation Division — S A
Inspection Service — Inspectors
US Secret Service — S A & Police
Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Criminal Investigations — Special Agents
Office of Inspector General — Special Agents
General Services Administration
Office of Investigations — Special Agents
National Archives — Criminal Investigators
Nuclear Regulatory Commission — Special Agents
US Capitol Police — Police Officers
US Postal Service — Inspection & Postal Police
US Printing Office — Special Agents
Veteran's Administration — Investigations — S A

NATIONAL OFFICERS

President
VICTOR OBOYSKI, JR.
Executive Vice President
JOHN PITTA
First Vice-President
RICHARD GALLIO
Treasurer
THOMAS X. CASEY
Corresponding Secretary
GAIL PAPIER
Recording Secretary
WALTER W. WALLMARK
General Counsel
KATHLEEN P. MAHON
Legislative Consultant
JULES BERNSTEIN

TESTIMONY OF

JOHN PITTA

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT

BEFORE

THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, April 25, 1994

Mr. Chairman, members of the Subcommittee, I appreciate the opportunity to appear before this distinguished panel today and to be able to speak directly on an important issue confronting the law enforcement community and our nation -- the continued proliferation of semi-automatic assault weapons on our streets and their easy availability to the criminal elements in society.

My name is John Pitta. I am the Executive Vice-President of FLEOA, which is the acronym for the Federal Law Enforcement Officers Association. FLEOA represents well over 8,000 Federal law enforcement officers across the nation. More important than our numbers, however, is the nature of our membership. Many of our members are special agents for the Drug Enforcement Administration and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms. Therefore, they are directly responsible for the enforcement of Federal laws concerning both drugs and guns. As an officer of our unique organization, I take most seriously my responsibility to fairly and vigorously represent our members' views to Congress.

There is no doubt that in too many of our cities and towns a tidal wave of violence threatens the safety of Americans. Increasingly, this battle finds law enforcement -- those sworn to defend society against the criminal elements -- heavily outgunned. The superior firepower now possessed by criminals has already taken a heavy and unnecessary toll on those I represent.

It is estimated that there are currently millions of military-style assault weapons in general circulation. This number does not include the 130-140 million rifles and shotguns, the 60-70 million handguns, or the 233,000 Class III fully automatic weapons

that are out there. The United States has the world's largest arsenal of privately owned firearms. It is unparalleled anywhere else in the world. There is close to one gun for every man, woman and child in this country.

FLEOA does not assert that all of these firearms are being used illegally nor that all semi-automatic firearms are assault weapons. There are many legitimate semi-automatic pistols, shotguns and rifles. But traditional hunting and sporting guns do not have the killing capacity of assault weapons, and that is why drug cartels and the Patrick Purdys of the world use them. Because of their popularity with criminals, they pose a grave and immediate threat to the lives of those sworn to uphold our laws and those we protect. In our view semi-automatic assault weapons such as the AK-47, AR-15, TEC-9 and MAC-10 are a real and present danger to the lives of ordinary citizens and to law enforcement officers. What is shocking is that these weapons, designed specifically for combat, are legally sold with almost no federal restrictions or regulation. In most states, any 18 year old can walk into a gun store, sign a form which no one checks, and walk out with an AK-47 assault rifle.

And criminals do just that. Statistics from the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms reveal that although semi-automatic assault weapons comprise only 0.5% of the privately-owned guns in America, they account for 6 to 8% of all firearms traced to criminals during 1988-91.

These weapons have military hardware such as threaded barrels for silencers, folding stocks for concealability and pistol grips designed for spray-firing. Does anyone hunt deer by spray-firing from the hip in the forest? Of course not! A rifle with a pistol grip or an assault pistol is designed to spray-fire the enemy at short ranges in combat situations. Unfortunately, assault weapons are also ideal for urban violence and drive-by shootings. Any so-called "sporting" usage is clearly secondary and must be measured against the very real threat not only to the citizens of this country, but also to the law enforcement personnel who protect them.

The TEC-9 assault pistol is the undisputed favorite of drug traffickers, gang members and violent criminals. Cities across the country confiscate more TEC-9s than any other assault pistol. The prototype for the TEC-9 was originally designed as a submachine gun for the South African government. Now it comes standard with an ammunition magazine holding 36 rounds of 9mm cartridges. It also has a threaded barrel to accept a silencer, and a barrel shroud to cool the barrel during rapid fire. To any real sportsman or collector, this firearm is a piece of junk, yet it is very popular among criminals.

Assault weapons dramatically escalate the firepower of the user. Technical reports document firing rates of these weapons at hundreds of rounds a minute! Larger numbers of these types of weapons are in private hands than ever before and that number is increasing, criminal "private hands" included. Semi-automatic

assault weapons have become the weapon of choice for drug runners, hate groups and the mentally unstable.

Although the Bush Administration in 1989 banned the importation of 43 models of semi-automatic rifles, and President Clinton recently added assault pistols to that list, ATF estimates that 75% of assault weapons in the U.S. are American-made. That is why H.R. 3527 needs to be passed into law.

ATF → The Bush Administration's ban had a dramatic impact. Between 1989 and 1990, the number of imported assault weapons traced to crime declined by 45% while the number of domestic assault weapons traced to crime remained about the same. Murders, assaults, drug crimes and property crimes linked to assault weapons all declined from 1989 to 1990 as a result of the import ban. No one claims that assault weapons legislation will stop all assault weapon crime, but ATF tracing statistics prove that we can dry up the supply of these guns, make them less accessible to drug dealers and homicidal maniacs, and make a significant dent in the violence plaguing America.

Reports of the use of semi-automatic assault weapons are reported more and more frequently in the media nationwide. For example, in 1992, a man armed with a MAC-10 semi-automatic pistol robbed a small bank in Randallstown, Maryland. Two female bank employees were killed, and two others were wounded.

And in Hackensack, New Jersey, six teenagers were arrested for conspiracy to buy illegal weapons from a black market dealer in New York City. The school these youngsters attended was

rated by Redbook magazine as one of the 50 best high schools in the country. The weapons offered to the youths included several assault-type weapons -- TEC-9 pistols, Street Sweepers, MAC-11/9 pistols, and AK-47 rifles.

Federal agents, in cooperative efforts with State and local officers, are working diligently to stem the illegal trafficking of firearms.

In such a joint effort, Federal and local police officers recently raided the Kentucky Courts public housing complex in Washington, D.C. The results of this raid, conducted following the shooting of District of Columbia Police Officer Jason White, included the seizure of six weapons, including an AK-47 assault rifle.

In New York City, Federal agents and City police officers investigating a fatal drive-by shooting on the Brooklyn Bridge in March 1994, disclosed that a Cobray 9-millimeter pistol was the weapon used to kill Aaron Halberstam. Using the firearms tracing capabilities of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), law enforcement officials arrested a drug dealer for illegal firearms trafficking that included one of the weapons used in this hideous crime.

The victims here today provide a very real and human side to the stories of carnage and mayhem created by the widespread availability of semi-automatic assault weapons. In fact, it is this incessant litany of violence and the related deaths and injuries that has numbed the general public to a point that it

seems almost willing to accept gun violence as a permanent fixture in our society.

Last year, four ATF agents were killed and 15 wounded during the ATF raid on the Mt. Carmel Compound near Waco, Texas. David Koresh and his followers were able to stockpile a huge arsenal of 123 AR-15/M-16 type rifles, 44 AK-47 type rifles, two Street Sweepers, two Barrett .50 caliber rifles, and many other long guns and handguns along with grenades and components to illegally modify firearms and create destructive devices. For the most part, this arsenal was bought legally from licensed gun dealers, at flea markets and gun shows. An FBI firearms expert testified that 48 fully automatic weapons were found in the charred ruins of the Branch Davidian compound.

What law-abiding citizen needs a private gun arsenal this large? A sportsman doesn't need hundreds of weapons and hundreds of thousands of rounds of ammunition. This arsenal was created to be used against people, including law enforcement officers. Investigating agents have learned that Mt. Carmel residents received regular indoctrination against law enforcement. Quoting from the Waco affidavit, "[David Koresch] continually predicted a confrontation with law enforcement officials and repeatedly instructed his followers that should this occur, they were to 'resist with arms' and 'be ready to fight and resist.'" It appears Koresh picked weapons with the greatest firepower to use against law enforcement. The four ATF agents were shot with automatic or semi-automatic rifles. They didn't have the slightest chance

against these weapons.

Existing Federal and state laws have proven to be insufficient to adequately protect either law enforcement or the general public from the illegal use of these weapons. That is why I am here to express FLEOA's support for H.R. 3527.

It is against this background of unquestionable facts that law enforcement groups such as FLEOA appeal to Congress to take affirmative, though reasonable, steps to cope with this national problem. FLEOA continues to believe that legislation, such as that offered by Senator Feinstein of California and Congressman Schumer of New York, which targets specific makes of semi-automatic assault weapons favored by drug traffickers, terrorists, and other criminal elements, goes far toward helping to make the job of Federal law enforcement officers safer. While assault weapon laws are not a panacea to the violence that plagues America, they are another important tool needed to help law enforcement.

As to how important the issue of the increased spread of semi-automatic assault weapons is to Federal officers, I think it is safe to say that all of us sometimes wonder about our own mortality. The questions of whether we will see our families again, or in what physical condition we could end up, certainly runs through our minds at one time or another as we go about performing our hazardous jobs over the years. The issue of these weapons may be a political one to some of you on the subcommittee, but it is a "life and death" issue for us every day as we step out

of the front doors of our homes to go to work.

Mr. Chairman, the law enforcement community, and particularly FLEOA, feels very strongly that the proliferation of semi-automatic assault weapons must be addressed by Congress and is a legitimate area of concern in the context of anti-crime legislation. There are slightly more than a million assault weapons in circulation today. Please do not wait until there are 10 million in circulation to address the issue because by then it will be much too late.

FLEOA applauds you for holding this hearing and for continuing to work with law enforcement groups on matters of mutual concern. Thank you for the opportunity to appear today. I would be pleased to answer any questions you may have.

LEVEL 1 - 5 OF 89 STORIES

Copyright 1996 News World Communications, Inc.
The Washington Times

June 3, 1996, Monday, Final Edition

SECTION: Part A; AMERICA VOTES; Pg. A1

LENGTH: 1052 words

HEADLINE: Widow of rail rampage challenges gun-ban foe ;
Republican becomes angry Democrat

SERIES: A weekly series

BYLINE: Liz Trotta; THE WASHINGTON TIMES

DATELINE: NEW YORK

BODY:

A weekly series that examines lesser-known issues and races around the nation that highlight important trends in American politics.

NEW YORK - Carolyn McCarthy, an ordinary Long Island housewife transformed into a grieving widow by the gunfire of a crazed malcontent, is not only a political amateur, but the quintessential grass-roots outsider once so appealing to architects of the Newt Gingrich revolution.

The story of her new life in politics has nothing to do with Mr. Gingrich's agenda, but in many ways it is a reaction against it. Last week, Mrs. McCarthy announced her campaign to unseat Republican freshman Rep. Dan Frisa.

Mrs. McCarthy says she never thought about running for Congress until March 22, when Mr. Frisa voted to repeal the federal ban on 19 types of so-called assault weapons. The vote infuriated Mrs. McCarthy, and she hopes similar sentiments in this suburban Long Island congressional district catapult her into Congress.

For the first time in her life, Mrs. McCarthy, 52, is calling herself a Democrat. It is a case of script stealing that has tweaked the party elders, especially Sen. Alfonse M. D'Amato, a fellow Nassau County resident who controls the state's GOP machinery and is backing Mr. Frisa.

The Democrats, meanwhile, are delirious over Mrs. McCarthy's prospects. A sizable array of Democratic handlers, speech writers and consultants have descended, and even President Clinton, through intermediaries, has let it be known he's ready to provide advice and counsel if necessary.

To some, she is the typical liberal Republican driven away by House Speaker Newt Gingrich of Georgia and the "Contract With America."

"I think he's too radical," Mrs. McCarthy said. "He read the American people totally wrong. I've been yelling and screaming for two years that I'm a

McCarthy



LEXIS-NEXIS

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS-NEXIS

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS-NEXIS

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group

Republican. I didn't want to walk away."

Mrs. McCarthy brings urgency, passion and harrowing realism to the central issue of her campaign - gun control.

Her husband, Dennis, and five other persons were fatally shot by the rampaging Colin Ferguson on a Long Island Rail Road commuter train on Dec. 7, 1993. Her son, Kevin, 28, was shot in the head and, after six operations, still suffers paralysis of his left side and all but two fingers of his right hand.

Mrs. McCarthy may be a woman pulled out of the crowd by misfortune, but she carries the authenticity of suffering that in the past has turned bystanders into powerful political crusaders.

Mr. Frisa professes to be unfazed by his neophyte opponent. And he's a man who knows what it takes to win a tough fight.

Mr. Frisa did not win the endorsement of Nassau County Republicans in 1994 but beat freshman Rep. David A. Levy by 58 votes anyway. He was the only challenger to defeat a Republican incumbent.

Nevertheless, Mr. Frisa sounds like a man on the defensive, explaining and re-explaining his reasons for voting to repeal the assault weapons ban last March, a bill that prohibited the manufacture of assault-type firearms and high-capacity ammunition clips.

"I stood up to get rid of a bad law," Mr. Frisa said.

The congressman sees Mrs. McCarthy as a one-issue candidate with no track record and scoffs at her claim that a private poll shows she can beat him.

"I never, ever took a poll," he said.

In the year since the her husband's murder, Mrs. McCarthy has become a high-profile advocate of gun control. She has kept to a hectic speaking schedule and joined forces with Sarah Brady, wife of former presidential press secretary Jim Brady, who was wounded in the 1981 assassination attempt on President Reagan.

Mrs. McCarthy, a former practical nurse, concedes gun control got her into politics but now also counts as priorities expanded access to health care and stronger environmental protection.

Democrats have arrived with advice, contacts and the ability to raise funds. Among those who have offered their advice and fund-raising prowess are former New York Gov. Mario M. Cuomo, Rep. Charles E. Schumer of Brooklyn, author of the assault-weapons bill, and Emily's List, a powerful fund-raiser for pro-choice Democratic women.

At a mainly black and Hispanic Kiwanis meeting in Hempstead, she is welcomed with the unspoken sympathy that people naturally feel for her.

Republican Gilford Finch, a local developer and gun collector, said he gave up his National Rifle Association membership because of the group's intransigent leadership.



LEXIS·NEXIS™

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS™

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS™

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group

The Washington Times, June 3, 1996

"An assault weapon is something that a hunter does not use," Mr. Finch said.

Back in her kitchen, which now serves as a command post for her new life as a candidate, Mrs. McCarthy ponders the implications of her choice.

"You know, they're trying to change me already," Mrs. McCarthy said. "They want to change my hair. I said no."

Her son, Kevin, is on his way to the gym for another therapy session to try to regain what he lost that bloody night on the Long Island Rail Road.

"It's been a long haul for Carolyn McCarthy. I just hope they don't try to change me. I am what I am."

****MAP/CHART

NEW YORK'S 4TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

The district includes Garden City, the civic center of Nassau County, and the "Five Towns" of Lawrence, Inwood, Cedarhurst, Hewlett and Woodmere near John F. Kennedy International Airport.

POPULATION: 580,492

WHITE: 81%

BLACK: 16%

HISPANIC: 7%

ASIAN: 3%

50 PERCENT ARE COLLEGE-EDUCATED

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME: \$50,887

MEDIAN HOUSE VALUE: \$197,000

MEDIAN RENT: \$705 per month

1992 PRESIDENTIAL VOTE

Clinton (D): 47 percent

Bush (R): 41 percent

Perot (I): 12 percent

1988 PRESIDENTIAL VOTE

Bush (R): 57 percent

Dukakis (D): 43 percent



LEXIS·NEXIS™

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS™

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS™

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group

1994 CONGRESSIONAL RACE

Dan Frisa (R): 50 percent

Philip M. Schiliro (D): 37 percent

David A. Levy (C*): 9 percent

Others: 4 percent

1992 CONGRESSIONAL RACE

David A. Levy (R-C): 50 percent

Philip M. Schiliro (D): 34 percent

Vincent Garbitelli (RTL**): 4 percent

* Conservative Party

** Right to Life Party

Source: Almanac of American Politics

GRAPHIC: Map/Chart, Map Caption) 4th Congressional District; Chart Caption) NEW YORK'S 4TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT, Both By The Washington Times

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

LOAD-DATE: June 3, 1996



LEXIS·NEXIS
A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS
A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS
A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group

LEVEL 1 - 11 OF 89 STORIES

Copyright 1996, The Commercial Appeal
The Commercial Appeal (Memphis)

May 29, 1996, Wednesday, Final Edition

SECTION: NEWS, Pg. 2A

LENGTH: 918 words

HEADLINE: Dahmer's things will be destroyed, not auctioned

BYLINE: Compiled by Cynthia Sutter from these news services: The Associated Press, Los Angeles Times-Washington Post, The New York Times, Reuters and Scripps Howard

DATELINE: MILWAUKEE, Wis.

BODY:

Serial killer Jeffrey Dahmer's belongings are headed for the incinerator, not the auction block, parties involved in the case said Tuesday.

A lawyer who represents eight of the 11 families of Dahmer's victims said they had decided in a close vote to accept an offer from a Milwaukee civic group that has pledged \$ 407,225 to buy and destroy Dahmer's belongings rather than allow them to be auctioned.

He said the acceptance was contingent on lawyers for the estate agreeing to a cap of \$ 50,000 on any fee they will charge for their work. That would leave \$ 357,225, a little more than \$ 32,000 for each of the 11 families. He said the three families he does not represent are likely to go along with the agreement.

Dahmer was killed in prison in November 1994 while serving several life terms for killing 17 young men and boys. The items to be destroyed include knives, hypodermic needles and the refrigerator where Dahmer stored victim's body parts.

Shooting victim's widow tries politics

MINEOLA, N.Y. - Carolyn McCarthy, whose husband was killed and son gravely wounded in a commuter train bloodbath in 1993, announced her run for Congress on Tuesday against a Republican who voted to repeal the assault weapons ban.

"I do not pretend to be an expert on policy," the 52-year-old former nurse said with her partially paralyzed son, Kevin, beside her. "But I understand what the people of our district want and need and I will fight for those things.

"Since the Long Island Rail Road incident took place in this area, I truly felt (freshman Republican) Dan Frisa would vote to keep the assault weapons ban," McCarthy said. "But he didn't. That's when I knew I had to



LEXIS·NEXIS™

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS™

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS™

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group

do something.' ' Though a lifelong Republican, McCarthy is running as a Democrat.

The district is central-southern Nassau County, where gunman Colin Ferguson killed six people and wounded 19 inside a train car on the Long Island Rail Road on Dec. 7, 1993. Ferguson is serving a life sentence.

Episcopal bishops to fight gay ruling

Charging that the Episcopal Church is beset by moral confusion, 10 conservative bishops vowed Tuesday to take their fight against the ordination of non-celibate gays and the blessing of same-sex unions to the church's highest policy-making body.

However, the 10 prelates left the 2.5 million member denomination in suspense over whether they will appeal a church court acquittal of liberal Bishop Walter C. Righter of heresy charges for ordaining a non-celibate gay man as a deacon in 1990. The court ruled two weeks ago that there is no church doctrine prohibiting such ordinations. The conservatives have until June 15 to file an appeal.

Ruling may narrow police searches

WASHINGTON - The Supreme Court ruled Tuesday that appeals courts must conduct a new review of the facts of a case when a defendant claims police improperly searched his car without a warrant.

The 8-1 ruling in a drug possession case enhances the ability of defendants to challenge a conviction before an appeals court based on the argument that police lacked sufficient grounds to stop them and search their possessions without a warrant.

KAL pays victim's family \$ 10 million

LOS ANGELES - Korean Air Lines agreed Tuesday to pay \$ 10 million to the family of a businessman killed in the 1983 downing of a Korean Air Lines jet by the Soviet Union, a lawyer said.

The money goes to the widow, four children and three stepchildren of businessman Chung Yeung Kim, who was among the 269 people killed when KAL Flight 007 strayed into Soviet air space and was shot down on Sept. 1, 1983. Other settlements have ranged from \$ 75,000 to about \$ 6 million. The payment reflects lost financial earnings. Kim owned a South Korean tourist hotel and several companies.

BRIEFLY

-- Monmouth County, N.J., health officials said Tuesday that a broken protective grating over a suction outlet caused 16-year-old Tanya Nickens to be pinned at the bottom of a hot tub, where she drowned. With the grating broken, the victim was held directly against the foot-square opening in the bottom of the pool by more than 24,000 pounds of pressure.

-- Depeche Mode lead singer David Gahan, who survived a suicide attempt last August, was arrested early Tuesday in West Hollywood after overdosing on drugs, authorities said.

-- Burma opposition leader Aung San Suu Kyi ended a daring meeting of pro-



LEXIS·NEXIS™

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS™

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group



LEXIS·NEXIS™

A member of the Reed Elsevier plc group

**NEW YORK CIVIC AND LAW ENFORCEMENT LEADERS
COMMENTS SUPPORTING THE ASSAULT WEAPON BAN**

MAYOR RUDOLPH GIULIANI

"There is no justification for protecting weapons that kill the maximum number of people in the minimum amount of time." [New York Daily News, 1/29/96]

"The assault weapons ban is vital to making a difference in our communities. Eliminating weapons that kill the maximum number of people in the minimum amount of time will make law enforcement safer and more successful." [Nation's Cities Weekly, 8/22/94]

**WILLIAM BRATTON
FORMER POLICE COMMISSIONER, NEW YORK CITY**

When asked his opinion on Congress' efforts to repeal the assault weapons ban Former Police Commissioner, William Bratton replied:

"Oh, rabidly against what they did. They are talking out of both sides of their mouths -- they want to say they want to support American police and on the other hand they are arming the people that are killing us left and right... Congress wake up!..." [Larry King Live, 3/27/96]

**MARY JO WHITE
U.S. ATTORNEY SOUTHERN DISTRICT NEW YORK**

"...And maybe someday someone can explain to me both the resistance to and the movement to repeal the ban on the sale of assault weapons. Weapons designed for the sole and single purpose of killing the most number of people in the shortest period of time." [Statement of Mary Jo White, 5/3/96]

**CAROLYN MCCARTHY
FORMER REPUBLICAN; WIDOW AND MOTHER OF LIRR MASSACRE VICTIMS**

"I'm a Republican, and I can't understand why Republicans like George Pitaki have opposed the ban on assault weapons....We're not trying to take away guns for hunting or sport. We're trying to take away these killing machines." [Buffalo News, 11/1/94]

**JOHN PITTA
EXEC. VICE PRESIDENT, FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSN.**

"While assault weapon laws are not the panacea to the violence that plagues America, they are another important tool needed to help law enforcement....The issue of these weapons may be a political one to some of you on the subcommittee but it is a 'life and death' issue for us every day as we step out of the front doors of our homes and go to work." [Testimony of John Pitta, Subcommittee on Crime and Criminal Justice, U.S. House of Representatives, 4/25/94]

LEVEL 1 - 1 OF 1 STORY

Copyright 1994 Federal Document Clearing House, Inc.
Federal Document Clearing House Congressional Testimony

April 25, 1994, Monday

SECTION: CAPITOL HILL HEARING TESTIMONY

LENGTH: 2875 words

HEADLINE: TESTIMONY APRIL 25, 1994 JOHN PITTA EXECUTIVE VICE
PRESIDENT FEDERAL
LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSOCIATION HOUSE JUDICIARY/CRIME AND
CRIMINAL JUSTICE
BANNING ASSAULT WEAPONS

BODY:

TESTIMONY OF JOHN PITTA EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BEFORE THE
SUBCOMMITTEE ON
CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY U.S. HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES Monday, April 25, 1994

Mr. Chairman, members of the Subcommittee, I appreciate the opportunity to
appear before this distinguished panel today and to be able to speak directly on
an important issue confronting the law enforcement community and our nation --
the continued proliferation of semi-automatic assault weapons on our streets

FDCH Congressional Testimony, April 25, 1994

and their easy availability to the criminal elements in society.

My name is John Pitta. I am the Executive Vice-President of FLEOA, which
is the acronym for the Federal Law Enforcement Officers Association. FLEOA
represents well over 8,000 Federal law enforcement officers across the nation.
More important than our numbers, however, is the nature of our membership. Many
of our members are special agents for the Drug Enforcement Administration and
the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms. Therefore, they are directly
responsible for the enforcement of Federal laws concerning both drugs and guns.
As an officer of our unique organization, I take most seriously my
responsibility to fairly and vigorously represent our members' views to
Congress.

There is no doubt that in too many of our cities and towns a tidal wave of
violence threatens the safety of Americans. Increasingly, this battle finds law
enforcement -- those sworn to defend society against the criminal elements --
heavily outgunned. The superior firepower now possessed by criminals has

already taken a heavy and unnecessary toll on those I represent.

It is estimated that there are currently millions of military- style assault weapons in general circulation. This number does not include the 130-140 million rifles and shotguns, the 60-70 million handguns, or the 233,000 Class

FDCH Congressional Testimony, April 25, 1994

III fully automatic weapons that are out there. The United States has the world's largest arsenal of privately owned firearms. It is unparalleled anywhere else in the world. There is close to one gun for every man, woman and child in this country.

FLEOA does not assert that all of these firearms are being used illegally nor that all semi-automatic firearms are assault weapons. There are many legitimate semi-automatic pistols, shotguns and rifles. But traditional hunting and sporting guns do not have the killing capacity of assault weapons, and that is why drug cartels and the Patrick Purdys of the world use them. Because of their popularity with criminals, they pose a grave and immediate threat to the lives of those sworn to uphold our laws and those we protect. In our view semi-automatic assault weapons such as the AK-47, AR-15, TEC-9 and MAC-10 are a real and present danger to the lives of ordinary citizens and to law enforcement officers. What is shocking is that these weapons, designed specifically for combat, are legally sold with almost no federal restrictions or regulation. In most states, any 18 year old can walk into a gun store, sign a form which no one checks, and walk out with an AK-47 assault rifle.

And criminals do just that. Statistics from the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms reveal that although semiautomatic assault weapons comprise only 0.5% of the privately-owned guns in America, they account for 6 to 8% of all

FDCH Congressional Testimony, April 25, 1994

firearms traced to criminals during 1988-91.

These weapons have military hardware such as threaded barrels for silencers, folding stocks for concealability and pistol grips designed for spray-firing. Does anyone hunt deer by sprayfiring from the hip in the forest? of course not! A rifle with a pistol grip or an assault pistol is designed to spray-fire the enemy at short ranges in combat situations. Unfortunately, assault weapons are also ideal for urban violence and drive-by shootings. Any so-called "sporting" usage is clearly secondary and must be measured against the very real threat not only to the citizens of this country, but also to the law enforcement personnel who protect them.

The TEC-9 assault pistol is the undisputed favorite of drug traffickers, gang members and violent criminals. Cities across the country confiscate more TEC-9s than any other assault pistol. The prototype for the TEC-9 was

originally designed as a submachine gun for the South African government. Now it comes standard with an ammunition magazine holding 36 rounds of 9mm cartridges. It also has a threaded barrel to accept a silencer, and a barrel shroud to cool the barrel during rapid fire. To any real sportsman or collector, this firearm is a piece of junk, yet it is very popular among criminals.

FDCH Congressional Testimony, April 25, 1994

Assault weapons dramatically escalate the firepower of the user. Technical reports document firing rates of these weapons at hundreds of rounds a minute! Larger numbers of these types of weapons are in private hands than ever before and that number is increasing, criminal "private hands" included. Semi-automatic assault weapons have become the weapon of choice for drug runners, hate groups and the mentally unstable.

Although the Bush Administration in 1989 banned the importation of 43 models of semi-automatic rifles, and President Clinton recently added assault pistols to that list, ATF estimates that 75% of assault weapons in the U.S. are American-made. That is why H.R. 3527 needs to be passed into law.

The Bush Administration's ban had a dramatic impact. Between 1989 and 1990, the number of imported assault weapons traced to crime declined by 45% while the number of domestic assault weapons traced to crime remained about the same. Murders, assaults, drug crimes and property crimes linked to assault weapons all declined from 1989 to 1990 as a result of the import ban. No one claims that assault weapons legislation will stop all assault weapon crime, but ATF tracing statistics prove that we can dry up the supply of these guns, make them less accessible to drug dealers and homicidal maniacs, and make a significant dent in the violence plaguing America.

FDCH Congressional Testimony, April 25, 1994

Reports of the use of semi-automatic assault weapons are reported more and more frequently in the media nationwide. For example, in 1992, a man armed with a MAC-10 semi-automatic pistol robbed a small bank in Randallstown, Maryland. Two female bank employees were killed, and two others were wounded.

And in Hackensack, New Jersey, six teenagers were arrested for conspiracy to buy illegal weapons from a black market dealer in New York City. The school these youngsters attended was rated by Redbook magazine as one of the 50 best high schools in the country. The weapons offered to the youths included several assault-type weapons -- TEC-9 pistols, Street Sweepers, MAC-11/9 pistols, and AK-47 rifles.

Federal agents, in cooperative efforts with State and local officers, are

working diligently to stem the illegal trafficking of firearms.

In such a joint effort, Federal and local police officers recently raided the Kentucky Courts public housing complex in Washington, D.C. The results of this raid, conducted following the shooting of District of Columbia Police Officer Jason White, included the seizure of six weapons, including an AK-47 assault rifle.

FDCH Congressional Testimony, April 25, 1994

In New York City, Federal agents and City police officers investigating a fatal drive-by shooting on the Brooklyn Bridge in March 1994, disclosed that a Cobray 9-millimeter pistol was the weapon used to kill Aaron Halberstam. Using the firearms tracing capabilities of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), law enforcement officials arrested a drug dealer for illegal firearms trafficking that included one of the weapons used in this hideous crime.

The victims here today provide a very real and human side to the stories of carnage and mayhem created by the widespread availability of semi-automatic assault weapons. In fact, it is this incessant litany of violence and the related deaths and injuries that has numbed the general public to a point that it seems almost willing to accept gun violence as a permanent fixture in our society.

Last year, four ATF agents were killed and 15 wounded during the ATF raid on the Mt. Carmel Compound near Waco, Texas. David Koresh and his followers were able to stockpile a huge arsenal of 123 AR- 15/M-16 type rifles, 44 AK-47 type rifles, two Street Sweepers, two Barrett .50 caliber rifles, and many other long guns and handguns along with grenades and components to illegally modify firearms and create destructive devices. For the most part, this arsenal was bought legally from licensed gun dealers, at flea markets and gun shows. An FBI firearms expert testified that 48 fully automatic weapons were found in the

FDCH Congressional Testimony, April 25, 1994

charred ruins of the Branch Davidian compound.

What law-abiding citizen needs a private gun arsenal this large? A sportsman doesn't need hundreds of weapons and hundreds of thousands of rounds of ammunition. This arsenal was created to be used against people, including law enforcement officers. Investigating agents have learned that Mt. Carmel residents received regular indoctrination against law enforcement. Quoting from the Waco affidavit, " David Koresch continually predicted a confrontation with law enforcement officials and repeatedly instructed his followers that should this occur, they were to I resist with arms I and be ready to fight and resist." It appears Koresh picked weapons with the greatest firepower to use against law

enforcement. The four ATF agents were shot with automatic or semi-automatic rifles. They didn't have the slightest chance against these weapons.

Existing Federal and state laws have proven to be insufficient to adequately protect either law enforcement or the general public from the illegal use of these weapons. That is why I am here to express FLEOA's support for H.R. 3527.

It is against this background of unquestionable facts that law enforcement groups such as FLEOA appeal to Congress to take affirmative, though reasonable, steps to cope with this national problem. FLEOA continues to believe that legislation, such as that offered by Senator Feinstein of California and

FDCH Congressional Testimony, April 25, 1994

Congressman Schumer of New York, which targets specific makes of semi-automatic assault weapons favored by drug traffickers, terrorists, and other criminal elements, goes far toward helping to make the job of Federal law enforcement officers safer. While assault weapon laws are not a panacea to the violence that plagues America, they are another important tool needed to help law enforcement.

As to how important the issue of the increased spread of semi-automatic assault weapons is to Federal officers, I think it is safe to say that all of us sometimes wonder about our own mortality. The questions of whether we will see our families again, or in what physical condition we could end up, certainly runs through our minds at one time or another as we go about performing our hazardous jobs over the years. The issue of these weapons may be a political one to some of you on the subcommittee, but it is a "life and death" issue for us every day as we step out of the front doors of our homes to go to work.

Mr. Chairman, the law enforcement community, and particularly FLEOA, feels very strongly that the proliferation of semi-automatic assault weapons must be addressed by Congress and is a legitimate area of concern in the context of anti-crime legislation. There are slightly more than a million assault weapons in circulation today. Please do not wait until there are 10 million in circulation to address the issue because by then it will be much too late.

FDCH Congressional Testimony, April 25, 1994

FLEOA applauds you for holding this hearing and for continuing to work with law enforcement groups on matters of mutual concern. Thank you for the opportunity to appear today. I would be pleased to answer any questions you may have.

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

LOAD-DATE: April 26, 1994

LEVEL 1 - 9 OF 9 STORIES

Copyright 1994 Information Access Company, a Thomson Corporation Company
ASAP

Copyright 1994 National League of Cities
Nation's Cities Weekly

August 22, 1994

SECTION: Vol. 17 ; No. 34 ; Pg. 1; ISSN: 0164-5935

LENGTH: 1222 words

HEADLINE: Momentum builds for new anti-crime vote: city leaders launch full-court press; charge delay is costing young lives.

BYLINE: Arndt, Randy

BODY:

"I urge you to fix the politics and pass the bill."

That appeal for action on the crime bill came from Mayor Michael White of Cleveland last week during a meeting with congressional leaders on Capitol Hill. It was repeated and returned to again and again as a contingent of municipal leaders, county officials and police chiefs met in groups and individually with House members of both parties.

Nation's Cities Weekly, August 22, 1994

Nearly three dozen elected local officials and law enforcement administrators spoke with candor, with passion and a subdued but growing sense of frustration and anger over the impasse that has become a threat to the whole anti-crime package.

Mayor Richard Riordan of Los Angeles, a Republican businessman who ran on a strong anti-crime campaign, got to the bottom line. "If this bill doesn't pass, you will be destroying people's hopes of having safer cities."

The message came from mayors like Rudolph Giuliani of New York, a Republican who built his record as a tough criminal prosecutor. "This is a matter of substance," he said. "It is about programs we need to keep our cities going - to make our cities safer."

It came from mayors like Emanuel Cleaver of Kansas City, Mo., a minister who has personally played in midnight basketball games for the past three years. "I set the rules: if we have a single fight, I'll stop it," he said. "There have

been no fights, no guns or weapons, no cars stolen - no problems in three years."

Nation's Cities Weekly, August 22, 1994

It came from police chiefs like Ruben Ortega of Salt Lake City, who embraced the crime prevention components of the crime bill. "This is the first time that a piece of legislation includes a two-pronged attack on crime," he said. "When you're struggling with youth gangs on one day, and suddenly they're knocking down doors to get into your midnight basketball program, you know that prevention works."

It came from Rita Mullins, the Republican mayor of Palatine, Ill., a strongly Republican city of 39,000 about an hour's drive from Chicago. "We can't build a moat around Palatine, but you can help us do something to halt the spread of crime," she said. "Congress does a wonderful job of collecting money. This is where we'd like to get some of it back."

It came from Doug Bovin, a commissioner of Delta County, in Michigan's mostly rural upper peninsula. "For rural areas like mine, prevention is perhaps even more important," he said. "We don't have the capacity and the resources to respond to constant threats and emergencies. We're better off if we can prevent crimes from happening."

The message, plain and powerful, was a front-line call from hometown America for passage of the crime bill that stalled by opponents using procedural tactics to block a vote.

Nation's Cities Weekly, August 22, 1994

While the contingent of mayors, police chiefs and county officials conducted their high-profile campaign on Capitol Hill, countless other municipal officials were also weighing in through a network of NLC and state municipal league efforts to enlist grassroots support for passage of the crime bill. House members who had voted for the original bill and then voted block consideration of the conference report were the primary targets of the attempt to revive the crime bill, but contracts were pushed to swing any potential votes.

Among the leaders who came to Washington, the strongest words and most personal pleas were focused on the opponents who have branded the crime prevention efforts of the Local Partnership Act as extravagant pork-barrel spending on social programs that are coddling criminals.

"This bill is not pork," said Mayor Patricia Ticer of Alexandria, Va. "It represents the future of our cities, the future of our counties, and ultimately the future of our country."

Mayor Edward Rendell of Philadelphia, a former district attorney, was more

blunt. "We can't jail our way out of the crime problem," he said. "To can prevention pork is dead wrong. It's rhetoric and it's counterproductive. Smart prevention programs are the way out of this problem."

Nation's Cities Weekly, August 22, 1994

Mayor Dennis Archer of Detroit, a former judge, was just as passionate. "We need to create a better future for our children," he said. "Support for long-term prevention programs will give us time to begin to build that future."

Mayor Norm Rice of Seattle emphasized the importance of a balanced approach. "We represent a lot of people who are fed up with violence and want to do something about crime," he said. "This is not just about putting people away, but of lifting people up. It is a law enforcement tool to prevent the need for the death penalty or 'three-strikes-you're out'."

Efforts by the gun lobby to strip the restrictions on assault weapons from the crime bill also drew strong reactions.

"The assault weapons ban is vital to making a difference in our communities," said Mayor Giuliani. "Eliminating weapons that kill the maximum number of people in the minimum amount of time will make law enforcement safer and more successful."

"I'm from a place where people almost never tell you they want government to do something," said Mayor Mullins of Palatine. "Banning assault weapons is something they all have told me that want to see done."

Nation's Cities Weekly, August 22, 1994

During a conference call with the White House before the meetings on Capitol Hill, President Clinton's chief of staff, Leon Panetta, agreed and quipped, "You're right on both counts. This isn't about pork. It's about being chicken to vote against the NRA."

House Majority Leader Tom Foley (D-Wash.) and other Democratic leaders welcomed the local delegation and urged them to use their persuasive efforts anywhere they could.

"What you are saying is music to our ears," Foley said. "We believe in this bill, and your strong endorsement of this legislation is important. You are the best witnesses on the front line that these prevention programs do work."

In their meeting with House Minority Whip Newt Gingrich (D-Ga.) and other Republican leaders, the local officials found skepticism about the bill reported by the House and Senate conferees and a conditional outlook for its future.

"We are very interested in listening to you. We believe this bill can be rewritten and passed," Gingrich said. "But I want to be unequivocal about one point - the bill will not pass in its current form. There are substantive problems and very significant administrative problems. There is no way it will get out of here at \$ 33 billion."

Nation's Cities Weekly, August 22, 1994

Mayor Paul Soglin of Madison, Wisc., called on the Republican leaders to look at the situation from the viewpoint of the people affected by the impasse.

"I understand your frustration about the political process around how the bill came out of conference, but don't take it out on the people of this country," he said.

Matt Rodriquez, Chicago's superintendent of police, said he had been through the strains and crises of police work since the 1960s. "If things continue as they are going now, it will to be good for our people or the people who dedicate their lives to law enforcement," he said. "This crime bill puts in place well-planned programs that are a sign of hope for all police chiefs in our country,"

Mayor Richard Daley of Chicago echoed his chiefs words. "Crime is the Number One issue, and we need to deal with it through law enforcement, prevention and treatment programs."

GRAPHIC: Photograph

IAC-NUMBER: IAC 15763224

Nation's Cities Weekly, August 22, 1994

IAC-CLASS: Magazine; Trade & Industry

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

LOAD-DATE: September 15, 1995