

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Dan Pink (CN=Dan Pink/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JAN-1997 12:11:09.00

SUBJECT: Text

TO: Roger V. Salazar (CN=Roger V. Salazar/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Here's the VP's prepared remarks from yesterday, which should make

transcription easier. And what will make it even easier if our intern

Mike does the job. Does that work for you, Ginny? (He'll be in tomorrow.)

Statement of Vice President Al Gore

NARAL Luncheon

Washington, DC

January 22, 1997

[Acknowledgments from advance]

Good afternoon. We gather here today with cause for concern. On Thursday, we witnessed the horror of two bombs being detonated at a family planning clinic in Atlanta -- the second bomb maliciously planted to harm the brave Americans who were attending to the victims of the first explosion. On Sunday, a clinic in Tulsa, Oklahoma was struck by two bombs. The same clinic had been firebombed not three weeks earlier.

To those who committed the horrible deeds of Tulsa and Atlanta, I say this: The American people will not tolerate your cowardly crusade. We Americans resolve our differences with civilized discussion and spirited debate -- not with pipe bombs, hand grenades, and instruments of hate.

Let there be no doubt: We will find the terrorists who committed heinous acts of the past week, and we will punish you to the full extent of the law. We will not let you terrorize America,s women and their doctors. We will not let you deface our Constitution.

America,s women have the right to choose, and no one will ever steal that right away. The right to choose is fundamental, lodged in our Constitution, affirmed by our Supreme Court. And on behalf of President Clinton, I vow to all of you here today that we will never, ever let anyone take that right away.

The anti-choice cause did not win in the nation,s courts. They did not win in the nation,s elections. And its advocates will not prevail by trampling our traditions or trafficking in terror.

Let,s remember: these appalling acts are assaults not merely against a single building, or a single gender, but against America,s deepest principles.

In nations with different traditions, they believe that power comes out of the barrel of a gun. But in this nation, we know that true

power comes out of the disparate voices of a free people.

Let me say another word to those who have committed these deeds: if you claim religious convictions compelled your attempts to scare, your attempts to kill, you have committed an appalling act of hypocrisy. No God in any religious tradition condones -- let alone calls for -- such terror. The Bible offers you no ballast. I quote 1 John, Chapter 2, verse 9: &Anyone who claims to be in the light but hates his brother is still in the darkness.8

We can disagree on some things, but women and men of goodwill must agree that violence has no place in our dialogue of democracy. Christian, Jew, or Muslim, all Americans must work to ensure that light triumphs over darkness.

Billy Graham said it well earlier this week on the steps of the U.S. Capitol, when he asked God to &Help us to learn our courtesy to our fellow countrymen, that comes from the one who taught us that +whatever you want me to do to you, do also to them.,8

And Martin Luther King, Jr., whom we honored earlier this week, offered similar counsel. Listen to his words: &Violence . . . is immoral because it seeks to humiliate the opponent rather than win his understanding; it seeks to annihilate rather than convert. Violence is immoral because it thrives on hatred rather than love. It destroys community and makes brotherhood (and sisterhood) impossible.8

The pro-choice movement, I,m proud to say, has never resorted to violence. You have relied on reason. You have worked within the system. And for that, I thank you -- and the American people thank you. NARAL has built of tradition of tolerance, and every one of you has made our country stronger. As the father of three daughters -- and the husband of an intelligent, committed, and pro-choice woman -- I thank you for standing up for America,s women and their right to choose.

And especially today, thank you, NARAL, for pushing through important legislation like the Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act and for being such staunch defenders of abortions that are safe, legal, and most of all, rare. Thank you for standing so tall even at a time when state legislation and an anti-choice Congress have threatened our freedoms.

And on this anniversary of Roe v. Wade, when some would try to tug us backward to before that historic moment, we must commit to moving forward. We must move ahead, beyond a debate about just abortion. After all, we are not, and never have been, pro-abortion. We are pro-choice . . . We are pro-reproductive health . . . We are pro-education . . . We are pro-strong families . . . We are pro-women and pro-Constitutional rights.

Thank you. And may God bless you all.

###

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JAN-1997 14:21:25.00

SUBJECT: Transcript of NARAL Speech

TO: Dan Pink (CN=Dan Pink/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric L. Schnure (CN=Eric L. Schnure/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heidi Kukis (CN=Heidi Kukis/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Spalter (CN=Jonathan Spalter/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lorraine A. Voles (CN=Lorraine A. Voles/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Roger V. Salazar (CN=Roger V. Salazar/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Vice President

For Immediate Release

January 22,

1997

Transcript of the Vice President,s Remarks Before NARAL

January 21, 1997

Thank you. Thank you ladies and gentlemen. Tipper and I are delighted to be here. She whispered to me just now to remind you that the reason we were five minutes late for the Inaugural ceremony is that we stayed longer than we were supposed to in church that morning. (Laughter and applause). Thank you very much.

It is great to be here. And Kate thank you as others have thanked you for your extraordinary leadership and your untiring advocacy of the rights of women. I also want to thank Nancy Rubin, a longtime effective leader and advocate of the rights of women and for her leadership in setting up this event. To Barbara Silve (phonetic) and the other members of the NARAL Board. And especially today to Robin Chandler Duke. Tipper spoke today for me and for all of us with her words straight from the heart about how strongly we feel about your lifetime achievement Robin. Thank you for your personal friendship to Tipper and me for so many years and may I add my words to those that have already been well spoken here.

Let me also acknowledge, even though I understand that they have been mentioned, I want to also acknowledge the members of the House and Senate who are here because it takes great courage in many cases for these

individuals to take the stands that they have taken. And I want to single out Senator Bob Kerrey, Senator Chuck Robb, Senator Ron Wyden, Senator Barbara Boxer and other Senators who are not here. And in the House of Representatives, Rep. Ken Bentsen, Rep. Lloyd Doggett -- both from Texas, Rep. Bob Filner, Rep. Elizabeth Furse, Rep. Jane Harmon, Rep. Tom Lantos, Rep. Nita Lowey, Rep. Nancy Pelosi, Rep. Adam Smith and again other members of the House who are not here.

And of course I want to acknowledge a great friend to Tipper and me who was here earlier in your luncheon and acknowledge the First Lady's leadership on this issue all around the world. I think especially the way she represented the United States of America and those who believe in the values for which our nation stands when she traveled to Beijing and spoke truth to power in that conference. I thought it was an extraordinary achievement, one which she has replicated in many places around the world and in this country.

She spoke about the events of earlier today. I will not comment on them. I do want to say that on Thursday we witnessed the horror of two bombs being detonated at a family planning clinic in Atlanta -- the second bomb maliciously planted for the specific purpose of harming the brave Americans who were attending to the victims of the first explosion. Counter-terrorists experts point out that this is a pattern that first emerged in some other places in the world known for endemic terror and hatred. It could not have been an accident in their view. On Sunday a clinic in Tulsa, Oklahoma was struck by two bombs. The same clinic had been firebombed not three weeks earlier.

To those who committed the horrible deeds of Tulsa and Atlanta I say this on behalf of President Clinton and the American people: The American people will not tolerate your cowardly crusade. We Americans resolve our differences with civilized discussion and spirited debate and elections and constitutional processes not with pipe bombs, hand grenades and instruments of hate. You will not prevail. (Applause)

These emotions we feel are fresh and strong in the immediate aftermath of the majestic and peaceful transition from one four year presidential term to the next. A peaceful transfer of power that has taken place over and over again without violence ever for more than 200 years.

The reason we are able to feel this way as Americans on Inauguration Day -- regardless of which party wins, regardless of who we voted for, regardless of our feelings about the individual who is up there taking the oath of office -- the reason we feel an enormous swell of pride is because we know that we have the capacity of a free self-governing people to deal with differences peacefully. By talking with one another, arguing with one another, but arguing peacefully.

Let there be know doubt we will find the terrorists who committed these horrible acts of the past week and we will punish you to the full extent of the law. We will not let you terrorize America,s women or their doctors. (Applause) We will not let you deface out Constitution. (Applause) We will not let you destroy the spirit of brotherhood and

sisterhood that is America. (Applause) We'll find you. (Applause)

America's women have the right to choose. And no one will ever steal that right away. The right to choose is fundamental lodged in our Constitution affirmed by our Supreme Court. And on behalf of President Clinton, I vow to you here and to all listening that we will never ever let anyone take that right away. (Applause)

The anti-choice cause did not win in the nation's courts. They did not win in the court of public opinion. They did not win in the nation's elections. And its advocates will not prevail by trampling our traditions or trafficking in terror. These appalling attacks are assaults not merely against a single building or a single gender but against America's deepest principles.

In nations with different traditions, they believe that power comes out of the barrel of a gun. But in this nation we know that true power comes out of disparate voices of a free people.

I want to say one other word to those who have committed these deeds. If you claim religious convictions compelled your attempts to scare, your attempts to kill, you have committed an appalling act of hypocrisy. No interpretation of God in any religious tradition condones -- let alone calls for -- terror. (Applause) Speaking from the perspective of my own religious tradition, I say that that the Bible offers no ballast. I quote from 1 John, Chapter two, verse 9: &Anyone who claims to be in the light but hates his brother is still in the

darkness.⁸

We can disagree on some things, but women and men of goodwill must agree that violence has no place in our dialogue of democracy. Christian, Jew or Muslim or follower of some other tradition, all Americans must work to ensure that light triumphs over darkness. Billy Graham said it well earlier this week on the steps of the U.S. Capitol when he asked God, and I quote, &help us to learn our courtesy to our fellow countrymen, that comes from the one who taught us that +whatever you want me to do to you, do also to them.,⁸

And Martin Luther King, Jr., whom we honored on the same day as Inauguration Day, offered similar counsel. Listen to his words: &Violence...is immoral because it seeks to humiliate the opponent rather than win his understanding; it seeks to annihilate rather than convert. Violence is immoral because it thrives on hatred rather than love. It destroys community and makes brotherhood (and sisterhood) impossible.⁸

The pro-choice movement, I,m proud to say, has never resorted to violence. You have relied on reason. You have worked withing the system. And for that, I thank you and the American people thank you. NARAL has built a tradition of tolerance, and every one of you has made our country stronger. I thank you for standing up for America,s women and for their right to choose.

Those who support women,s rights and the right to choose should also take care to avoid the language of violence. In condemning those who

have now resorted to violence on the other side of this argument, let us acknowledge respectfully and gratefully all of those who while disagreeing on this issue also abhor the resort to violence. Yes, there are those who silently welcome it though not participating in it themselves. But let us not pretend that that is the majority. It is not. The majority within this group that holds a minority opinion also deplore the violence.

Coming out of the events of this week, I mentioned that these emotions are fresh and strong because of what we have experienced as a nation this week. And the desire for renewed attempts to reach out for common ground is also strong and fresh. Cardinal Bernardin was a leader among those who passionately disagree on this issue but nevertheless attempted to construct a dialogue aimed at mutual understanding.

I remember Cairo so well, primarily because it was an occasion in which the entire world came together in search of mutual understanding. I remember, I remember my cast and the crutches and going over there the week after my operation. Some of you may recall it was my Achilles tendon and you probably heard me explain that it was injured doing a helicopter dunk on the basketball court. (Laughter) I caught my elbow on the rim (laughter) coming down. (Laughter). I still have to wear the full body cast (laughter and applause). But as I started to remember those meetings it all came back to me. But I remember meeting with individuals of from different religious traditions, from different political cultures, from different ways of life, and talking in-depth about what is involved for all of us as human beings as we confront this issue.

For me, the basic truth is this. When a woman faces a situation that is so impossibly complicated -- for her, her family, her relationship to God, her deepest beliefs, and feels that she understands what's going on her life in a way that no one else does -- how can we as Americans, schooled in the deepest respect for a proper relationship between the individual and the awesome power of the state, how can we say that the government of the United States of America with all of its power, with all of its resources, with all of its distance and insensitivity to these fragile and complex circumstances pressing in upon that individual women, how can we say that the government must come in and order her to do what it has decided is the right thing and not her? It is wrong. It is wrong. (Applause)

I respect deeply those on the other side of this debate. And the truth is, of course, that the vast majority who agree with NARAL and the vast majority who agree with Cardinal Bernardin and others who hold the opposite -- who have held and hold the opposite view -- appreciates some, some aspects of each others positions.

Four years ago, exactly four years ago, when these emotions in the immediate aftermath of the Inauguration that I've referred to twice were fresh then, I personally reached out to individuals. I will not call their names because the conversations were and shall remain private. But I reached out to individuals who are leaders on the other side of this issue and asked, is there a way to make common cause in the effort to reduce the number of times women find themselves in a situation where they

go through this process of choosing?

The private response was, &you,re right. There are a lot of things we can do together.⁸ Upon reflection, the response some weeks later was, &we just can,t do it.⁸ Why?

Here,s my interpretation. I know I,m on thin ice here. There,s no way to discuss these issues without venturing out on to thin ice. The truth is that a minority within the minority also believes that family planning, in the form of birth control and even the giving of information about birth control, is morally wrong. Of course I don,t agree with that. I disagree strongly as everyone here -- I assume everyone here -- does. But the way coalitions are built and maintained is that sometimes deference is inappropriately given to a mistaken view, clearly understood as mistaken, for the purposes of cohesion. And so the vast majority of those who are opposed to choice, and yet believe in their hearts that of course giving birth control options is sensible, refrain from ever saying that. And quietly support a unified opposition to birth control as well.

If they were willing to abandon that aspect of their common front then there would be much that we could all do together to make abortions rare. We believe they should safe, legal and rare Those who disagree on the first two believe that they should be rare. There is a way, and we saw some of the outlines in Cairo, to fashion such a common approach here in the United States.

I would like to renew the comments I made privately almost exactly

four years ago to my friends who are in the opposite camp. To in the spirit of Cardinal Bernardin, to reach out with understanding as Americans, to heal our land, to say to all of our people, in the aftermath of these bombings, regardless of our views on the issue, we will not let this issue tear us apart as a country, We must not. We will not.

In any event, we will not allow a women,s right to choose to be taken away. I say that firmly, plainly, so it is clearly understood that it is not going to happen. But we do believe that there is much we can do together.

In closing let me say, especially today, thank you, NARAL, for pushing through the important legislation that must be the bulwark against this violence -- legislation like the Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act -- and thank you for being such staunch defenders of a women, s right to choose, for standing so tall even at a time when an anti-choice Congress has threatened some important freedoms.

And on this anniversary of Roe v. Wade when some will try and tug us backward to a time before that historic moment, we must commit ourselves to moving forward beyond a debate about just abortion. We are not and we never have been pro-abortion obviously. We are pro-choice, pro-reproductive health, pro-education, pro-strong families, pro-women, pro-Constitutional rights, pro the spirit of the United States of America which will forever stand.

Thank you and may God bless you all.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JAN-1997 14:55:29.00

SUBJECT: revised transcript

TO: Elizabeth A. Myers (CN=Elizabeth A. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary A. Dixon (CN=Mary A. Dixon/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I wrote the wrong date. so if you mail out to people, pls use this

version. thanks

THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Vice President

For Immediate Release

January 22,

1997

Transcript of the Vice President,s Remarks Before NARAL

January 22, 1997

Thank you. Thank you ladies and gentlemen. Tipper and I are delighted to be here. She whispered to me just now to remind you that the reason we were five minutes late for the Inaugural ceremony is that we stayed longer than we were supposed to in church that morning. (Laughter and applause). Thank you very much.

It is great to be here. And Kate thank you as others have thanked you for your extraordinary leadership and your untiring advocacy of the rights of women. I also want to thank Nancy Rubin, a longtime effective leader and advocate of the rights of women and for her leadership in setting up this event. To Barbara Silve (phonetic) and the other members of the NARAL Board. And especially today to Robin Chandler Duke. Tipper spoke today for me and for all of us with her words straight from the heart about how strongly we feel about your lifetime achievement Robin. Thank you for your personal friendship to Tipper and me for so many years and may I add my words to those that have already been well spoken here.

Let me also acknowledge, even though I understand that they have been mentioned, I want to also acknowledge the members of the House and Senate who are here because it takes great courage in many cases for these individuals to take the stands that they have taken. And I want to single out Senator Bob Kerrey, Senator Chuck Robb, Senator Ron Wyden, Senator Barbara Boxer and other Senators who are not here. And in the House of Representatives, Rep. Ken Bentsen, Rep. Lloyd Doggett -- both from Texas, Rep. Bob Filner, Rep. Elizabeth Furse, Rep. Jane Harmon, Rep. Tom Lantos,

Rep. Nita Lowey, Rep. Nancy Pelosi, Rep. Adam Smith and again other members of the House who are not here.

And of course I want to acknowledge a great friend to Tipper and me who was here earlier in your luncheon and acknowledge the First Lady's leadership on this issue all around the world. I think especially the way she represented the United States of America and those who believe in the values for which our nation stands when she traveled to Beijing and spoke truth to power in that conference. I thought it was an extraordinary achievement, one which she has replicated in many places around the world and in this country.

She spoke about the events of earlier today. I will not comment on them. I do want to say that on Thursday we witnessed the horror of two bombs being detonated at a family planning clinic in Atlanta -- the second bomb maliciously planted for the specific purpose of harming the brave Americans who were attending to the victims of the first explosion. Counter-terrorists experts point out that this is a pattern that first emerged in some other places in the world known for endemic terror and hatred. It could not have been an accident in their view. On Sunday a clinic in Tulsa, Oklahoma was struck by two bombs. The same clinic had been firebombed not three weeks earlier.

To those who committed the horrible deeds of Tulsa and Atlanta I say this on behalf of President Clinton and the American people: The American people will not tolerate your cowardly crusade. We Americans resolve our differences with civilized discussion and spirited debate and

elections and constitutional processes not with pipe bombs, hand grenades and instruments of hate. You will not prevail. (Applause)

These emotions we feel are fresh and strong in the immediate aftermath of the majestic and peaceful transition from one four year presidential term to the next. A peaceful transfer of power that has taken place over and over again without violence ever for more than 200 years.

The reason we are able to feel this way as Americans on Inauguration Day -- regardless of which party wins, regardless of who we voted for, regardless of our feelings about the individual who is up there taking the oath of office -- the reason we feel an enormous swell of pride is because we know that we have the capacity of a free self-governing people to deal with differences peacefully. By talking with one another, arguing with one another, but arguing peacefully.

Let there be know doubt we will find the terrorists who committed these horrible acts of the past week and we will punish you to the full extent of the law. We will not let you terrorize America,s women or their doctors. (Applause) We will not let you deface out Constitution. (Applause) We will not let you destroy the spirit of brotherhood and sisterhood that is America. (Applause) We,ll find you. (Applause)

America,s women have the right to choose. And no one will ever steal that right away. The right to choose is fundamental lodged in our Constitution affirmed by our Supreme Court. And on behalf of President

Clinton, I vow to you here and to all listening that we will never ever let anyone take that right away. (Applause)

The anti-choice cause did not win in the nation's courts. They did not win in the court of public opinion. They did not win in the nation's elections. And its advocates will not prevail by trampling our traditions or trafficking in terror. These appalling attacks are assaults not merely against a single building or a single gender but against America's deepest principles.

In nations with different traditions, they believe that power comes out of the barrel of a gun. But in this nation we know that true power comes out of disparate voices of a free people.

I want to say one other word to those who have committed these deeds. If you claim religious convictions compelled your attempts to scare, your attempts to kill, you have committed an appalling act of hypocrisy. No interpretation of God in any religious tradition condones -- let alone calls for -- terror. (Applause) Speaking from the perspective of my own religious tradition, I say that that the Bible offers no ballast. I quote from 1 John, Chapter two, verse 9: &Anyone who claims to be in the light but hates his brother is still in the darkness.⁸

We can disagree on some things, but women and men of goodwill must agree that violence has no place in our dialogue of democracy. Christian, Jew or Muslim or follower of some other tradition, all Americans must work

to ensure that light triumphs over darkness. Billy Graham said it well earlier this week on the steps of the U.S. Capitol when he asked God, and I quote, &help us to learn our courtesy to our fellow countrymen, that comes from the one who taught us that +whatever you want me to do to you, do also to them.,⁸

And Martin Luther King, Jr., whom we honored on the same day as Inauguration Day, offered similar counsel. Listen to his words: &Violence...is immoral because it seeks to humiliate the opponent rather than win his understanding; it seeks to annihilate rather than convert. Violence is immoral because it thrives on hatred rather than love. It destroys community and makes brotherhood (and sisterhood) impossible.⁸

The pro-choice movement, I,m proud to say, has never resorted to violence. You have relied on reason. You have worked withing the system. And for that, I thank you and the American people thank you. NARAL has built a tradition of tolerance, and every one of you has made our country stronger. I thank you for standing up for America,s women and for their right to choose.

Those who support women,s rights and the right to choose should also take care to avoid the language of violence. In condemning those who have now resorted to violence on the other side of this argument, let us acknowledge respectfully and gratefully all of those who while disagreeing on this issue also abhor the resort to violence. Yes, there are those who silently welcome it though not participating in it themselves. But let us not pretend that that is the majority. It is not. The majority

within this group that holds a minority opinion also deplore the violence.

Coming out of the events of this week, I mentioned that these emotions are fresh and strong because of what we have experienced as a nation this week. And the desire for renewed attempts to reach out for common ground is also strong and fresh. Cardinal Bernardin was a leader among those who passionately disagree on this issue but nevertheless attempted to construct a dialogue aimed at mutual understanding.

I remember Cairo so well, primarily because it was an occasion in which the entire world came together in search of mutual understanding. I remember, I remember my cast and the crutches and going over there the week after my operation. Some of you may recall it was my Achilles tendon and you probably heard me explain that it was injured doing a helicopter dunk on the basketball court. (Laughter) I caught my elbow on the rim (laughter) coming down. (Laughter). I still have to wear the full body cast (laughter and applause). But as I started to remember those meetings it all came back to me. But I remember meeting with individuals of from different religious traditions, from different political cultures, from different ways of life, and talking in-depth about what is involved for all of us as human beings as we confront this issue.

For me, the basic truth is this. When a woman faces a situation that is so impossibly complicated -- for her, her family, her relationship to God, her deepest beliefs, and feels that she understands what's going on her life in a way that no one else does -- how can we as

Americans, schooled in the deepest respect for a proper relationship between the individual and the awesome power of the state, how can we say that the government of the United States of America with all of its power, with all of its resources, with all of its distance and insensitivity to these fragile and complex circumstances pressing in upon that individual women, how can we say that the government must come in and order her to do what it has decided is the right thing and not her? It is wrong. It is wrong. (Applause)

I respect deeply those on the other side of this debate. And the truth is, of course, that the vast majority who agree with NARAL and the vast majority who agree with Cardinal Bernardin and others who hold the opposite -- who have held and hold the opposite view -- appreciates some, some aspects of each others positions.

Four years ago, exactly four years ago, when these emotions in the immediate aftermath of the Inauguration that I've referred to twice were fresh then, I personally reached out to individuals. I will not call their names because the conversations were and shall remain private. But I reached out to individuals who are leaders on the other side of this issue and asked, is there a way to make common cause in the effort to reduce the number of times women find themselves in a situation where they go through this process of choosing?

The private response was, &you,re right. There are a lot of things we can do together.⁸ Upon reflection, the response some weeks later was, &we just can,t do it.⁸ Why?

Here,s my interpretation. I know I,m on thin ice here. There,s no way to discuss these issues without venturing out on to thin ice. The truth is that a minority within the minority also believes that family planning, in the form of birth control and even the giving of information about birth control, is morally wrong. Of course I don,t agree with that. I disagree strongly as everyone here -- I assume everyone here -- does. But the way coalitions are built and maintained is that sometimes deference is inappropriately given to a mistaken view, clearly understood as mistaken, for the purposes of cohesion. And so the vast majority of those who are opposed to choice, and yet believe in their hearts that of course giving birth control options is sensible, refrain from ever saying that. And quietly support a unified opposition to birth control as well.

If they were willing to abandon that aspect of their common front then there would be much that we could all do together to make abortions rare. We believe they should safe, legal and rare Those who disagree on the first two believe that they should be rare. There is a way, and we saw some of the outlines in Cairo, to fashion such a common approach here in the United States.

I would like to renew the comments I made privately almost exactly four years ago to my friends who are in the opposite camp. To in the spirit of Cardinal Bernardin, to reach out with understanding as Americans, to heal our land, to say to all of our people, in the aftermath of these bombings, regardless of our views on the issue, we will not let this issue tear us apart as a country, We must not. We will not.

In any event, we will not allow a women,s right to choose to be taken away. I say that firmly, plainly, so it is clearly understood that it is not going to happen. But we do believe that there is much we can do together.

In closing let me say, especially today, thank you, NARAL, for pushing through the important legislation that must be the bulwark against this violence -- legislation like the Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act -- and thank you for being such staunch defenders of a women, s right to choose, for standing so tall even at a time when an anti-choice Congress has threatened some important freedoms.

And on this anniversary of Roe v. Wade when some will try and tug us backward to a time before that historic moment, we must commit ourselves to moving forward beyond a debate about just abortion. We are not and we never have been pro-abortion obviously. We are pro-choice, pro-reproductive health, pro-education, pro-strong families, pro-women, pro-Constitutional rights, pro the spirit of the United States of America which will forever stand.

Thank you and may God bless you all.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lotus Pager Gateway (Lotus Pager Gateway [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:31-JAN-1997 09:57:57.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

To: BETSY (Pager) #MYERS

cc:

From: Nicole R. Rabner

Date: 1/31/1997

Time: 09:00:02

Subject:

Body:

fyi, 10am family planning mtg with Ann L cancelled.

Priority:

Message history for recipient BETSY MYERS [Pager]

Friday 31 Jan 1997 08:57:17 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by
Pager Gateway

Friday 31 Jan 1997 08:57:51 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by
Paging Service

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lotus Pager Gateway (Lotus Pager Gateway [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:31-JAN-1997 16:06:18.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

To: BETSY (Pager) #MYERS

cc:

From: Nicole R. Rabner

Date: 1/31/1997

Time: 15:08:15

Subject:

Body:

fyi, there is a 4pm meeting with sylvia mathews on international family
planning in room 100 oeob. pls attend if possible.

Priority:

Message history for recipient BETSY MYERS [Pager]

Friday 31 Jan 1997 15:05:29 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by
Pager Gateway

Friday 31 Jan 1997 15:06:06 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by
Paging Service

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lotus Pager Gateway (Lotus Pager Gateway [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:13-FEB-1997 19:56:25.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

To: MELANNE (Pager) #VERVEER

cc:

From: Nicole R. Rabner

Date: 2/13/1997

Time: 18:58:21

Subject:

Body:

you probably know this -- we won huge on family planning

Priority:

Message history for recipient MELANNE VERVEER [Pager]

Thursday 13 Feb 1997 18:55:35 Eastern Standard Time - Message received
by Pager Gateway

Thursday 13 Feb 1997 18:56:07 Eastern Standard Time - Message received
by Paging Service

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lotus Pager Gateway (Lotus Pager Gateway [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:25-FEB-1997 15:58:11.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

To: MARY ELLEN (Pager) #GLYNN

cc:

From: Nicole R. Rabner

Date: 2/25/1997

Time: 14:57:48

Subject:

Body:

I e-mailed two pts to you re: potus statement on family planning -- Nicole

67263

Priority:

Message history for recipient MARY ELLEN GLYNN [Pager]

Tuesday 25 Feb 1997 14:57:22 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by

Pager Gateway

Tuesday 25 Feb 1997 14:57:57 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by

Paging Service

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lotus Pager Gateway (Lotus Pager Gateway [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:25-FEB-1997 15:42:55.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

To: 4422 @ WHCA

cc:

From: Lori L. Anderson

Date: 2/25/1997

Time: 14:42:26

Subject:

Body:

pls call mary ellen in press office re: family planning statement 62673

Priority:

Message history for recipient NICOLE RABNER [Pager]

Tuesday 25 Feb 1997 14:42:01 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by

Pager Gateway

Tuesday 25 Feb 1997 14:42:41 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by

Paging Service

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lotus Pager Gateway (Lotus Pager Gateway [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:25-FEB-1997 09:15:21.00

SUBJECT: Re: Please call Betty Currie re Family Planning list 6-2990/elisa (Sent to: JOHN HILLEY [Pager])

TO: Elisa Millsap (CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

To: JOHN (Pager) #HILLEY

cc:

From: Elisa Millsap

Date: 2/25/1997

Time: 09:14:55

Subject: Please call Betty Currie re Family Planning list

6-2990/elisa

Body:

Priority:

Message history for recipient JOHN HILLEY [Pager]

Tuesday 25 Feb 1997 09:14:30 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by

Pager Gateway

Tuesday 25 Feb 1997 09:15:06 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by

Paging Service

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael B. Feldman (CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 17:50:56.00

SUBJECT: Family Planning

TO: Stacey L. Rubin (CN=Stacey L. Rubin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Here is a copy of the message I sent to the VP on Family Planning.

Klecza and McNulty are the two he converted.

----- Forwarded by Michael B. Feldman/OVP on 02/27/97

05:46 PM -----

02/13/97 04:40 PM

Michael B. Feldman

Michael B. Feldman

Michael B. Feldman

02/13/97 04:40 PM

02/13/97 04:40 PM

To: Albert Gore/OVP

cc: Ron Klain/OVP, Thurgood Marshall Jr./OVP

Subject: Family Planning

The House approved the key family planning resolution today by a roll call

vote of 220y to 109n.

44 R's and 175 D's voted in favor.

Among the Members you called, Klecza and McNulty voted yes (we will send

notes thanking them)

Manton voted no.

The Smith bill also passed 231y to 194n.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Susan M. Liss (CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:13-NOV-1997 16:04:59.00

SUBJECT: women and tomorrow

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey T. Haynes (CN=Audrey T. Haynes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

First, I would very much like to be a part of the conference call you are planning. Please keep me posted as to times, etc. Second, tomorrow morning, the breakfast at the VP residence with the NWLC group provides an opportunity to try out the message (however you are crafting it, Ann.) I hope that we can use the q & a time to send the message about all we have done on the family planning and other issues. Ann, I think this is a role for you tomorrow so I really hope you'll be able to join us. thanks alot!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lucia F. Gilliland (CN=Lucia F. Gilliland/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-NOV-1997 15:14:55.00

SUBJECT: Re: International Family Planning Conf. Call

TO: Audrey T. Haynes (CN=Audrey T. Haynes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I will be in a meeting with the VP during this period and think Susan

will, too.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lucia F. Gilliland (CN=Lucia F. Gilliland/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-NOV-1997 16:14:55.00

SUBJECT: Re: International Family Planning Conf. Call

TO: Audrey T. Haynes (CN=Audrey T. Haynes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I will be in a meeting with the VP during this period and think Susan

will, too.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:17-NOV-1997 19:02:10.00

SUBJECT: Your Schedule on November 20th

TO: Albert Gore (CN=Albert Gore/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Heather M. Marabeti (CN=Heather M. Marabeti/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])

READ:UNKNOWN

Setti D. Warren (CN=Setti D. Warren/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Sir, the President is having a Religious Leaders Breakfast on Nov. 20th

(before the Health Care Bill of Rights Event). We were hoping you could

attend the entire event with the President (9:00 am - 10:45 am), but

several CEQ priority requests have come into the office that may prelude

you from attending. The requests are:

1) Meet with International organizations regarding last week's win
on Family Planning.

- NOTE: This is a follow up request from the e-mail Katie sent you
on Friday.

2) Phone calls to foreign countries regarding climate change

- NOTE: Leon is meeting with CEQ to discuss which countries would
be called

* Your schedule this week is packed, so in order to fit these events in,
we suggest that you only drop-by the Religious Leaders Breakfast before
the President arrives. Your schedule on Nov. 20th would look like this:

8:45 am - 9:10 am Mix and Mingle with @120 religious leaders in
East Room before
the President arrives

9:20 am - 9:50 am Meeting with International Organizations in the
Roosevelt Room

10:00 am - 10:45 am Phone calls to international countries on
Climate Change

11:30 am - 12:20 pm Health Care Bill of Rights Event with POTUS

12:30 pm Depart for Andrews

1:00 pm Wheels up for New York

1:55 pm Arrive New York

2:30 pm Arrive Roosevelt Hotel

2:45 pm - 3:50 pm Remarks at Sarcophagus Conference

4:00 pm - 5:15 pm Bi- Lat with Kuchma

5:30 pm - 6:30 pm Dinner with Kuchma

7:10 pm Wheels up en route Andrews AFB

8:15 pm Arrive Andrews

*NOTE: in order for you to be able to attend the Health Care Bill of
Rights Event with the President, we had to extend your time in NY with
Kuchma.

Are you OK with this schedule?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Susan M. Liss (CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-DEC-1997 18:15:23.00

SUBJECT: Meeting with women's groups

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I heard through the grapevine that you are meeting with some folks next week on intl. family planning and proactive agenda ideas for next year. I would love to join you if you think it's appropriate. I will be going with VP to Japan Sat. night, returning early Tues. a.m, but I should be recovered later in the week. Let me know, or ask June Gayle to let Aimee Malnati know the time, etc. Thanks!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Susan M. Liss (CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-DEC-1997 15:49:23.00

SUBJECT: Re: Rescheduled: Domestic Family Planning Meeting

TO: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

No problem!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:19-JAN-1998 20:20:46.00

SUBJECT: Re: Information on Support for Family Planning

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Thanks so much for sending over all this info!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:22-JAN-1998 11:37:40.00

SUBJECT: Daily Talking Points: Increasing Support for Family Planning

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
What do we about this?

----- Forwarded by Toby Donenfeld/OVP on 01/22/98 11:40

AM -----

Ruby Shamir @ EOP

01/22/98 11:25 AM

To: All OPD Users, All WHO Users, All CEQ Users, All PIR Users, All
Staff

cc:

Subject: Daily Talking Points: Increasing Support for Family
Planning

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:
Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D56]ARMSZZ000GCPK.000 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

PRESIDENT CLINTON: INCREASING SUPPORT FOR FAMILY PLANNING

January 22, 1998

" I will continue to do everything I can to make sure that every child in America is a wanted child, raised in a loving, strong family. Ultimately, that is the idea the anniversary of Roe v. Wade celebrates."

President Bill Clinton
January 22, 1998

Today, marks the 25th anniversary of Roe v. Wade, the landmark Supreme Court decision that affirmed every woman's right to choose whether and when to have a child. President Clinton is committed to ensuring this right, and in doing so, to protecting two of our nation's most deeply-held values, personal privacy and family responsibility.

PREVENTION AND FAMILY PLANNING. During the last five years, the Administration has worked hard to reduce the need for abortions and to prevent unintended pregnancy by making comprehensive family planning and sex education programs more widely available. The President's FY 1999 budget calls for:

- **Increased Funding for Title X.** The proposal will increase Title X Family Planning grants by \$15 million -- a 46% increase since FY 1992.
- **Medicaid and Other Services.** The proposal will provide almost \$500 million in federal funds to Medicaid to support family planning services. Additionally, the Maternal & Child Health Block Grant, the Social Services Block Grant, and the Preventive Health Block Grant will provide \$100 million to state and local communities for family planning services.
- **Prevention Education and Research.** The proposal will provide about \$200 million for the National Institutes of Health's research on infertility, contraception, and related matters, and CDC's programs to educate teenagers about sexual development and abstinence. Additionally, Health and Human Service's teen pregnancy prevention and related youth programs will continue to engage the Girl Power! education initiative in sustained efforts to promote pregnancy prevention among girls 9- to 14-years-old.

A COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH TO FAMILY PLANNING. Under the President's proposal nearly 5 million clients each year at more than 4,700 family planning clinics nationwide, would have access to a comprehensive set of family planning services including contraceptive services, pregnancy testing, sexually transmitted disease screening and treatment, and education and outreach.

SUPPORTING INTERNATIONAL FAMILY PLANNING. The Administration is strongly committed to international family planning efforts. The President has blocked several Congressional attempts to prohibit funding for international family planning groups that use their own funding to lobby on behalf of abortion rights or perform abortions. Under the President's Budget, bilateral assistance provided through AID and assistance to the United Nations Population Fund will grow to \$425 million in FY 1999, a 32% increase over FY 1992.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:22-JAN-1998 11:45:34.00

SUBJECT: Re: Daily Talking Points: Increasing Support for Family Planning

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Ok, I'd much rather use the paper you are preparing, but I didn't know if

that e-mail meant we had to use that one-pager.

Do we need to run your 2-pager by anyone else once you send it over?

Thanks again.

Neera Tanden @ EOP

01/22/98 11:39 AM

To: Toby Donenfeld/OVP

cc:

Subject: Re: Daily Talking Points: Increasing Support for Family
Planning

This isn't very good - it doesn't have anything on gag rule, etc. but it's
a good last resort.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Susan M. Liss (CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:22-JAN-1998 08:18:16.00

SUBJECT: Family Planning press handouts

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I think we should be prepared for press inquiries on the family planning announcement today (let's hope someone cares about policy today). If Neera could clean up the two pager to be in releaseable form, I think that would do it. Do you think we need q and a's as well? The speech is around 1:00 pm so we should get this ready this morning and get it to WH press folks as well as OVP press folks. thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:22-JAN-1998 11:37:40.00

SUBJECT: Daily Talking Points: Increasing Support for Family Planning

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
What do we about this?

----- Forwarded by Toby Donenfeld/OVP on 01/22/98 11:40

AM -----

Ruby Shamir @ EOP

01/22/98 11:25 AM

To: All OPD Users, All WHO Users, All CEQ Users, All PIR Users, All
Staff

cc:

Subject: Daily Talking Points: Increasing Support for Family
Planning

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:
Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D22]ARMSZZ000GDC5.000 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

**PRESIDENT CLINTON:
INCREASING SUPPORT FOR FAMILY PLANNING**

January 22, 1998

" I will continue to do everything I can to make sure that every child in America is a wanted child, raised in a loving, strong family. Ultimately, that is the idea the anniversary of Roe v. Wade celebrates."

President Bill Clinton
January 22, 1998

Today, marks the 25th anniversary of Roe v. Wade, the landmark Supreme Court decision that affirmed every woman's right to choose whether and when to have a child. President Clinton is committed to ensuring this right, and in doing so, to protecting two of our nation's most deeply-held values, personal privacy and family responsibility.

PREVENTION AND FAMILY PLANNING. During the last five years, the Administration has worked hard to reduce the need for abortions and to prevent unintended pregnancy by making comprehensive family planning and sex education programs more widely available. The President's FY 1999 budget calls for:

- **Increased Funding for Title X.** The proposal will increase Title X Family Planning grants by \$15 million -- a 46% increase since FY1992.
- **Medicaid and Other Services.** The proposal will provide almost \$500 million in federal funds to Medicaid to support family planning services. Additionally, the Maternal & Child Health Block Grant, the Social Services Block Grant, and the Preventive Health Block Grant will provide \$100 million to state and local communities for family planning services.
- **Prevention Education and Research.** The proposal will provide about \$200 million for the National Institutes of Health's research on infertility, contraception, and related matters, and CDC's programs to educate teenagers about sexual development and abstinence. Additionally, Health and Human Service's teen pregnancy prevention and related youth programs will continue to engage the Girl Power! education initiative in sustained efforts to promote pregnancy prevention among girls 9- to 14-years-old.

A COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH TO FAMILY PLANNING. Under the President's proposal nearly 5 million clients each year at more than 4,700 family planning clinics nationwide, would have access to a comprehensive set of family planning services including contraceptive services, pregnancy testing, sexually transmitted disease screening and treatment, and education and outreach.

SUPPORTING INTERNATIONAL FAMILY PLANNING. The Administration is strongly committed to international family planning efforts. The President has blocked several Congressional attempts to prohibit funding for international family planning groups that use their own funding to lobby on behalf of abortion rights or perform abortions. Under the President's Budget, bilateral assistance provided through AID and assistance to the United Nations Population Fund will grow to \$425 million in FY 1999, a 32% increase over FY 1992.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:22-JAN-1998 11:45:34.00

SUBJECT: Re: Daily Talking Points: Increasing Support for Family Planning

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Ok, I'd much rather use the paper you are preparing, but I didn't know if
that e-mail meant we had to use that one-pager.

Do we need to run your 2-pager by anyone else once you send it over?

Thanks again.

Neera Tanden @ EOP

01/22/98 11:39 AM

To: Toby Donenfeld/OVP

cc:

Subject: Re: Daily Talking Points: Increasing Support for Family
Planning

This isn't very good - it doesn't have anything on gag rule, etc. but it's
a good last resort.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lotus Pager Gateway (Lotus Pager Gateway [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JAN-1998 16:45:22.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Katharine Button (CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

To: MELANNE (Pager) #VERVEER

cc:

From: Katharine Button

Date: 1/23/1998

Time: 16:44:08

Subject:

Body:

family planning postponed to 5:30 - katy

Priority:

Message history for recipient MELANNE VERVEER [Pager]

Friday 23 Jan 1998 16:44:28 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by

Pager Gateway

Friday 23 Jan 1998 16:45:02 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by

Paging Service

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lotus Pager Gateway (Lotus Pager Gateway [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JAN-1998 17:45:53.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Katharine Button (CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

To: MELANNE (Pager) #VERVEER

cc:

From: Katharine Button

Date: 1/23/1998

Time: 17:44:28

Subject:

Body:

albright, rubin, sperling and wendy sherman are all in family planning
meeting - katy

Priority:

Message history for recipient MELANNE VERVEER [Pager]

Friday 23 Jan 1998 17:44:48 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by
Pager Gateway

Friday 23 Jan 1998 17:45:26 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by
Paging Service

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lotus Pager Gateway (Lotus Pager Gateway [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:24-APR-1998 08:40:44.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Katharine Button (CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

To: RUBY (Pager) #SHAMIR

AUDREY (Pager) #HAYNES

SARAH (Pager) #LATHAM

SYLVIA (SKY) (Pager) #MATHEWS

cc:

From: Katharine Button

Date: 4/24/1998

Time: 08:37:24

Subject:

Body:

11:30 family planning meeting is in hrc west wing office

Priority:

Message history for recipient SARAH LATHAM [Pager]

Friday 24 Apr 1998 08:39:46 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by
Pager Gateway

Friday 24 Apr 1998 08:40:25 Eastern Standard Time - Message received by
Paging Service

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Carol M. Beach ("Carol M. Beach" [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:22-SEP-1998 14:26:05.00

SUBJECT: No need for meeting tomorrow?

TO: Christine N. Macy (CN=Christine N. Macy/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen M. Lovell (CN=Ellen M. Lovell/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katharine Button (CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura E. Schiller (CN=Laura E. Schiller/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marsha E. Berry (CN=Marsha E. Berry/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Noa A. Meyer (CN=Noa A. Meyer/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Pamela Cicetti (CN=Pamela Cicetti/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Roberta W. Greene (CN=Roberta W. Greene/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

When HRC responded to the memo for this week's column, she settled also on the topics for the next few weeks -- subject to change, of course. Unless any of you feels differently, we shouldn't need to meet tomorrow.

Next week she wants to focus on Haiti, Family Planning and Vital Voices. I will need material on the trip and some help on the ground for "sights and

sounds."

The week of 10/6 she wants to do Coming Up Taller. I'll need material on this

The week of 10/13, she wants to do her Bulgaria, Prague trip. Ditto on the need for material and help on the ground.

Since I sent the memo, I think she should do a column on McGuire, Sosa and Ripken. The playoffs/World Series will provide a great opportunity for that.

Any other thoughts?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mail Delivery Subsystem (Mail Delivery Subsystem [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-OCT-1998 00:37:46.00

SUBJECT: Returned mail: Cannot send message for 4 hours

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Transcript of session follows -----

250 nrca.org (smtp)... 250 Deferred

----- Unsent message follows -----

Received: from lngate2.eop.gov by gatekeeper.eop.gov;

(5.65v3.2/1.1.8.2/17Oct95-0424PM)

id AA23240; Tue, 6 Oct 1998 16:35:50 -0400

Received: by LNGATE2.EOP.GOV(Lotus SMTP MTA SMTP v4.6 (462.2 9-3-1997))

id 85256695.007112C6 ; Tue, 6 Oct 1998 16:35:03 -0400

X-Lotus-Fromdomain: EOP

From: Barbara_D._Woolley@who.eop.gov

To: Lee Swenson NFU , Tom Buis NFU ,

Christine Nelson UEP ,

Nancy Danielson NFU ,

Chandler Keys NCBA , Jim Travis NCBA ,

Jay Howell NCF ,

Scott Shearer FI ,

Nick Giordano NPP ,

John Maguire NCC ,

David Senter ,

Linda Vickers ,

Dick Newpher AFBF , Kathy Ozer NFFC

Lorette Picciano-Hanson RC ,

Harvey Jo Sanner AAM ,

Barbara Borschow ,

Bob Cashdollar ,

Glenn English NRCA ,

Rob Fersh FRAC , Ed Graves ,

Kenneth Guenther IBAA ,

Pam Gaffain FERTILIZER INST ,

Krista Harden SOYBEANS ,

Jerry Hill , Todd Kemp NGFA ,

Gary Kushner , Larry Meyers ,

Chuck Penrey ,

Laura Phelps , Bob Rapoza ,

Bill Weber , Harvey Jo Sanner

Margie Williams WHEATGROWERS ,

Moises Loza HOUSING ,

Dale Marsico TRANS ,

Kathleen Merrigan ,

Jim Johnson ,

Dalton Yancey FLASUGAR ,

Shirley Bloomfield NATLTELECOOP ,

Montee Wynn - NATLRURALELEC ,

Aliceann Wohlbrook NADO ,

Peter Magrath NASULGC ,

John Blanchfield ABA ,
Stephanie Patrick CARGILL ,
Elin Peltz ACPA ,
Tom Rugg NATLGRANGE ,
Jim Miller NAWG ,
Carolyn Anderson AFBF ,
Rebecca Frailey CGC ,
Kirk Ferrel PORK ,
Kellye Eversote , Carolyn Cheney

,
Jim Webster , Don Wallace ,
Barbara Woolley ,
Susan_Keith_2026282001%6281933%FAX@oa.eop.gov,
Marshall_Matz_Ph._7891212%2343550%FAX@oa.eop.gov,
Steve_McCoy%6596891%FAX@oa.eop.gov,
Jim_Dupree%8707442294%FAX@oa.eop.gov,
Nat Grain & Feed Assn

Message-Id: <85256695.00707AB0.00@LNGATE2.EOP.GOV>

Date: Tue, 6 Oct 1998 16:29:21 -0400

Subject: Administration - Budget - Riders

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

A Budget Solution

The First Step: Drop Controversial Riders

October 6, 1998

The President has proposed a budget that focuses on the basic fundamentals needed to keep the country moving forward:

- U Saving Social Security First.
- U Investing in education and in a cleaner environment.
- U Leading the response to the global financial turmoil.

The Congressional Majority has taken its eye off of these basic fundamentals. That is why they failed to even pass a budget resolution, despite an April 15th legal deadline. And why they sent only one appropriations bill to the President's desk before the fiscal year began. Instead of focusing on basic budget decisions and making the needed investments:

? The Congress has loaded down the appropriations bills with scores of controversial and objectionable riders. Many of these controversial items have not been debated, hearings have not been held, and they do not belong on appropriations bills. These riders are an unnecessary distraction that pose a major obstacle to achieving a budget solution.

The time has come to return the focus to the basic task at hand: achieving a budget solution. Dropping these controversial riders is the critical first step needed to achieve success. It is time to put progress over partisanship and to put common sense over ideology.

Examples of riders that should be dropped:

? Assaults on the Environment --Scores of anti-environmental riders would sacrifice public lands to private interests by mandating overcutting on national forests, carving roads through wilderness, and denying taxpayers a fair return on oil leasing on federal lands. Other riders aim to cripple wildlife protections and thwart common-sense efforts to reverse global warming.

? Undermining of the Brady Law -- Extraneous language would undermine the Brady law by delaying implementation of the FBI's system of computerized background checks for all gun purchasers. Brady background checks have stopped nearly a quarter of a million illegal handgun sales and its implementation should not be undermined.

? Denial of an Accurate Census. The statistical methods incorporated in the Census Bureau's plan, which are based on recommendations from the National Academy of Sciences, would produce the most accurate census possible and virtually eliminate the large undercounts of minorities, children, and other groups that occurred in the 1990 census. The onerous House language restrictions on the Census should be dropped.

? Private School Vouchers --House language provides for the use of private school vouchers in the District of Columbia. Instead of investing additional resources in public schools, vouchers would allow a few selected students to attend private schools and would draw resources and attention away from the hard work of reforming public schools that serve the overwhelming majority of D.C. students. This would establish a

dangerous precedent for using Federal taxpayer funds for schools that are not accountable to the public.

? Prohibition on National Voluntary Education Testing -- The House has included language that would prohibit the development, implementation, and administration of the tests. This would retreat from the work of raising expectations for students and schools and bring a halt to the President's efforts to help States and parents raise academic standards through a voluntary national test in the basic skills. The House has included language that would prohibit the development, implementation, and administration of the tests unless explicitly authorized. This would bring a halt to the President's efforts to help States and parents raise academic standards through a voluntary national test.

? Other Education Riders Pose Serious Concerns: The bills contain other riders that change, in unnecessary and inappropriate ways, programs that serve youth with disabilities (IDEA); programs that serve limited English proficient children; how schools protect students from inappropriate internet materials; and how grantees are held accountable for the use of certain Federal funds that address national needs.

? Undermining of Worker Safety Efforts --House language would unnecessarily delay efforts to protect worker safety and should be dropped.

? Family Planning Interference -- Several controversial family planning riders have been added to appropriations bills. These restrictions

would lead to more unintended pregnancies, abortions, and sexually transmitted diseases and should be dropped.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: markpenn (markpenn@ps-b.com (markpenn) [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-OCT-1998 14:54:20.00

SUBJECT: RE: 2:30 pm remarks -- draft

TO: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I though we would be a little more specific about some of the issues we needed to be funded. We need full funding for 100,00 teachers....we need to eliminate the provision that would cause 100,000 children to lose their schhol lunch or the elimination of family planning for whatever.

The power is in specifics rather than generalities of what we want, and

this

seems much too general in that sense.

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Michael_Waldman@who.eop.gov [mailto:Michael_Waldman@who.eop.gov]

> Sent: Friday, October 09, 1998 10:42 AM

> To: markpenn@ps-b.com; John_Podesta@who.eop.gov;

> Dawn_L._Smalls@who.eop.gov; Maria_Echaveste@who.eop.gov;

> Marjorie_Tarmey@who.eop.gov; Michelle_Crisci@who.eop.gov;

> Gene_B._Sperling@opd.eop.gov; Melissa_G._Green@opd.eop.gov;

> Peter_A._Weissman@opd.eop.gov; Bruce_N._Reed@opd.eop.gov;

> Elena_Kagan@opd.eop.gov; Jacob_J._Lew@omb.eop.gov;

> Sylvia_M._Mathews@omb.eop.gov; Linda_Ricci@omb.eop.gov;

> Ron_Klain%OVP@oa.eop.gov; Lawrence_J._Stein@who.eop.gov;

> Charles_M._Brain@who.eop.gov; Martha_Foley@who.eop.gov;

> Kevin_S._Moran@who.eop.gov; Joseph_P._Lockhart@who.eop.gov;
> Jessica_L._Gibson@who.eop.gov; Craig_T._Smith@who.eop.gov;
> Ann_F._Lewis@who.eop.gov; Ruby_Shamir@who.eop.gov;
> Sidney_Blumenthal@who.eop.gov; Paul_E._Begala@who.eop.gov;
> Jake_Siewert@opd.eop.gov; Lowell_A._Weiss@who.eop.gov;
> Kathleen_A._McGinty@ceq.eop.gov
> Subject: 2:30 pm remarks -- draft

>

>

> Draft 10/9/98 10:40am

> Waldman/Siewert/Weiss

>

> PRESIDENT WILLIAM J. CLINTON

> STATEMENT ON THE BUDGET

> THE WHITE HOUSE

> October 9, 1998

>

> Good afternoon. I have just had the opportunity to meet
> with Senator Daschle, Representative Gephardt and their colleagues. We
> have been discussing the course of the budget on Capitol Hill. And we
> stand united in insisting that Congress act immediately to pass a budget
> that honors our values and meets America's priorities.

>

> This is a year when we should be able to make real, bipartisan
> progress. We have created the first balanced budget and surplus in 29
> years. Our economy continues to be strong, creating jobs and broadening
> prosperity, despite economic turmoil abroad. We have an opportunity to

> maintain our fiscal discipline while making the investments we need. We

> can sustain this American economic renaissance.

>

> This budget is a test of whether we will act together to advance the

> national interest -- whether we will invest in the education of our

> children, the security of our parents, the strength of our economy. Let

> me make clear my priorities.

>

> First, as I made clear in my State of the Union Address, our central

> budget challenge is to maintain our fiscal discipline. I have insisted

> that we set aside every penny of any surplus until we save Social

Security

> first. All year long, the majority party in Congress challenged that

> principle, first with a \$700 billion tax plan, then with a \$80 billion

> plan, all draining the budget surplus. I said no. It now appears that

> common sense has prevailed, the surplus is secure, and America is now

> well-positioned to craft a bipartisan plan to save Social Security for

the

> next generation.

>

> Second, we must widen opportunity by investing in our children and

in

> their schools. This budget should reduce class size by hiring new

> teachers ... bring discipline and learning into the lives of all our

young

> people by fully funding after-school programs ... bring cutting edge

> technology to the classroom, with teachers trained to use it ...

> and expand

> Head Start. It must also teach our children to read, and support our new

> mentoring drive to encourage young people to go to college.

>

> Unfortunately, when the education investment bill was finally
brought

> to the House floor yesterday, eight days into the fiscal year, it met
none

> of these challenges. I have instructed my budget team to return

> to Capitol

> Hill, make the case for our educational priorities, and fight for our

> children's future. I am confident we will prevail.

>

> Let me add that in these closing days, it is clear that Congress
will

> not take necessary action to modernize and build 5000 new schools. More

> teachers and smaller classes also demand more schoolrooms. If we do not

> succeed this year, we will be back next year to fight for this priority.

> Third, we must strengthen our economy at a time of economic turmoil

> abroad by meeting our obligations to the International Monetary Fund.

> Every day of delay is another day of putting our prosperity at risk. I
am

> confident Congress will do the right thing.

>

> Fourth, we must strengthen, not weaken, environmental protections.

I

> simply will not allow a budget that is stuffed with unrelated,

> controversial provisions that would cause unacceptable harm to our
> environment and hamstring our protection of the public health.

>

> Fifth, we must act decisively to help America's farmers and
ranchers.

> This year, drought, disease and international economic turmoil have hit

> America's farm families hard. I vetoed a farm bill that did not do
enough

> to help America's farm families during this difficult time. And I have

> instructed my budget negotiators to insist on stronger emergency measures

> to help America's farmers and ranchers.

>

> Sixth, we must make child care more affordable and ensure the
quality

> and safety of care for millions of American children. Parents have a
hard

> enough time meeting their responsibilities at home and at work -- and we

> must take responsibility for helping them do it.

>

> Seventh, we must continue our successful drive to move people from
> welfare to work. Just yesterday, Congress passed my innovative
initiative

> to help 50,000 families move closer to good jobs. That's a strong step
in

> the right direction. In the coming days, we can do more to help people

> move from the dependency of a welfare check to the dignity of a paycheck.

>

> Eighth, I will continue to resist extraneous and controversial
> provisions that simply make it harder to meet our obligation to fund the
> government and invest in our people. This is no time for debate over
> social issue measures, such as those on family planning, that will mire
> this necessary bill in needless controversy.

>

> We stand together for these priorities. They are the right
> priorities
> for the American people. And I believe that if Congress puts
> progress over
> partisanship, we can come to an agreement on a budget that
> maintains fiscal
> discipline, honors our values, and invests in our people.

>

>

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Susan Cohen (Susan Cohen [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:22-OCT-1998 15:56:29.00

SUBJECT: Re: FYI - Standing Firm on Reproductive Choice

TO: Sondra L. Seba (CN=Sondra L. Seba/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

THanks Sondra.

I am desperately seeking a copy of the president's veto statement on the
State Dept authorization bill (mexico city gag rule) from yesterday.

please e-mail or fax to 223-5756.

thanks,

Susan

>>> 10/22/98 11:20AM >>>

President Clinton And Vice President Gore:

Standing Up For a Woman?s Right to Choose

"I?m committed to keeping abortions safe, legal, and accessible -and to
making it more rare...I will continue to do everything I can to make sure
that every child in America is a wanted child, raised in a loving, strong
family."

President Bill

Clinton, January 22, 1998

Throughout his Presidency, President Clinton and Vice President Gore have worked hard to protect a woman's right to choose and to promote safe reproductive health services for women.

Provided Contraceptive Coverage to More than a Million Women. The FY99 Omnibus Appropriations Act contains an important policy breakthrough on reproductive choice. The final bill requires the 300 Federal Employees Health Benefits Plans (FEHBP) to cover contraceptive drugs and devices, providing coverage to approximately 1.2 million women of childbearing age. Until now, only 19% of federal health plans covered prescription contraceptives and 10% of the plans offered no contraceptive coverage at all. The Republican Leadership tried to remove this measure, but President Clinton and pro-choice members remained firm.

Increased Funding for Family Planning. In the FY99 Omnibus Appropriations Act, the President won an increase of \$12 million over FY98 levels for family planning services or Title X Family Planning grants. The House-version would have denied any additional funds.

Stopped the Coburn Amendment Which Would Have Prohibited the FDA from Approving RU-486. On January 22, 1993, President Clinton reversed the ban on the importation of Mifepristone or RU-486; RU-486 is currently under review by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Unfortunately, the FDA's scientific drug approval process became under assault in the 105th Congress. President Clinton threatened to veto a provision that would have prevented the FDA from using government funds to test, develop or approve

drugs that may induce medical abortion, including RU-486. Because of the President's veto threat, Republicans backed down and decided not to attach this provision to any funding bill.

Defeated Parental Consent Restrictions on Contraceptives for Minors. The House voted to require minors to obtain parental consent prior to receiving any Title X family planning services (this has also been referred to as the Istook amendment). The President's veto threat helped to keep it out of the final bill.

Stopped the So-Called "Child Custody Protection" Act. Senior Clinton Administration Advisers recommended a veto of this bill which would have made it illegal to transport a minor across State lines for the purpose of avoiding parental consent or notification laws. The Clinton Administration, as detailed in the Statement of Administration Policy, was very concerned that the bill did not protect close family members --including grandmothers, aunts and siblings --from criminal and civil liability. Family members could face criminal charges for aiding a relative in distress. The bill also did not protect persons that only provide information, counseling, referral or medical services to the minor from liability. Under a veto threat, the Senate failed to invoke cloture (or end debate) on the Child Custody Protection Act.

Upheld the Late Term Abortion Veto. This year, the House of Representatives voted to override President Clinton's veto of a bill banning certain late-term abortions, known by proponents of the ban as "partial birth abortions." While the House voted to override the President's action, the

Senate sustained the veto by a vote of 36-64 --just three votes short of the required two-thirds majority needed to override the veto. President Clinton vetoed the measure in October 1997 because it did not contain an exception that protected the health or life of the woman.

Continued to Fight Restrictions on International Family Planning. The FY99 Omnibus Appropriations Act does not contain the so-called "Mexico City" policy, a provision that denies U.S. funds to international family planning organizations that use their own resources to perform abortions or lobby on abortion policy. The Mexico City restrictions were also included in the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act. President Clinton vetoed this legislation because it contained these unacceptable restrictions.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-JAN-1999 11:46:03.00

SUBJECT: Re: do we have closure

TO: Jennifer M. Luray (CN=Jennifer M. Luray/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia M. Ewing (CN=Patricia M. Ewing/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia Solis-Doyle (CN=Patricia Solis-Doyle/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy Hartman (CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
We need to work with Mrs. Clinton's office on timing of this event.

Thanks.

Sarah A. Bianchi

01/14/99 10:44 AM

To: Lisa A. Berg/OVP

cc:

Subject: do we have closure

on the Family Planning meeting?

thanks,

sb

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:15-JAN-1999 18:37:52.00

SUBJECT: Re: family planning meeting (resent)

TO: Jennifer M. Luray (CN=Jennifer M. Luray/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Katharine Button (CN=Katharine Button/OU=Who/O=Eop @ Eop [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Monica M. Dixon (CN=Monica M. Dixon/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Patricia Solis-Doyle (CN=Patricia Solis-Doyle/OU=Who/O=Eop @ Eop [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Shirley S. Sagawa (CN=Shirley S. Sagawa/OU=Who/O=Eop @ Eop [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
The Friday events of the VP are being discussed internally. Patti is
looking at 10:00 AM Friday for this meeting. VP still (T)

Jennifer M. Luray @ EOP

01/15/99 04:37:17 PM

To: Patricia Solis-Doyle/WHO/EOP @ EOP, Lisa A. Berg/OVP

cc: Shirley S. Sagawa/WHO/EOP @ EOP, Katharine Button/WHO/EOP @ EOP,

Monica M. Dixon/OVP, Sarah A. Bianchi/OVP

Subject: family planning meeting (resent)

Do we have a time for the family planning meeting next Friday morning,

1/22? Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:19-JAN-1999 10:14:03.00

SUBJECT: we're confirming the family planning meeting with HRC on the 22nd as outreach

TO: Audrey T. Haynes (CN=Audrey T. Haynes/O=OVP [OVP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Luray (CN=Jennifer M. Luray/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Ansley Jones/OVP on 01/18/99 10:15 PM

From: Lisa A. Berg on 01/18/99 10:36 PM

To: Patricia M. Ewing/OVP, Wendy Hartman/OVP

cc: Mary C. Gumbleton/OVP, Ansley Jones/OVP

Subject: we're confirming the family planning meeting with HRC on
the 22nd as outreach

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Margaret_M._Suntum (Margaret_M._Suntum@who.eop.gov [who])

CREATION DATE/TIME:18-NOV-1999 20:56:47.00

SUBJECT: Lew, Podesta briefing on budget

TO: "/R=3\$=/C=US/ADMD=WESTERN UNION/O=ATT.COM/DD.ELN=62955104/"@mrx.eop.gov ("
"/R=3\$=/C=US/ADMD=WESTERN UNION/O=ATT.COM/DD.ELN=62955104/"@mrx.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: "/R=OPUS/R=MRP/PR-L=AVUOEEOB/PR-U=TDIXON/FFN=Timothy Dixon/"@mr.eop.gov ("
"/R=OPUS/R=MRP/PR-L=AVUOEEOB/PR-U=TDIXON/FFN=Timothy Dixon/"@mr.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: 62955104@eln.attmail.com (62955104@eln.attmail.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Adrian_E._Miller (Adrian_E._Miller@who.eop.gov [who])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: backup (backup@wilson.ai.mit.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BARTHOLOW_T (BARTHOLOW_T@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: carolmast (carolmast@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christine_L._Anderson (Christine_L._Anderson@who.eop.gov [who])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Abigail C. Smith (CN=Abigail C. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Abigail L. McDermott (CN=Abigail L. McDermott/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Adrienne K. Elrod (CN=Adrienne K. Elrod/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alberto O. Feraren (CN=Alberto O. Feraren/OU=OA/O=EOP@EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alejandro G. Cabrera (CN=Alejandro G. Cabrera/O=OVP@OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock (CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann C. Hertelendy (CN=Ann C. Hertelendy/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anna Richter (CN=Anna Richter/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne M. Edwards (CN=Anne M. Edwards/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne W. Bovaird (CN=Anne W. Bovaird/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Antony J. Blinken (CN=Antony J. Blinken/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aprill N. Springfield (CN=Aprill N. Springfield/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aviva Steinberg (CN=Aviva Steinberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beth Nolan (CN=Beth Nolan/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bradley M. Campbell (CN=Bradley M. Campbell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian A. Barreto (CN=Brian A. Barreto/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian A. Reich (CN=Brian A. Reich/O=OVP@OVP [OVP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian S. Mason (CN=Brian S. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bridget T. Leininger (CN=Bridget T. Leininger/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brooke B. Livingston (CN=Brooke B. Livingston/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brooks E. Scoville (CN=Brooks E. Scoville/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carmen B. Fowler (CN=Carmen B. Fowler/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn T. Wu (CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Catherine T. Kitchen (CN=Catherine T. Kitchen/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles J. Payson (CN=Charles J. Payson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl D. Mills (CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher K. Scully (CN=Christopher K. Scully/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher S. Lehane (CN=Christopher S. Lehane/O=OVP@OVP [OVP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dag Vega (CN=Dag Vega/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dana C. Strand (CN=Dana C. Strand/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel W. Burkhardt (CN=Daniel W. Burkhardt/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dario J. Gomez (CN=Dario J. Gomez/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David E. Kalbaugh (CN=David E. Kalbaugh/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David T. Johnson (CN=David T. Johnson/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Vandivier (CN=David Vandivier/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deanne E. Benos (CN=Deanne E. Benos/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborah Akel (CN=Deborah Akel/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra D. Alexander (CN=Debra D. Alexander/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra D. Bird (CN=Debra D. Bird/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra S. Wood (CN=Debra S. Wood/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Delia A. Cohen (CN=Delia A. Cohen/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Denver R. Peacock (CN=Denver R. Peacock/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorian V. Weaver (CN=Dorian V. Weaver/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorinda A. Salcido (CN=Dorinda A. Salcido/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik (CN=Douglas B. Sosnik/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eli G. Attie (CN=Eli G. Attie/O=OVP@OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen E. Olcott (CN=Ellen E. Olcott/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elliot J. Diringer (CN=Elliot J. Diringer/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emily Karcher (CN=Emily Karcher/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric P. Liu (CN=Eric P. Liu/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Essence P. Washington (CN=Essence P. Washington/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Evan Ryan (CN=Evan Ryan/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Fern Mechlowitz (CN=Fern Mechlowitz/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Francisco J. Sanchez (CN=Francisco J. Sanchez/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: G. Timothy Saunders (CN=G. Timothy Saunders/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: George G. Caudill (CN=George G. Caudill/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gina N. Dennis (CN=Gina N. Dennis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather M. Riley (CN=Heather M. Riley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Hildy Kuryk (CN=Hildy Kuryk/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Irma L. Martinez (CN=Irma L. Martinez/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jackson T. Dunn (CN=Jackson T. Dunn/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janice H. Vranich (CN=Janice H. Vranich/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason E. Hartke (CN=Jason E. Hartke/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason H. Schechter (CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeannetta P. Allen (CN=Jeannetta P. Allen/OU=OA/O=EOP@EOP [OA])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey A. Shesol (CN=Jeffrey A. Shesol/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jenni R. Engebretsen (CN=Jenni R. Engebretsen/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer Ferguson (CN=Jennifer Ferguson/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer H. Smith (CN=Jennifer H. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jim Kohlenberger (CN=Jim Kohlenberger/O=OVP@OVP [OVP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joel Johnson (CN=Joel Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John A. Gribben (CN=John A. Gribben/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John H. Corcoran III (CN=John H. Corcoran III/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Schnur (CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan M. Prince (CN=Jonathan M. Prince/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua S. Gottheimer (CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June Shih (CN=June Shih/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Justin G. Cooper (CN=Justin G. Cooper/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Justin L. Coleman (CN=Justin L. Coleman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen C. Burchard (CN=Karen C. Burchard/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen L. Barbuschak (CN=Karen L. Barbuschak/OU=OA/O=EOP@EOP [OA])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katharine Button (CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathleen K. Ahn (CN=Kathleen K. Ahn/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kris M Balderston (CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kymberly M. Escobar (CN=Kymberly M. Escobar/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz (CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura S. Marcus (CN=Laura S. Marcus/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laurie P. Kelleher (CN=Laurie P. Kelleher/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leslie Bernstein (CN=Leslie Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci (CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lindsay R. Drewel (CN=Lindsay R. Drewel/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lindsey E. Huff (CN=Lindsey E. Huff/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa Ferdinando (CN=Lisa Ferdinando/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisel Loy (CN=Lisel Loy/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Loretta M. Ucelli (CN=Loretta M. Ucelli/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lowell A. Weiss (CN=Lowell A. Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Margaret M. Suntum (CN=Margaret M. Suntum/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria E. Soto (CN=Maria E. Soto/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark A. Kitchens (CN=Mark A. Kitchens/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark C. Sheppard (CN=Mark C. Sheppard/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark H. Bartholomew (CN=Mark H. Bartholomew/OU=OA/O=EOP@EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark J. Bernstein (CN=Mark J. Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marsha E. Berry (CN=Marsha E. Berry/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley (CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marty J. Hoffmann (CN=Marty J. Hoffmann/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary E. Cahill (CN=Mary E. Cahill/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew T. Schneider (CN=Matthew T. Schneider/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maureen A. Hudson (CN=Maureen A. Hudson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney (CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa G. Green (CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa M. Murray (CN=Melissa M. Murray/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael A. Hammer (CN=Michael A. Hammer/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael J. Sullivan (CN=Michael J. Sullivan/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael K. Gehrke (CN=Michael K. Gehrke/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michele Ballantyne (CN=Michele Ballantyne/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore (CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nathan B. Naylor (CN=Nathan B. Naylor/O=OVP@OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole L. Davison (CN=Nicole L. Davison/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrick E. Briggs (CN=Patrick E. Briggs/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrick M. Dorton (CN=Patrick M. Dorton/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul D. Glastris (CN=Paul D. Glastris/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter Rundlet (CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachel A. Redington (CN=Rachel A. Redington/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ralph Alswang (CN=Ralph Alswang/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rebecca J. Salay (CN=Rebecca J. Salay/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Reuben L. Musgrave Jr (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr/OU=OSTP/O=EOP@EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Siewert (CN=Richard L. Siewert/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert B. Johnson (CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert S. Weiner (CN=Robert S. Weiner/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP@EOP [ONDCP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin M. Roland (CN=Robin M. Roland/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen (CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Samir Afridi (CN=Samir Afridi/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah E. Gegenheimer (CN=Sarah E. Gegenheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah S. Knight (CN=Sarah S. Knight/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sean P. O Shea (CN=Sean P. O'Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Serena C. Torrey (CN=Serena C. Torrey/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Setti D. Warren (CN=Setti D. Warren/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sharon Farmer (CN=Sharon Farmer/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sharon H. Yuan (CN=Sharon H. Yuan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sheyda Jahanbani (CN=Sheyda Jahanbani/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephanie A. Cutter (CN=Stephanie A. Cutter/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven J. Naplan (CN=Steven J. Naplan/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Terry Edmonds (CN=Terry Edmonds/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda (CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas M. Rosshirt (CN=Thomas M. Rosshirt/O=OVP@OVP [OVP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toby C. Graff (CN=Toby C. Graff/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd A. Bledsoe (CN=Todd A. Bledsoe/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy F. Sisser (CN=Tracy F. Sisser/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victoria L. Valentine (CN=Victoria L. Valentine/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wayne C. Johnson (CN=Wayne C. Johnson/OU=OA/O=EOP@EOP [OA])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William C. Haymes (CN=William C. Haymes/OU=OA/O=EOP@EOP [OA])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William Hadley (CN=William Hadley/OU=OA/O=EOP@EOP [OA])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Woyneab M. Wondwossen (CN=Woyneab M. Wondwossen/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: CUTLER_L (CUTLER_L@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: DICKEY_L (DICKEY_L@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dmlbank (dmlbank@tnr.com [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erica_Lepping (Erica_Lepping@ed.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: FORDE_R (FORDE_R@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gilbert_S._Gonzalez (Gilbert_S._Gonzalez@who.eop.gov [who])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: GlynnME (GlynnME@state.gove [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: GRAY_W (GRAY_W@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: INFOMGT (INFOMGT@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: john_see (John_See@ed.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jonathan.kaplan (jonathan.kaplan@varsitybooks.com [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jordanindc (Jordanindc@aol.com [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia_G._Bataille (Julia_G._Bataille%OVP@oa.eop.gov [oa])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: kit.judge (kit.judge@mail.house.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: klasky_helaine (klasky_helaine@ustr.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: KTORPEY (KTORPEY@AOL.COM [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lauren_M_Supina (Lauren_M_Supina@who.eop.gov [who])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark_D_Magana (Mark_D_Magana@who.eop.gov [who])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: masonjulie (masonjulie@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MichaelT (MichaelT@ag.state.ar.us [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MOFFETT_J (MOFFETT_J@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: NAPLAN_S (NAPLAN_S@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: newsdesk (newsdesk@usnewswire.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: pcaplan (pcaplan@fbr.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Pub_Arch (Pub_Arch@oa.eop.gov [oa])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: pubs_backup (pubs_backup@oa.eop.gov [oa])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Releases (Releases@pub.pub.whitehouse.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: releases (releases@www3.whitehouse.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: releases (releases@wwwO.whitehouse.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: sean.carr (sean.carr@cnn.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: skgmd (skgmd@umich.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SMITH_BD (SMITH_BD@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sonya_N_Hebert (Sonya_N_Hebert@who.eop.gov [who])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen_N_Boyd (Stephen_N_Boyd@who.eop.gov [who])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SUNTUM_M (SUNTUM_M@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: tingen-terri (tingen-terri@dol.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: usia01 (usia01@access.digex.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: usnwire (usnwire@access.digex.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: wh-outbox-distr (wh-outbox-distr@pub.pub.whitehouse.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WOZNIAK_N (WOZNIAK_N@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release

November 18, 1999

PRESS BRIEFING BY
CHIEF OF STAFF JOHN PODESTA
AND OMB DIRECTOR JACK LEW

The Briefing Room

1:20 P.M. EST

MR. PODESTA: Good afternoon. Somebody told me it wouldn't be prudent, but message: We're happy. As the President said in Istanbul, this budget is a clear victory for the American people. On point after point, we have insisted on their priorities and stood up for their values. We demanded continued fiscal discipline; we are paying down the debt; we are hiring teachers; we are working to turn around failing schools; we're working to keep those schools accountable for results; we're putting police on the streets; we're protecting the environment. We're going to help people with disabilities get into the work force and stay in the work force. And we're strengthening American leadership around the world.

When President Clinton took office, the deficit was increasing the debt by hundreds of billions of dollars every year. When people in Washington talked about fiscal discipline, the conversation was all about deficit reduction. The only question was how to stop the self-induced financial hemorrhaging. The debt was hardly on the screen, but today the deficit is gone and we're actually working to eliminate the debt.

Because the President stood firm against all kinds of fiscal irresponsibility, especially the \$800-billion risky tax scheme that he vetoed in August, we are actually working now to eliminate the debt. We're on course -- if we stay on his course to actually eliminate the debt by 2015, which is something I think to be quite proud of. At the same time, we're investing in our children's future and protecting the earth they'll inherit.

This is the strongest environmental budget of our administration. The budget increases for President Clinton and Vice President Gore's Land Legacy initiative were increased by almost half. This historic effort to strengthen our ability to preserve America's natural treasures and wildspaces, to give communities the power to preserve farms and parks, coastal areas and forests is a priceless gift to Americans in the next millennium. And we overcame enormous special interest pressure to insert anti-environmental provisions into this budget.

The President would not allow Congress to walk away from its commitment to smaller class sizes and quality teachers. Because of this budget we're going to stay on course to hire 100,000 new highly-qualified teachers for our schools. This is very important for our children and a great victory for America.

We also know that more teachers aren't a cure-all for failing schools; we need to set the high standards and demand that they're met.

This budget will con our efforts to make America's neighborhoods safe. The 1994 crime bill put 100,000 new police officers on the street, and they have helped produce the lowest crime rates in a generation. Now this budget will help us hire another 50,000 police officers and give them the equipment they need to drive crime down even further.

And this budget does not walk away from America's critical responsibilities around the world. We'll keep our commitment to the Middle

East peace process, at long last pay our U.N. dues and take important steps to relieve the debts of the world's very poorest nations.

Let me close by saying this was obviously a long and complicated process, and I want to congratulate our entire budget team, but especially the two people who are standing with me -- Jack Lew and Sylvia Mathews -- for such a terrific job. And I want to thank the leaders of both parties in Congress who worked together with us to produce this budget.

I especially want to thank the Democratic members of Congress whose unwavering support for better education, more police, a healthy environment, and strong American leadership around the world, has made all the difference in these budget negotiations.

Let me turn it over to Jack for a few comments, and then we'll take your questions.

MR. LEW: Thank you, John. Not to repeat everything that John said, I'll just say a few words, and then we'll take your questions.

I'd like to start with the fiscal policy because we're going to be talking at length about the specific items that were changed in our negotiations -- we have to take a step back and think about what it means that we've reduced the debt of this country \$140 billion over the last two years. We're on a course towards eliminating our publicly held debt.

This is a budget that's part of a fiscal policy that's very, very

disciplined. It's a part of a fiscal policy that is a total reversal of the fiscal policy we inherited, and it's a course that, if we stay on it, we'll keep our economy growing the way it is today.

What we've done over the past few weeks is really to have a debate over priorities. It has been a debate about what we should be spending our resources on and how we should be paying for it. We went into the negotiations determined to have additional spending in the priority areas that John outlined, but we were also equally determined to pay for the additional spending we incurred. We accomplished both of those results.

We went through the negotiations line by line, item by item. I think we can stand here today saying that we have accomplished the policy priorities that we set out to accomplish -- in the areas of education, public safety, the environment, international affairs, and many, many other areas.

I'd like to say just a couple of words about the process because we're in Washington at what people call the most partisan times, and I'd like to echo first what John said about the support we had from Democratic members. We felt it every minute of every day, and we're very grateful for it. But the part of the story that is not told so much is that inside both parties are deeply honorable people who want to do the best thing and want to get the job done.

We were working with chairmen of both the House and Senate appropriations committees who are honorable, decent men -- it was a

pleasure to work with them. We were dealing with a chairman of the Budget Committee who, as always, it was a pleasure to deal with.

There were hot moments -- you saw most of the hot moments -- but there were also a lot of very constructive moments. And I must say, after six very hard weeks, this is the way we should do our work. We should do our work airing our differences, fighting over policies we care about, and getting the job done for the American people.

Q How do you respond to women's pro-choice groups who say that the White House basically sold them out on the U.N. dues package?

MR. LEW: First of all, I think you have to look at the detail of what the provisions regarding the family planning, Mexico City provisions are, and what it was part of. It was a package of issues. The U.N. debt was connected to these issues, and the debt relief that John described in terms of paying off the debt of the poorest countries of the world was tied to these provisions. So it wasn't just a question of what is the policy on family planning.

I'm not going to say that we're happy to have to make a compromise on family planning. We think the position that was taken that was taken by our opponents on this is wrong. But at the same time, we negotiated an honorable compromise that we think does not undermine our ability to conduct the programs that we have. There's a waiver in this; the President will exercise the waiver. We will continue to run the programs subject to the conditions that are there.

If you look at what we got, it is enormously important. Paying our U.N. bills is something that was -- it was a humiliation to this country that we were both in arrears and making no progress towards getting out of arrears. In the area of third world debt, the very poorest countries in the world are going to have debt relief that will enable their economies to grow, that will enable the poorest men, women and children of those countries to have a brighter future.

So, over all, it is a package that we think has done a lot of good, and I think, as far as the details of the family planning provisions go, we negotiated something out that will allow the program to continue.

Q Can I follow up on that, please? A group of Congresswomen have made some requests of what they see as remedies for the deal involving the international family planning. Any chance that those requests will be fulfilled by the President?

MR. PODESTA: Mr. Rossetti is talking with those members of Congress now. I think there are a number of good ideas that they've put on the table, and we'll follow up and work with them. I think, as Jack said, we were disappointed we even had to be involved in this negotiation. These issues never should have been linked. I think it was extreme members in the other party -- even Jesse Helms thought this was a bad idea. But we were faced with the situation in which we had to enter into these negotiations, and we felt like, at the end of the day, that the balance was struck in a way that was acceptable to the President. I wouldn't say that

any of us are happy about it, but it was acceptable in order to do the important things that Jack described on debt relief and paying our U.N. dues.

In the end of the day, I think we want to move forward with a positive agenda of family planning around the world, and we're anxious to work with members of Congress toward that end.

Q Mr. Podesta, neither one of you mentioned the spending caps or whether you can manage this budget without dipping into Social Security. By how much are you exceeding the spending caps?

MR. LEW: Well, I think that I did address it by saying that we set out in these negotiations to pay for everything we did. And that's something we accomplished. We have raised some questions in the past about some of the underlying issues; there are questions, there are competing views. I think we're going to have to wait and see -- these are estimates -- what the final numbers are.

I think that with regard to the spending caps, the measure of whether or not the spending caps are being met is whether or not the specific technical provisions of the Budget Enforcement Act are being complied with. And we worked hard to make sure that that would be the case so that we won't have a sequester.

With regard to the surplus, everything that we've done over the last two weeks, three weeks of negotiations we've paid for. We paid for our

priorities; we paid for eliminating a portion of the across-the-board that we said was excessive; and we paid for restoring the spending in health programs that we think was irresponsibly delayed into the next year.

So we've gone about it in a fiscally prudent way. I think that it's probably best to approach it that way as we go forward. We will continue to put budgets together as we did at the beginning of this year. We put together a budget this year that was fully paid for and that had some tough choices. We regretted that some of the tough choices we proposed were not politically acceptable to the Congress, and they were rejected. Some of them would have imposed burdens on special interests -- cigarette companies that sell cigarettes to minors; companies that pollute and don't pay Superfund taxes. We had a host of proposals that were rejected. We're going to come back as we put our budget together for next year, and we're going to continue to do it the way we do it. We started the year paying for what we're doing; we ended the year paying for what we're doing.

Q Well, if I may follow up, Tom DeLay just said a couple of days ago that he really wasn't sure that what you're coming out with would not dip into Social Security and that Congress would have to take another look at it after January or February, and there could be a need for rescissions. Are you on the same wavelength that you're going to also take another look at whether, in fact, you're not dipping into Social Security?

MR. LEW: I can't speak to the policy that they're going to take in the future; that's a question you'll have to ask them. As far as we're concerned, I think we've spoken very clearly on what our priorities are and

how we want to go about doing the budget.

Q Does that mean that OMB's accounting of this budget deal will include some of the gimmicks that the Republicans are using to mask any dipping into the surplus?

MR. LEW: Well, I think that -- I can answer this at a technical level or at a political level. At a technical level, OMB scoring is always done by OMB scoring. So to the extent that Congress directed the scoring by OMB, that's what we do anyway. So we're going to score these bills based on our estimates.

Q Some of theirs was CBO's scoring, some of it was OMB scoring.

MR. LEW: To the extent that there were differences, we will continue to use OMB scoring. That's how we score -- we do not have the ability to change our baseline except at the beginning of the year when we put the budget out. So we work with a baseline through the year. So we will continue to score these bills as we have. And we have not had a chance to score the bill that was filed at 3:00 a.m. this morning, so I can't speak authoritatively on that yet.

Q Although it's understandable that you would want to acknowledge those priorities that were met this year, there are several pieces of legislation that won't be arriving on the President's desk. What's the prospect for such legislation in an election year next year -- specifically, Medicare reform, prescription drugs, patients' rights,

minimum wage?

MR. PODESTA: Well, we're -- as we've said on numerous occasions, we're quite disappointed that their special interests seem to have a grip on the patients' bill of rights. We can't raise the minimum wage. We can't pass common-sense gun legislation because of the hammerlock the NRA has apparently on this Congress.

But I think that if you look back at 1996, in an election year, you'll see that there are times in which the parties can come together in the face of an election and think about what the people really want, what the people really need, and under the impending election coming closer and closer in front of them, I think we can get some things done. And I would include Medicare reform in that, and include the notion of not only just reforming Medicare and extending the life of the trust fund, but modernizing the program by adding a prescription drug.

So I think that we're quite hopeful that as we come back together in January that we can begin to address those key questions and key issues for the President, but we're not oblivious to the fact that they've been tied up throughout the course of this year in this Congress and we're going to have to work very hard, appeal to the public, and take our case to the public in order to break through what seems to be a hammerlock the special interests have on at least some of those bills that you mentioned. And, hopefully, we'll be able to do that and we'll be able to come together as we did in this Congress and pull those provisions together.

I would say the one thing that we're extremely disappointed by, that

was not included in this budget negotiation, was a provision that was originally in the Senate-passed version of the Commerce-State-Justice bill which was the hate crimes legislation, which is so necessary. And we talked to the Speaker and leader Lott many times about it; the President talked about it in his last conversation with them when they talked about the .38 percent cut. We couldn't get that done here, but we're going to come back and try to get it done next year.

Q Is there another factor here, too, besides the special interest influences you mentioned? Isn't it a possibility, too, that this Congress, frankly, isn't very much interested in giving President Clinton any of the bigger-ticket items, like Social Security, like Medicare reform, that he could legitimately consider to be part of his own legacy, or his legacy wish list?

MR. PODESTA: Well, it's hard for me to speak for the Congress. I think that we'll put forward a program, as we did in the last State of the Union we'll put forward in the next State of the Union, and we'll try like the dickens to try to get it done. I would say that if you think about the way we ended up this year, we were able to come together and get bipartisan agreement on a very important bill -- the bill to deal with people with disabilities getting into the work force, the so-called Jeffords-Kennedy bill. And I think we're very proud of that and we're very proud that we could work with people in both parties to come to the center and get that bill accomplished this year.

The House showed that they could pass a patients' bill of rights that

was real, that was strong. But we've got some work cut out for us, especially given the fact that that conference has already been stacked against us.

MR. LEW: If I can just add a word -- and Kennedy-Jeffords is a perfect example. Last year when we were in the budget negotiations, we made an effort to get the legislation to bring disabled people back to the work force and help them get health care coverage. We failed last year. We couldn't get it in the negotiations. This year we worked it through; we worked in a bipartisan basis; we kept going right until the end; we paid for it and we got the policy in.

The very last provision to be added, in fact, was \$100 million for a demonstration project here, which is enormously important. It's a program which will enable people who have disabling diseases who are going to get progressively sicker -- people with AIDS, people with multiple sclerosis, people who are not yet fully disabled, but who know they're going to be disabled -- to stay in the work force, have health care coverage and have that health care coverage -- and not have to leave the work force in order to become eligible for Medicaid. That is enormously important policy.

I mean, we sometimes get lost in the numbers and we say we got \$100 million -- this isn't about money. Of course, we have to pay for it and the fiscal policy has to be balanced. But this is about people and their lives. And it was enormously important, and when the President spoke with the Speaker about the final issues, this was one of the issues that he asked to be included and insisted be part of a final agreement, because it

really does make a difference in terms of people's lives.

Q Can I ask you about, following up on some of these other questions, at the beginning of the year there was a lot of skepticism that overhauled Medicare was possible this year. I remember you personally were fairly robust in your predictions that the skepticism is wrong and that it can be accomplished. Can you assess why it didn't happen, what was the roadblock in your --

MR. PODESTA: Well, I think, quite frankly, that one of the major reasons it didn't happen is we ate up so much of the clock on the risky tax schemes the Republicans put forward. So the moment the President put down his Medicare reform plan, which extended the solvency of Medicare passed 2025, added a prescription drug, modernized the program, took the best of what we thought came out of the Breaux Commission, the Medicare Commission report, made a real offer to the center, I think, to try to work with people in both parties -- I think the Congress took a detour off to try to put together this huge tax scheme that I think would have jeopardized all the things we're talking about today -- education, the COPS, the other important priorities -- and clearly, given the newfound concern for the Social Security surplus, clearly would have jeopardized our ability with fiscal prudence to not keep spending the Social Security surplus in future years.

The President rejected that, the American people rejected that. Unfortunately, it took up about a quarter of the whole time that the Congress was in session this year to work our way through that. We finally

sent it back to the Congress and they abandoned it. But I think that really ended up, then, putting us into a situation where we really had to just get the regular appropriations bills done.

I'm still optimistic about it. I think in the course of meetings with Senator Moynihan and Senator Roth and others, the President talked about trying to get going on this again next year, as we negotiated the adjustments to the 1997 Balanced Budget Act to deal with hospitals and teaching hospitals and skilled nursing facilities, and the other things that are included in this legislation. He talked to them about getting going again on a more broad-scale reform and adding a prescription drug benefit, which I think is really critical at this time. And they said they were open to it, that -- Chairman Roth said that he intended to come back to this, hold hearings at the beginning of the year and see if we could put something together.

Senator Breaux I think has just put together a new plan that he's put forward, which, quite frankly, I'm sorry that I haven't been able to work through the details of because we've been involved in this process. But we look forward to working with members of both parties in both Houses, and we think a modernized Medicare system with a prescription drug benefit that is fiscally prudent and extends the solvency of Medicare is a doable result for us in the next year.

We also intend to come back, quite frankly, and work on Social Security. I think that that is an issue in which we put forward now a plan that would capture the savings from paying down the debt from Social

Security -- from reducing the debt from saving the Social Security surplus, and crediting that back to Social Security. Chairman Archer has got some other ideas. I think they just held a hearing on that. Secretary Summers testified. Maybe we can get back to the table and see if we can make some progress on that as well.

Q Can I ask you about the \$3.5 billion being transferred from the Fed to Treasury? In 1996, Greenspan called the kind of practice gimmickry. Now, the White House put that in -- is it your position now that that's now acceptable practice?

MR. LEW: Well, it is a transaction that has real effects in terms of the unified budget. The monies are not credited to the unified budget unless the transfer occurs. In a year when the debate has been about whether or not there is a surplus, and in particular, whether there is a non-Social Security surplus, the size of that non-Social Security surplus matters and is very real. This has a real effect on that. And we think that it does help to pay for a number of the things that we've done.

I think that it's important not to just look where we ended up in the discussion about how to pay for the different changes that were made. I'm disappointed that some of the proposals that we offered that would have, I think, been really very important policy and would have had savings that were very real were rejected.

For example, we had long discussions about a tobacco youth penalty. I don't think that just as a matter of budgetary savings, a matter of policy,

it's just unimaginable to me that we would want to leave in place a system where companies have no disincentive to sell cigarettes to 18, 17, 16, 12 year olds. The policy on its own is a good policy. We pushed it, we argued for it, we had support, actually, among members both Democratic and Republican members. It was interesting that it was not just a partisan debate.

Ultimately, the idea was killed. It was killed because there are people in positions -- I think in leadership positions -- who objected to it. We haven't given up on that. We're going to continue to fight for policies that we think are good policies that also give us room in our fiscal policy to have additional resources available for priorities.

There are a number of other provisions where special interests would have been burdened. I mentioned earlier polluters paying under the Superfund program. These are very, very worthy proposals which we'll be back with. I'm glad in the end we were able to agree on a package that scores, that's real, so I can stand here today and say we paid for all the things that we changed.

Q Mr. Lew, could you tell me if you think that the dairy issue will hold up this process.

MR. LEW: I can tell you that it's going to delay the process; it already has. We made very clear in many occasions that whatever your views on dairy policy, it just didn't belong in this omnibus appropriation bill. It is an issue that members and senators are divided on because of their

own view of the policy and their regional interests. It is not an issue that belonged in this appropriation bill.

We warned them that it was going to delay things. We urged them not to bring it in. I hope it doesn't delay things inordinately. Yesterday we were very concerned that it was going to lead to a possible government shutdown. We're not out of the woods yet. When I came in here, we hadn't yet gotten a CR that gets us beyond midnight tonight.

I hope that that doesn't happen. I think it would be most unfortunate. But the way to avoid these kinds of problems is to keep these must-pass bills clear of the kinds of controversy that attract delay. We urged them not to. I think that they're working through on their own schedule. I know I listened to the speeches this morning and the conversations I had -- there are members on both sides who want to finish, but they have some hurdles to get over.

Q At the beginning of this year, you and CBO estimated that on the non-Social Security side you'd have a trillion-dollar surplus over the next 10 years. Now that you're over this budget with all the things pertaining to it, are you still as confident that you're on track for a trillion-dollar surplus?

MR. LEW: I'm confident that we're on track for the surplus that we've predicted, and I think the way the economy has performed and the way we have managed to enforce fiscal discipline, we may be further ahead than we were. We haven't completed our analysis of the numbers yet. This may come

as a surprise, but we're a little behind schedule in working on next year's budget. That process begins this afternoon in earnest. The preliminary numbers, obviously, are very encouraging. And I think, yes, we are on track.

Q Jack, you and Obey and Specter and Shalala and Bliley met on organ transplant delays and had an ironclad agreement. Bliley has broken that. What's your response?

MR. LEW: Well, I'm not sure who has broken it. I'll leave that for others to -- I'm not sure that it's in the House that it was broken. But we had an agreement; it was an honorable agreement. It was actually the only issue in these budget negotiations where we brought in a Cabinet representatives, other than the core budget negotiating team, to make the case. It was a technical area. The members were divided not on party lines, but with differing views, and there was an intense, long debate and negotiation. And it was worked out and it was worked out in an honorable way that both sides were comfortable with.

I personally think it is outrageous that there is any change in the policy. I'm of the view that a deal is a deal. And we had a deal. We are determined to continue to pursue the organ policy. We think that lives are on the line. It is important policy that has real consequences for real people. And we're going to do everything we can do to stick to the schedule that we've outlined.

THE PRESS: Thank you.

END

1:50 P.M. EST