

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lucia A. Wyman (CN=Lucia A. Wyman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:18-JUN-1997 12:54:56.00

SUBJECT: Re: Cloning hill meetings

TO: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

that's fine. i spoke w/ Hilley and he understands the problems w/
legislation.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura K. Capps (CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-JUL-1997 14:39:56.00

SUBJECT: what POTUS said at Morgan State on Genetic Screening. . . .FYI

TO: Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eli G. Attie (CN=Eli G. Attie/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We must decide together how to apply these principles to the dazzling new discoveries of science. Here are four guideposts. First, science and its benefits must be directed toward making life better for all Americans -- never just a privileged few. Their opportunities and benefits should be available to all. Science must not create a new line of separation between the haves and the have-nots, those with and those without the tools and understanding to learn and use technology.

In the 21st century a child in a school that does not have a link to the Internet or the student who does not have access to a computer will be like the 19th century child without school books. That is why we are ensuring that every child in every school, not matter how rich or poor, will have access to the same technology by connecting every classroom and library to the Internet by the year 2000. (Applause.)

Science must always respect the dignity of every American. Here at one of America's great black universities let me underscore something I said just a few days ago at the White House. We must never allow our citizens to be unwitting guinea pigs in scientific experiments that put them at risk without their consent and full knowledge. (Applause.)

Whether it is withholding a syphilis treatment from the black men of Tuskegee or the Cold War experiments that subjected some of our citizens to dangerous doses of radiation, we must never go back to those awful days in modern disguise. We have now apologized for the mistakes of the past; we must not repeat them -- never again. (Applause.)

Second, none of our discoveries should be used to label or discriminate against any group or individual. Increasing knowledge about the great diversity within the human species must not change the basic belief upon which our ethics, our government, our society are founded. All of us are created equal, entitled to equal treatment under the law. (Applause.)

With stunning speed, scientists are now moving to unlock the secrets of our genetic code. Genetic testing has the potential to identify hidden inherited tendencies toward disease and spur early treatment. But that information could also be used, for example, by insurance companies and others to discriminate against and stigmatize people.

We know that in the 1970s, some African Americans were denied health care coverage by insurers and jobs by employers because they were identified as sickle cell anemia carriers. We also know that one of the main reasons women refuse genetic testing for susceptibility to breast cancer is their fear that the insurance companies may either deny them coverage or raise their rates to unaffordable levels. No insurer should be able to use genetic data to underwrite or discriminate against any American seeking health insurance. This should not simply be a matter of principle, but a matter of law, period. (Applause.)

To that end, I urge the Congress to pass bipartisan legislation to prohibit insurance companies from using genetic screening information to determine the premium rates or eligibility of Americans for health insurance.

Third, technology should not be used to break down the wall of privacy and autonomy free citizens are guaranteed in a free society. The right to privacy is one of our most cherished freedoms. As society has grown more complex and people have become more interconnected in every way, we have had to work even harder to respect the privacy, the dignity, the autonomy of each individual.

Today, when marketers can follow every aspect of our lives, from the first phone call we make in the morning to the time our security system says we have left the house, to the video camera at the toll booth and the charge slip we have for lunch, we cannot afford to forget this most basic lesson.

As the Internet reaches to touch every business and every household and we face the frightening prospect that private information -- even medical records -- could be made instantly available to the world, we must develop new protections for privacy in the face of new technological reality. (Applause.)

Fourth, we must always remember that science is not God. (Applause.) Our deepest truths remain outside the realm of science. We must temper our euphoria over the recent breakthrough in animal cloning with sobering attention to our most cherished concepts of humanity and faith.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sarah S. Knight (CN=Sarah S. Knight/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 15:43:26.00

SUBJECT: Cloning Report

TO: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The Bioethics Advisory Commission sent an official copy of their report to the President. Do we need to send a letter to them? Let me know what you think. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 16:04:28.00

SUBJECT: Re: Cloning Report

TO: Sarah S. Knight (CN=Sarah S. Knight/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Could I see it, please?

JD

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sarah S. Knight (CN=Sarah S. Knight/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 16:01:17.00

SUBJECT: Re: Cloning Report

TO: Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I just got the official copy of the Cloning report the Bioethics Advisory Committee sent to the President and I asked Rachel Levinson how we should respond. Her recommendation follows. What should I tell her?

----- Forwarded by Sarah S. Knight/WHO/EOP on 07/09/97
03:56 PM -----

Rachel E. Levinson 07/09/97 03:54:22 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Sarah S. Knight/WHO/EOP
cc:
Subject: Re: Cloning Report

This is a trick question, right? If I say yes, I get to write it. How about this: We have pictures from the June 7 event. Can we get them signed and sent to each member with a very nice thank you for the incredible job they did? I know they would really appreciate it and they deserve it.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 15:53:18.00

SUBJECT: Re: Cloning Report

TO: Sarah S. Knight (CN=Sarah S. Knight/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This is a trick question, right? If I say yes, I get to write it. How about this: We have pictures from the June 7 event. Can we get them signed and sent to each member with a very nice thank you for the incredible job they did? I know they would really appreciate it and they deserve it.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sarah S. Knight (CN=Sarah S. Knight/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 16:23:52.00

SUBJECT: Re: Cloning Report

TO: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Actually this really IS a trick question. After I sent that e-mail I looked at the report more closely and realized that the report is actually from the AMERICAN Bioethics Advisory Commission, a "project of American Life League." I apologize for the confusion (I assume this has no affiliation with the President's Bioethics Advisory Commission.) Unless you think otherwise, this can just get a version of the new cloning form letter (which I am still putting together and will send to you within the next week.)

Re the pictures/thank yous -- check with Jim Dorskind (the director) about how to handle this. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lucia A. Wyman (CN=Lucia A. Wyman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:31-JUL-1997 18:25:19.00

SUBJECT: Re: Ehlers Cloning bill

TO: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

thanks for the info.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Benjamin.Barnhart@mailgw.er.doe.gov (Benjamin.Barnhart@mailgw.er.doe.gov [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-AUG-1997 17:19:33.00

SUBJECT: Re: US Proposal on Functional Genomics -- Comments requested

TO: MSTeinBOCK@NOVELL1.pw.usda.gov (MSTeinBOCK@NOVELL1.pw.usda.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: cenright@state.gov (cenright@state.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: marron@onr.navy.mil (marron@onr.navy.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mhenkart@nsf.gov (mhenkart@nsf.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jledward@nsf.gov (jledward@nsf.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: DWARD@NOVELL1.pw.usda.gov (DWARD@NOVELL1.pw.usda.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: pm23v@nih.gov (pm23v@nih.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BH24Q@nih.gov (BH24Q@nih.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: fsulzman@hq.nasa.gov (fsulzman@hq.nasa.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: crexroad@ggpl.arsusda.gov (crexroad@ggpl.arsusda.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: menzer.robert@epamail.epa.gov (menzer.robert@epamail.epa.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: czj@cu.nih.gov (czj@cu.nih.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: cwoteki@usda.gov (cwoteki@usda.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ekaleikau@reeusda.gov (ekaleikau@reeusda.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: palmisa@onrhq.onr.navy.mil (palmisa@onrhq.onr.navy.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: tbrady@nsf.gov (tbrady@nsf.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mclutter@nsf.gov (mclutter@nsf.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jbounds@nsf.gov (jbounds@nsf.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda.Kupfer@noaa.gov (Linda.Kupfer@noaa.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JM79Q@nih.gov (JM79Q@nih.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: benson@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov (benson@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mhuerta@helix.nih.gov (mhuerta@helix.nih.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jhm@VM.CFSAN.FDA.GOV (jhm@VM.CFSAN.FDA.GOV [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mcaswell@econ.ag.gov (mcaswell@econ.ag.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: sshantharam@aphis.usda.gov (sshantharam@aphis.usda.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: jpayne@aphis.usda.gov (jpayne@aphis.usda.gov [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Martha, I concur with the nature of this response. It acknowledges the need for the workshop and suggests some possible topics that could be addressed in a two day workshop. It also offers supporting text for the suggestions. Even the suggested topics are likely too much for any single two day meeting, but the EC, together with us, will have something to work with in bringing focus to a workshop agenda. Functional genomics, of course, is biology and in today's jargon means finding out how the newly discovered genes from DNA sequencing, reaction pathways, and feedback cycles operate and are controlled within living systems. There already are and will be many more meetings on this subject. So, I think we need to somehow decide how to make any proposed workshop we might sponsor be truly unique in its potential impacts on scientists and/or policy makers on both sides of the big pond.

Reply Separator

Subject: US Proposal on Functional Genomics -- Comments requested by
Author: MSTEINBOCK@NOVELL1.pw.usda.gov_at_internet at X400PO
Date: 7/22/97 11:43 AM

<WP Attachment enclosed>

The following proposal was transmitted to me by Maryanna Henkart, NSF. Please let me know if you have any comments on this or my earlier transmission on animal genomes on or before Thursday, July 24. After incorporating any US comments, we plan to transmit the following informally to the EC so that they can give the proposal some thought prior to the Oct2-3 meeting.

US proposals for workshop on functional genomics

Functional Genomics

Advances in genome sequencing technology are resulting in an explosion of genome sequence information which is already changing the kinds of scientific questions that can be asked. A number of microbial genomes including the yeast genome have already been completed and published.

It is estimated that within the next 5 years as many as 50 complete genomes will be sequenced. In principle, the genome of an organism contains all the information necessary to understand its development and function. But having the DNA sequence of an organism in a database is only the beginning. How is DNA sequence related to function? This is the new world of "functional genomics".

Since this, clearly, is too broad a subject for a two-day workshop, we propose one of the following more focused topics to be considered for the October 1998 workshop.

* Comparative Genomics: By October of 1998, the contributions of models we now have and the selection of further models will have progressed far beyond where we are today. What are we learning from comparing genomes of various model organisms? What are further key organisms that would be a good investment for sequencing particularly models for biotechnology applications beyond health? Examples might include plant genomes (by October of 1998 various groups working on grasses will know much better than they do now how far the idea of "synteny" in grass chromosomes can be taken), fish, crustacea, mollusks, etc. The information coming from these studies may revolutionize how genomics of related groups is done.

* Functional Microbial Genomics: By October of 1998 we should have the complete sequences of 20-25 microbial genomes. What will we have learned from these genomes? For example, a number of groups are working on cloning and characterizing the genes and pathways for a wide variety of compounds (with other than medical interest) from unknown microorganisms from soil, thermal vents, etc. This work holds great promise and could be compared with what we are getting from

sequencing of more conventional model microorganisms.* How genes work:

-What individual genes do. Preparation and use of "knockout" libraries for all known genes in model prokaryotes, yeast, Arabidopsis, and other organisms has been proposed and, in some cases these are under development. There are major laboratories in both the US and European Union attempting to do this in yeast and Arabidopsis.

-How genes work together in context. This is truly the "grand challenge" of functional genomics. There now are data for several organisms indicating which genes are expressed specifically in which tissues, and at which developmental stages. What these genes do and how are they controlled are leading edge questions. Suggested examples for inclusion in the workshop are, development (Studies in Drosophila are progressing rapidly.), or the molecular mechanisms of an organism's response to the environment (Research on the model plant, Arabidopsis, is contributing to understanding of regulatory networks of gene products in light responses, defense responses and responses to hormones.)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: MSTEINBOCK@NOVELL1.pw.usda.gov (MSTEINBOCK@NOVELL1.pw.usda.gov [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-AUG-1997 17:21:34.00

SUBJECT: US Proposal on Functional Genomics -- Comments requested by July 24

TO: cenright@state.gov (cenright@state.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: palmisa@onrhq.onr.navy.mil (palmisa@onrhq.onr.navy.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: benjamin.barnhart@oer.doe.gov (benjamin.barnhart@oer.doe.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mhenkart@nsf.gov (mhenkart@nsf.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jledward@nsf.gov (jledward@nsf.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: DWARD@NOVELL1.pw.usda.gov (DWARD@NOVELL1.pw.usda.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: pm23v@nih.gov (pm23v@nih.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BH24Q@nih.gov (BH24Q@nih.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: fsulzman@hq.nasa.gov (fsulzman@hq.nasa.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: crexroad@ggpl.arsusda.gov (crexroad@ggpl.arsusda.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: menzer.robert@epamail.epa.gov (menzer.robert@epamail.epa.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: czj@cu.nih.gov (czj@cu.nih.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: cwoteki@usda.gov (cwoteki@usda.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ekaleikau@reeusda.gov (ekaleikau@reeusda.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: marron@onr.navy.mil (marron@onr.navy.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: tbrady@nsf.gov (tbrady@nsf.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mclutter@nsf.gov (mclutter@nsf.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jbounds@nsf.gov (jbounds@nsf.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda.Kupfer@noaa.gov (Linda.Kupfer@noaa.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JM79Q@nih.gov (JM79Q@nih.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: benson@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov (benson@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mhuerta@helix.nih.gov (mhuerta@helix.nih.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jhm@fdacf.ssw.dhhs.gov (jhm@fdacf.ssw.dhhs.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mcaswell@econ.ag.gov (mcaswell@econ.ag.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: sshantharam@aphis.usda.gov (sshantharam@aphis.usda.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: jpayne@aphis.usda.gov (jpayne@aphis.usda.gov [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
<WP Attachment enclosed>

The following proposal was transmitted to me by Maryanna Henkart, NSF. Please let me know if you have any comments on this or my earlier transmission on animal genomes on or before Thursday, July 24. After incorporating any US comments, we plan to transmit the following informally to the EC so that they can give the proposal some thought prior to the Oct2-3 meeting.

US proposals for workshop on functional genomics

Functional Genomics

Advances in genome sequencing technology are resulting in an explosion of genome sequence information which is already changing the kinds of scientific questions that can be asked. A number of microbial genomes

including the yeast genome have already been completed and published.

It is estimated that within the next 5 years as many as 50 complete genomes will be sequenced. In principle, the genome of an organism contains all the information necessary to understand its development and function. But having the DNA sequence of an organism in a database is only the beginning. How is DNA sequence related to function? This is the new world of "functional genomics".

Since this, clearly, is too broad a subject for a two-day workshop, we propose one of the following more focused topics to be considered for the October 1998 workshop.

* Comparative Genomics: By October of 1998, the contributions of models we now have and the selection of further models will have progressed far beyond where we are today. What are we learning from comparing genomes of various model organisms? What are further key organisms that would be a good investment for sequencing particularly models for biotechnology applications beyond health? Examples might include plant genomes (by October of 1998 various groups working on grasses will know much better than they do now how far the idea of "synteny" in grass chromosomes can be taken), fish, crustacea, mollusks, etc. The information coming from these studies may revolutionize how genomics of related groups is done.

* Functional Microbial Genomics: By October of 1998 we should have the complete sequences of 20-25 microbial genomes. What will we have learned from these genomes? For example, a number of groups are working on cloning and characterizing the genes and pathways for a wide variety of compounds (with other than medical interest) from unknown microorganisms from soil, thermal vents, etc. This work holds great promise and could be compared with what we are getting from sequencing of more conventional model microorganisms.* How genes work:

-What individual genes do. Preparation and use of "knockout" libraries for all known genes in model prokaryotes, yeast, Arabidopsis, and other organisms has been proposed and, in some cases these are under development. There are major laboratories in both the US and European Union attempting to do this in yeast and Arabidopsis.

-How genes work together in context. This is truly the "grand challenge" of functional genomics. There now are data for several organisms indicating which genes are expressed specifically in which tissues, and at which developmental stages. What these genes do and how are they controlled are leading edge questions. Suggested examples for inclusion in the workshop are, development (Studies in Drosophila are progressing rapidly.), or the molecular mechanisms of an organism's response to the environment (Research on the model plant, Arabidopsis, is contributing to understanding of regulatory networks of gene products in light responses, defense responses and responses to hormones.)

- FUNCTION.GEN===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D2]MAIL47431751B.216 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

The following proposal was transmitted to me by Maryanna Henkart, NSF. Please let me know if you have any comments on this or my earlier transmission on animal genomes on or before Thursday, July 24. After incorporating any US comments, we plan to transmit the following informally to the EC so that they can give the proposal some thought prior to the Oct2-3 meeting.

US proposals for workshop on functional genomics

Functional Genomics

Advances in genome sequencing technology are resulting in an explosion of genome sequence information which is already changing the kinds of scientific questions that can be asked. A number of microbial genomes including the yeast genome have already been completed and published. It is estimated that within the next 5 years as many as 50 complete genomes will be sequenced. In principle, the genome of an organism contains all the information necessary to understand its development and function. But having the DNA sequence of an organism in a database is only the beginning. How is DNA sequence related to function? This is the new world of "functional genomics".

Since this, clearly, is too broad a subject for a two-day workshop, we propose one of the following more focused topics to be considered for the October 1998 workshop.

- Comparative Genomics: By October of 1998, the contributions of models we now have and the selection of further models will have progressed far beyond where we are today. What are we learning from comparing genomes of various model organisms? What are further key organisms that would be a good investment for sequencing particularly models for biotechnology applications beyond health? Examples might include plant genomes (by October of 1998 various groups working on grasses will know much better than they do now how far the idea of "synteny" in grass chromosomes can be taken), fish, crustacea, mollusks, etc. The information coming from these studies may revolutionize how genomics of related groups is done.
- Functional Microbial Genomics: By October of 1998 we should have the complete sequences of 20-25 microbial genomes. What will we have learned from these genomes? For example, a number of groups are working on cloning and characterizing the genes and pathways for a wide variety of compounds (with other than medical interest) from unknown microorganisms from soil, thermal vents, etc. This work holds great promise and could be compared with what we are getting from sequencing of more conventional model microorganisms.

· How genes work:

-What individual genes do. Preparation and use of "knockout" libraries for all known genes in model prokaryotes, yeast, Arabidopsis, and other organisms has been proposed and, in some cases these are under development. There are major laboratories in both the US and European Union attempting to do this in yeast and Arabidopsis.

-How genes work together in context. This is truly the "grand challenge" of functional genomics. There now are data for several organisms indicating which genes are expressed specifically in which tissues, and at which developmental stages. What these genes do and how are they controlled are leading edge questions. Suggested examples for inclusion in the workshop are, development (Studies in Drosophila are progressing rapidly.), or the molecular mechanisms of an organism's response to the environment (Research on the model plant, Arabidopsis, is contributing to understanding of regulatory networks of gene products in light responses, defense responses and responses to hormones.)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 2-DEC-1997 09:46:42.00

SUBJECT: cloning issue

TO: Michael D. McCurry (CN=Michael D. McCurry/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Clifford J. Gabriel (CN=Clifford J. Gabriel/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Holly L. Gwin (CN=Holly L. Gwin/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: John H. Gibbons (CN=John H. Gibbons/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Josh -- in response to your request for bullets on the 12/2 NY Times front
page story on
cloning:

This article presents no new breakthroughs in cloning research. It provides one reporter's view on how some scientists, thinking may be changing on the suitability of using cloning techniques to reproduce human beings.

As spelled out in legislation submitted by the President in June, the Administration is committed to banning the use of certain cloning technologies for the production of human beings until all safety and ethical concerns have been adequately addressed. Our proposed legislation includes all the important elements the President would want in any legislative initiative regarding the cloning of human beings. It would extend to the private sector the current ban observed by the public sector on the cloning of human being. In the meantime, the President has asked the private sector to voluntarily comply with the ban.

The use of federal funds to support cloning research on monkeys is justified. Our proposed legislation is careful not to restrict this type of research, which could have enormous benefits to improving human health such as the development of AIDS treatments and vaccines.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 4-DEC-1997 21:52:26.00

SUBJECT: Re: Genetic Screening.

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Chris said there was a much better event opportunity in January, rather than this month.

Nothing new on cloning -- our legislation is stalled on the hill.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sarah S. Knight (CN=Sarah S. Knight/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-DEC-1997 15:39:57.00

SUBJECT: Pensions and Cloning

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Who in domestic policy can clear language on pensions and who can clear language on cloning? Thanks!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas Reilly (CN=Thomas Reilly/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])

CREATION DATE/TIME:29-DEC-1997 11:54:47.00

SUBJECT: Re: Needles/Embryos/Abortion and Other Selected L/HHS General Provisions

TO: Thomas Reilly (CN=Thomas Reilly/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: jill m. pizzuto (CN=jill m. pizzuto/OU=omb/O=eop@eop [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: corey g. lee (CN=corey g. lee/OU=omb/O=eop@eop [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: richard j. turman (CN=richard j. turman/OU=omb/O=eop@eop [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: janet himler (CN=janet himler/OU=omb/O=eop@eop [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: charles e. kieffer (CN=charles e. kieffer/OU=omb/O=eop@eop [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: janet l. crist (CN=janet l. crist/OU=ondcp/O=eop@eop [ONDCP])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: maria echaveste (CN=maria echaveste/OU=who/O=eop@eop [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: elena kagan (CN=elena kagan/OU=opd/O=eop@eop [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: richard p. emery jr. (CN=richard p. emery jr./OU=omb/O=eop@eop [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: ann kendrall (CN=ann kendrall/OU=omb/O=eop@eop [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: mark e. miller (CN=mark e. miller/OU=omb/O=eop@eop [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: barry t. clendenin (CN=barry t. clendenin/OU=omb/O=eop@eop [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: jacob j. lew (CN=jacob j. lew/OU=omb/O=eop@eop [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: joshua gotbaum (CN=joshua gotbaum/OU=omb/O=eop@eop [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: sandra thurman (CN=sandra thurman/OU=opd/O=eop@eop [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: christopher c. jennings (CN=christopher c. jennings/OU=opd/O=eop@eop [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: bruce n. reed (CN=bruce n. reed/OU=opd/O=eop@eop [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I'm told that some of you may not have access to WordPerfect 7, so here is the same file as below saved as WP 6.1.

Thomas Reilly
12/29/97 11:38:17 AM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

Subject: Needles/Embryos/Abortion and Other Selected L/HHS General Provisions

Josh Gotbaum does not have access to his e-mail system this morning and has asked me to forward this note to all of you for him.

Attached is a table of selected Labor/HHS General Provisions related to health that will require policy decisions in order to print the FY 1999 Budget Appendix. Traditionally, the Budget shows the prior year's (i.e., FY 1998 enacted) appropriations language, and brackets language proposed for deletion, and italicizes any new or revised language.

Due to the FY 1999 Budget print schedule, we are requesting your views/comments on these General Provisions by January 5. Please let us know by then whether or not you agree with the recommendations in the attached file, or if you think we need to meet on any of these issues.

The file to the right shows:

1. The FY 1997 enacted language.
2. Our proposed language in the FY 1998 Budget.
3. The FY 1998 enacted language.
4. OMB staff and HHS (where available) recommendations for the FY 1999 Budget.

The provisions we've included are:

1. Needle Exchange - Probably the stickiest issue this year. It will require extensive consultation within the Administration. Detailed background on this issue is included below.
2. Human Embryos/cloning - The recommendation would repeat the FY 1998 Budget policy of deleting the language with a footnote saying we don't recommend addressing this issue in legislation. However, the world of cloning has changed in the past year, and we may need to rethink our position. Detailed background on this issue is also included below.
3. Abortion/Hyde Amendment - We would repeat the FY 1998 Budget policy of deleting the language with a footnote saying we'll work with Congress to address the issue. Note that while the FY 1998 enacted language was more expansive than in past years, we would still recommend returning to the FY 1998 Budget policy.
4. Family Planning - We would repeat the FY 1998 enacted language.
5. Limitation of the use of funds for promotion of controlled substances - We would repeat the FY 1998 enacted language, which is the same as was proposed in the FY 1998 Budget.

CLICK ON THE SECTIONS BELOW FOR BACKGROUND ON NEEDLES AND CLONING

NEEDLE EXCHANGE

Statutory Restrictions on the Use of Federal Funds for NEPs:

Since 1988, US Appropriations or Authorization law has placed a conditional prohibition on the use of Federal funds for the operation of needle exchange programs.

Currently, there are three statutory restrictions on the use of Federal funds for the operation of needle exchange programs:

The Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration (ADAMHA) Reorganization Act of 1992, prohibits the use of Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Block grant funds for needle exchange programs unless the Surgeon General determines that they are effective in reducing the spread of HIV and the use of illegal drugs. The statute does, however, allow Federal research and evaluation of existing needle exchange programs.

Section 422 of the 1996 Ryan White CARE Act reauthorization places a flat prohibition on the use of Ryan White funds for needle exchange.

Sections 505 & 506 of the FY 1998 L/HHS / Ed Appropriations bill read:

505: Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, no funds

appropriated under this Act shall be used to carry out any program of distributing sterile needles or syringes for the hypodermic injection of any illegal drug.

506: Section 505 is subject to the condition that after March 31, 1998, a program for exchanging such needles and syringes (referred to in this section as an "exchange project") may be carried out in a community if (1) the Secretary of Health and Human Services determines that exchange projects are effective in preventing the spread of HIV and do not encourage the use of illegal drugs; and (2) the project is operated in accordance with criteria established by such Secretary for preventing the spread of HIV and for ensuring that the project does not encourage the use of illegal drugs.

This limitation has been in Labor/ H appropriations language in some form since 1990. In the FY 1998 Appropriations bill, the Appropriators split the provision into two provisions and added the six-month moratorium on certification and the language requiring that the exchange programs must be operated in accordance with criteria established by the Secretary.

In the past, the Administration has worked to avoid an outright ban on the use of Federal funds for NEPs (like the current Section 505) and maintain the authority of the Secretary to certify that Federal funds can be used for such programs.

RECOMMENDATION:

There have been several studies done on the efficacy of NEPs in recent years, and there is current data available to meet the first requirement in this language (e.g. that NEPs are successful in preventing the spread of HIV), but HHS maintains that the data on the second provision (that NEPs do not encourage the use of illegal drugs) is still inconclusive. HHS is expecting the results of additional studies on NEPs in the coming year and wants to maintain the Secretary's authority to continue to evaluate the evolving scientific data on this issue and to certify that Federal funds can be used for NEPs.

To maintain maximum flexibility for the Secretary, we recommend bracketing (deleting) Section 506 and modifying Section 505 by re-proposing the language that was proposed in the FY 1998 Budget on this issue:

505: Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, no funds appropriated under this Act shall be used to carry out any program of distributing sterile needles or syringes for the hypodermic injection of any illegal drug unless the Surgeon General determines that such programs are effective in preventing the spread of HIV and do not encourage the use of illegal drugs.

[Note: The words "or syringes" were added in FY 1998 enacted language -- they were not proposed in the 98 Budget. Our recommendation would repeat "or syringes" in the FY 1999 Budget.]

ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION:

In addition to bracketing section 506, we could add a footnote similar to that placed on the Hyde language deletions: The Administration proposes to delete this provision and will work with Congress to address this issue.

Also, rather than repeat the language in the FY 1998 Budget that gave the authority to certify NEPs to the Surgeon General to the Secretary of Health and Human Services, we could maintain the language that was made by Congress in the FY 1997 Labor/HHS/Ed Appropriations bill that gave such authority to the Secretary of Health and Human Services. This may be something the Administration wants to consider given the upcoming confirmation hearings for Surgeon General nominee David Satcher.

Background on Human Embryos/Cloning

Both the House and Senate L/HHS bills for FY 1998 extended the FY 1996 and FY 1997 appropriations Act ban on using Federal funds on human embryo research, and modified it to include research involving "human diploid cells." NIH staff advise that in practice, this extension does not differ from the original ban on human embryo research and would have no effect on NIH's present research efforts. The words "human diploid cells" were apparently added in an attempt to address cloning.

A diploid cell is produced after fertilization occurs in humans -- it is one stage of a developing embryo. Diploid cells could theoretically be produced via somatic cell nuclear transfer, which is more commonly referred to as "cloning." The FY 1996 and FY 1997 L/HHS Acts barred Federal funding for the creation of human embryos for research purposes or performing research on human embryos that subjects them to significant risk. The prohibition on creating embryos for research purposes would, de facto, prohibit creating a human embryo through cloning technology. This is why including diploid cells in the embryo research ban does not differ practically from banning the creation of human embryos.

The FY 1998 Budget proposed to delete the embryo research ban, stating that the Administration "does not support addressing this issue in legislation." In December 1994, the President had issued a statement barring the use of Federal funds for creating human embryos for research purposes. On June 9, 1997, the President announced that he was sending proposed legislation to the Congress, the "Cloning Prohibition Act of 1997," which would prohibit any attempt to create a human being using somatic cell nuclear transfer. The Administration did not oppose the language in the FY 1998 bill in its letters or SAP's.

Observations: Last year's budget's proposal to delete this provision came before the cloning debate of last spring (e.g., Dolly).

Given the President's proposed legislation on prohibiting cloning, and the fact that SAP's did not oppose the language during the FY 1998 appropriations process, the Administration may not want to bracket the language again, even with the footnote that says the Administration does not support addressing this issue in legislation.

Message Sent

To:

Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP@EOP
Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP@EOP
Christopher C. Jennings/OPD/EOP@EOP
Maria Echaveste/WHO/EOP@EOP
Sandra Thurman/OPD/EOP@EOP
Janet L. Crist/ONDCP/EOP@EOP

Message Copied

To:

Joshua Gotbaum/OMB/EOP@EOP
Charles E. Kieffer/OMB/EOP@EOP
Jacob J. Lew/OMB/EOP@EOP
Janet Himler/OMB/EOP@EOP
Barry T. Clendenin/OMB/EOP@EOP
Richard J. Turman/OMB/EOP@EOP
Mark E. Miller/OMB/EOP@EOP
Corey G. Lee/OMB/EOP@EOP
Ann Kendall/OMB/EOP@EOP
Jill M. Pizzuto/OMB/EOP@EOP
Richard P. Emery Jr./OMB/EOP@EOP

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D38]MAIL48513426J.316 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

Clinton Presidential Records Automated Records Management System [EMAIL]

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Hex Dump file is not in a recognizable format, has been incorrectly decoded or is damaged.

File Name: p_i6243158_who_html_1.msoff

Attachment Number: [ATTACH.D38]MAIL49513426K.316

**L/HHS/Ed. General Provisions for FY 1999 Budget
"Side-by-Side" Comparison for Selected Provisions
Titles II and V of L/HHS Bill**

FY 1998 Enacted Section No./ Provision	FY 97 Enacted	FY 98 President's Budget	FY 98 Enacted	Recommended FY 99 Language
Sec. 505. Needle Exchange	SEC. 505. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, no funds appropriated under this Act shall be used to carry out any program of distributing sterile needles for the hypodermic injection of any illegal drug unless the Secretary of Health and Human Services determines that such programs are effective in preventing the spread of HIV and do not encourage the use of illegal drugs	SEC. 505. Proposed transfer of authority from the "Secretary of Health and Human Services" to the "Surgeon General"	Sec. 505. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, no funds appropriated under this Act shall be used to carry out any program of distributing sterile needles or syringes for the hypodermic injection of any illegal drug.	OMB Staff Repeat FY 98 Budget language. HHS: No position yet. Alternatives: (1) Give authority to Secretary as opposed to Surgeon General; (2) use footnote approach, i.e., delete provision and say the Administration will work with Congress to resolve
Sec. 506. Condition on Needle Exchange			Sec. 506. Section 505 is subject to the condition that after March 31, 1998, a program for exchanging such needles and syringes for used hypodermic needles and syringes (referred to in this section as an "exchange project") may be carried out in a community if - (1) the Secretary of Health and Human Services determines that exchange projects are effective in preventing the spread of HIV and do not encourage the use of illegal drugs; and (2) the project is operated in accordance with criteria established by such Secretary for preventing the spread of HIV and for ensuring that the project does not encourage the use of illegal drugs.	OMB Staff: Delete Alternative: Footnote saying we will work with Congress. HHS: No position yet
Sec. 513. Use of funds for embryo research--limitations	SEC. 512. (a) None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for— (1) the creation of a human embryo or embryos for research purposes; or (2) research in which a human embryo or embryos are destroyed, discarded, or knowingly subjected to risk of injury or death greater than that allowed for research on fetuses in utero under 45 CFR 46.208(a)(2) and section 498(b) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 289g(b)). (b) For purposes of this section, the term "human embryo or embryos" include any organism, not protected as a human subject under 45 CFR 46 as of the date of the enactment of this Act, that is derived by fertilization, parthenogenesis, cloning, or any other means from one or more human gametes.	Proposed deletion with a footnote that states that the Administration does not support addressing this issue in legislation.	Sec. 513. Same as FY 97 enacted except end of last sentence changed to "...or more human gametes or human diploid cells."	OMB Staff and HHS: Repeat FY 98 Budget, i.e., propose deletion with the same footnote: "The Administration proposes to delete this provision and does not support addressing this issue in legislation."

FY 1998 Enacted Section No./ Provision	FY 97 Enacted	FY 98 President's Budget	FY 98 Enacted	Recommended FY 99 Language
Sec. 509 Appropriation limitations for abortion procedures (Hyde language)	SEC. 508. None of the funds appropriated under this Act shall be expended for any abortion except when it is made known to the Federal entity or official to which funds are appropriated under this Act that such procedure is necessary to save the life of the mother or that the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest.	Proposed deletion with footnote that the Administration will work with Congress to address this issue.	Sec. 509. (a) None of the funds appropriated under this Act shall be expended for any abortion. (b) None of the funds appropriated under this Act shall be expended for health benefits coverage that includes coverage of abortion. (c) The term "health benefits coverage" means the package of services covered by managed care provider or organization pursuant to a contract or other arrangement.	OMB Staff and HHS: Repeat FY 98 Budget, i.e., propose deletion, and add footnote: "The Administration proposes to delete this provision and will work with Congress to address this issue."
Sec. 510. Appropriation limitations for abortion procedures (Hyde language)			(New provision) Sec. 510. (a) The limitations established in the preceding section shall not apply to an abortion - (1) if the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest; or (2) in the case where a woman suffers from a physical disorder, physical injury, or physical illness, including a life-endangering physical condition caused by or arising from the pregnancy itself, that would, as certified by a physician, place the woman in danger of death unless an abortion is performed. (b) Nothing in the preceding section shall be construed as prohibiting the expenditure by a State locality, entity, or private person of State, local, or private funds (other than a State's or locality's contribution of Medicaid matching funds). Nothing in the preceding section shall be construed as restricting the ability of any managed care provider from offering abortion coverage or the ability of a State or locality to contract separately with such a provider for such coverage with State funds (other than a State's or locality's contribution of Medicaid matching funds).	OMB Staff and HHS: Delete provision and add footnote: "The Administration proposes to delete this provision and will work with Congress to address this issue."
Sec. 212. Appropriation of funds for entities under title X of the Public Health Service Act	Sec. 518. None of the funds appropriated in this Act may be made available to any entity under title X of the Public Health Service Act unless it is made known to the Federal official having authority to obligate or expend such funds that the applicant for the award certifies to the Secretary that it encourages family participation in the decision of the minor to seek family planning services.	Sec. 513 . Same as FY 97 Enacted.	Sec. 212. None of the funds appropriated in the Act may be made available to any entity under title X of the Public Health Service Act unless the applicant for the award certifies to the Secretary that it encourages family participation in the decision of minors to seek family planning services and that it provides counseling to minors on how to resist attempts to coerce minors into engaging in sexual activities.	OMB Staff: Repeat FY 98 enacted. HHS: No position yet.
Sec. 514 Use of funds for promotions of controlled substances--limitati ons	Sec. 513. (a) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR PROMOTION OF LEGALIZATION OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES.—None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for any activity when it is made known to the Federal official having authority to obligate or expend such funds that the activity promotes the legalization of any drug or other substance included in schedule I of the schedules of controlled substances established by section 202 of	Sec. 511. Same as FY 97 enacted.	Sec. 514. Same as FY 97 enacted and FY 98 President's Budget.	OMB Staff: Repeat FY 98 Budget language. Same as enacted.

FY 1998 Enacted Section No./ Provision	FY 97 Enacted	FY 98 President's Budget	FY 98 Enacted	Recommended FY 99 Language
	the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812). (b) EXCEPTIONS.—The limitation in subsection (a) shall not apply when it is made known to the Federal official having authority to obligate or expend such funds that there is significant medical evidence of a therapeutic advantage to the use of such drug or other substance or that Federally-sponsored clinical trials are being conducted to determine therapeutic advantage.			

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-JAN-1998 10:23:31.00

SUBJECT: Re: Cloning talking points -- 1/7/98 Post article

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Thanks for the speedy talking points.

Is the NBAC meeting in DC today?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-JAN-1998 09:12:49.00

SUBJECT: Cloning talking points -- 1/7/98 Post article

TO: Paul E. Begala (CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Holly L. Gwin (CN=Holly L. Gwin/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Clifford J. Gabriel (CN=Clifford J. Gabriel/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: John H. Gibbons (CN=John H. Gibbons/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Josh -- Here are some talking points on today's (January 7, 1998)

Washington Post story on cloning.

Paul - a separate memo on this topic will follow to you.

-- Jeff Smith

(6-6047)

ú Today's Washington Post article ("Scientists Plan to Clone Humans") reports on the plans of G. Richard Seed, an independent Chicago-based scientist, to clone a human being. Dr. Seed is seeking venture capital to support his work and claims to have several willing physicians and infertile couples who would like to participate.

ú The President has taken a very proactive position on the issue of cloning and its application to the production of human beings. While promoting and protecting valuable research in this area, the President has consistently stated his view that the use of this technology for the production of human beings should be outlawed unless all ethical and safety issues are addressed adequately.

Beginning with the announcement of the successful cloning of Dolly, President Clinton:

1) Instructed his National Bioethics Advisory Commission (NBAC) to assess the legal and ethical issues associated with this

technology and to make recommendations for possible federal action;

2) Proposed legislation in June 1997, based on NBAC's recommendations, that would outlaw the type of work proposed by Dr. Seed until all safety and ethical issues have been addressed; and

3) Reinforced the ban on using federal funds to clone human beings and stressed the need for a voluntary moratorium in the private sector.

ú It is now up to Congress to act on legislation that outlaws a reproductive practice that currently raises serious safety and ethical concerns, but it should be carefully written so as to not interfere with other important areas of scientific research.

Following are the specific recommendations from the National Bioethic Advisory Commission:

-- "A continuation of the current moratorium on the use of federal funding in support of any attempt to create a child by somatic cell nuclear transfer."

-- "An immediate request to all firms, clinicians, investigators, and professional societies in the private and non-federally funded sectors to comply voluntarily with the intent of the federal moratorium. Professional and scientific societies should make clear that any attempt to create a child by somatic cell nuclear transfer and implantation into a woman's body would at this time be an irresponsible, unethical, and unprofessional act."

-- "Federal legislation should be enacted to prohibit anyone from attempting, whether in a research or clinical setting, to create a child through somatic cell nuclear transfer cloning."

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-JAN-1998 16:06:47.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Q He said that the President might renew his push to ban cloning. What's the President's take on this Chicago scientist, and how will that impact your efforts?

MR. MCCURRY: In June of 1997, last year, the President accepted a unanimous recommendation from his National Bioethic Advisory Commission to move immediately to propose banning of human cloning that came after a very careful review of that issue by a panel of very distinguished people who have been giving the United States government and the President advice on bioethical issues. And that group at the time said that professional and scientific society should make it very clear in an attempt to create a child through implantation of a nuclear cell that had been generated by somatic cell technology would be an irresponsible, unethical and unprofessional act. And I think that the scientific community ought to make it clear to Dr. Seed, and I think the President would make it clear to Dr. Seed, that he has now elected to become irresponsible, unethical and unprofessional should he pursue his course that he outlined today.

Q What about legislation?

MR. MCCURRY: The legislation the President would feel strongly about and no doubt this announcement will encourage Congress to look more closely at the measure the President proposed last June.

I also understand -- if I understand correctly, the Food and Drug Administration, which does regulate genetic cell therapies believes that there may be regulatory action that could be foreseen in this circumstance. But I will direct you to them for comment on that.

Q You mean executive action where they could enforce without --

MR. MCCURRY: They regulate, if I understand correctly, they regulate and have regulated for some time gene and cell therapies. And they would have to -- gene and cell therapies are subject to FDA requirements that cover clinical trials. And it's not legal to use unapproved potential therapies except under processes that have been authorized by the Food and Drug Administration. But,

of course, given their independence, I would recommend that you contact them directly.

Q But, Mike, what you're suggesting then is that even without new legislation, it's not legal for him to do what he proposes to do now?

MR. MCCURRY: I can't state that because that would be within the province of the Food and Drug Administration to address. But if I understand correctly, they do believe there are regulatory issues associated with this proposed experiment, trial, whatever you want to call it. They can pursue that as they see fit. Irrespective of that, I think the President thinks it's important to move ahead for the moral and policy grounds that he identified last summer with legislation that would make it very clear that this type of technology should be illegal.

Q Are you saying the administration will do everything it can to stop this from happening?

MR. MCCURRY: I'm just pointing to you what I have been told today -- that the FDA believes that there are some regulatory procedures that may apply here. And I think that they apparently have been talking to people who have inquired more directly about some of the action that they might be able to take.

Q Mike, if private people using private money are found to be doing this, what's the mechanism to regulate them -- throw them in jail? At this point can they be --

MR. MCCURRY: The mechanism is what I just described -- the FDA and what penalties apply under certain circumstances, I'd have to refer you to them.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JAN-1998 08:51:38.00

SUBJECT: Sr Staff Notes

TO: Maritza Rivera (CN=Maritza Rivera/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miriam H. Vogel (CN=Miriam H. Vogel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maureen T. Shea (CN=Maureen T. Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christine A. Stanek (CN=Christine A. Stanek/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sondra L. Seba (CN=Sondra L. Seba/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard Socarides (CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel Wexler (CN=Daniel Wexler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter O'Keefe (CN=Peter O'Keefe/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl M. Carter (CN=Cheryl M. Carter/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert B. Johnson (CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tania I. Lopez (CN=Tania I. Lopez/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: robert.jones (robert.jones @ mail.va.gov @ inet [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jena V. Roscoe (CN=Jena V. Roscoe/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey T. Haynes (CN=Audrey T. Haynes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ilia V. Velez (CN=Ilia V. Velez/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborah B. Mohile (CN=Deborah B. Mohile/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin Leeds (CN=Robin Leeds/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Hayes (CN=Richard L. Hayes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Doris O. Matsui (CN=Doris O. Matsui/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William H. White Jr. (CN=William H. White Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Schedule: President meets members of congress - them to NY for fundraisers

NSC: Iranian speech- Cuban ballplayer + 5 went to costa Rica - mideast
new inspections - on 1/20 Netanyahu arrives, Israel's defense minsters
threatens to resign because not enough is being done re peace- Customs
agent who was hurt died , funeral tomorrow

Congress: Congressional mtgs today are message

OMB: CBO indicated its projections are budgets in balance end surpluses

NEC: CBO numbers confirm our view that budget will be in balance. - Asia
very unstable Indonesia's currency went down 20% leading to some concern

Communication: tomorrow event increase in work-study funds. Radio
address is on cloning

DPC: tax on cigarette has been leaked. Educ. Report on dire state of
urban schools

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JAN-1998 09:26:25.00

SUBJECT: cloning

TO: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

fyi-

i am sure you have gone through his office to get info, this is just a heads up to let you know that Jack Gibbons told Ann that he wanted to be in the loop for the radio address. that's all. thanks.

-r

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Paul A. Tuchmann (CN=Paul A. Tuchmann/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JAN-1998 15:40:17.00

SUBJECT: Re: cloning radio address

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

tamagni is working on it, but not yet. I think Jeff Smith at OSTP is the policy guy.

-Paul

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JAN-1998 11:01:13.00

SUBJECT: Re: Cloning Call

TO: Estela Mendoza (CN=Estela Mendoza/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Minyon Moore (CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JAN-1998 09:30:17.00

SUBJECT: Senior Staff Meeting

TO: Linda L. Moore (CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen E. Skelton (CN=Karen E. Skelton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

When Beverly gets the time to she forwards me a copy of the Senior staff meeting notes. As I get them, I will also share with you

----- Forwarded by Minyon Moore/WHO/EOP on 01/08/98 09:29 AM -----

Beverly J. Barnes
01/08/98 09:06:41 AM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc:

Subject: Senior Staff Meeting

POTUS meets with members of Congress (Senate and House Dems) this morning to discuss fiscal discipline, social security and possible uses of any surplus.

Iranian president continued his encouragement of people-to-people dialogue between our countries but expressed no desire for government-to-government relations in his CNN interview yesterday.

We should expect a series of significant developments in the Mid East over the next week, including Iraq inspections.

NSC is preparing for Netanyahu visit on 1/20. Defense minister threatened to resign if PM doesn't do more on peace process. Good sign.

Funeral tomorrow for customs official who died in Virgin Islands. Determining whether WH will send representative. Treasury will send a rep.

Budget materials are circulating. Everyone needs to be mindful of deadline for input, so that they can get to printer in time.

CBO has confirmed that budget will be balanced in 1999 and if economy continues to perform, will be surplus.

Asian markets generally continue to drop. Indonesian currency down almost 25 percent.

Producer price index down .2 percent (market expects it to be unchanged) for December. Overall PPI is down 1.2 percent for 1997.

Will have jobs numbers for December and year tomorrow. President can't talk about them until 9:30 a.m.

President will focus on work/study, the new economy and opening the doors of college to every family in his speech tomorrow.

Radio address will be on cloning. We remain opposed.

Race Initiative Advisory board will meet in Phoenix on Tuesday and Wednesday of next week. First board meeting outside of metro Washington. Board has taken great care to make meeting open to public.

FLOTUS meets today with network executives on millenium plans.

Medal of Freedom names to be announced today.

WSJ article on tobacco is an unfortunante leak. Erskine asked people to stop doing this.

DPC will give consideration to whether President should issue a statement about the report on the crisis in urban education.

Message Sent

To:

Carole A. Parmelee/WHO/EOP
Jason S. Goldberg/WHO/EOP
Demond T. Martin/WHO/EOP
Laura S. Marcus/WHO/EOP
Sara M. Latham/WHO/EOP
Andrew J. Mayock/WHO/EOP
Thomas D. Janenda/WHO/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JAN-1998 12:55:17.00

SUBJECT: Queries

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Can you ask Rahm what we are doing on Monday? I wasn't at Podesta's 8:15 this morning, so I don't know what they're all thinking.

Also, cloning. I have a strong idea of how to proceed, but always looking for his guidance. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Estela Mendoza (CN=Estela Mendoza/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JAN-1998 10:59:13.00

SUBJECT: Re: Cloning Call

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Not a problem.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JAN-1998 14:32:03.00

SUBJECT: cloning radio address

TO: Paul A. Tuchmann (CN=Paul A. Tuchmann/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Who is writing the radio address for this week? Who are the policy people from the WH working on this?

Believe it or not, there is a health care issue that my office does not do

sb

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Stacie Spector (CN=Stacie Spector/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JAN-1998 19:07:23.00

SUBJECT: cloning

TO: Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

is the radio address topic.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Megan C. Moloney (CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JAN-1998 11:03:38.00

SUBJECT: Radio Address Paper

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Do you guys plan on having any new paper for this week's radio address on cloning?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JAN-1998 15:38:37.00

SUBJECT:

TO: ELISA M. (Pager) #MILLSAP (ELISA M. (Pager) #MILLSAP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

please page josh silverman with who in Leg Aff. deals with cloning

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mark D. Neschis (CN=Mark D. Neschis/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-JAN-1998 11:25:12.00

SUBJECT: Crossfire: Tuesday night?

TO: Michael D. McCurry (CN=Michael D. McCurry/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Amy W. Tobe (CN=Amy W. Tobe/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Are you ok with this?

----- Forwarded by Mark D. Neschis/WHO/EOP on 01/12/98
11:20 AM -----

vzonana @ os.dhhs.gov

01/12/98 10:57:00 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Mark D. Neschis

cc:

Subject: Crossfire: Tuesday night?

Sec. Shalala has been invited onto Crossfire as a solo guest to talk about PoTUS's family friendly agenda(Medicare, childcare) and cloning. Tormentors would be Sununu and Press. Tomorrow-- Tuesday, is their preference, tho they could take her Wednesday.

Show is at 7:30 live, though they could if pressed pretape.

Thoughts?

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)
id <01ISACKFSMK0008OBX@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for Neschis_M@al.eop.gov; Mon,

12 Jan 1998 11:04:24 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)

by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879) id <01ISACEIT8W003J9M@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for
Neschis_M@a1.eop.gov; Mon, 12 Jan 1998 11:04:21 -0500 (EST)

Received: from B11WDC-OV08B.os.dhhs.gov ([158.70.254.1])

by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.1-7 #6879)

with SMTP id <01ISACJPT06I00158E@STORM.EOP.GOV> for Neschis_M@a1.eop.gov; Mon,

12 Jan 1998 11:03:49 -0500 (EST)

Received: by B11WDC-OV08B.os.dhhs.gov; Mon, 12 Jan 1998 11:03:58 -0500 (EST)

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-JAN-1998 11:31:36.00

SUBJECT: Re: 12:30 today 757-2100, 5043

TO: Paul E. Begala (CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
Thanks!

By the way, cloning went great. I was thinking of you when I put in the line about "scientific advancement does not occur in a moral vaccum."

Are you gonna hang the buck you bagged on your wall?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Estela Mendoza (CN=Estela Mendoza/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-JAN-1998 10:03:18.00

SUBJECT: radio transcript

TO: Megan C. Moloney (CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

was radio address on cloning this weekend? I haven't seen the transcript
or paper this morning.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Eleanor S. Parker (CN=Eleanor S. Parker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-JAN-1998 19:11:03.00

SUBJECT: Cloning Memo for Paul Begala

TO: John H. Gibbons (CN=John H. Gibbons/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Dear Dr. Gibbons,

Hello, this is Stacy, Paul Begala's assistant. Paul received your memo, but the attached text you mention in the first paragraph was not attached.

Could you please forward it?

thanks,
Stacy

OEOB 147

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Amy W. Tobe (CN=Amy W. Tobe/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-JAN-1998 10:32:23.00

SUBJECT: Re: SOTU interviews

TO: Darby E. Stott (CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I also told Stacie Spector she should start coming, too. Isn't there an office of Science & Tec or something like that? Who wrote the Cloning radio address? It would come from there (dpc?)

Darby E. Stott
01/12/98 08:31:52 AM
Record Type: Record

To: Amy W. Tobe/WHO/EOP
cc:
Subject: Re: SOTU interviews

Who would do tps for "Science for the good of the nation"?

Also, Julie Mason is going to come to the staff meeting/gaggle today. She said the press secretaries mtg was really helpful & she wanted to continue to keep plugged into what we are doing. I told her I didn't think you would have any objections.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lucia A. Wyman (CN=Lucia A. Wyman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:13-JAN-1998 17:46:03.00

SUBJECT: VP announcement

TO: Ricardo M. Gonzales (CN=Ricardo M. Gonzales/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kay Casstevens (CN=Kay Casstevens/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Hill calling w/questions about a VP announcement on cloning and genetic confidentiality. What is happening?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lucia A. Wyman (CN=Lucia A. Wyman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:13-JAN-1998 18:34:31.00

SUBJECT: Re: VP announcement

TO: Kay Casstevens (CN=Kay Casstevens/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

mainly need to know if he is making in reference to cloning legislation.

members: feinstein, hutchinson, brady, a couple of others i can't

remember. i am keeping list of all who call on cloning. does his

announcement have any legislative component?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:13-JAN-1998 14:04:11.00

SUBJECT: did anyone mention a cloning meeting this morning? if you know hhs on the trail anne

TO: 2167979 (2167979 @ SkyTel [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-JAN-1998 11:30:16.00

SUBJECT: 1pm Cloning Meeting

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

the cloning answer.

----- Forwarded by Anne E. McGuire/WHO/EOP on 01/14/98

11:32 AM -----

Jerold R. Mande

01/14/98 11:28:36 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Anne E. McGuire/WHO/EOP

cc:

Subject: 1pm Cloning Meeting

HHS and NIH have been invited to the meeting, and, of course, you are also invited. The meeting is scheduled for 1pm in 472. Other invitees are: Rahm, Podesta, Begala, Kagan, Katzen, Gips, Donenfeld, Kohlenberger, Kalil, Arthur Bienenstock, Bill Marshall, Jeff Smith, and Lucia Wyman.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Darby E. Stott (CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-JAN-1998 10:14:12.00

SUBJECT: List of guidance needed for today

TO: Jake Siewert (CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: RUBIN_E (RUBIN_E @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Natalie S. Wozniak (CN=Natalie S. Wozniak/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: LUZZATTO_A (LUZZATTO_A @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: CROWLEY_P (CROWLEY_P @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael D. McCurry (CN=Michael D. McCurry/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Press Guidance

Wednesday, January 14, 1998

Event

1. Healthcare Bill of Rights - paper
2. Medal of Freedom - EN
3. Trip Preview - JS

Domestic

1. Lott/ Tobacco - BT
2. Cloning/Aging process - JS
3. Fast Track - BT
4. Roadless logging areas - JS

Economics

1. Asian Markets - Jake

Foreign

1. Iraq
2. MEPP
3. Cuba/Papal Visit
4. Cuba/Food Sales
- 12.

**Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System
[EMAIL] and Tape Restoration Project [Email]**

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

This marker identifies a responsive email, already made available within another collection.

Collection: Elena Kagan systematic processing project.

Bucket: WHO

Creation Date: 1998-01-14

Subject: Cloning

Creator: Thomas L. Freedman

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-JAN-1998 12:05:57.00

SUBJECT: today's press and cloning

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Rachel E. Levinson/OSTP/EOP on
01/14/98 12:05 PM -----

Rachel E. Levinson 01/14/98 11:31:14 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Joshua Silverman/WHO/EOP

cc:

Subject: today's press and cloning

Jeff Smith asked me to send you material for Mike McCurry and Barry Toiv clarifying today's reports and the relationship of this research to cloning. Let me know if this does not do the trick. x66137 I will send hard xopy over with pronunciation of telomerase.

January 14, 1998

Talking Points on Importance of Report on Aging Research
Relationship to Cloning

ú Today,s reports describe how cells in culture may be engineered so that they stay healthy and keep dividing longer than the same cells that have not been similarly engineered.

ú This report is an excellent example of the type of research that would be protected under the President,s draft bill prohibiting the use of another form of cloning to produce a child.

ú Several scientific societies and biomedical associations have expressed their concern that with the exception of the President,s bill, other pending legislative initiatives contain language that, if enacted, would jeopardize non-controversial research involving the cloning cells, genes, or animals.

ú The longer-lived cells had a gene inserted that makes the cells produce an enzyme called telomerase (tel,-o-mer-ase,) which is usually found

only in a few types of cells in an adult.

ú The telomerase gene was cloned in August and this is the first report of evidence of its function, although it had been suspected of being linked to the aging process.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-JAN-1998 11:31:10.00

SUBJECT: today's press and cloning

TO: Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Jeff Smith asked me to send you material for Mike McCurry and Barry Toiv clarifying today's reports and the relationship of this research to cloning. Let me know if this does not do the trick. x66137 I will send hard xopy over with pronunciation of telomerase.

January 14, 1998

Talking Points on Importance of Report on Aging Research
Relationship to Cloning

ú Today,s reports describe how cells in culture may be engineered so that they stay healthy and keep dividing longer than the same cells that have not been similarly engineered.

ú This report is an excellent example of the type of research that would be protected under the President,s draft bill prohibiting the use of another form of cloning to produce a child.

ú Several scientific societies and biomedical associations have expressed their concern that with the exception of the President,s bill, other pending legislative initiatives contain language that, if enacted, would jeopardize non-controversial research involving the cloning cells, genes, or animals.

ú The longer-lived cells had a gene inserted that makes the cells produce an enzyme called telomerase (tel,-o-mer-ase,) which is usually found only in a few types of cells in an adult.

ú The telomerase gene was cloned in August and this is the first report of evidence of its function, although it had been suspected of being linked to the aging process.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jerold R. Mande (CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-JAN-1998 11:28:31.00

SUBJECT: 1pm Cloning Meeting

TO: Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

HHS and NIH have been invited to the meeting, and, of course, you are also invited. The meeting is scheduled for 1pm in 472. Other invitees are: Rahm, Podesta, Begala, Kagan, Katzen, Gips, Donenfeld, Kohlenberger, Kalil, Arthur Bienenstock, Bill Marshall, Jeff Smith, and Lucia Wyman.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-JAN-1998 12:40:13.00

SUBJECT: today's press and cloning

TO: Darby E. Stott (CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Nanda Chitre/WHO/EOP on 01/14/98 12:40 PM -----

Rachel E. Levinson 01/14/98 12:06:00 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Jeffrey M. Smith/OSTP/EOP, Nanda Chitre/WHO/EOP

cc:

Subject: today's press and cloning

----- Forwarded by Rachel E. Levinson/OSTP/EOP on 01/14/98 12:05 PM -----

Rachel E. Levinson 01/14/98 11:31:14 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Joshua Silverman/WHO/EOP

cc:

Subject: today's press and cloning

Jeff Smith asked me to send you material for Mike McCurry and Barry Toiv clarifying today's reports and the relationship of this research to cloning. Let me know if this does not do the trick. x66137 I will send hard xopy over with pronunciation of telomerase.

January 14, 1998

Talking Points on Importance of Report on Aging Research
Relationship to Cloning

ú Today,s reports describe how cells in culture may be engineered so that they stay healthy and keep dividing longer than the same cells that have not been similarly engineered.

ú This report is an excellent example of the type of research that would be protected under the President,s draft bill prohibiting the use of

another form of cloning to produce a child.

ú Several scientific societies and biomedical associations have expressed their concern that with the exception of the President's bill, other pending legislative initiatives contain language that, if enacted, would jeopardize non-controversial research involving the cloning cells, genes, or animals.

ú The longer-lived cells had a gene inserted that makes the cells produce an enzyme called telomerase (tel,-o-mer-ase,) which is usually found only in a few types of cells in an adult.

ú The telomerase gene was cloned in August and this is the first report of evidence of its function, although it had been suspected of being linked to the aging process.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:15-JAN-1998 11:19:16.00

SUBJECT: Kyoto Hearings

TO: Lucia A. Wyman (CN=Lucia A. Wyman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

FYI -- heads up. Which issue would you rather deal with... cloning or climate change...?

----- Forwarded by Jeffrey M. Smith/OSTP/EOP on 01/15/98
11:18 AM -----

Skip.Stiles @ mail.house.gov

01/15/98 08:56:00 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Jeffrey M. Smith

cc:

Subject: Kyoto Hearings

Jeff,

We got notice this am (1:45 am to be precise - the Republican staff has been working hard in secret) that they will start the year off with two full committee hearings on Kyoto - one on Jan 28 and one on Feb 11. The 28th has McGinty, DOE, industry, enviro, and LABOR(!)(probably UMW) witnesses.

I fear that the scenario we outlined in our December OEOB meeting is coming to pass. The R's sense a wedge issue with collateral damage to the VPOTUS and are jumping on it early. The labor involvement splits us - our Democrats from the coal belt and oil areas and those from the auto industry will be hard pressed to stay in line on this.

The timing (day after the state of the union-before the budget), the fact that this is a full committee issue and not a subcommittee hearing, the whole thing looks like a Republican leadership decision to go after this issue. We will check around to see if anything else is going on, but for the moment this is all we know.

Skip Stiles

Democratic Legislative Director

House Committee on Science

822 OHOB

Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225-8483
(202) 2260983 (fax)

committee home page - http://www.house.gov/science_democrats/

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)
id <01ISEEZ2HGWW009T0T@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for "Jeffrey M. Smith"@oa.eop.gov; Thu,
15 Jan 1998 08:56:37 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)
by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01ISEEZ17X8W00BXAB@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for
Jeffrey_M._Smith@oa.eop.gov; Thu, 15 Jan 1998 08:56:35 -0500 (EST)

Received: from iodine.house.gov ([137.18.128.25])
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)
with ESMTP id <01ISEEYDDGIM001AHF@STORM.EOP.GOV> for
Jeffrey_M._Smith@oa.eop.gov; Thu, 15 Jan 1998 08:56:03 -0500 (EST)

Received: from msg08.house.gov (msg08.house.gov [143.231.40.70])
by iodine.house.gov with SMTP id HAA32000 for <Jeffrey_M._Smith@oa.eop.gov>;

Thu, 15 Jan 1998 07:52:43 -0600 (CST)

Received: by msg08.house.gov with SMTP

(Microsoft Exchange Server Internet Mail Connector Version 4.0.995.52)
id <01BD2193.85B98900@msg08.house.gov>; Thu, 15 Jan 1998 08:56:52 -0500

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mark D. Neschis (CN=Mark D. Neschis/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:15-JAN-1998 09:55:53.00

SUBJECT: Shalala

TO: Michael D. McCurry (CN=Michael D. McCurry/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I think Sec. Shalala is doing McGlaughlin one on one this weekend. It
tapes tomorrow. Issues are Mediare, Child Care, and Cloning.

Is that ok with you?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Stacie Spector (CN=Stacie Spector/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:16-JAN-1998 18:31:33.00

SUBJECT: regional clips

TO: Amy W. Tobe (CN=Amy W. Tobe/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul E. Begala (CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael D. McCurry (CN=Michael D. McCurry/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I don't know if you all ever peruse the regional clips, but we really got some good stories and pictures, with both the Pres. and the VP, this past 10 days on Medicare, Childcare, Drug Testing, Cloning, Medal of Freedom, Patients bill of rights, etc. I am sure there were even more than our report gives us, but out of all the clips (with a quick look) about 85-90% of the headlines that I saw were really positive. Just an fyi.....

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lucia A. Wyman (CN=Lucia A. Wyman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:16-JAN-1998 09:43:14.00

SUBJECT: Re: VP announcement

TO: Kay Casstevens (CN=Kay Casstevens/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

thanks. early next week i will pull grp together to discuss strategy on cloning. rt to lifers covering hill and believe cloning gives them a vehicle for anti-choice vote. it is very sensitive and complex - would be easy to fall into trap so make sure vp understands. thanks on the other

-

let's get grp together in few weeks for dallas project.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1998 11:07:17.00

SUBJECT: Talking points on new cloning stories

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael D. Gerich (CN=Michael D. Gerich/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Holly L. Gwin (CN=Holly L. Gwin/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Clifford J. Gabriel (CN=Clifford J. Gabriel/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: John H. Gibbons (CN=John H. Gibbons/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Media stories over the weekend and the holiday described two events related to cloning: (1) FDA has asserted that it has authority to regulate attempts to use cloning to create a child; and (2) scientists have reported new results of attempts to create animal embryos using the same technique that was used to create Dolly -- somatic cell nuclear transfer.

Talking Points on FDA and their assertion of regulatory authority on cloning:

The FDA has broad regulatory authority under the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetics Act. For example, FDA has to approve all gene therapy procedures before they can be applied to humans, even experimentally. Researchers must complete the Investigational New Drug (IND) application process, which allows the agency to review the treatment for safety and other criteria.

The FDA has made the determination that the type of procedure being contemplated by Dr. Seed, would require a similar IND application, since it triggers the FDA standard of "more than minimal manipulation."

FDA's action does not obviate the need for carefully worded legislation. FDA properly regulates on the basis of safety and efficacy -- not ethics. The President's bill prohibiting the creation of child using somatic cell nuclear transfer provides for continuing public discussion of the ethical and moral concerns related to this technology. FDA's regulatory authority buys us time for a more thoughtful, deliberative process for reaching consensus on the appropriate use of cloning technology.

Talking Points on the Use of Cow eggs to create embryos from other species:

This past weekend, University of Wisconsin-Madison researchers released results of their efforts to utilize cow eggs that have had their genetic material removed to create embryos from a number of different species including monkey and pig. The researchers demonstrated that the "maternal factors" contained in cow eggs are sufficient to promote embryo development from genetic material taken from mature cells of another species. None of the embryos created using this technique resulted in live births after they were placed into surrogate mothers.

This is important research that sheds light on the earliest stages of embryo development with potential applications in genetic and cancer research. It is also exciting because it might eventually help in our fight to preserve endangered species.

This is one type of research that we do not want to restrict with poorly worded or an overly broad ban on cloning to produce a human child.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Linda L. Moore (CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1998 10:13:52.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Jeffrey A. Forbes (CN=Jeffrey A. Forbes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

see reference below to podesta and leg aff staff...fyi

----- Forwarded by Linda L. Moore/WHO/EOP on 01/20/98
10:13 AM -----

Minyon Moore
01/20/98 10:09:32 AM
Record Type: Record

To: Karen E. Skelton/WHO/EOP, Linda L. Moore/WHO/EOP
cc:
Subject: Senior Staff

----- Forwarded by Minyon Moore/WHO/EOP on 01/20/98 10:09
AM -----

Beverly J. Barnes
01/20/98 08:52:53 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Minyon Moore/WHO/EOP, Robert B. Johnson/WHO/EOP
cc:
Subject: Senior Staff

----- Forwarded by Beverly J. Barnes/WHO/EOP on 01/20/98
08:51 AM -----

Beverly J. Barnes
01/20/98 08:52:29 AM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc:

Subject: Senior Staff

Butler in Bagdad. Back by end of week. We won't get report until he is out of Bagdad.

Stephanie will look for time for President to make a few calls to Mid East leaders following Netanyahu and Arafat meetings.

Legislative staff and John Podesta meeting today with Democratic leadership on SOTU coordination.

Gene crafting response to Robert Pear story (NYT) on Clinton medicare plan.

FDA threat to regulate attempts at cloning had been intimated, but was not expected.

Ann Lewis thanked Andrew, Kevin Moran and Elizabeth Newman for putting together yesterday's service activities.

Five-year accomplishments lists are being circulated to highlight the fifth anniversary of the inauguration of President Clinton and VP Gore.

FLOTUS to do more radio interviews today. She will also join the VP for a meeting with pro-choice advocates on budget allocations.

VP also to announce new policy on genetic testing and discrimination.

Ginny announced new effort to ensure intern experience is educational. Guidelines being put in place. We are all asked to help with this effort.

Capricia asked that people start submitting names now for state visit on April 9.

Message Sent

To:

Carole A. Parmelee/WHO/EOP
Jason S. Goldberg/WHO/EOP
Demond T. Martin/WHO/EOP
Sara M. Latham/WHO/EOP
Andrew J. Mayock/WHO/EOP
Thomas D. Janenda/WHO/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1998 10:15:08.00

SUBJECT: FDA Cloning

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We found nothing in the last two weeks from HHS on the cloning issue that got press this morning -- checked the weekly reports from the department (typically much longer than what we submit to the POTUS) and our files.

Anne McGuire heard from Mary Beth Donohue at HHS this morning that their people were in touch with WH Domestic Policy last night.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1998 11:10:21.00

SUBJECT: Talking points on new cloning stories

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael D. McCurry (CN=Michael D. McCurry/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Jeffrey M. Smith/OSTP/EOP on 01/20/98
11:08 AM -----

Jeffrey M. Smith

01/20/98 11:08:19 AM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc: John H. Gibbons/OSTP/EOP, Rachel E. Levinson/OSTP/EOP, Clifford J. Gabriel/OSTP/EOP, Holly L. Gwin/OSTP/EOP

Subject: Talking points on new cloning stories

Media stories over the weekend and the holiday described two events related to cloning: (1) FDA has asserted that it has authority to regulate attempts to use cloning to create a child; and (2) scientists have reported new results of attempts to create animal embryos using the same technique that was used to create Dolly -- somatic cell nuclear transfer.

Talking Points on FDA and their assertion of regulatory authority on cloning:

The FDA has broad regulatory authority under the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetics Act. For example, FDA has to approve all gene therapy procedures before they can be applied to humans, even experimentally. Researchers must complete the Investigational New Drug (IND) application process, which allows the agency to review the treatment for safety and other criteria.

The FDA has made the determination that the type of procedure being contemplated by Dr. Seed, would require a similar IND application, since it triggers the FDA standard of "more than minimal manipulation."

FDA's action does not obviate the need for carefully worded legislation. FDA properly regulates on the basis of safety and efficacy -- not ethics. The President's bill prohibiting the creation of child using somatic cell nuclear transfer provides for continuing public discussion of the ethical and moral concerns related to this technology. FDA's regulatory authority buys us time for a more thoughtful, deliberative process for reaching consensus on the appropriate use of cloning technology.

Talking Points on the Use of Cow eggs to create embryos from other species:

This past weekend, University of Wisconsin-Madison researchers released results of their efforts to utilize cow eggs that have had their genetic material removed to create embryos from a number of different species including monkey and pig. The researchers demonstrated that the "maternal factors" contained in cow eggs are sufficient to promote embryo development from genetic material taken from mature cells of another species. None of the embryos created using this technique resulted in live births after they were placed into surrogate mothers.

This is important research that sheds light on the earliest stages of embryo development with potential applications in genetic and cancer research. It is also exciting because it might eventually help in our fight to preserve endangered species.

This is one type of research that we do not want to restrict with poorly worded or an overly broad ban on cloning to produce a human child.

Message Sent

To:

Michael D. Gerich/OMB/EOP

Joshua Silverman/WHO/EOP

Barry J. Toiv/WHO/EOP

Joseph P. Lockhart/WHO/EOP

Nanda Chitre/WHO/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lucia A. Wyman (CN=Lucia A. Wyman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1998 18:04:29.00

SUBJECT: FDA on cloning

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Janet, this is a pretty good summation of cloning. FDA and NIH today briefed House Commerce Committee majority, Howard Cohen. They repted in to me. Said he was primarily trying to get up to speed but commented that cloning debate would get caught up in morality and religion and would have to have religious types testify.

----- Forwarded by Lucia A. Wyman/WHO/EOP on 01/20/98
05:55 PM -----

Jeffrey M. Smith
01/20/98 01:45:00 PM
Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message
cc: John H. Gibbons/OSTP/EOP, Jordan Tamagni/WHO/EOP, Anthony J. Gibson/OSTP/EOP, Holly L. Gwin/OSTP/EOP
Subject: FDA on cloning

RAHM:

Today,s Washington Post carries an article on FDA,s assertion of authority to regulate the use of cloning to create a child. The article is based on an interview given last week by Phil Noguchi of FDA (301/827-0462) to Bio Century, a trade newsletter.

It was our impression that FDA had not yet determined the extent of their authority in this regard, based on conversations we had with HHS chief of staff, Bill Corr and Bill Schultz, FDA Deputy Commissioner for Policy, in preparation for the President,s January 10 radio address on cloning. Jordan Tamagni, the speechwriter asked if FDA had jurisdiction? We called Corr and Schultz who recommended that the President not refer to FDA,s authority in his speech because such authority was unclear, despite Secretary Shalala,s assertion, earlier that week.

Although it is likely that FDA does have the authority, making this announcement forecloses some of our options for dealing with Dr. Seed without engaging in the embryo research debate. The President's legislation is intentionally silent on embryo research, but other initiativ

es (Congressman Ehlers', for example) put a more permanent prohibition on such research front and center. Keeping a focus on cloning and getting that bill done quickly might have been easier without this option of FDA regulation. It might also have been desirable to have the President announce the regulatory option, and instruct FDA to utilize it.

We should proceed with a call for legislation because FDA can only address the safety aspects of cloning. Once these are resolved, we are still left with the ethical concerns. The Administration measure calls for continuing public debate to assess current thinking. The ethical arguments may change as demand increases for access to the technology. This may happen in response to increasing knowledge about the potential applications of baby-making via cloning. An example would be the ability to have a child despite a mother's genetic disease. Our bill has a sunset clause to force such debate. NBAC recommended a 3-5 year sunset, and the White House went with the outside number.

OSTP's expert on this issue is Rachel Levinson (6-6137).

Message Sent

To:

Rahm I. Emanuel/WHO/EOP

Michelle Crisci/WHO/EOP

Paul E. Begala/WHO/EOP

Rachel E. Levinson/OSTP/EOP

Lucia A. Wyman/WHO/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: Bill_Dauster@labor.senate.gov@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1998 18:18:00.00

SUBJECT: Re: Committee Agenda

TO: Foley_M (Foley_M@A1@CD) (WHO)

READ:21-JAN-1998 09:14:05.28

TEXT:

F.Y.I., here are the bills that Jeffords' staff director Mark
Powden tells us they are planning to address in the committee:

Forward Header

Subject: Re: Committee Agenda

Author: Mark Powden at Labor

Date: 1/20/98 5:59 PM

still correct, voc rehab and workforce get married, and HIPAA stock is
down, not sure whether lack of consensus or urgency. will worry about
public health bills when i see the whites of their eyes...

Reply Separator

Subject: Committee Agenda

Author: Bill Dauster at Labor

Date: 1/20/98 5:38 PM

Mark,

Based on our discussion before the holidays, we got the impression
that you wanted to move the follwoing bills, in roughly this order.
Has your view changed, or is this still roughly right?

voc-rehab
workforce
Kassebaum-Kennedy technicals
tobacco
higher ed
confidentiality
health quality

Other pieces? NIH? cloning? SAMHSA? other health reauthorizations?

Thanks for your advice.

Bill

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:20-JAN-1998 18:18:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)

id <01ISLY1YDK6O0049H4@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for Foley_M@a1.eop.gov; Tue,
20 Jan 1998 18:18:09 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)

by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01ISLY1PYT9C0050ZK@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for
Foley_M@a1.eop.gov; Tue, 20 Jan 1998 18:17:59 -0500 (EST)

Received: from mailsys.senate.gov ([198.78.181.132])

by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)

with ESMTP id <01ISLY1C1HY80025UR@STORM.EOP.GOV> for Foley_M@a1.eop.gov; Tue,
20 Jan 1998 18:17:37 -0500 (EST)

Received: from mailexch.senate.gov by mailsys.senate.gov; (8.8.5/SCO5)

id SAA00047; Tue, 20 Jan 1998 18:18:03 -0500 (EST)

Received: from ccMail by mailexch.senate.gov

(IMA Internet Exchange 2.11 Enterprise) id 003B3E0B; Tue,
20 Jan 1998 18:14:09 -0500

Content-description: cc:Mail note part

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Minyon Moore (CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1998 10:09:40.00

SUBJECT: Senior Staff

TO: Linda L. Moore (CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen E. Skelton (CN=Karen E. Skelton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
----- Forwarded by Minyon Moore/WHO/EOP on 01/20/98 10:09
AM -----

Beverly J. Barnes
01/20/98 08:52:53 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Minyon Moore/WHO/EOP, Robert B. Johnson/WHO/EOP
cc:
Subject: Senior Staff

----- Forwarded by Beverly J. Barnes/WHO/EOP on 01/20/98
08:51 AM -----

Beverly J. Barnes
01/20/98 08:52:29 AM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message
cc:
Subject: Senior Staff

Butler in Bagdad. Back by end of week. We won't get report until he is
out of Bagdad.

Stephanie will look for time for President to make a few calls to Mid East
leaders following Netanyahu and Arafat meetings.

Legislative staff and John Podesta meeting today with Democratic

leadership on SOTU coordination.

Gene crafting response to Robert Pear story (NYT) on Clinton medicare plan.

FDA threat to regulate attempts at cloning had been intimated, but was not expected.

Ann Lewis thanked Andrew, Kevin Moran and Elizabeth Newman for putting together yesterday's service activities.

Five-year accomplishments lists are being circulated to highlight the fifth anniversary of the inauguration of President Clinton and VP Gore.

FLOTUS to do more radio interviews today. She will also join the VP for a meeting with pro-choice advocates on budget allocations.

VP also to announce new policy on genetic testing and discrimination.

Ginny announced new effort to ensure intern experience is educational. Guidelines being put in place. We are all asked to help with this effort.

Capricia asked that people start submitting names now for state visit on April 9.

Message Sent

To:

Carole A. Parmelee/WHO/EOP

Jason S. Goldberg/WHO/EOP

Demond T. Martin/WHO/EOP

Sara M. Latham/WHO/EOP

Andrew J. Mayock/WHO/EOP

Thomas D. Janenda/WHO/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1998 11:10:27.00

SUBJECT: Talking points on new cloning stories

TO: Darby E. Stott (CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Barry J. Toiv/WHO/EOP on 01/20/98
11:10 AM -----

Jeffrey M. Smith
01/20/98 11:08:19 AM
Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message
cc: John H. Gibbons/OSTP/EOP, Rachel E. Levinson/OSTP/EOP, Clifford J. Gabriel/OSTP/EOP, Holly L. Gwin/OSTP/EOP
Subject: Talking points on new cloning stories

Media stories over the weekend and the holiday described two events related to cloning: (1) FDA has asserted that it has authority to regulate attempts to use cloning to create a child; and (2) scientists have reported new results of attempts to create animal embryos using the same technique that was used to create Dolly -- somatic cell nuclear transfer.

Talking Points on FDA and their assertion of regulatory authority on cloning:

The FDA has broad regulatory authority under the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetics Act. For example, FDA has to approve all gene therapy procedures before they can be applied to humans, even experimentally. Researchers must complete the Investigational New Drug (IND) application process, which allows the agency to review the treatment for safety and other criteria.

The FDA has made the determination that the type of procedure being contemplated by Dr. Seed, would require a similar IND application, since it triggers the FDA standard of "more than minimal manipulation."

FDA,s action does not obviate the need for carefully worded legislation. FDA properly regulates on the basis of safety and efficacy -- not ethics. The President,s bill prohibiting the creation of child using somatic cell nuclear transfer provides for continuing public discussion of the ethical

and moral concerns related to this technology. FDA,s regulatory authority buys us time for a more thoughtful, deliberative process for reaching consensus on the appropriate use of cloning technology.

Talking Points on the Use of Cow eggs to create embryos from other species:

This past weekend, University of Wisconsin-Madison researchers released results of their efforts to utilize cow eggs that have had their genetic material removed to create embryos from a number of different species including monkey and pig. The researchers demonstrated that the "maternal factors" contained in cow eggs are sufficient to promote embryo development from genetic material taken from mature cells of another species. None of the embryos created using this technique resulted in live births after they were placed into surrogate mothers.

This is important research that sheds light on the earliest stages of embryo development with potential applications in genetic and cancer research. It is also exciting because it might eventually help in our fight to preserve endangered species.

This is one type of research that we do not want to restrict with poorly worded or an overly broad ban on cloning to produce a human child.

Message Sent

To:

Michael D. Gerich/OMB/EOP

Joshua Silverman/WHO/EOP

Barry J. Toiv/WHO/EOP

Joseph P. Lockhart/WHO/EOP

Nanda Chitre/WHO/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1998 13:43:56.00

SUBJECT: FDA on cloning

TO: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lucia A. Wyman (CN=Lucia A. Wyman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul E. Begala (CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Holly L. Gwin (CN=Holly L. Gwin/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Anthony J. Gibson (CN=Anthony J. Gibson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: John H. Gibbons (CN=John H. Gibbons/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
RAHM:

Today,s Washington Post carries an article on FDA,s assertion of authority to regulate the use of cloning to create a child. The article is based on an interview given last week by Phil Noguchi of FDA (301/827-0462) to Bio Century, a trade newsletter.

It was our impression that FDA had not yet determined the extent of their authority in this regard, based on conversations we had with HHS chief of staff, Bill Corr and Bill Schultz, FDA Deputy Commissioner for Policy, in preparation for the President,s January 10 radio address on cloning. Jordan Tamagni, the speechwriter asked if FDA had jurisdiction? We called Corr and Schultz who recommended that the President not refer to FDA,s authority in his speech because such authority was unclear, despite Secretary Shalala,s assertion, earlier that week.

Although it is likely that FDA does have the authority, making this announcement forecloses some of our options for dealing with Dr. Seed without engaging in the embryo research debate. The President's legislation is intentionally silent on embryo research, but other initiatives (Congressman Ehlers', for example) put a more permanent prohibition on such research front and center. Keeping a focus on cloning and getting that bill done quickly might have been easier without this option of FDA regulation. It might also have been desirable to have the President announce the regulatory option, and instruct FDA to utilize it.

We should proceed with a call for legislation because FDA can only address the safety aspects of cloning. Once these are resolved, we are still left with the ethical concerns. The Administration measure calls for continuing public debate to assess current thinking. The ethical arguments may change as demand increases for access to the technology. This may happen in response to increasing knowledge about the potential applications of baby-making via cloning. An example would be the ability to have a child despite a mother's genetic disease. Our bill has a sunset clause to force such debate. NBAC recommended a 3-5 year sunset, and the White House went with the outside number.

OSTP's expert on this issue is Rachel Levinson (6-6137).

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lucia A. Wyman (CN=Lucia A. Wyman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1998 14:40:33.00

SUBJECT: cloning legislation

TO: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul E. Begala (CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Ehlers has two bills:

1. federal funds ban
2. human embryo cloning ban

The legislative debate on this issue needs to be carefully considered. Without a doubt, the right to life interests will jump on the chance to further their cause. I have already seen their tracks on the Hill. I have met w/Feinstein's staff and they understand the difficulties. Also, I have met w/members and staff of House Science Committee.

Ideally, Jeff, you are right about restricting the debate by carefully, tightly drawn legislation. Prior to today's FDA article, I thought it might be possible to rush legislation through by the urgency of controlling the Dr. Seed's. I'm not at all optimistic now and am assured by Hill folks that tightly drawn legislation will be amended. We have a couple of options: refine our language (Feinstein is leaning toward our draft) and actively work w/WH and Agency involvement to keep it tight; refine our legislation and let the contacts come from HHS; or restate our position and let the Hill fight it out. In all cases, we have to be prepared to consider a veto.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Darby E. Stott (CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1998 11:09:51.00

SUBJECT: guidance

TO: Jake Siewert (CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: RUBIN_E (RUBIN_E @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Natalie S. Wozniak (CN=Natalie S. Wozniak/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: LUZZATTO_A (LUZZATTO_A @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: CROWLEY_P (CROWLEY_P @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael D. McCurry (CN=Michael D. McCurry/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Event

1. Medal of Honor - paper
2. VP Workplace discrimination on genetic information- JS

Domestic

1. Medicare - JL
2. Census replacement - BT
3. Deadbeat doactors - JL
4. Cloning - JS
5. Asian Markets - Jake

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Terri J. Tingen (CN=Terri J. Tingen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1998 18:43:29.00

SUBJECT: Re: Re[6]: Beach House--Outer Banks, NC

TO: eganc.rced (eganc.rced @ gao.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I guess I hadn't really stopped to think about the severe and dire
consequences this whole human cloning thing could wreak on us all.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lucia A. Wyman (CN=Lucia A. Wyman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1998 17:59:20.00

SUBJECT: cloning legislation

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Lucia A. Wyman/WHO/EOP on 01/20/98
05:54 PM -----

Lucia A. Wyman
01/20/98 02:40:26 PM
Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc:

Subject: cloning legislation

Ehlers has two bills:

1. federal funds ban
2. human embryo cloning ban

The legislative debate on this issue needs to be carefully considered. Without a doubt, the right to life interests will jump on the chance to further their cause. I have already seen their tracks on the Hill. I have met w/Feinstein's staff and they understand the difficulties. Also, I have met w/members and staff of House Science Committee.

Ideally, Jeff, you are right about restricting the debate by carefully, tightly drawn legislation. Prior to today's FDA article, I thought it might be possible to rush legislation through by the urgency of controlling the Dr. Seed's. I'm not at all optimistic now and am assured by Hill folks that tightly drawn legislation will be amended. We have a couple of options: refine our language (Feinstein is leaning toward our draft) and actively work w/WH and Agency involvement to keep it tight; refine our legislation and let the contacts come from HHS; or restate our position and let the Hill fight it out. In all cases, we have to be prepared to consider a veto.

Message Sent

To: _____

Jeffrey M. Smith/OSTP/EOP
Rahm I. Emanuel/WHO/EOP
Paul E. Begala/WHO/EOP
Rachel E. Levinson/OSTP/EOP
Michelle Crisci/WHO/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1998 10:52:13.00

SUBJECT: Cloning

TO: Lucia A. Wyman (CN=Lucia A. Wyman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I wanted to catch up with on this issue and what is happening on the Hill. Are there hearings scheduled o=r any bills about to move?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Dawn L. Smalls (CN=Dawn L. Smalls/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1998 14:18:38.00

SUBJECT: Cloning

TO: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Dawn L. Smalls/WHO/EOP on 01/21/98
02:18 PM -----

Lucia A. Wyman
01/21/98 02:17:38 PM
Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message
cc: Dawn L. Smalls/WHO/EOP, Michelle Crisci/WHO/EOP, Eleanor S.
Parker/WHO/EOP
Subject: Cloning

There is considerable movement on the Hill on cloning and expect
legislation to move quickly. The White House and agencies are receiving
many calls requesting information and briefings. Our policy position,
legislative strategy and communication strategy will be determined by
decisions on options Rachel Levinson is preparing in a paper she would
like to go over with you tomorrow at 4:15.
Please let me know if you will attend this meeting. I will e-mail you
w/room for meeting later today.

Message Sent

To: _____
John Podesta/WHO/EOP
Rahm I. Emanuel/WHO/EOP
Paul E. Begala/WHO/EOP
Jeffrey M. Smith/OSTP/EOP
Rachel E. Levinson/OSTP/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1998 10:25:15.00

SUBJECT: 1/15 cloning story -- cows

TO: Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Darby E. Stott (CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We don't need this for book, but I think Mike would want to know this.

----- Forwarded by Barry J. Toiv/WHO/EOP on 01/21/98

10:23 AM -----

Jeffrey M. Smith

01/21/98 10:20:08 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Michael D. McCurry/WHO/EOP, Barry J. Toiv/WHO/EOP, Joseph P. Lockhart/WHO/EOP, Nanda Chitre/WHO/EOP

cc: John H. Gibbons/OSTP/EOP, Holly L. Gwin/OSTP/EOP, Rachel E. Levinson/OSTP/EOP, Clifford J. Gabriel/OSTP/EOP

Subject: 1/15 cloning story -- cows

Cloning of three Holstein calves -- George and Charlie, plus one yet to be named calf.

Q) How does this differ from the cloning of Dolly?

It uses a similar, but a cheaper, more efficient technique. The techniques used by Drs. Stice and Robl of the University of Massachusetts to clone George and Charlie and another calf are similar to the techniques used in Scotland a year ago by Ian Wilmut,s group to clone Dolly. However, the Massachusetts scientists have improved the efficiency of the technique. As scientists gain experience with these methods, additional improvements are expected. Successful cloning in cows holds the promise of producing commercially useful pharmaceuticals for humans in cows, milk.

When they made Dolly, the scientists added cells to 277 eggs. Only 13 developed into embryos, all of which died in early pregnancy, except for one which survived and became Dolly -- a 5 percent success rate. With this new method used in Massachusetts, 5 to 10 percent of the attempts to create embryos met with success.

**Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System
[EMAIL] and Tape Restoration Project [Email]**

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

This marker identifies a responsive email, already made available within another collection.

Collection: Elena Kagan systematic processing project.

Bucket: WHO

Creation Date: 1998-01-21

Subject: Cloning

Creator: Thomas L. Freedman
