

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Sitroom to Steven Andreasen, et al., re: WHSR EVENING SUMMARY 19 JUNE 95 (5 pages)	06/19/1995	P1/b(1)
002. email	Eric Schwartz to Matthew Lorin, re: YOUR COMMENTS PLEASE, ASAP (6 pages)	06/26/1995	P1/b(1)
003. email	Eric Schwartz to Stanley Roth, re: Womens Conference (7 pages)	06/29/1995	P1/b(1)
004. cable	Stanley Roth to Jane Baker, et al., re: More Asia Issues (2 pages)	07/25/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([Beijing Women's Conference])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[10/12/1994 - 07/25/1995]

2012-0094-F

ke3968

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 12 October 94 15:33
FROM Millison, Cathy L.
CLASSIFICATION CONFIDENTIAL
SUBJECT Subject: FW: Long-term Planning [CONFIDENTIAL]
TO Clarke, Richard A.
CARBON_COPY Lindsey, Wanda
Rice, Susan E.
Roundtree, Beverly J.

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines,
September 11, 2006

By KDE NARA, Date 04/20/16

2012-0094-F

TEXT_BODY

I have not included this on this list items for Long-term planning. Since this falls under your "account", I thought you might want to consider it and submit with your input which we really need as soon as possible.

From: Thorup, Cathryn L.
To: Millison, Cathy L.
CC: /N, NonRecord at A1
Subject: RE: Long-term Planning [CONFIDENTIAL]
Date: Tuesday, October 11, 1994 03:09 PM

You might want to include the U.N. 4th World Conference on Women: Action for Equality, Development and Peace to be held in Beijing, China, September 4-15, 1995.

From: Millison, Cathy L.
To: @NSC - NSC Staff
CC: /R, Record at A1
Subject: Long-term Planning [CONFIDENTIAL]
Date: Tuesday, October 11, 1994 02:52 PM

<<Attached File: LONGTERM.DOC>>

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 14 March 95 11:46
FROM Claussen, Eileen B.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Subject: RE: Fact Sheet [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Wales, Jane
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

I think the entire effort has been in the First Lady's office. I was informally asked (within the NSC) if I wanted to track it etc., but I haven't done anything as of now.

From: Wales, Jane M.
To: Claussen, Eileen B.
CC: /N, NonRecord at A1
Subject: RE: Fact Sheet [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Tuesday, March 14, 1995 11:27 AM

Do you know who is responsible in the White House for the Beijing conference?

From: Claussen, Eileen B.
To: Wales, Jane M.
CC: /R, Record at A1
Subject: RE: Fact Sheet [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Friday, March 10, 1995 04:36 PM

I did get your comments, although several hours after I had sent it in. I took some of them -- particularly those I had left out on exporting technologies.
I am trying to do nothing on Beijing, and have succeeded so far.

From: Wales, Jane M.
To: Claussen, Eileen B.
CC: /N, NonRecord at A1
Subject: RE: Fact Sheet [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Friday, March 10, 1995 04:04 PM

I hope you got our comments. Please let me know if there is any way we can be of help. Also, are you working on the Beijing women's conference? National Science Board member Shirley Malcom is interested in playing a role, and I would love to help in any way.

From: Claussen, Eileen B.
To: Wales, Jane M.
CC: /R, Record at A1
Subject: Fact Sheet [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Tuesday, March 07, 1995 10:33 AM

<<Attached File: SUSTAIN.DOC>>

Please clear the attached draft Fact Sheet asap. Thanks

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 22 March 95 12:35

FROM Schwartz, Eric P.

CLASSIFICATION ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SUBJECT FW: WOMEN'S CONFERENCE -- COMMENTS ASAP [~~CONFIDENTIAL~~]

TO Atkin, Timothy J.
Beers, Rand R.
Brown, Dallas
Clarke, Richard A.
Cressey, Roger W.
Darragh, Sean J.
Lindsey, Wanda
Norman, Marcia G.
Rice, Susan E.
Roundtree, Beverly J.
Schwartz, Eric P.
Simon, Steven N.

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines,
September 11, 2006
By KDE NARA, Date 04/20/16
2012 - 0094-F

CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE

TEXT_BODY

From: Schwartz, Eric P.
To: Mack, Kathryn S.; Jones, Steven R.; Laipson, Ellen B.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Rice, Susan E.
Subject: WOMEN'S CONFERENCE -- COMMENTS ASAP
~~[CONFIDENTIAL]~~
Date: Wednesday, March 22, 1995 11:54 AM

[[WOMEN.DOC : 3109 in WOMEN.DOC]]

MEMORANDUM TO Steve Jones, NSC
Ellen Laipson, NSC
Katheryn Mack, NSC/NEC
Bill Galston, DPC

FROM Eric Schwartz

SUBJECT Women's Conference

I have now reviewed the 88 page draft "Platform for Action" for the Fourth World Conference on Women" to be held in Beijing in September. The draft I have reviewed is edited to reflect the US delegation's suggested edits.

While this document should have been interagency cleared, you cannot always

count on that, so I went through it rather carefully. I have identified passages that I want you to check and, if appropriate, edit.

Be aware that this document will not be "black letter law" and we thus have some flexibility with language -- especially language which is aspirational. However, the document is supposed to represent consensus, and if there is anything that you think conflicts with US policy, please let me know.

I have separated the comments into subject areas so you only have to read you own area (Ellen: environment; Kathryn: economic issues, including a few domestic issues on which I'd appreciate NEC comments; Steve: defense; Bill: domestic social policy.)

In some places, I have simply lain out the passage that I think raises questions -- if the passage is OK, let me know; if needs changes, let me know what they are. In other cases, I have offered my suggested fixes, which you can of course alter.

I need to hear back today.

Many thanks.

**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE**

22 March 95 11:47

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME**

WOMEN.DOC

I. ECONOMIC ISSUES

Paragraph 6: "The decade also saw the rise in power of transnational corporations which by their very nature and power are often not accountable to Governments and peoples."

After "transnational corporations", delete remainder of the sentence and insert:

"and the resulting need to ensure their accountability to governments."

Paragraph 39: paragraph talks about how the "ill-effects of Structural Adjustment Programmes" have "undermined" governments' capacity to meet basic needs.

Change paragraph to talk about how the "social impacts of" these programs have "severely limited" governments' ability to meet basic needs.

Paragraph 41: the sentence states that increased poverty "can be attributed partially to the negative impact of Structural Adjustment Programmes and the burden of debt servicing..."

Change to "can be attributed to range of economic and political factors,"

Paragraph 46: To improve the status of women, governments should "generate labour intensive economic policies"

?????

Paragraph 47: Requesting that the IFIs "ensure that structural adjustment programmes do not shift the responsibility of basic social services from governments to women without compensation."

Is that possible?

Also requesting the IFIs to "undertake gender-impact analysis of all structural adjustment programmes and correct any and all negative impact."

Is that OK?

Paragraph 50:

Calls upon commercial banks to "open special windows for lending to women..."

Is that OK?

Paragraph 52:

Calls upon governments to "ensure parity in flow and use of financial resources to low-income households, women entrepreneurs and producers by the year 2005."

Is that OK?

Paragraph 78bis: States that "Considering the free market global economy, and the increasing lack of accountability, working conditions for women, including those who work in the home, must be recognized as a priority."

Should we eliminate everything up until "working conditions"?

112. Currently reads: "The globalization of the economy is undermining women's self-reliant initiatives of savings, production and trade." Insert instead: "The interdependence of the world

economy, while creating opportunities for sustainable growth, also has increased the vulnerability of women's self-reliant initiatives of savings, production and trade."

114.h. States that governments should ensure that gender impact analyses are applied to all macro and micro economic policies. Is that OK?

120.c. Governments are urged to create special investment funds to support women's businesses and target women in trade promotion programmes.

124. Transnational and national corporations are asked to adopt policies and establish mechanisms which grant contracts to self-employed and women entrepreneurs on a parity basis.

124. Transnational and national corporations are asked to adopt policies and establish mechanisms which grant contracts to self-employed and women entrepreneurs on a parity basis.

II. SOCIAL/EQUAL RIGHTS ISSUES

Paragraph 9:

"Nothing short of a major transformation of the relationships between men and women will enable the world to meet the challenges of the new millennium."

Change: "of the relationships between men and" to "in the status of"

Paragraph 16:

US Del inserted language criticizing the UN for failing to implement numerous written and oral commitments to achievement of gender balance by the year 2000 ("failing to implement numerous written and oral commitments to achievement of gender balance by the year 2000").

???

Paragraph 16: "Business and corporate hierarchies remain predominantly the domain of male power."

Change to: "Women continue to be underrepresented in business and corporate hierarchies."

Paragraph:

USDEL would like: "Women who are most often the principal care givers to children, the sick and the elderly, carry these additional responsibilities disproportionately. A reformulation of long-term social policies and investment is required for a more equitable sharing of family responsibilities between men and women."

???

Paragraph 33 bis. USDEL language reads: "Women's human rights will never be fully vindicated until each government ensures for both men and women...a significant position in all aspects of

economic activity, education and training..."

Add "the opportunity for" before "a significant position."

Paragraph 35. The paragraph cites "the lack of implementation of agreed policies on gender balance" as an impediment to women's progress.

?????

Paragraph 52:

Calls upon governments to "ensure parity in flow and use of financial resources to low-income households, women entrepreneurs and producers by the year 2005."

Is that OK?

Paragraph 65: Urges governments to "develop education, training and retraining policies that focus on women..."

???

Paragraph 73: States that increased "privatization of health care" compounds the deterioration of women's health.

Add "without universal access" after the phrase "privatization of health care".

Paragraph 76: States that in communities hit hardest by HIV, women are "disproportionately" serving the traditional role of caretakers.

Paragraph 80dbis: States that governments should "Ensure access by adolescents of both sexes to appropriate health information and confidential services, including reproductive and sexual health..."

???

Paragraph 81: urges governments to reinforce laws, institutions and norms and practices that...compel men and boys to...share equally in child care and household maintenance."

Are we comfortable with such language? How about to "share equitably in child care, household maintenance and other familial responsibilities."

Paragraph 82: Urges design of "programmes for pre-adolescent boys and teenagers, and men of all ages, aimed at encouraging responsible sexual and reproductive behavior, including condom use."

Paragraph 85: on increasing the percentage of women scientists. Subpara b states the target of 30% by 2000, "recognizing that full equality should be achieved at the earliest possible date."

Is that formulation OK?

Paragraph 89: "Physical, psychological or sexual violence...is linked to male power privilege and control." How about "linked to established patterns of power that exclude women."

Paragraph 105: Urges governments to take action to "ensure" "equal participation of women numerically and qualitatively in all UN fora". Can we ensure this?

Also calls for ensuring "that there is gender parity" on international bodies such as the War Crimes Tribunal and the ICJ". Again, is this OK?

114.h. States that governments should ensure that gender impact analyses are applied to all macro and micro economic policies. Is that OK?

120.c. Governments are urged to create special investment funds to support women's businesses and target women in trade promotion programmes.

124. Transnational and national corporations are asked to adopt policies and establish mechanisms which grant contracts to self-employed and women entrepreneurs on a parity basis.

125d. Calls on states to implement and monitor affirmative action programmes in recruitment and promotion of women in all sectors.

h. Calls upon governments to enact legislation which ensures equal

pay for equal work.

126. Governments are urged to extend the protection of labour and social security laws to part-time, temporary jobs, seasonal, contractual and home-based workers and enact laws to promote career development based on flexible work-conditions.

Governments are urged to enact laws which grant parental leave to both women and men.

127. Governments, the private sector and NGOs are urged to (d) provide on-site and other childcare service which accommodate the needs of working mothers.

131. This paragraph, in the section dealing with inequality, states that "inequality in the public arena often starts with discriminatory attitudes and practices within the household where power relations between men and women are first defined." It then states that "non-formal networks and patterns of decision-making which reflect a dominant male ethos restrict women's ability to participate equally in political and economic life."

133. Government are urged to "enact and enforce laws which guarantee women's equality in political participation."

Governments are also called upon to establish targets and mechanisms for the appointment of women to the public service at decision-making levels.

Governments are also urged to appoint women on a parity basis to public advisory boards and other bodies.

134. Political parties are called upon to establish targets and mechanisms for inclusion of women in the lists of political parties as candidates for public office. They are urged to adopt the goal of parity and partnership in all internal policy-making structures, with the goal of parity representation being observed in all appointive and electoral nominating processes.

136. Urges the United Nations to "adopt and implement existing and new policies and measures relating to all types of contracts in order to achieve complete overall gender parity in employment at the professional level by the year 2000."

Also calls upon the UN to "adopt affirmative action measures to achieve gender balance in all committees by the year 2000."

Also calls upon the UN to "monitor progress towards achieving the Secretary General's target of 50% but at least 40% of managerial and

decision-making positions are held by women by the year 2000."

146. Calls upon statistical services to "ensure that all statistics related to individuals are collected, analyzed and presented by sex, age, and socio-economic status..."

164. Comment on media: Strike "and to Challenge the power of an industry which is outside public control and is self-regulatory."

165. Governments are urged to appoint women on a parity basis to all advisory, management, regulatory or monitoring bodies, including those connected to state or public mass media. Is this OK?

166C. Change "Elaborate" to "Encourage" (self regulation)

197. Call upon governments to ensure gender parity between men and women in all national committees, boards and other officials bodies."

223. Urges the UN Office of Human Resources Management to take necessary measures to achieve the target of 50 percent women in posts subject to geographical distribution. It should also aim to achieve at least 40 percent women at the principal officer level and above by the year 2000.

228. States that each organization should take steps to increase proportion of professional women among its staff .. so that at "least 50% are women..."

244. Calls for doubling resources targeted toward eliminating disparities between men and women in technical assistance and lending activities. Better formulation: eliminating inequality for women.

III. Defense Issues

Paragraph 67: Urges reallocation of budgetary resources from other sectors, "such as the military", to the educational sector.

OK?

Paragraph 106: Calls on governments to "register and ultimately eliminate weapons development, production, deployment and sales, including, as a first step, expanding the UN Register of Arms Transfers to include production, making reporting obligatory, and including all types of weapons, such as chemical and biological weapons.

???

106d bis, calls on governments to ratify the CCW and consider favorably strengthening Protocol II.

107. Calls upon governments to consider ratifying the 1977 Additional Protocol to the Geneva Convention.

108. Calls upon governments to consider creating national civilian commission, with equal male-female representation, that would, subject to national security safeguards, open to public scrutiny relevant military activities, expenditures, research and development.

238. States that governments "should reduce military expenditures." Should we say "unnecessary" military expenditures.

IV. MIGRATION

109. "Calls upon international community to extend the protection of the Geneva Convention on Refugees to all displaced persons." This language is too broad. How about examine extension of the Geneva Convention to ensure basic protections to all displaced persons, including protection from return to conditions that would put them at risk."

109e. Calls for granting refugee women and girls equal access to benefits. Should specify that we are talking about benefits available under the Refugee Convention and Protocol.

109f. Prior to "civil", insert "those". After "responsibilities", insert "that would enhance their participation in the host societies". Before "facilitating", insert "consider" [assuming "consider" is meant to modify "facilitating"].

V. Environment

177. Governments are urged to enact laws which "reduce ... the genetic manipulation of life-forms". Is this Clinton Administration policy?

182f. Calls upon governments to incorporate environmental, social and gender impact assessment into the development and monitoring of all environmental programs and projects.

VI. Miscellaneous

151. First sentence. This should read: "In countries that have not become party to the Convention or where serious reservations have been taken, and where national laws do not otherwise comply with international norms, women's de jure equality is not yet

secured."

157e. Strike everything after "...to the Convention". (i.e., get rid of language beginning with "with a view to...")

242. States that the official development assistance target of .7 percent should be maintained. Do we want to keep that language?

245. Calls on governments to increase substantially resources provided by governments by the year 2000.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 22 March 95 14:52
FROM Schwartz, Eric P.
CLASSIFICATION ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
SUBJECT FW: WOMEN'S CONFERENCE -- COMMENTS ASAP ~~[CONFIDENTIAL]~~
TO Claussen, Eileen B.
CARBON_COPY Laipson, Ellen B.
TEXT_BODY

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines,
September 11, 2006
By KDE NARA, Date 04/20/16
2012-0094-F

Eileen:

I'm a dope. I sent this to ellen laipson instead of eileen claussen. Would you look at the environmental part of this please?

Eric

From: Schwartz, Eric P.
To: Mack, Kathryn S.; Jones, Steven R.; Laipson, Ellen B.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Rice, Susan E.
Subject: WOMEN'S CONFERENCE -- COMMENTS ASAP
~~[CONFIDENTIAL]~~
Date: Wednesday, March 22, 1995 11:54 AM

[[WOMEN.DOC : 3658 in WOMEN.DOC]]

MEMORANDUM TO Steve Jones, NSC
Ellen Laipson, NSC
Katheryn Mack, NSC/NEC
Bill Galston, DPC

FROM Eric Schwartz

SUBJECT Women's Conference

I have now reviewed the 88 page draft "Platform for Action" for the Fourth World Conference on Women" to be held in Beijing in September. The draft I have reviewed is edited to reflect the US delegation's suggested edits.

While this document should have been interagency cleared, you cannot always count on that, so I went through it rather carefully. I have identified passages that I want you to check and, if appropriate, edit.

Be aware that this document will not be "black letter law" and we thus have some flexibility with language -- especially language which is aspirational. However, the document is supposed to represent consensus, and if there is

anything that you think conflicts with US policy, please let me know.

I have separated the comments into subject areas so you only have to read you own area (Ellen: environment; Kathryn: economic issues, including a few domestic issues on which I'd appreciate NEC comments; Steve: defense; Bill: domestic social policy.)

In some places, I have simply lain out the passage that I think raises questions -- if the passage is OK, let me know; if needs changes, let me know what they are. In other cases, I have offerred my suggested fixes, which you can of course alter.

I need to hear back today.

Many thanks.

**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE**

22 March 95 11:47

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME**

WOMEN.DOC

I. ECONOMIC ISSUES

Paragraph 6: "The decade also saw the rise in power of transnational corporations which by their very nature and power are often not accountable to Governments and peoples."

After "transnational corporations", delete remainder of the sentence and insert:

"and the resulting need to ensure their accountability to governments."

Paragraph 39: paragraph talks about how the "ill-effects of Structural Adjustment Programmes" have "undermined" governments' capacity to meet basic needs.

Change paragraph to talk about how the "social impacts of" these programs have "severely limited" governments' ability to meet basic needs.

Paragraph 41: the sentence states that increased poverty "can be attributed partially to the negative impact of Structural Adjustment Programmes and the burden of debt servicing..."

Change to "can be attributed to range of economic and political factors,"

Paragraph 46: To improve the status of women, governments should "generate labour intensive economic policies"

?????

Paragraph 47: Requesting that the IFIs "ensure that structural adjustment programmes do not shift the responsibility of basic social services from governments to women without compensation."

Is that possible?

Also requesting the IFIs to "undertake gender-impact analysis of all structural adjustment programmes and correct any and all negative impact."

Is that OK?

Paragraph 50:

Calls upon commercial banks to "open special windows for lending to women..."

Is that OK?

Paragraph 52:

Calls upon governments to "ensure parity in flow and use of financial resources to low-income households, women entrepreneurs and producers by the year 2005."

Is that OK?

Paragraph 78bis: States that "Considering the free market global economy, and the increasing lack of accountability, working conditions for women, including those who work in the home, must be recognized as a priority."

Should we eliminate everything up until "working conditions"?

112. Currently reads: "The globalization of the economy is undermining women's self-reliant initiatives of savings, production and trade." Insert instead: "The interdependence of the world economy, while creating opportunities for sustainable growth, also has increased the vulnerability of women's self-reliant initiatives of savings, production and trade."

114.h. States that governments should ensure that gender impact analyses are applied to all macro and micro economic policies. Is

that OK?

120.c. Governments are urged to create special investment funds to support women's businesses and target women in trade promotion programmes.

124. Transnational and national corporations are asked to adopt policies and establish mechanisms which grant contracts to self-employed and women entrepreneurs on a parity basis.

124. Transnational and national corporations are asked to adopt policies and establish mechanisms which grant contracts to self-employed and women entrepreneurs on a parity basis.

II. SOCIAL/EQUAL RIGHTS ISSUES

Paragraph 9:

"Nothing short of a major transformation of the relationships between men and women will enable the world to meet the challenges of the new millennium."

Change: "of the relationships between men and" to "in the status of"

Paragraph 16:

US Del inserted language criticizing the UN for failing to implement numerous written and oral commitments to achievement of gender balance by the year 2000 ("failing to implement numerous written and oral commitments to achievement of gender balance by the year 2000").

???

Paragraph 16: "Business and corporate hierarchies remain predominantly the domain of male power."

Change to: "Women continue to be underrepresented in business and corporate hierarchies."

Paragraph:

USDEL would like: "Women who are most often the principal care gives to children, the sick and the elderly, carry these additional responsibilities disproportionately. A reformulation of long-term social policies and investment is required for a more equitable sharing of family responsibilities between men and women."

???

Paragraph 33 bis. USDEL language reads: "Women's human rights will never be fully vindicated until each government ensures for both men and women...a significant position in all aspects of

economic activity, education and training..."

Add "the opportunity for" before "a significant position."

Paragraph 35. The paragraph cites "the lack of implementation of agreed policies on gender balance" as an impediment to women's progress.

?????

Paragraph 52:

Calls upon governments to "ensure parity in flow and use of financial resources to low-income households, women entrepreneurs and producers by the year 2005."

Is that OK?

Paragraph 65: Urges governments to "develop education, training and retraining policies that focus on women..."

???

Paragraph 73: States that increased "privatization of health care" compounds the deterioration of women's health.

Add "without universal access" after the phrase "privatization of health care".

Paragraph 76: States that in communities hit hardest by HIV, women are "disproportionately" serving the traditional role of caretakers.

Paragraph 80dbis: States that governments should "Ensure access by adolescents of both sexes to appropriate health information and confidential services, including reproductive and sexual health..."

???

Paragraph 81: urges governments to reinforce laws, institutions and norms and practices that...compel men and boys to...share equally in child care and household maintenance."

Are we comfortable with such language? How about to "share equitably in child care, household maintenance and other familial responsibilities."

Paragraph 82: Urges design of "programmes for pre-adolescent boys and teenagers, and men of all ages, aimed at encouraging responsible sexual and reproductive behavior, including condom use."

Paragraph 85: on increasing the percentage of women scientists. Subpara b states the target of 30% by 2000, "recognizing that full equality should be achieved at the earliest possible date."

Is that formulation OK?

Paragraph 89: "Physical, psychological or sexual violence...is linked to male power privilege and control." How about "linked to established patterns of power that exclude women."

Paragraph 105: Urges governments to take action to "ensure" "equal participation of women numerically and qualitatively in all UN fora". Can we ensure this?

Also calls for ensuring "that there is gender parity" on international bodies such as the War Crimes Tribunal and the ICJ". Again, is this OK?

114.h. States that governments should ensure that gender impact analyses are applied to all macro and micro economic policies. Is that OK?

120.c. Governments are urged to create special investment funds to support women's businesses and target women in trade promotion programmes.

124. Transnational and national corporations are asked to adopt policies and establish mechanisms which grant contracts to self-employed and women entrepreneurs on a parity basis.

125d. Calls on states to implement and monitor affirmative action programmes in recruitment and promotion of women in all sectors.

h. Calls upon governments to enact legislation which ensures equal pay for equal work.

126. Governments are urged to extend the protection of labour and social security laws to part-time, temporary jobs, seasonal, contractual and home-based workers and enact laws to promote career development based on flexible work-conditions.

Governments are urged to enact laws which grant parental leave to both women and men.

127. Governments, the private sector and NGOs are urged to (d) provide on-site and other childcare service which accommodate the needs of working mothers.

131. This paragraph, in the section dealing with inequality, states that "inequality in the public arena often starts with discriminatory attitudes and practices within the household where power relations between men and women are first defined." It then states that "non-formal networks and patterns of decision-making which reflect a dominant male ethos restrict women's ability to participate equally in political and economic life."

133. Government are urged to "enact and enforce laws which guarantee women's equality in political participation."

Governments are also called upon to establish targets and mechanisms for the appointment of women to the public service at decision-making levels.

Governments are also urged to appoint women on a parity basis to public advisory boards and other bodies.

134. Political parties are called upon to establish targets and mechanisms for inclusion of women in the lists of political parties as candidates for public office. They are urged to adopt the goal of parity and partnership in all internal policy-making structures, with the goal of parity representation being observed in all appointive and electoral nominating processes.

136. Urges the United Nations to "adopt and implement existing and new policies and measures relating to all types of contracts in order to achieve complete overall gender parity in employment at the professional level by the year 2000."

Also calls upon the UN to "adopt affirmative action measures to achieve gender balance in all committees by the year 2000."

Also calls upon the UN to "monitor progress towards achieving the Secretary General's target of 50% but at least 40% of managerial and decision-making positions are held by women by the year 2000."

146. Calls upon statistical services to "ensure that all statistics related to individuals are collected, analyzed and presented by sex, age, and socio-economic status..."

164. Comment on media: Strike "and to Challenge the power of an industry which is outside public control and is self-reg-ulatory."

165. Governments are urged to appoint women on a parity basis to all advisory, management, regulatory or monitoring bodies, including those connected to state or public mass media. Is this OK?

166C. Change "Elaborate" to "Encourage" (self regulation)

197. Call upon governments to ensure gender parity between men and women in all national committees, boards and other officials bodies."

223. Urges the UN Office of Human Resources Management to take necessary measures to achieve the target of 50 percent women in posts subject to geographical distribution. It should also aim to achieve at least 40 percent women at the principal officer level and above by the year 2000.

228. States that each organization should take steps to increase proportion of professional women among its staff .. so that at "least 50% are women..."

244. Calls for doubling resources targeted toward eliminating disparities between men and women in technical assistance and lending activities. Better formulation: eliminating inequality for women.

III. Defense Issues

Paragraph 67: Urges reallocation of budgetary resources from other sectors, "such as the military", to the educational sector.

OK?

Paragraph 106: Calls on governments to "register and ultimately eliminate weapons development, production, deployment and sales, including, as a first step, expanding the UN Register of Arms Transfers to include production, making reporting obligatory, and including all types of weapons, such as chemical and biological weapons.

???

106d bis, calls on governments to ratify the CCW and consider favorably strengthening Protocol II.

107. Calls upon governments to consider ratifying the 1977 Additional Protocol to the Geneva Convention.

108. Calls upon governments to consider creating national civilian commission, with equal male-female representation, that would, subject to national security safeguards, open to public scrutiny relevant military activities, expenditures, research and development.

238. States that governments "should reduce military expenditures." Should we say "unnecessary" military expenditures.

IV. MIGRATION

109. "Calls upon international community to extend the protection of the Geneva Convention on Refugees to all displaced persons." This language is too broad. How about examine extension of the Geneva Convention to ensure basic protections to all displaced persons, including protection from return to conditions that would put them at risk."

109e. Calls for granting refugee women and girls equal access to benefits. Should specify that we are talking about benefits available under the Refugee Convention and Protocol.

109f. Prior to "civil", insert "those". After "responsibilities", insert "that would enhance their participation in the host societies". Before "facilitating", insert "consider" [assuming "consider" is meant to modify "facilitating"].

V. Environment

177. Governments are urged to enact laws which "reduce ... the genetic manipulation of life-forms". Is this Clinton Administration policy?

182f. Calls upon governments to incorporate environmental, social and gender impact assessment into the development and monitoring of all environmental programs and projects.

VI. Miscellaneous

151. First sentence. This should read: "In countries that have not become party to the Convention or where serious reservations have been taken, and where national laws do not otherwise comply with international norms, women's de jure equality is not yet secured."

157e. Strike everything after "...to the Convention". (i.e., get rid of language beginning with "with a view to...")

242. States that the official development assistance target of .7

percent should be maintained. Do we want to keep that language?

245. Calls on governments to increase substantially resources provided by governments by the year 2000.

M S M a i l

By KDE NARA, Date 04/20/16
2012-0094-F

DATE-TIME 22 March 95 16:16
FROM Bell, Robert G.
CLASSIFICATION ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
SUBJECT FW: WOMEN'S CONFERENCE -- COMMENTS ASAP ~~[CONFIDENTIAL]~~
TO Schwartz, Eric P.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

Eric: I have REAL heartburn with the Defense section, which I believe would be a major political embarrassment to the Administration and which unless revised our critics would use as a "smoking gun" that we are fuzzy-headed on defense. Can we get together ASAP? Also, why the short suspense if this conference is in September?

From: Jones, Steven R.
To: Bell, Robert G.
CC: /R, Record at A1
Subject: FW: WOMEN'S CONFERENCE -- COMMENTS ASAP
~~[CONFIDENTIAL]~~
Date: Wednesday, March 22, 1995 12:49 PM

[[WOMEN.DOC : 4177 in WOMEN.DOC]]

Bob - Section III below has several statements on defense that I believe need to be modified to reflect current U.S. national security policy. I have put a hard copy in your inbox with some initial suggestions on defense expenditure statements (need your help on the arms control points). Given some of the attached social policy points, I'm skeptical of the entire document and conference. Why wasn't this put through some type of interagency process rather than a last-minute input on so many obviously controversial points? Would you make the final input to Eric on this?
Steve.

From: Schwartz, Eric P.
To: Jones, Steven R.; Laipson, Ellen B.; Mack, Kathryn S.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Rice, Susan E.
Subject: WOMEN'S CONFERENCE -- COMMENTS ASAP
~~[CONFIDENTIAL]~~
Date: Wednesday, March 22, 1995 11:54 AM

MEMORANDUM TO Steve Jones, NSC
Ellen Laipson, NSC

Katheryn Mack, NSC/NEC
Bill Galston, DPC

FROM Eric Schwartz

SUBJECT Women's Conference

I have now reviewed the 88 page draft "Platform for Action" for the Fourth World Conference on Women" to be held in Beijing in September. The draft I have reviewed is edited to reflect the US delegation's suggested edits.

While this document should have been interagency cleared, you cannot always count on that, so I went through it rather carefully. I have identified passages that I want you to check and, if appropriate, edit.

Be aware that this document will not be "black letter law" and we thus have some flexibility with language -- especially language which is aspirational. However, the document is supposed to represent consensus, and if there is anything that you think conflicts with US policy, please let me know.

I have separated the comments into subject areas so you only have to read you own area (Ellen: environment; Kathryn: economic issues, including a few domestic issues on which I'd appreciate NEC comments; Steve: defense; Bill: domestic social policy.)

In some places, I have simply lain out the passage that I think raises questions -- if the passage is OK, let me know; if needs changes, let me know what they are. In other cases, I have offered my suggested fixes, which you can of course alter.

I need to hear back today.

Many thanks.

**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE**

22 March 95 11:47

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME**

WOMEN.DOC

I. ECONOMIC ISSUES

Paragraph 6: "The decade also saw the rise in power of transnational corporations which by their very nature and power are often not accountable to Governments and peoples."

After "transnational corporations", delete remainder of the sentence and insert:

"and the resulting need to ensure their accountability to governments."

Paragraph 39: paragraph talks about how the "ill-effects of Structural Adjustment Programmes" have "undermined" governments' capacity to meet basic needs.

Change paragraph to talk about how the "social impacts of" these programs have "severely limited" governments' ability to meet basic needs.

Paragraph 41: the sentence states that increased poverty "can be attributed partially to the negative impact of Structural Adjustment Programmes and the burden of debt servicing..."

Change to "can be attributed to range of economic and political factors,"

Paragraph 46: To improve the status of women, governments should "generate labour intensive economic policies"

?????

Paragraph 47: Requesting that the IFIs "ensure that structural adjustment programmes do not shift the responsibility of basic social services from governments to women without compensation."

Is that possible?

Also requesting the IFIs to "undertake gender-impact analysis of all structural adjustment programmes and correct any and all negative impact."

Is that OK?

Paragraph 50:

Calls upon commercial banks to "open special windows for lending to women..."

Is that OK?

Paragraph 52:

Calls upon governments to "ensure parity in flow and use of financial resources to low-income households, women entrepreneurs and producers by the year 2005."

Is that OK?

Paragraph 78bis: States that "Considering the free market global economy, and the increasing lack of accountability, working conditions for women, including those who work in the home, must be recognized as a priority."

Should we eliminate everything up until "working conditions"?

112. Currently reads: "The globalization of the economy is undermining women's self-reliant initiatives of savings, production and trade." Insert instead: "The interdependence of the world economy, while creating opportunities for sustainable growth, also has increased the vulnerability of women's self-reliant initiatives of savings, production and trade."

114.h. States that governments should ensure that gender impact analyses are applied to all macro and micro economic policies. Is that OK?

120.c. Governments are urged to create special investment funds to support women's businesses and target women in trade promotion programmes.

124. Transnational and national corporations are asked to adopt policies and establish mechanisms which grant contracts to self-employed and women entrepreneurs on a parity basis.

124. Transnational and national corporations are asked to adopt policies and establish mechanisms which grant contracts to self-employed and women entrepreneurs on a parity basis.

II. SOCIAL/EQUAL RIGHTS ISSUES

Paragraph 9:

"Nothing short of a major transformation of the relationships between men and women will enable the world to meet the challenges of the new millennium."

Change: "of the relationships between men and" to "in the status of"

Paragraph 16:

US Del inserted language criticizing the UN for failing to implement numerous written and oral commitments to achievement of gender balance by the year 2000 ("failing to implement numerous written and oral commitments to achievement of gender balance by the

year 2000").

???

Paragraph 16: "Business and corporate hierarchies remain predominantly the domain of male power."

Change to: "Women continue to be underrepresented in business and corporate hierarchies."

Paragraph:

USDEL would like: "Women who are most often the principal care gives to children, the sick and the elderly, carry these additional responsibilities disproportionately. A reformulation of long-term social policies and investment is required for a more equitable sharing of family responsibilities between men and women."

???

Paragraph 33 bis. USDEL language reads: "Women's human rights will never be fully vindicated until each government ensures for both men and women...a significant position in all aspects of economic activity, education and training..."

Add "the opportunity for" before "a significant position."

Paragraph 35. The paragraph cites "the lack of implementation of agreed policies on gender balance" as an impediment to women's progress.

?????

Paragraph 52:

Calls upon governments to "ensure parity in flow and use of financial resources to low-income households, women entrepreneurs and producers by the year 2005."

Is that OK?

Paragraph 65: Urges governments to "develop education, training and retraining policies that focus on women..."

???

Paragraph 73: States that increased "privatization of health care"

compounds the deterioration of women's health.

Add "without universal access" after the phrase "privatization of health care".

Paragraph 76: States that in communities hit hardest by HIV, women are "disproportionately" serving the traditional role of caretakers.

Paragraph 80dbis: States that governments should "Ensure access by adolescents of both sexes to appropriate health information and confidential services, including reproductive and sexual health..."

???

Paragraph 81: urges governments to reinforce laws, institutions and norms and practices that...compel men and boys to...share equally in child care and household maintenance."

Are we comfortable with such language? How about to "share equitably in child care, household maintenance and other familial responsibilities."

Paragraph 82: Urges design of "programmes for pre-adolescent boys and teenagers, and men of all ages, aimed at encouraging responsible sexual and reproductive behavior, including condom use."

Paragraph 85: on increasing the percentage of women scientists. Subpara b states the target of 30% by 2000, "recognizing that full equality should be achieved at the earliest possible date."

Is that formulation OK?

Paragraph 89: "Physical, psychological or sexual violence...is linked to male power privilege and control." How about "linked to established patterns of power that exclude women."

Paragraph 105: Urges governments to take action to "ensure" "equal participation of women numerically and qualitatively in all UN fora". Can we ensure this?

Also calls for ensuring "that there is gender parity" on international bodies such as the War Crimes Tribunal and the ICJ". Again, is this OK?

114.h. States that governments should ensure that gender impact analyses are applied to all macro and micro economic policies. Is that OK?

120.c. Governments are urged to create special investment funds to support women's businesses and target women in trade promotion programmes.

124. Transnational and national corporations are asked to adopt policies and establish mechanisms which grant contracts to self-employed and women entrepreneurs on a parity basis.

125d. Calls on states to implement and monitor affirmative action programmes in recruitment and promotion of women in all sectors.

h. Calls upon governments to enact legislation which ensures equal pay for equal work.

126. Governments are urged to extend the protection of labour and social security laws to part-time, temporary jobs, seasonal, contractual and home-based workers and enact laws to promote career development based on flexible work-conditions.

Governments are urged to enact laws which grant parental leave to both women and men.

127. Governments, the private sector and NGOs are urged to (d) provide on-site and other childcare service which accommodate the needs of working mothers.

131. This paragraph, in the section dealing with inequality, states that "inequality in the public arena often starts with discriminatory attitudes and practices within the household where power relations between men and women are first defined." It then states that "non-formal networks and patterns of decision-making which reflect a dominant male ethos restrict women's ability to participate equally in political and economic life."

133. Government are urged to "enact and enforce laws which guarantee women's equality in political participation."

Governments are also called upon to establish targets and mechanisms for the appointment of women to the public service at decision-making levels.

Governments are also urged to appoint women on a parity basis to public advisory boards and other bodies.

134. Political parties are called upon to establish targets and mechanisms for inclusion of women in the lists of political parties as candidates for public office. They are urged to adopt the goal of parity and partnership in all internal policy-making structures, with the goal of parity representation being observed in all appointive and electoral nominating processes.

136. Urges the United Nations to "adopt and implement existing and new policies and measures relating to all types of contracts in order to achieve complete overall gender parity in employment at the professional level by the year 2000."

Also calls upon the UN to "adopt affirmative action measures to achieve gender balance in all committees by the year 2000."

Also calls upon the UN to "monitor progress towards achieving the Secretary General's target of 50% but at least 40% of managerial and decision-making positions are held by women by the year 2000."

146. Calls upon statistical services to "ensure that all statistics related to individuals are collected, analyzed and presented by sex, age, and socio-economic status..."

164. Comment on media: Strike "and to Challenge the power of an industry which is outside public control and is self-regulatory."

165. Governments are urged to appoint women on a parity basis to all advisory, management, regulatory or monitoring bodies, including those connected to state or public mass media. Is this OK?

166C. Change "Elaborate" to "Encourage" (self regulation)

197. Call upon governments to ensure gender parity between men and women in all national committees, boards and other officials bodies."

223. Urges the UN Office of Human Resources Management to take necessary measures to achieve the target of 50 percent women in posts subject to geographical distribution. It should also aim to achieve at least 40 percent women at the principal officer level and above by the year 2000.

228. States that each organization should take steps to increase proportion of professional women among its staff .. so that at "least 50% are women..."

244. Calls for doubling resources targeted toward eliminating disparities between men and women in technical assistance and lending activities. Better formulation: eliminating inequality for women.

III. Defense Issues

Paragraph 67: Urges reallocation of budgetary resources from other sectors, "such as the military", to the educational sector.

OK?

Paragraph 106: Calls on governments to "register and ultimately eliminate weapons development, production, deployment and sales, including, as a first step, expanding the UN Register of Arms Transfers to include production, making reporting obligatory, and including all types of weapons, such as chemical and biological weapons.

???

106d bis, calls on governments to ratify the CCW and consider favorably strengthening Protocol II.

107. Calls upon governments to consider ratifying the 1977 Additional Protocol to the Geneva Convention.

108. Calls upon governments to consider creating national civilian commission, with equal male-female representation, that would, subject to national security safeguards, open to public scrutiny relevant military activities, expenditures, research and development.

238. States that governments "should reduce military expenditures." Should we say "unnecessary" military expenditures.

IV. MIGRATION

109. "Calls upon international community to extend the protection of the Geneva Convention on Refugees to all displaced persons." This language is too broad. How about examine extension of the Geneva Convention to ensure basic protections to all displaced persons, including protection from return to conditions that would put them at risk."

109e. Calls for granting refugee women and girls equal access to benefits. Should specify that we are taking about benefits available under the Refugee Convention and Protocol.

109f. Prior to "civil", insert "those". After "responsibilities", insert "that would enhance their participation in the host societies". Before "facilitating", insert "consider" [assuming "consider" is meant to modify "facilitating"].

V. Environment

177. Governments are urged to enact laws which "reduce ... the genetic manipulation of life-forms". Is this Clinton Administration

policy?

182f. Calls upon governments to incorporate environmental, social and gender impact assessment into the development and monitoring of all environmental programs and projects.

VI. Miscellaneous

151. First sentence. This should read: "In countries that have not become party to the Convention or where serious reservations have been taken, and where national laws do not otherwise comply with international norms, women's de jure equality is not yet secured."

157e. Strike everything after "...to the Convention". (i.e., get rid of language beginning with "with a view to...")

242. States that the official development assistance target of .7 percent should be maintained. Do we want to keep that language?

245. Calls on governments to increase substantially resources provided by governments by the year 2000.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 22 March 95 16:46
FROM Schwartz, Eric P.
CLASSIFICATION ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
SUBJECT Subject: womens conference-~~[CONFIDENTIAL]~~

TO Atkin, Timothy J.
Beers, Rand R.
Brown, Dallas
Clarke, Richard A.
Cressey, Roger W.
Darragh, Sean J.
Lindsey, Wanda
Norman, Marcia G.
Rice, Susan E.
Roundtree, Beverly J.
Schwartz, Eric P.
Simon, Steven N.

CARBON_COPY Bell, Robert G.
Jones, Steven R.
Mack, Kathryn S.
Walsh, Helen C.

TEXT_BODY

talking points for a conversation with Tim Wirth

Tim, I want you to know about my concerns surrounding the "draft platform for the fourth world conference on women", now being negotiated in a prepcom in NY.

The document is a mess, and I'm particularly concerned that the line-by-line edits -- in essence, the preliminary negotiating instructions prepared by State -- still leave the document fatally flawed.

For one thing, it doesn't appear that all agencies have cleared on the language, and other NSC offices have reacted sharply to excerpts that my office has provided them.

As a result, we have had to go through the document in the kind of detail that should have already been done by State and coordinated with other agencies.

Let me give you some examples of the problems we've seen -- everything I'm about to describe reflects language that our own people either added or have

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines,

September 11, 2006

By KDE NARA. Date 04/20/16

2018-0094-F

not sought to delete.

--language that urges the ultimate elimination of weapons development.

--language that endorses numerical quotas in affirmative action that this Administration opposes.

--language that attributes inequality to male dominance and power -- however true that might be, it is not language we want to see in this document.

--language harshly critical of structural adjustment programs that are central to our international economic policy.

--language which would expand the Refugee Convention to include all displaced persons.

And this is just the tip of the iceberg.

We will be sending the delegation detailed comments, but I'd like to urge you to ensure that the delegation makes sure that they are coordinating positions interagency.

I know you had some concerns about the document for the World Summit for Social Development.

Let me tell you that the product for the World Summit was, at the end of the day, pristine compared to what we are looking at with this one.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Sitroom to Steven Andreasen, et al., re: WHSR EVENING SUMMARY 19 JUNE 95 (5 pages)	06/19/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([Beijing Women's Conference])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[10/12/1994 - 07/25/1995]

2012-0094-F
ke3968

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

White House Guidelines,

September 11, 2006

M S M a i l

By KOE NARA, Date 04/06/16
2012-0094-F

DATE-TIME 26 June 95 13:02
FROM Roth, Stanley O.
CLASSIFICATION ~~SECRET~~
SUBJECT Subject: ~~[SECRET]~~ Beijing Women's Conference: Additional Stops
TO Schwartz, Eric P.
CARBON_COPY Suettinger, Robert L.
TEXT_BODY

Eric:

My concept would be to try to "bracket" Beijing by making all the rest of the stops reflect democracy and/or human rights. Specifically, I think the following 3 stops would all provide an opportunity to highlight these themes, would be interesting in their own right and would benefit from a visit by the First Lady:

1. Mongolia

A nice counterpoint to China, given its choice of democracy. I've never been there but I'm told it's fascinating to visit, because it's so impoverished and thus unspoiled. Lots of development issues, including the role of women. Since Mongolia gets very little attention from us, since it's unclear if POTUS will be able to meet Mongolian President at UN (which they've requested) and since we have pitifully little to give them in terms of actual resources, I think a visit by First Lady would provide a needed lift to our bilateral relationship.

I wouldn't recommend this stop in the absence of a trip to Beijing, since China would under those circumstances see it as a snub.

2. Cambodia

The rare UN success story, Cambodian democracy could use some shoring-up. If a one-day trip by Roth was considered a high-level visit, you can imagine the impact of a visit by the First Lady. Some major humanitarian issues to examine, such as demining. The First Lady might also be intrigued by the genocide research being conducted there. If security concerns permit (and they usually do), a trip to Ankor Wat is always a hit.

3. Thailand

Some of the most serious women's issues are at the forefront in Thailand, particularly the extraordinary high AIDs levels among rural Thai women. The First Lady could combine a short visit in Bangkok, which should be appreciated by the Queen (who was recently hosted by the First Lady in Washington) with a trip to Northern Thailand. I don't know if the First

Lady has been to Bangkok before; if not, she will find both the Palace and the boat trip to nearby temples to be exceptionally interesting.

If POTUS decides to go to Thailand in November, as part of Osaka trip, then a Thailand leg wouldn't make sense. A stop in Laos could be substituted. As one of the poorest countries in the world, Laos has a range of development issues that could be explored. The Lao are particularly appreciative of high-level visits, as we've seen from the past two Presidential delegations, and a visit by the First Lady could result in some additional (incremental) progress on POW-MIA issues.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. email	Eric Schwartz to Matthew Lorin, re: YOUR COMMENTS PLEASE, ASAP (6 pages)	06/26/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([Beijing Women's Conference])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[10/12/1994 - 07/25/1995]

2012-0094-F
kc3968

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 28 June 95 19:25

FROM Deshazer, Macarthur X.

CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED

SUBJECT Talking Points for First Lady (Mali & Botswana)[UNCLASSIFIED]

TO Baker, Jane E.
Darby, Melanie B.
Gray, Wendy
Hawkins, Ardenia R.
Hilliard, Brenda I.
Joshi, M. Kay
Millison, Cathy L.

CARBON_COPY Battenfield, Pat
Deshazer, Macarthur X.
McCormick, Shawn H.
Rice, Susan E.

TEXT_BODY [[MALIFL.DOC : 4872 in MALIFL.DOC]][[BOTSWAFL.DOC : 4871 in BOTSWAFL.DOC]]
]]

Attached, per your request, are talking points for the First Lady's meetings tomorrow with Mrs. Konare of Mali and Mrs. Masire of Botswana.

It there is more, please call.

**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE** 28 June 95 19:16

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME** BOTSWAFL.DOC

TALKING POINTS FOR FIRST LADY'S MEETING
WITH MRS. OLEBILE MASIRE OF THE REPUBLIC OF BOTSWANA
Thursday, June 29, 1995

Background

Botswana is Africa's oldest and most prosperous democracy. It has

been a leader and role model not just in southern Africa but throughout the world. One of Botswana's key accomplishments has been in protecting human rights, particularly advancing the rights of women.

Described as an economic "basket case" at its independence, Botswana has wisely used its considerable natural resources (Botswana is the world's largest producer of gem diamonds). With careful cautious development policies, Botswana has boasted the world's highest growth rates over the past two decades, averaging more than 9 percent a year.

Talking Points

-- The United States values its continued excellent relations with Botswana. Botswana is a good friend, an example for other nations, and a force for stability in southern Africa.

-- I understand that Botswana recently hosted the African American Institute's preparatory meeting for the Beijing Women's Conference. The Beijing Women's Conference will address issues of vital importance and I am glad to see nations like Botswana taking an active interest.

-- I applaud the Government of Botswana for its strong efforts to educate its population on HIV and the AIDS pandemic.

-- Botswana should also be proud of its strong record of environmental protection. Botswana's experience demonstrates that conservative management of natural resources provides real economic benefits.

**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE**

28 June 95 18:45

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME**

MALIFL.DOC

TALKING POINTS FOR THE FIRST LADY'S
MEETING WITH MRS. ADAM BA KONARE, FIRST LADY OF MALI
Thursday, June 29, 1995

Background

Mali is one of the stars of the new democrats in West Africa. The President and the National Assembly have taken their responsibilities seriously; welcoming human rights groups; encouraging

grass-root democratic participation; implementing tough economic policies; and promoting development and education; especially among women, as the keys to the future.

Mali is the third largest AID recipient and boasts the largest Peace Corps contingent in Sub-Saharan Africa. USAID supports funding for projects specifically targeted at promoting women's rights and education. One USAID development project, the Haute Vallee Project, promotes the use of village cooperatives to support agricultural marketing, credit, extension services, natural resource management and literacy. Since 1988 self-managed cooperatives have improved access to credit and provided functional literacy training to over 7,000 women. Another education project has helped open 36 new village based schools where half the students and 40 percent of the teachers are female. USAID Mali has also been involved since 1992 in an AIDS education and peer educator training programs which are highly successful and ongoing. Talking Points

-- We applaud steps Mali has taken to address the problems of human rights groups, encouraging grass-root democratic participation and promoting development and education.

-- Role played by women in Mali's economy is crucial. We encourage continuation of programs such as that administered by USAID in the Haute Valle project which give women and small entrepreneurs increased access to credit and literacy programs. In addition, almost 3,000 women have received training in organizing and managing credit unions.

-- The U.S., through our extensive USAID programs strongly supports increased access to education for all Malians, but especially women and girls.

-- I'm pleased to note that our efforts combined with those of your government have helped raise the access rate for seven year old girls to education -- 83 percent between 1989 and 1994.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003. email	Eric Schwartz to Stanley Roth, re: Womens Conference (7 pages)	06/29/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([Beijing Women's Conference])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[10/12/1994 - 07/25/1995]

2012-0094-F
ke3968

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 13 July 95 19:59

FROM Danvers, William C.

CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED

SUBJECT Subject: FW: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]

TO

Andreasen, Steven P.
Andricos, George M.
Aoki, Steven N.
Appel, Edward J.
Argarin, Jocelyn D.
Armstrong, Fulton T.
Arnold, Gary W.
Atkin, Timothy J.
Atlas, Edwin L.
Baker, Jane E.
Baker, James E.
Bakke, Kyle D.
Baldwin, Kenneth
Bassett, Leslie A.
Bass, Peter E.
Battenfield, Pat
Beardsley, Tyler S.
Beers, Rand R.
Behring, Deanna M.
Bellamy, Ralph C.
Bell, Robert G.
Benjamin, Daniel
Bieda, James M.
Birkland, Andrea L.
Blacker, Coit D.
Blakeman, Earle C.
Blieberger, Marion H.
Blinken, Antony J.
Bolinski, Charlene C.
Boorstin, Robert O.
Boright, John
Bradley, Amelia J.
Breeland, Jocelyn G.
Bresnahan, Gary E.
Broadway, Jamuna
Brown, Dallas
Bruton, Robert G.
Bryan, Lloyd D.
Buzalko, Russ

Kevin J. Cadieux
Canty, Mary T.
Carter, Michael E.
Chyba, Christopher F.
Cicio, Kristen K.
Clark, Bronya
Clarke, Richard A.
Claussen, Eileen B.
Cooper, Kathleen H.
Crispell, Thomas G.
Daniel, Patty A.
Danvers, William C.
Darby, Melanie B.
Darnes, Victoria J.
Debortoli, Michelle A.
Deshazer, Macarthur X.
Dimel, Marsha L.
Dohse, Fred J.
Dragone, Karen D.
Drew, Samuel N.
Dupuy, Shawn L.
Dymersky, Debra L.
Eddy, Randolph P.
Edwards, Joan
Emery, Mary C.
Evans, Paul A.
Feinberg, Richard E.
Fetig, James L.
Ficklin, John W.
Flessas, Daniel
Fried, Daniel
Friedrich, M. K.
Froman, Michael B.
Fuerth, Leon S.
Fuhrman, Thomas A.
Funches, Christina L.
Gardner, Anthony
Gates, Brian K.
Genton, Regina A.
George, Christopher
Gerstner, Christina L.
Glinski, David
Gorsuch, Robert P.
Gray, Wendy
Guekel, Kathi A.
Hale, John E.
Hall, Wilma G.
Halperin, Morton H.
Hamilton, Roy A.
Hammond, Cathy J.

Hammonds, Lisa W.
Harmon, Joyce A.
Harris, Elisa D.
Harrison, Lyle M.
Hawes, David J.
Hawkins, Ardenia R.
Herrington, David E.
Heslin, Sheila N.
Hilliard, Brenda I.
Hill, Roseanne M.
Hilty, JoAnne M.
Hofmann, Stephen D.
Houck, Baerbel K.
Hunerwadel, Joan S.
Jensen-Moran, Jeri L.
Jensen, Noelle B.
Jones, Steven R.
Joshi, M. Kay
Kammerer, Kelly C.
Kelly, Sandra L.
Kerr, Andrew S.
Ketterer, James P.
Kreczko, Alan J.
Kyle, Robert D.
Laipson, Ellen B.
Leary, William H.
Lindsey, Wanda
Litwak, Robert C.
Longoria, Michael A.
Lorin, Matthew E.
Lowry, Jay E.
Mack, Kathryn S.
Malley, Robert
Marmol, Madelyn P.
Marshall, Betty A.
Marshall, Dana M.
Martinez, Alejandro
Martin, Roger L.
Stefan T. Mauzy
Maxfield, Nancy H.
Mazzuchi, Anthony D.
McCormick, Shawn H.
McIntyre, Stuart H.
Merchant, Brian
Miller, Matthew E.
Millison, Cathy L.
Mitchell, Calvin A.
Mitsler, Elaine M.
Miyaoka, Lester H.
Moody, Angelyn D.

Moore, Lori A.
Neil, M. Elise
Niemi, Stephen
Norman, Marcia G.
O'Loughlin, Katherine
Parco, Jim E.
Gwyn M. Parker
Parramore, Carl W.
Parris, Mark R.
Pascual, Carlos E.
Pease, Bruce E.
Peggins, John W.
Peters, Mary A.
Pifer, Steven K.
Pitman, James
Poneman, Daniel B.
Poppiti, Victoria L.
Porter, Gidell
Quinn, Mary E.
Ramsay, Martha S.
Reade, Evan G.
Realuyo, Celina B.
Reed, George
Rice, Susan E.
Rose, Gideon G.
Roth, Stanley O.
Roundtree, Beverly J.
Salvetti, Lisa M.
Sanborn, Daniel R. K.
Satterfield, David M.
Saunders, John W.
Saunders, Richard M.
Schifter, Richard
Schmidt, John R.
Schmidt, Sandra E.
Schwartz, Eric P.
Sculimbrene, Thomas A.
Seaton, James B.
Seeley, Charlotte P.
Sens, Andrew D.
Sestak, Joseph A.
Sevigny, Theodore T.
Sheehan, Michael A.
Sigler, Ralph
Simon, Steven N.
Simons, James R.
Stauffer, Helen L.
Stern, Jessica E.
Sterns, Crayton L.
Suettinger, Robert L.

Sullivan, Kathryn
Sulser, Jack A.
Tarullo, Daniel K.
Tenet, George J.
Marcel T. Thomas
Turner, Joe T.
Tyler, John T.
Unrue, Michael M.
Van DeCar, Karen J.
Van Tassel, David S.
Veit, Katherine M.
Vershbow, Alexander R.
Verville, Elizabeth G.
Wadsworth, Valon J.
Wales, Jane
Wallack, Matthew D.
Walsh, Helen C.
Ward, JoAnn X.
Weber, Paul A.
Wilhelm, Richard J.
Wilson, Marilyn E.
Wise, William M.
Witkowsky, Anne A.
Woolston, Ann E.
Wooton, Kevin B.
Wozniak, Natalie S.
Wright, Allison M.
Yorkdale, Glenn H.

CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE

TEXT_BODY

From: Danvers, William C.
To: @up; @nsa
CC: Record; @legislat; wise; fuerth
Subject: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, July 13, 1995 07:57 PM

Note for Tony, Sandy and Nancy:

China MFN may not be as bad as we thought. Whip counts so far are soft since we haven't been able to get a good reading. Gingrich and Bereuter staff have been working a draft resolution that will likely contain the following components: language on Harry Wu; language on Beijing Women's conference-- U.S. shouldn't participate as long as Wu is in jail; additional human rights language; language on nonpro issues; a reporting requirement; and some sort of language on Taiwan. We believe it will be nonbinding and will not have trade language. After Gingrich and Bereuter sign off on it, they will share it with Matsui and Hamilton. We should be able to get a

copy then.

Pelosi and Gephardt have a draft as well. Uncertain how or whether the two camps will meet. Likely to go to Rules on Tuesday and the floor on Thursday.

On Bosnia, we have developed a leg strategy, which will likely rely on calls more than briefings. We have made a round of calls to every Senate office to see where things stand. Still don't have a complete readout, but not too many supporters of our position. Dole will bring his resolution to the floor on Tues.

DoD authorization bill will likely come to floor first week of Aug.

Dan Poneman and I are going to Hill on HEU/GCC protocol tomorrow to talk with Moynihan's staff.

614 briefings did not go well, but at least we are done. State should move the paper soon.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
004. cable	Stanley Roth to Jane Baker, et al., re: More Asia Issues (2 pages)	07/25/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([Beijing Women's Conference])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[10/12/1994 - 07/25/1995]

2012-0094-F
ke3968

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]