

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Macarthur Deshazer to Pat Battenfield, et al., re: AF Morning Meeting Notes (2 pages)	02/27/1996	P1/b(1)
002. email	Kenneth Baldwin to Jane Baker, et al., re: [Africa Update] (11 pages)	03/11/1996	P1/b(1)
003. email	Elizabeth Verville to William Danvers, re: Legislative Update [partial] (1 page)	03/14/1996	P3/b(3)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
 NSC Emails
 MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([AIDS and Global...])
 OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/21/1996 - 03/15/1996]

2007-1550-F
 ke2066

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
 P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
 P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
 P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
 P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
 P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
 b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
 b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
 b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
 b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
 b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
 b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
 b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(j).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 21 February 96 12:09
FROM Fetig, James L.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: Ireland Guidance [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Wozniak, Natalie S.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE

TEXT_BODY

New Ireland Guidance.

From: Peters, Mary A.
To: @PRESS - Public Affairs
CC: /R, Record at A1; Soderberg, Nancy E.
Subject: Ireland Guidance [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Wednesday, February 21, 1996 11:59 AM

[[FEB21GUI.DOC : 3121 in FEB21GUI.DOC]]

Jim, please note that first tick changed to background para per NES.

**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE**

21 February 96 11:58

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME**

FEB21GUI.DOC
NATIONAL SECURITY AFFAIRS GUIDANCE

February 16, 1996

DEFENSE

Speaker Gingrich's Comments on Military HIV-Provision
Gulf War Illnesses Report

EUROPE

Bosnia/Croatia
Bosnia: Senators' Letter On War Criminals
Russia
Northern Ireland
Greece
Greece-Turkey

MIDDLE EAST

Pakistan
Jordan

ASIA
China

LATIN AMERICA
Cuba
Colombia
Guatemala

AFRICA
Sudan
Zaire
Nigeria

SPEAKER GINGRICH'S COMMENTS ON MILITARY HIV-PROVISION

Background: The Washington Post reported that Speaker Gingrich criticized President Clinton for seeking repeal of the provision in the DoD Authorization Act mandating discharge of HIV-positive servicemembers. Gingrich, in responding to a question about a letter Magic Johnson sent him and Senator Dole asking them to support the President's efforts to repeal the provision, said that in combat no one "wants to have a person in your unit who's HIV-positive because you have a very real danger of transferring blood." Gingrich said that the Administration's position was therefore "totally irresponsible" and "...a sign of how little President Clinton understands combat and the difficulties of being able to deal with these kinds of dangers."

In fact, Gingrich's statements show that he does not understand what the Pentagon's

policy actually is regarding
the restriction of HIV-positive servicemembers from combat assignments.

Points

ù Speaker Gingrich apparently does not understand the Department of Defense's policy with regard to the 1000 HIV-positive servicemembers. Less than one tenth of a percent of the active force, they are restricted from deploying overseas and combat assignments -- just as the 5,000-plus other servicemembers are who have other medical conditions such as cancer and diabetes.

ù As Secretary Perry and General Shalikashvili have said, the discharge of all of these servicemembers is unwarranted, unwise and unnecessary as a matter of sound military policy.

ù While non-deployable, discharging these servicemembers who are fully capable of performing their duties would waste the government's investment in the training of these individuals and be disruptive to the military programs in which they play an integral role. There is in place a physical evaluation system to determine their continuing fitness for duty.

GULF WAR ILLNESSES REPORT

Q: What is being done to improve the system for deployment and post-deployment medical records?

A: VA and DOD are working cooperatively to develop a plan for standardized recordkeeping and deployment medical surveillance which utilize the latest information technology. Working Groups, co-chaired by VA and DOD, are currently addressing these issues. VA's Undersecretary

y for Health and
DOD's Assistant Secretary for Health Affairs have made improvement in
deployment med
ical surveillance
and continuity in recordkeeping a high priority.

Q: Why did the Defense Department choose to include declassified documents in
its Gu
lfLINK site
which had not been assessed for reliability or veracity?

A: The inclusion of raw intelligence reports from the field was an attempt by the
De
partment to
expedite the declassification process and to be as open as possible with the
America
n public.

Q: Why has DOD suspended access to the declassified documents on GulfLINK?

A: As DOD made clear at the time it announced the declassification program, the
Depa
rtment is
committed to locating and declassifying documents that could be of interest to
Gulf
War veterans. To
date, more than 1,400 documents have been made available to the public as part
of th
is declassification
program. Recently, however, questions were raised in the Intelligence
Community con
cerning the
declassification of certain documents. DOD has already begun to address these
quest
ions to return
documents to the GulfLINK site.

Q: Are you doing anything differently with our troops in Bosnia than you did in
the
Gulf? And
what are you doing for future deployments?

A: Prior to our troops deploying to Bosnia, the Defense Department issued a new
comp
rehensive
medical surveillance policy which involves (1) identification of populations at
risk
, (2) recognition and
assessment of hazardous exposures, (3) determination of protective measures, and
(4

) monitoring of health outcomes. Detailed pre, during and post-deployment activities are being undertaken in each of these areas. In addition, hotlines and clinical programs have been pre-positioned to respond rapidly if needed. The Department intends to build upon this policy for future deployments. Each deployment will incorporate "lessons learned" from past experiences.

Q: How can you assure us that Service Members will be informed and protected when it comes to using investigational drugs during future deployments?

A: If a threat to our troops is clearly established and the only prophylaxis is a non-FDA approved drug, DOD will ensure that our Service Members are fully informed of medical products that they may have to take which could be critical to saving their lives.

Q: It is clear from the Presidential Advisory Committee report that DOD's chemical warfare agent detectors were inadequate in three areas. They could not detect mustard gas or possible low-level CW agent exposure, and they had a high-false positive rate. What is being done to rectify this?

A: DOD has taken steps to improve our battlefield CW agent detection capability by seeking to procure equipment that will detect mustard agent and that will not sound false alarm in response to common battlefield interference. DOD has contracted to buy approximately thirty detectors from three different manufacturers for testing prior to a procurement decision. Under the current plan, after a detector decision is made, the detector which has been selected will enter full rate production in FY97.

Q: How can the Department of Defense continue to say that "no evidence exists" for c

hemical or
biological exposure during Desert Storm when in fact they couldn't possibly
know whether or not our
troops were exposed?

A: Although exposure to chemical and biological warfare agents has been
suggested as
a possible
cause of ill health, both DOD and the Defense Science Board Task Force have
concluded that -- to date --
there is no persuasive evidence for exposure of U.S. troops to such agents. This
conclusion was based in
part on the absence of clinical evidence of exposure among troops at the time of
the
war.

Nevertheless, the Department remains open to all evidence and will continue to
pursue all leads
in this direction and to keep the book open on this issue.

BOSNIA/CROATIA

On the Seizure of Terrorist Training Site

ù We fully support IFOR's actions and congratulate them on a successful
operation.

ù Initial reports from the theater state that this was an IFOR operation carried out
by multinational forces
commanded by LtG Walker, the IFOR ground commander.

ù The operation took place at a town called Fojnica, west of Sarajevo.

ù We understand from the theater that 11 individuals are being detained by IFOR.
3
are allegedly Iranian (two
diplomats) and 8 are Bosniacs.

ù Evidence indicates that the site was being used for terrorist activity. Weapons, explosives, and training manuals were found. We are waiting for a more specific report from the theater.

ù No shots were fired and there were no IFOR casualties.

ù This operation was part of the IFOR's on-going force-protection mission.

If asked about the specifics of the operation or intelligence

ù We do not talk about sources and methods. This was an IFOR operation and they are investigating the site.
We will await their reports before commenting further on the operation.

ROME CONFERENCE

ù This weekend in Rome, the United States, the Russian Federation, and the European Union will host a meeting of the Presidents of Bosnia, Croatia and Serbia, who also represents the Bosnian Serbs.

ù The meeting will provide a forum for all parties to reaffirm their commitment to the implementation of the Dayton Agreement and review the progress made thus far.

ù We expect all parties will affirm the necessity of full compliance with the Dayton Agreement and the importance of full participation by all parties with all aspects of the implementation process.

ù We will also discuss cooperation with the War Crimes Tribunal. We hope that all parties will give their approval to the "rules of the road" for the detention of war crimes suspects announced by the Bosnian Government last week.

ù With regard to the situation of refugees and displaced persons, we will emphasize the obligation of all parties to allow freedom of return.

ù There will also be consultations on upcoming elections and the need for all parties

s to cooperate fully with the
OSCE and the Provisional Election Commission.

ù In discussing economic reconstruction, we will stress to all the parties that the
benefits of peace will only
come if there is full cooperation in the implementation effort.

ù With regard to the situation in Sarajevo, we expect all sides will agree to work t
ogether so that the security of
Serbs in Sarajevo suburbs is guaranteed and their rights safeguarded.

ù We will also discuss the issue of POWs and missing persons. All parties should
me
et their obligation under the
Dayton Agreement to release, without delay, all prisoners of war. We also expect
al
l parties to cooperate fully
with the Red Cross in documenting the large numbers of missing persons.

ù There also will be an opportunity for discussion of bilateral issues between the
p
arties. We hope to see
significant progress on Bosnian/FRY normalization and a commitment to
implement quic
kly the
confidence-building measures that both side committed to in Dayton.

ù We will also look for progress on Federation issues.

ù We believe that it will be helpful to hold similar meetings in the future to revie
w ongoing issues and maintain
the momentum of the Dayton process.

ROBB RESOLUTION/IRG AND MUJAHADIN

ù We remain concerned that there has not been complete compliance with the
Dayton re
quirement that all
foreign forces and paramilitaries be withdrawn by the January 13 deadline.

ù This was a major topic of conversation during Secretary Christopher's visit and
in
the talks that the Holbrooke
delegation held with senior Bosnian Government officials in the past few days.

ù We will continue to work with the Bosnians to resolve our remaining concerns
regar
ding Mujahedin and
Iranian Revolutionary Guard personnel who we believe are still in Bosnia.

ù The Bosnians understand that it will be impossible for us to move forward with equipping and training of Federation forces if this issue is not resolved.

DETENTION OF SERB SOLDIERS

ù Pleased that two Serb Generals have been transported by NATO to The Hague so that War Crimes Tribunal can complete its investigation and determine if indictments are in order.

ù The U.S. role in the transfer of the two Serb officers was as a member of NATO

ù We were pleased to hear of the release by the Bosnian government of other Serb detainees not suspected of crimes. We understand that three are still being held.

ù The United States remains fully committed to the work of the Tribunal and the implementation of the Dayton Accords.

ù Also committed to ensuring full freedom of movement,

ù Rules of the Road worked out by Assistant Secretary Holbrooke strike right balance between these two commitments, ensure that only those individuals approved for detention by the Tribunal can be detained by local authorities.

ù We continue to believe that war crimes suspects should be investigated, indicted, and prosecuted. But this must take place with respect for due process and in accordance with procedures set forth by the War Crimes Tribunal.

(Has IFOR agreed to transport war criminals in the future as part of its mission?)

ù As we have said previously, and as agreed between Admiral Smith and Justice Goldst

one, IFOR will assist the Tribunal when necessary as long as it has the resources to do so.

If asked about IFOR and Karadzic travel

ù IFOR has not found any evidence to confirm that Karadzic passed through IFOR-controlled territory, territory under U.S. jurisdiction, or a U.S. checkpoint.

ù It is not IFOR's mission to act as a police force. They do not stop civilian traffic and impede freedom of movement which is guaranteed in the Dayton Accords. Their primary task is to guarantee freedom of movement and to deal, inter alia, with illegal or threatening military traffic.

ù If they come in contact with an indicted war criminal in the course of performing their primary tasks, they will detain that person and transfer him to the tribunal.

ù IFOR is taking steps to distribute more information on indicted war criminals, to include pictures, to the units on the ground.

ù As we have said previously, and as agreed between Admiral Smith and Justice Goldstone, IFOR fully supports the Tribunal and will assist the Tribunal when necessary as long as it has the resources to do so.

MLADIC THREATS TO IFOR

ù Welcome remarks by SRBH officials that Bosnian Serb military is not severing contacts with IFOR.

ù IFOR reports that Bosnian Serb military officers at the tactical level continue to maintain dialogue with the IFOR.

ù We expect continued participation by all parties in the compliance regimes, including participation in Joint

Military Commission (JMC) and Civil-Military Commission Meetings. JMCs reconvened Thursday.

ù NATO has deployed a force into Bosnia-Herzegovina of sufficient size to deter any threats to its personnel.

MOSTAR

ù German Foreign Minister Kinkel was in Mostar Tuesday to seek Bosnian Croat acceptance of EU Administrator Koschnick's solution on the municipal boundaries for the city.

ù We believe that both sides should honor the EU agreement on Mostar. Both sides agreed that they will abide by EU arbitration before the decision was made.

FUNDING FOR BOSNIA

ù Two weeks ago, the Administration sent to Congress a \$991 million reprogramming request to fund a major portion of Bosnia operations. It will also send an additional supplemental/rescission request to the Congress in the next few days, as well as an additional reprogramming request at a later date, to fund the remainder of these operations through September 30, 1996.

ù We do not plan for any of the funds for U.S. Bosnia peace implementation operations (IFOR) to come from rescission of the unrequested funds for weapons programs added to the Defense Appropriations Bill by the Congress.

Where will funds come from? How much?

ù This reprogramming action is financed by inflation savings resulting from revised economic assumptions. The supplemental/rescission action will be financed from classified intelligence programs, while the source of the second reprogramming request is not yet identified. The total amount required for U

.S. Bosnia military operations in FY 1996 is just under \$2 billion.

RUSSIA/NIS

Current Items

Russian Presidential Election

ù Yeltsin announced February 15 he is candidate for reelection in June 16 Russian presidential ballot.

Announcement had been expected. Communist Party separately announced same day that party leader Zyuganov nominated as its candidate for president.

ù June election for Russian people to decide, exercising the democratic habits that are increasingly taking hold in their country.

ù Not for us to intrude on choice of future Russian leader. As elsewhere, we will work with any democratically-elected leadership on the basis of its policies.

ù We remain steady in our policy of active engagement with Russia to support democracy, economic reform and Russia's integration, and to promote cooperation to advance common foreign security policy goals.

Mikhaylov Warning of Attack if NATO Expands

ù Have seen report that Ministry of Atomic Energy Minister Mikhaylov threatened attack on new members if NATO enlarges, specifically if tactical nuclear weapons were deployed on their territory.

ù Utterly reject Mr. Mikhaylov's outrageous threat, which is inconsistent with Russian

a's obligations under OSCE
and international law.

ù NATO's position on enlargement well-known -- will proceed in steady,
deliberate and
transparent manner -- as
is NATO's position on building in parallel a strong relationship with Russia that
draws Russia into a more
stable, undivided Europe.

ù NATO's position on nuclear weapons and enlargement also well-known --
Alliance sees
no need for
enlargement to alter current NATO nuclear posture.

ù Grossly mischaracterizing NATO positions and then making ill-founded,
provocative
threats not the way to
promote serious dialogue on NATO and NATO-Russia.

Arrest of Environmental Activist

ù Aware of arrest by Russian authorities of environmental activist Alexander
Nikitin
. Concerned by this
development, attempting to learn more about facts surrounding case.

Kuchma Visit

ù Ukrainian President Kuchma to visit U.S. February 20-22 to receive "Freedom
Award"
from Freedom House.
President, Vice President will meet with Kuchma on February 21.

General Items

Personnel Changes Mean End of Reform/Cooperation?

ù Have to see impact of personnel changes on domestic, foreign policy. Expect
Yeltsin
to set policy. He has
made clear his support for reform. Our best interest to continue to engage Russia to
sustain momentum on
reform; disengagement would strengthen regressive forces.

ù U.S. policy unchanged: support political reform and reform to promote market
economy. Not matter of
personalities but implementation of sound policies. Our assistance and IFI

investments make sense only in this context.

ù Also working to advance common interests: nuclear disarmament; detargeting; new trade, investment opportunities. Strategy of engagement remains in strong interests of both nations.

Chechnya

ù Welcome reports that Russian government now looking for compromise resolution to Chechen conflict that

addresses interests of all concerned.

ù Our consistent position has been that only reliable path to peace is negotiated settlement that takes interests of all parties into account. Cycle of violence must be broken.

Russia and NATO

ù U.S. and Russia have different views on logic of NATO enlargement. Have ongoing dialogue with Russians on this issue.

ù For our part, we intend to manage enlargement process in deliberate, transparent manner that strengthens security, stability of Europe as a whole. Russia a key player in building stable, unified Europe. Our goal is to develop strong, cooperative NATO-Russia relationship in parallel with NATO's process of taking in new members.

NORTHERN IRELAND

Fundraising

(The "Financial Times" ran an article February 20 implying that there was something unexpected or sinister about

the fact that some of the funds raised had been transferred to Ireland. Way the system works is that some of the funds are used for expenses of local Sinn Féin office; some is transferred to bank

in Dublin for political expenses
of Sinn Fein in Ireland. That has been the understanding since permission to
fundraise was granted in March of
1995.)

ù DOJ and Treasury monitor funds raised here by Friends of Sinn Fein. For
details
on how the system works,
I refer you to them.

Sinn Fein Currently Fundraising?

ù There are currently no Sinn Fein fundraisers with visa waivers in the U.S.

Adams Visa?

ù Not true that we rejected Adams' application for renewed visa waiver.

ù Efforts focussed on the peace process and getting cease-fire restored.

Bombing on February 19?

ù Issued statement condemning it in strongest terms

ù Two governments and parties continue to seek way forward. We support those
efforts unreservedly. Will
not be deterred by terrorism.

If asked if Adams plans to be in U.S. for St. Patrick's Day:

ù Refer questions about Adams' plans to him.

If asked whether Adams will be invited to St. Patrick's Day event:

ù Guest list has not been finalized.

Troops Back to Northern Ireland?

(Background: HMG is sending 500 troops back to Northern Ireland. They had
withdrawn 1600 during the
cease-fire.)

ù British are responsible for security in Northern Ireland.

Confirmation that Cease-Fire Over?

ù Have seen report that IRA spokesmen told republican newspaper that cease-fire

is o
ver, but will continue to
press for it to be restored.

ù Working with two governments and parties to get agreement on way forward.

U.S. Contacts with Sinn Fein?

ù Efforts focused on getting cease-fire restored. Like Irish and British
Government
s , we are keeping channels
open and staying in touch with all parties in effort to get peace process back on tr
ack.

ù No current plans for USG officials to meet him.

Meetings

ù Tony Lake hosted UUP Leader David Trimble for lunch on Monday, February
12. Pres
ident dropped by at
about 2:00. Part of our continuing, regular contact with all the Parties. Luncheon
had been scheduled for
some time.

ù At this point, no plans for USG officials to travel to work on this issue.

U.S. Response to Bombings

ù Strongly condemn attacks. Hope those responsible brought to justice swiftly.

ù Sympathy and prayers go out to victims and their families.

ù Deeply concerned that IRA has resumed violence. People of Northern Ireland
clearl
y want peace. No one has
right to deny them that.

ù Cannot allow terrorists to derail a peace process so overwhelmingly supported
by t
he people of Northern
Ireland.

ù Will do everything we can to assist the two governments in continuing their
search
for peace.

ù Note that loyalists paramilitaries have shown restraint in not responding to the b
reach of the cease-fire and the

bombs in London.

ù Welcome the firmness they are showing, which accords with the wishes of the people of Northern Ireland for peace.

Will you join the Irish and British Governments in refusing to meet with Adams until the cease-fire is restored?

ù We are continuing to talk to all parties.

ù No current meetings are planned with Adams.

Why did Adams call Lake? Did Lake have advance warning?

ù He alerted us to disturbing rumors he was hearing, but nothing specific.

ù Call came shortly before the bomb went off.

Do you have any regrets about issuing Adams a visa or your involvement in the peace process?

ù No regrets about support we have given and will continue to give to searching for lasting peace in Northern Ireland.

Is Adams in control of the IRA? Will you take sides against the IRA now?

ù Really too soon to speculate on all the implications of this.

Does this mean there is a split in the IRA or that Adams knew about the blast?

ù Simply do not know implications at this stage.

Will you press Adams to condemn bombing?

ù We have condemned the bombing and believe everyone should. The most urgent task at hand is to get the cease-fire restored.

Does this mean IRA would have to decommission its weapons before all-party talks could

Id begin?

ù Premature to speculate or comment on what the eventual implications will be for the peace process.

Is cease-fire over?

ù IRA issued a statement to that effect. The cease-fire must be restored. We will work to see but we hope the peace process back on track.

GREECE: ROCKET ATTACK AGAINST U.S. EMBASSY

Q: Please give us an update on the attack on the U.S. Embassy in Athens. Were there any casualties? How much damage?

A: As the President stated last night, we strongly condemn this act of terrorism and deplore the cowardice of those who carried it out.

The rocket attack occurred at a little before 11:00 p.m. local time in Athens.

There are no reports of casualties.

The perimeter wall around the embassy was damaged, as were one building inside the

compound and four cars in the parking lot behind the embassy. Windows of nearby buildings and cars parked in the area were shattered.

Greek authorities responded quickly to the attack and are investigating. High-level Greek officials visited the scene soon after the attack and denounced those responsible. The Greek government has deplored the attack publicly.

Our authorities are cooperating with Greek officials to help them find those responsible and to

bring them to justice.

Q: Who was responsible? We have heard the National Struggle group has claimed responsibility, but this looks like the work of the 17 November organization.

A: The investigation continues. It would be inappropriate to speculate publicly about the identity of the criminals who carried out this attack.

Q: Do you think this attack was motivated by anti-American feelings in Greece in the wake of the crisis in the Aegean on January 30?

A: I do not care to speculate on motivation any more than on the identity of those responsible.

The U.S. and Greece share excellent relations.

Just yesterday, the White House announced that Prime Minister Simitis has accepted an invitation to meet with the President at the White House in early April. In addition, Greek President Stephanopoulos will make a State Visit to the United States in May. These are only two examples of the close ties between our nations.

Background for the Briefer, NOT for use:

ù A small group which identified itself as the "National Struggle" has claimed responsibility for this incident. Neither Greek authorities nor we have ever heard of this group. Another unknown group has also reportedly claimed responsibility.

ù Some Greek officials reportedly believe these claims of responsibility may be hoaxes.

ù The Greek terrorist organization, 17 November, is a primary suspect, but it had

no
t come forward. Greek
reporters have noted that this attack came almost a year to the day after the last a
ttack carried out by the 17
November group.

ù Terrorism is a very sensitive subject in our bilateral relations with Greece, whic
h have been strained in recent
days in the wake of the Imia/Kardak crisis.

ù Until more is known about this incident, it is preferable not to speculate on who
may be responsible.

GREECE-TURKEY

AHEPA REMARKS

During his AHEPA remarks, the President supported submitting the Imia
ownership issu
e to an international
tribunal, as proposed by the Greek government? Is this a Greek proposal, which
the
U.S. has decided to
adopt?

ù Our understanding is that the Greek government has previously discussed
referring
disputes in the Aegean to
an international tribunal, such as the ICJ.

ù The President's statement picks up on this previous approach.

ù The United States believes that the International Court of Justice or other
consen
sual forum would be best
placed to judge the many complex issues bearing on the ownership of Imia islet.

ù This is why we suggested that this type of approach, supported by Greece in
other
Aegean contexts, could
prove an effective way to address the questions surrounding ownership of
Imia/Kardak

Q: Interesting points, but who, in fact, proposed that the ICJ be used in this case, Greece -- as the President said last night -- or the United States -- as Greek officials assert?

A: As I've said, Greece, in previous instances, has suggested that the ICJ might prove effective in resolving Aegean disputes. We believe that Imia/Kardak might be well-suited to that model.

Ownership of Imia/Kardak Islet

Q: What is the U.S. position on ownership of the islet?

A: Let me make clear first of all that the United States reaffirms its commitment to the principle of respect for international treaties, the territorial integrity of Greece and Turkey and of internationally recognized borders. We call on both sides to resolve their disagreements by peaceful means, without force or the threat of force.

The U.S. has not taken a position on who owns the islet. There are apparently a number of international agreements relevant to the ownership question, some dating back many decades and involving Italy, which formerly owned the Dodecanese Islands of which the Greeks claim the islet is part.

There is also some dispute over the validity of one of the documents in question.

We believe that the best venue for addressing such questions of ownership is the International Court of Justice or some other consensual body.

Such a tribunal would be well-suited to gather and evaluate all the necessary information, weigh impartially the legal arguments of both sides, and explain the basis for its rulings.

Q: Do we believe that the ICJ should consider all aspects of all disputes between Greece and Turkey?

eece and
Turkey?

A: For the reasons stated above, the ICJ, or other consensual body, would be particularly well-suited to address the Imia/Kardak ownership issue. Other issues in dispute between Greece and Turkey in the Aegean may be more effectively addressed through direct negotiations, mediation by a third party, or some other mutually agreed method.

PAKISTAN: DELAY OF U.S. ARMS SHIPMENT

Q: Why was NSC Deputy Adviser Berger in Pakistan in late January?

A: He was there for consultations on improving bilateral relations following enactment of the Brown Amendment, discussion of multilateral issues including the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty negotiations, and regional matters of mutual interest.

Q: What did Mr. Berger tell Pakistan about the nuclear technology issue?

A: As part of the discussion on ways to improve bilateral relations, Mr. Berger laid out our nonproliferation goals and concerns. He told Pakistani leaders that importation of enrichment equipment was a concern, and would have to be addressed.

Q: What is the equipment that could be held up?

A: The Brown Amendment authorized release to Pakistan of \$368 million of non-strategic military equipment that it purchased prior to the 1990 imposition of Pressler Amendment sanctions.

It includes three Orion Naval Patrol aircraft, 28 Harpoon missiles, Howitzers and various spares and additions to items in Pakistan's inventory. The package excludes Pakistan's F-16s, which we are trying to sell to a third party.

Q: Did the Administration keep Congress in the dark about intelligence involving Pakistan during the time the Brown Amendment was under debate?

A: Before the September 21 Senate vote on the Brown Amendment, a briefing was given to all interested Senators on South Asia intelligence information.

On December 15 and 18, Department officials again briefed members and staff.

The December briefings provided a detailed update on South Asian proliferation intelligence, including the alleged equipment transfers.

Congress did not give final approval to the Brown Amendment until late January.

Q: Why did the Administration not brief Congress about the alleged magnet transfer until December 15?

A: While the Administration had preliminary information related to an alleged equipment transfer, this information needed technical and intelligence analysis to determine its reliability and significance.

Q: Is the Administration considering delaying shipment to Pakistan of the equipment authorized for release by the Brown Amendment?

A: The Brown Amendment only recently became law. The Administration has not yet decided how to proceed in implementing its various provisions.

Q: What can you tell us about reports that an employee of Pakistan's Embassy in

Lond
on has been
ordered to depart England in connection with efforts to export nuclear-related
equip
ment to Pakistan?

A: The British Government has ordered the deportation of Muhammad Saleem,
an employe
e of the
Pakistani High Commission in London, in connection with efforts to export
sensitive
equipment and
technology to Pakistan.

There may be a court hearing before Saleem, a Pakistani citizen and UK resident,
is
actually
deported from England.

Q: What is the United States doing about this and other efforts to obtain nuclear
an
d missile
technology?

A: The United States Government works closely with governments around the
world to p
revent
the spread of nuclear weapons, ballistic missiles and related technology.

While we were not involved in this particular case, we have on many occasions
consu
lted with

other governments to stop transfers of equipment and technology which could be
used
in nuclear
weapons programs.

JORDAN: F-16 SALES

Background: Reps. Callahan, Livingston and Young have sent a letter to the
Presiden
t which they made public
yesterday morning on holding up transfer of F-16's to Jordan until they get an
answe

r on why not consulted.

Points

ù We have had discussions with key committees on this issue, and we want to continue to work with Congress to meet this need.

ù King Hussein is taking risks for peace; we support those who take risks. This is a high priority for him and Israel.

ù We've done some preliminary consultations to determine best approach.

ù We'll be making formal notification soon.

CHINA -- IPR TRADE, TAIWAN, AND PROLIFERATION

NON-PROLIFERATION

-- Non-proliferation -- nuclear as well as missile -- is part of our continuing dialogue with China.

We are closely monitoring any arms or technology transfers that might violate U.S. law.

-- We have concerns and are evaluating the information available. At this point, we have not made any determinations that China has taken actions that would trigger the imposition of sanctions.

-- I am not going to comment on any allegations concerning information that may have been gathered through intelligence means.

-- We are not avoiding sanctions. We will make determinations based on the facts and legal requirements. At this point, no decisions have been made.

OVERALL RELATIONSHIP

-- We have made clear to the Chinese and, indeed to the world, that we want our relationship with China to be based on a long term strategy of comprehensive engagement. It is not in our interest to try to "contain" China.

-- Part of that engagement is the talks we are having this week with Chinese Vice Foreign Minister Li. Minister Li is meeting with Under Secretary of State Tarnoff this week. Will also meet with Under Secretary of Defense Slocombe and Deputy National Security Advisor Samuel Berger.

-- We have had a number of sub-cabinet meetings over the last several weeks on a range of foreign policy issues. Among the topics on which we have focused has been China.

-- On January 26, the President's Principal Foreign Policy Advisors met for an hour to talk about our relations with China as we entered the new year. As we said at the time, this was not a decision-making meeting, nor a policy review, but a stock-taking opportunity to take a look at the relationship -- and the issues each cabinet agency would have to deal with -- as we entered the new year.

TAIWAN

-- We are carefully monitoring the situation in the Taiwan Strait over the last several months. We will continue to do so.

-- As we've made clear in the past, the future of Taiwan is a question for the Chinese -- on both sides of the Strait -- to define. Our interest is for any resolution to be a peaceful one. We have urged, and will continue to urge, both parties to avoid provocative acts.

-- (If asked.) The Chinese have not announced any Military Exercises in the Taiwan Straits.

MILITARY EXERCISE

- We are monitoring the situation carefully.
- We are encouraging both sides to exercise restraint.
- We have not changed the fundamentals of our one China policy.

CUBA

Background: During his visit to New Jersey yesterday, the POTUS was presented by Representative Menendez with a possible compromise on Helms-Burton. We understand that press has heard about this.

(If asked):

ù The President said that he welcomed any proposal that could help us move forward and pass legislation that meets our common goal -- to promote a peaceful transition to democracy in Cuba.

ù The President assured Representative Menendez that the Administration would take a close look at this proposal and get back to him.

COLOMBIA

Charges Against Samper

(Background: Prosecutor General Valdivieso on Wednesday presented four criminal charges and supporting evidence against President Samper to the House "Accusations Committee," the only body constitutionally empowered to try the President. According to preliminary reports, the charges include illicit enrichment from drug-related sources; campaign fraud; "procedural" fraud; and covering up the campaign's receipt of donations from narcotraffickers. The evidence has not been made public. The Accusations Committee has one month to determine whether to pursue action against Samper.)

Q: What do you have on the charges brought against Samper?

A: Our position on this matter remains unchanged: It is an internal matter for the Colombian government and judicial system themselves to work out.

As we have stated previously, the United States would like to see a full and complete investigation of these charges through Colombia's constitutional and judicial processes.

Q: What impact will this have on the counternarcotics certification process?

A: The certification process is under way and undertaken in accordance with explicit performance criteria. Do not want to comment on it at this time.

The State Department will present its recommendations on certification soon. The President will make his recommendations to the Congress on March 1.

GUATEMALA

Background: On February 12, 1996 the CIA released to Jennifer Harbury in partial response to her FOIA request a collection of 105 documents dealing primarily with the status of Efraim Bamaca, a URNG guerrilla believed killed. The documents include redacted intel reports which give conflicting accounts of Bamaca's fate. Any questions regarding the documents or their release should be directed to CIA; the release may prompt questions about the status of the IOB review.

Points

ù On March 30, 1995 the President directed the Intelligence Oversight Board to conduct a government-wide review concerning the Bamaca case and other cases involving human rights abuses against American citizens in Guatemala from 1984.

ù That review is ongoing, although the IOB has made two interim reports to the President. The IOB expects to complete its review early this year.

ù (If asked) The President directed the IOB to be thorough in conducting this unprecedented review, and we believe it is important the IOB have sufficient time to do a complete and comprehensive review of the issues before it.

ù (If asked) It is the President's intention that after the IOB's review is completed all appropriate information will be released to the public.

SUDAN: WHY IS FORMER PRESIDENT NIMEIRI COMING TO THE U.S.?

Q: Can you confirm that former Sudanese head of state Jaafar Nimeiri is traveling to the United

States soon?

A: Former President Nimeiri, who now resides in Cairo, has been granted a visa for the purpose of seeking urgent medical treatment in the United States.

Nimeiri's visit is entirely private. He will be treated at a private medical facility in Cleveland and will not be meeting with U.S. Government officials.

It is our understanding that Nimeiri has been in ill health in recent years.

FYI TO BRIEFER:

Nimeiri, 64, has lived in exile in Cairo since being deposed in a coup in 1985.

ZAIRE: CLOSURE OF RWANDAN REFUGEE CAMPS

Q: What information do you have on Zaire's plans to close Rwandan refugee camps in Zaire and return the refugees to Rwanda?

A: Zaire's announcement that it plans to begin closing its Rwandan refugee camps comes after months of discussions with the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and the Government of Rwanda.

Zaire has not yet started to close the camps but is expected to do so shortly. It plans to do this gradually - one camp at a time. Zaire yesterday deployed 250 troops around the Kibumba Camp just north of Goma which has 190,000 refugees. The situation so far has remained calm though markets have been closed and access restricted.

A Special Envoy of the United Nations High Commission for Refugees was in Zaire last

t week to
discuss the closure of the camps and to ensure that any repatriation be orderly,
hum
ane and voluntary.

Information campaigns by UNHCR, Rwandan and Zairian officials are underway
to convi
nce
the refugees to return to Rwanda. It is hoped that the majority will choose to do s
o. Those who do not
want to return are expected to be moved to other camps in the area.

More than 1,000,000 refugees remain in Zaire in 40 camps.

Q: What is the U.S. position on this decision?

A: Both UNHCR and the United States have been working with all parties in the
region
to
encourage the voluntary repatriation of Rwandan refugees.

We believe that conditions inside Rwanda are conducive to the safe return and
reint
egration of
those who did not participate in the genocide, and certainly preferable to
remaining
in refugee camps with
their lives on hold.

We would not condone, however, the forcible return of these refugees.

NIGERIA

Background: We have gotten a number of inquiries about Nigeria and
whether/when we
will announce sanctions.
Rumors abound about an impending sanctions decision.

Q: There are rumors that the Administration will soon announce sanctions against
Nig
eria. Is this
true?

A: No decisions have been made on unilateral or multilateral sanctions for

Nigeria.

An in-depth

policy review which began late last year continues. All measures, including oil

san

ctions, remain under

consideration.

Q: What is our policy objectives in Nigeria?

A: Our overriding policy objectives in Nigeria remain the early and peaceful

establi

shment of a

stable, democratic, prosperous Nigeria that respects human rights.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

001. email	Macarthur Deshazer to Pat Battenfield, et al., re: AF Morning Meeting Notes (2 pages)	02/27/1996	P1/b(1)
------------	--	------------	---------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([AIDS and Global...])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/21/1996 - 03/15/1996]

2007-1550-F
ke2066

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or
financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President
and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of
an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial
information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement
purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of
financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information
concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed
of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C.
2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 28 February 96 15:15
FROM Showalter, Victoria A.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: New Transatlantic Agenda FS [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Naplan, Steven J.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY
New Transatlantic Agenda...

From: Showalter, Victoria A.
To: Schuker, Jill A.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Peters, Mary A.
Subject: New Transatlantic Agenda FS [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Monday, February 19, 1996 04:13 PM

[[NTAFACT.DOC : 3859 in NTAFACT.DOC]]

Drafted by Mary Ann Peters, edited by Vinca.

**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE** 19 February 96 16:12

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME** NTAFACT.DOC

NEW TRANSATLANTIC AGENDA

ISSUE

Implementing the New Transatlantic Agenda.

ADMINISTRATION POSITION

With the end of the Cold War, the transatlantic partnership that has defended our security and advanced our prosperity for the past 50 years must be renewed to meet the challenges of our time. The New Transatlantic Agenda (NTA), launched in December 1995, aims to expand our political and economic relationship with Europe beyond the focus on security that characterized the Cold War era. It emphasizes areas that affect our citizens' daily lives, such as protecting the environment, fighting crime, and preventing disease.

BACKGROUND

At a Summit in Madrid in December 1995, President Clinton and his European Union colleagues launched a New Transatlantic Agenda designed to move U.S.-European cooperation from consultation toward joint action in pursuit of common goals. The goals of the initiative are: to promote peace, development and democracy around the world; to respond to global challenges such as crime, narcotics, disease and the environment; to expand trade and investment opportunities; and to promote better communication between American and European business people, legislators, scientists, artists and citizens. Now that areas for deeper cooperation and joint action have been identified, the initiative will focus on concrete projects.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS

ù At a Transatlantic Business Dialogue held in November 1995 in Seville, the business community had the opportunity to tell U.S. and European political leaders what they believe needs to be done to eliminate remaining obstacles to trade and investment. Working groups have been established to coordinate with government on key business priorities.

ù We have begun work on a joint study on barriers to trade and are continuing discussions aimed at concluding mutual recognition agreements. These agreements would allow U.S. regulators to certify that U.S. products (such as telecommunications equipment, medical devices and electrical equipment) meet EU standards, and vice versa.

ù We are working to negotiate an information technology agreement that will lower or eliminate tariffs on computers and other information technology products.

ù We are undertaking joint assessments of assistance programs to the emerging democracies of Central and Eastern Europe to learn from each other and avoid duplication of effort.

ù We are coordinating our assistance to the International Law Enforcement Academy in Budapest to help the emerging democracies better fight organized crime and drug trafficking.

NEXT STEPS

ù Build a global early-warning system and response network for infectious disease, like AIDS and the Ebola virus. Expand

cooperation on development of a malaria vaccine.

ù Conclude an agreement on chemical precursors for narcotics production.

ù Identify joint environmental projects in Russia and the newly independent states to help repair ecological damage.

ù Set up a task force to share experience on labor and employment issues.

ù Expand cooperation on intelligent transportation systems.

ù

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 29 February 96 21:57
FROM Bell, Robert G.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Danvers, William C.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

FYI, Bill: Ashworth told me at lunch that he thought the State bill was headed to a sure veto (due to world population issues, among others) and that he thought situation was analogous to DoD authorization, where Reps would only believe our position when we vetoed, but once we vetoed they'd readily strip away the offending provisions to get a bill. Also, during the lunch Bill Triplett came down from the conference to seek Bill out, very spun up about our lack of firmness of an Iran cruise missile sanctions issue and full of threats for a scorched earth response. I'm sure Poneman can fill in the details in my knowledge on this one.

From: Danvers, William C.
To: @NSC - NSC Staff; @VP - VP Natl Security Affairs
CC: /R, Record at A1; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: FW: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, February 29, 1996 08:04 PM

From: Danvers, William C.
To: @up; @nsa
CC: Record; @legislat; fuerth; wise
Subject: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, February 29, 1996 08:02 PM

Note for Tony, Sandy and Nancy:

HIV: Meetings today with House L-ship staff. Discussed their approach to repeal of HIV. All agree that legislation should begin in Senate. House staff will approach Senate to coordinate efforts. Major effort of ours will be to get more Bill co-sponsors. Current number of co-sponsors is 123 in House and 37 in Senate. Nunn still not signed on as co-sponsor, even though he has told us that he thinks that a repeal bill will win. Kennedy and Cohen will talk to him in an effort to bring him and others onboard as co-sponsors.

BOSNIA: Sonny Callahan will take l-ship CODEL to Aviano, Tuzla, Sarajevo and Taszar this weekend. We're told that the trip has 7 Members on it. We will draw up a list of members for principals to call this week.

-- HCONS briefing went well today. Approximately 10 Members came. Montgomery made a strong pitch for the \$200M Supplemental and the importance of IPTF. Committee had many questions on Equip and Train. Montgomery and Slocombe did a good job with his questions.

-- SASC brief went well. Glenn very supportive of our pitch for \$200M, but Warner is skeptical that it is money well spent. Repubs continue to be a hard sell. Only other area of interest was war criminals.

-- Dole staffer who just came back from Bosnia indicated that she was on an IFOR flight with Iranian diplomats and wants an explanation. Told her I would ask.

HAITI: Made calls today on pending UN vote UNMIH extension. Staff was generally appreciative of our calls.

STATE AUTH BILL: The conference met today. Rs agreed among themselves and told Dems that they will give Dems until Tues to come up with an alternative proposal. Unclear where this is going. House Rs want to attach it to CR, if they can. The Rs have adopted the Gilman reorg plan which would eliminate three agencies.

NONPRO: HPSCI hearing today. HPSCI briefing in the near future. State wants to know if we have a problem with Lynn Davis testifying in a closed briefing the week of 11 March. Also State wants to know if they can offer staff (code word) briefings in the near future. They have had a number of requests and feel they need to get out there. Please advise.

DRUGS: McCafferey confirmed by Senate today.

CUBA: Got McCurry statement around this evening. We need to decide how we want to express Presidential support for Helms-Burton-- through an OMB SAP, a press release, a letter. Also, as Tony mentioned, we need to think about a signing statement and a signing ceremony.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 05 March 96 20:32
FROM Naplan, Steven J.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: Fact Sheet: Atlantic Agenda [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Peters, Mary A.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY
your thoughts ...

From: Naplan, Steven J.
To: Peters, Mary A.
CC: /R, Record at A1
Subject: Fact Sheet: Atlantic Agenda [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Wednesday, February 28, 1996 07:20 PM

[[ATLANTIC.DOC : 5192 in ATLANTIC.DOC]]

attached are Jill's blue-line changes for your review.

**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE** 28 February 96 19:20

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME** ATLANTIC.DOC

NEW TRANSATLANTIC AGENDA

ISSUE

Implementing the New Transatlantic Agenda.

ADMINISTRATION POSITION

With the end of the Cold War, the transatlantic partnership that has defended our security and advanced our prosperity for the past 50 years must be renewed to meet the challenges of our time. The New Transatlantic Agenda (NTA), launched in December 1995, aims to expand our political and economic relationship with Europe beyond the focus on security that characterized the Cold War era. It emphasizes areas that affect our citizens' daily lives, such as

protecting the environment, fighting crime, and preventing disease.

BACKGROUND

At a Summit [with?] in Madrid in December 1995, President Clinton and his European Union colleagues launched a New Transatlantic Agenda designed to move U.S-European cooperation from consultation toward joint action in pursuit of common goals. The goals of the initiative are: to promote peace, development and democracy around the world; to respond to global challenges such as crime, narcotics, disease and the environment; to expand trade and investment opportunities; and to promote better communication between American and European business people, legislators, scientists, artists and citizens. Now that areas for deeper cooperation and joint action have been identified, the initiative will focus on concrete projects.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS

ù At a Transatlantic Business Dialogue held in November 1995 in Seville, the business community had the opportunity to tell U.S. and European political leaders what they believe needs to be done to eliminate remaining obstacles to trade and investment. Working groups have been established to coordinate with government on key business priorities.

ù We have begun work on a joint study on barriers to trade and are continuing discussions aimed at concluding mutual recognition agreements. These agreements would allow U.S. regulators to certify that U.S. products (such as telecommunications equipment, medical devices and electrical equipment) meet EU standards, and vice versa.

ù We are working to negotiate an information technology agreement that will lower or eliminate tariffs on computers and other information technology products.

ù We are undertaking joint assessments of assistance programs to the emerging democracies of Central and Eastern Europe to learn from each other and avoid duplication of effort.

ù We are coordinating our assistance to the International Law Enforcement Academy in Budapest to help the emerging democracies better fight organized crime and drug trafficking.

NEXT STEPS

ù Build a global early-warning system and response network for

infectious disease, like AIDS and the Ebola virus. Expand cooperation on development of a malaria vaccine.

ù Conclude an agreement on chemical precursors for narcotics production.

ù Identify joint environmental projects in Russia and the newly independent states to help repair ecological damage.

ù Set up a task force to share experience on labor and employment issues. [mention G-7 Employment Conference, Lille, France?]

ù Expand cooperation on high technology transportation systems.

ù

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 06 March 96 08:39
FROM Peters, Mary A.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Naplan, Steven J.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY
Also, may I please get a copy of the final version?
Thanks

From: Peters, Mary A.
To: Naplan, Steven J.
CC: /R, Record at A1
Subject: [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Wednesday, March 06, 1996 08:34 AM

[[ATLANTIC.DOC : 2103 in ATLANTIC.DOC]]

Can't really add Lille jobs summit because that is a G-7 project, not a US-EU one under the NTA..

**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE** 6 March 96 8:32

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME** ATLANTIC.DOC

NEW TRANSATLANTIC AGENDA

ISSUE

Implementing the New Transatlantic Agenda.

ADMINISTRATION POSITION

With the end of the Cold War, the transatlantic partnership that has defended our security and advanced our prosperity for the past 50 years must be renewed to meet the challenges of our time. The New Transatlantic Agenda (NTA), launched in December 1995, aims to expand our political and economic relationship with Europe beyond the focus on security that characterized the Cold War era. It emphasizes areas that affect our citizens' daily lives, such as

protecting the environment, fighting crime, and preventing disease.

BACKGROUND

At a Summit in Madrid in December 1995, President Clinton and his European Union colleagues, President Santer of the EU Commission and Prime Minister Gonzalez of Spain, launched a New Transatlantic Agenda designed to move U.S-European cooperation from consultation toward joint action in pursuit of common goals. The goals of the initiative are: to promote peace, development and democracy around the world; to respond to global challenges such as crime, narcotics, disease and the environment; to expand trade and investment opportunities; and to promote better communication between American and European business people, legislators, scientists, artists and citizens. Now that areas for deeper cooperation and joint action have been identified, the initiative will focus on concrete projects.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS

ù At a Transatlantic Business Dialogue held in November 1995 in Seville, the business community had the opportunity to tell U.S. and European political leaders what they believe needs to be done to eliminate remaining obstacles to trade and investment. Working groups have been established to coordinate with government on key business priorities.

ù We have begun work on a joint study on barriers to trade and are continuing discussions aimed at concluding mutual recognition agreements. These agreements would allow U.S. regulators to certify that U.S. products (such as telecommunications equipment, medical devices and electrical equipment) meet EU standards, and vice versa.

ù We are working to negotiate an information technology agreement that will lower or eliminate tariffs on computers and other information technology products.

ù We are undertaking joint assessments of assistance programs to the emerging democracies of Central and Eastern Europe to learn from each other and avoid duplication of effort.

ù We are coordinating our assistance to the International Law Enforcement Academy in Budapest to help the emerging democracies better fight organized crime and drug trafficking.

NEXT STEPS

ù Build a global early-warning system and response network for infectious disease, like AIDS and the Ebola virus. Expand cooperation on development of a malaria vaccine.

ù Conclude an agreement on chemical precursors for narcotics production.

ù Identify joint environmental projects in Russia and the newly independent states to help repair ecological damage.

ù Set up a task force to share experience on labor and employment issues.-

ù Expand cooperation on high technology transportation systems.

ù

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. email	Kenneth Baldwin to Jane Baker, et al., re: [Africa Update] (11 pages)	03/11/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([AIDS and Global...])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/21/1996 - 03/15/1996]

2007-1550-F
ke2066

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 11 March 96 15:25
FROM Davis, William K.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Danvers, William C.
Verville, Elizabeth G.

CARBON_COPY Armstrong, Fulton T.
Bassett, Leslie A.
Beers, Rand R.
Bendick, Gordon L.
Boynton, Peter J.
Brown, Dallas
Burrell, Christina L.
Clarke, Richard A.
Daadler, Ivo H.
Davis, William K.
Dymersky, Debra L.
Eddy, Randolph P.
Eisenhower, James J.
Feinberg, Richard E.
Kreczko, Alan J.
Letts, Kelly J.
Lindsey, Wanda
Mazzuchi, Anthony D.
Rice, Susan E.
Roundtree, Beverly J.
Schwartz, Eric P.
Sheehan, Michael A.
Simon, Steven N.

TEXT_BODY

looks to be a 3/28 hearing now with Summers, Gelbard and Constantine requested to appear

From: Verville, Elizabeth G.

To: Danvers, William C.

CC: /N, NonRecord at A1; /R, Record at A1; Kreczko, Alan J.; @GLOBAL - Global Affairs; @INTERAM - Inter-American; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs

Subject: RE: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]

Date: Monday, March 11, 1996 11:10 AM

Thanks. Who will testify at the D'Amato hearing?

From: Danvers, William C.
To: Verville, Elizabeth G.
CC: /N, NonRecord at A1; /R, Record at A1; Kreczko, Alan J.; @GLOBAL - Global Affairs; @INTERAM - Inter-American; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: RE: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Monday, March 11, 1996 11:01 AM

No, we don't need to talk about it. I agree we shouldn't get in the middle of it.

From: Verville, Elizabeth G.
To: Danvers, William C.
CC: /N, NonRecord at A1; /R, Record at A1; Kreczko, Alan J.; @GLOBAL - Global Affairs; @INTERAM - Inter-American; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: RE: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Monday, March 11, 1996 09:37 AM

My point to Will was that I didn't think it was such a good idea to try to interpose ourselves in the middle of such an invitation even if it is a bad idea. Give a call if we should talk about this.

From: Danvers, William C.
To: Verville, Elizabeth G.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Kreczko, Alan J.; @GLOBAL - Global Affairs; @INTERAM - Inter-American; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: RE: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Monday, March 11, 1996 08:46 AM

Thanks. We are in constant touch with H about this, so we have heard the same rumor about the commission. With regard to the CRS event, I understand that the Mexican Amb has been invited but it is unclear whether this is a good idea. I think it will be tough to back away from.

From: Verville, Elizabeth G.
To: Danvers, William C.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Kreczko, Alan J.; @GLOBAL - Global Affairs; @INTERAM - Inter-American
Subject: RE: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Saturday, March 09, 1996 12:39 PM

Bill, Wayne Miele in H said on Friday that the Gingrich possible binational commission alternative might surface as a proposal circa Wednesday. Have you heard anything more about the Congr. Research Service roundtable for Fri with the Col and Mex Ambassadors?

From: Danvers, William C.
To: @NSC - NSC Staff; @VP - VP Natl Security Affairs
CC: /R, Record at A1; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: FW: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Friday, March 08, 1996 08:00 PM

From: Danvers, William C.
To: @up; @nsa; soderberg
CC: Record; @legislat; fuerth; wise
Subject: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Friday, March 08, 1996 07:56 PM

Note for Tony, Sandy and Nancy:

BOSNIA: Supp will be taken up in Senate next week. We should be ok with Bosnia and Jordan.

MEXICO: State is preparing a data base of where Senators are on the resolution of disapproval. D'Amato-- Senate Banking-- will hold a hearing on Mexico in general. We expect there will be a lot of discussion of the D'Amato and Feinstein bills.

ME: General consensus was there should be no Hill participation in trip next week. May have to revisit if there are problems. The Senate revised their resolution somewhat, and I think we have gone as far as we can go. There will be a hearing before HIRC on the recent terrorist incidents in the ME on Tues.

DOD AND IMMIGRATION: Rep. Dornan is having a field hearing on immigration and counternarcotics. DoD thinks its a bad idea and is trying to get it turned off.

EAA: Dan Poneman once again is doing heroic work. Interagency and Hill calls today to try and bring this thing to a close. Terrorism and CJ remain to be solved. Bob Kyle will take over the heroics on Monday to finish the job.

ABM: Bob Bell is meeting with Sen. Cochran's staffer on Monday. He will brief HCONS Dems next week as well before full Ct. hearing on ABM.

DOD AUTH: Both HCONS and SASC expect to be done with their bills before the end of May.

HIV: Sen. Nunn has consponsored the bill. We are now at 51 in the Senate and around 130 in the House.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 12 March 96 18:07
FROM Danvers, William C.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Kreczko, Alan J.
CARBON_COPY Bendick, Gordon L.
Burrell, Christina L.
Danvers, William C.
Davis, William K.

TEXT_BODY

OK. This is a CRSsponsored event. They take no position on our decision. They simply want members to have all the information they need to make a decision.

From: Kreczko, Alan J.
To: Danvers, William C.
CC: /R, Record at A1
Subject: RE: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 1996 05:53 PM

Bill,

Thanks. Please keep us posted on any proposals in this regard. I am generally negative, as a matter of separation of powers principles, to including Congress in execution of our diplomacy.

From: Danvers, William C.
To: Verville, Elizabeth G.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Kreczko, Alan J.; @GLOBAL - Global Affairs;
@INTERAM -
Inter-American; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: RE: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Monday, March 11, 1996 08:46 AM

Thanks. We are in constant touch with H about this, so we have heard the same rumor about the commission. With regard to the CRS event, I understand that the Mexican Amb has been invited but it is unclear whether this is a good idea. I think it will be tough to back away from.

From: Verville, Elizabeth G.
To: Danvers, William C.

CC: /R, Record at A1; Kreczko, Alan J.; @GLOBAL - Global Affairs;
@INTERAM -
Inter-American
Subject: RE: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Saturday, March 09, 1996 12:39 PM

Bill, Wayne Miele in H said on Friday that the Gingrich possible binational commission alternative might surface as a proposal circa Wednesday. Have you heard anything more about the Congr. Research Service roundtable for Fri with the Col and Mex Ambassadors?

From: Danvers, William C.
To: @NSC - NSC Staff; @VP - VP Natl Security Affairs
CC: /R, Record at A1; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: FW: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Friday, March 08, 1996 08:00 PM

From: Danvers, William C.
To: @up; @nsa; soderberg
CC: Record; @legislat; fuerth; wise
Subject: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Friday, March 08, 1996 07:56 PM

Note for Tony, Sandy and Nancy:

BOSNIA: Supp will be taken up in Senate next week. We should be ok with Bosnia and Jordan.

MEXICO: State is preparing a data base of where Senators are on the resolution of disapproval. D'Amato-- Senate Banking-- will hold a hearing on Mexico in general. We expect there will be a lot of discussion of the D'Amato and Feinstein bills.

ME: General consensus was there should be no Hill participation in trip next week. May have to revisit if there are problems. The Senate revised their resolution somewhat, and I think we have gone as far as we can go. There will be a hearing before HIRC on the recent terrorist incidents in the ME on Tues.

DOD AND IMMIGRATION: Rep. Dornan is having a field hearing on immigration and counternarcotics.
DoD thinks its a bad idea and is trying to get it turned off.

EAA: Dan Poneman once again is doing heroic work. Interagency and Hill calls today to try and bring this thing to a close. Terrorism and CJ remain to be solved. Bob Kyle will take over the heroics on Monday to finish the job.

ABM: Bob Bell is meeting with Sen. Cochran's staffer on Monday. He will brief HCONS Dems next week as well before full Ct. hearing on ABM.

DOD AUTH: Both HCONS and SASC expect to be done with their bills before the end of May.

HIV: Sen. Nunn has consponsored the bill. We are now at 51 in the Senate and around 130 in the House.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 14 March 96 09:43
FROM Verville, Elizabeth G.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Danvers, William C.
Verville, Elizabeth G.
CARBON_COPY Armstrong, Fulton T.
Bassett, Leslie A.
Beers, Rand R.
Boynton, Peter J.
Brown, Dallas
Clarke, Richard A.
Daadler, Ivo H.
Dobbins, James F.
Dymersky, Debra L.
Eddy, Randolph P.
Eisenhower, James J.
Feinberg, Richard E.
Letts, Kelly J.
Lindsey, Wanda
Mazzuchi, Anthony D.
Rice, Susan E.
Roundtree, Beverly J.
Schwartz, Eric P.
Sheehan, Michael A.
Simon, Steven N.

TEXT_BODY

The package just arrived from State.

From: Verville, Elizabeth G.
To: Danvers, William C.
CC: /R, Record at A1; @GLOBAL - Global Affairs; @INTERAM - Inter-American
Subject: RE: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, March 14, 1996 09:24 AM

Will, what material are you using? The guidance package we put together on certification day? I have never gotten a cleared package from State of talkers for high level administration officials. Tarnoff's staff said yesterday it was almost ready to come over! Is there testimony tomorrow? I'd like to take a look at what is being used and to get up to date. Where do we stand on a vote count? We should get together later. Sandy said he

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003. email	Elizabeth Verville to William Danvers, re: Legislative Update [partial] (1 page)	03/14/1996	P3/b(3)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([AIDS and Global...])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/21/1996 - 03/15/1996]

2007-1550-F
ke2066

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.
PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).
RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

would do another meeting, so he may want one soon after his return. Thanks.

From: Danvers, William C.
To: @NSC - NSC Staff; @VP - VP Natl Security Affairs
CC: /R, Record at A1; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: FW: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 1996 09:06 PM

From: Danvers, William C.
To: @up; @nsa; soderberg
CC: Record; @legislat; fuerth; wise
Subject: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 1996 09:05 PM

Note for Tony, Sandy and Nancy:

IRAN: HIRC markup postponed until next Thursday; met with HIRC staff today to outline concerns and the R's were not receptive to changes.

ISRAEL: We coordinated State, DOD, OMB, CIA calls on anti-terrorism aid; State reports House Approps requested briefing. Package went to Hill.

MEXICO: Briefing with Daschle and Sen. Bradley was fine. We will follow up by getting material to Hill and setting up briefings.

ABM DEMARCATION: Bob Bell briefed the HCONS Dems on ABM demarcation and our position on NMD. As usual, it was superb. Tomorrow, Bob will meet with Curt Weldon to update him prior to his trip to Geneva.

EAA: Bob Kyle chaired an IWG today. Slowly the IWG is reaching consensus on the remaining outstanding issues. Will meet with HIRC staff this week to finalize our differences. Markup scheduled for next week in an effort to complete before the Easter recess.

CHINA-TAIWAN BRIEF: All House Members are invited to a brief on China tomorrow afternoon by State(Lord) P3(b)(3) DOD(Campbell) and JCS. HIRC [003]

marks up a Taiwan resolution tomorrow. Murkowski is going to introduce one as well. Suettinger, among the million other things he is doing, has been very helpful in giving EAP and us guidance on the resolutions which have been vastly improved upon.

BOSNIA: Calls made today by us, State and DOD on the Equip and Train TPs. Reaction OK. Hill feels that E & T should be tied to the departure of all Iranians. Amendments to CR introduced by Dole and others on conditioning aid. We were able to improve the amends but still told Dole's people we

want to improve them further in conference.

HIV: 52 Senators (numerous Repubs including McCain, Hatch, Campbell, Kassebaum) and 136 (or more) House Members are now sponsoring.
Al

Maldon briefed House Members on WH efforts to secure Repeal. The House has an active whip organization working on Repeal.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 15 March 96 13:21
FROM Bendick, Gordon L.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Daily Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Bendick, Gordon L.
Burrell, Christina L.
Danvers, William C.
Davis, William K.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

Bill

HIV: Sponsors missed the deadline for the CR. Now trying to add the HIV Repeal to the L-ship amendment. Senate has 54 sponsors.

GPS ROLLOUT: Met with OSTP Jim Seaton and interagency group in preparation for a March 26 Global Positioning System rollout of the NSTC PDD-6. Tentatively planned for the Indian Treaty Room. Perry and Pena attending. Congressional invite list and notifications being worked with OSTP Leg.

BOSNIA: Briefing on Monday for House and Senate staff on Equip and Train. They feel that 1st Tranche may be overpriced for what it purchases and not enough heavy equipment is included. Sandy V, State and DOD will brief.

DRUG SUPPLEMENTAL: DOD is working on the sources for the \$250M for the drug rescission/supplemental. DOD had sent an early version to OMB, but used sources that were not appropriate. There may be some problems with this on the Hill as a result of Budget Firewalls.