

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):

FOIA Number:

S

# FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential Library Staff.**

---

**Record Group/Collection:** George H.W. Bush Presidential Records  
**Collection/Office of Origin:** Speechwriting, White House Office of  
**Series:** Grant, Mary Kate, Files  
**Subseries:** Subject File, 1988-1991

---

**OA/ID Number:** 13877  
**Folder ID Number:** 13877-012

---

**Folder Title:**  
Assorted 1990 Campaign [Rowland for Governor, Wilson for Governor, etc.] [1]

---

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
<b>G</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>

---

# Influence peddlers live

WT ed 10/18/90 p. 62

It seems like just the other day outraged federal lawmakers were bemoaning the misdeeds of political consultants and former government officials who used their connections to wangle housing funds for pet projects. Not a prime-time news broadcast passed without new congressional calls for investigations into the so-called HUD scandal. Housing and Urban Development Secretary Jack Kemp responded by dumping the abused programs, including the Secretary's Discretionary Program. At least he thought he did.

But now, like Jason, Freddie Kruger and Jaws, they are back, buried deep in a Senate appropriations bill. Now they go by the name of "special purpose grants." And they have the endorsement of the same Congress Republicans and Democrats alike, that tied itself in knots over past fraud. Consider just a few of these special purpose grants, which can be found in a HUD funding category designated for housing:

■ Sen. Daniel Inouye, an appropriations committee member, arranged for \$1.3 million to help workers at sugar cane mills facing economic difficulties, and \$1.2 million for a water and sewer project on behalf of fellow Hawaiian Sen. Daniel Akaka.

■ Appropriations Committee Chairman Robert C. Byrd got \$250,000 for a youth and community facility in New Haven, W.Va.

■ Sen. Nancy Kassebaum obtained \$1 million to move a Kansas City food kitchen.

■ Subcommittee member J. Bennett Johnston got \$500,000 to develop a National Center for the Revitalization of Central Cities at the University of New Orleans.

■ Subcommittee member Jake Garn arranged for \$500,000 to develop a light industrial park in West Valley, Utah, and another \$500,000 for a revolving loan program for high-tech businesses.

Obviously the special purpose of these grants has very little to do with putting people in homes. A spokesman for Mr. Garn's office said she could not tell us what a light industrial park has to do with housing and referred us elsewhere. An Inouye staffer could say only that the senator's request met HUD guidelines. The [appropriations] committee would have told us if it didn't meet them.

Well, of course, the committee writes its own rules and guidelines, and the rule of the day is pork to help incumbents such as Sens. Kassebaum, Akaka and Johnston hold onto votes. Influence peddling lives, only now it goes by the name of constituent service. The losers in this pork-for-votes scheme are the people who might have used scarce federal housing dollars for housing rather than say, make-work schemes for university intellectuals. And they probably will lose even more because the House will undoubtedly have pork projects of its own.

For highlighting influence peddling Capitol Hill style, Mr. Kemp has earned the wrath of lawmakers such as Sen. Barbara Mikulski, who has threatened to kill his plans to put low-income people in housing of their own and to cut his public affairs staff. But it's too late to shoot the messenger now. Word is out. If Congress wants an end to housing fraud, it had better start cleaning its own houses.

Photocopy-Preservation

f. Truman  
Strategy

THE SUN

# Senate appropriations panel uses back door

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 16, 1990

## to OK pork-barrel projects

By David Hess  
Knight-Ridder News Service

WASHINGTON — When it comes to preserving the sanctity of the congressional pork barrel, the Senate rarely lacks for ingenuity — even in this era of tight budgets and fierce arguments over spending priorities.

Making a wide turn around restrictions imposed last year on pork-barrel projects, members of the Senate Appropriations Committee added \$50 million of home-state items to a massive spending bill by

creating a brand new category of federal grants.

The bill, now awaiting final action by a Senate-House conference committee, appropriates \$78.6 billion in fiscal year 1991 for housing, veterans, space and environmental programs. While the total cost of the home-state projects is small compared with the overall price of the bill, the money represents only a down payment on many of them. By the time they are completed, they will cost several times more than the amount provided in this year's bill.

The home-state projects, labeled "special purpose grants," are included in the housing section of the bill and circumvent an accord reached last year between lawmakers and Housing and Urban Development Secretary Jack Kemp. HUD was been wracked by scandal because of projects awarded by Mr. Kemp's predecessor to clients of "influence peddling" former officials and political consultants.

Under the accord, there was at least a tacit pledge by Congress not to load up the housing bill with ear-

marked projects that helped members bring home the bacon.

"Our understanding in the HUD reform bill, which was intended to eliminate many of the other abuses in [our] programs, was not to use the spending bill as a vehicle for these narrowly focused projects," a HUD spokesman said. "Now look what we've got."

What HUD got was a Senate bill with 27 pet projects sponsored by members of the appropriations panel.

For example, Sen. Jake Garn, R-

Utah, got \$500,000 in "seed money" to finance high-tech business in two cities. In all, Mr. Garn got four projects, worth \$1.75 million, for his state.

In addition, a 28th project was added on the Senate floor to move a charity-feeding kitchen in Kansas City, Kan., as a favor to Sen. Nancy L. Kassebaum, R-Kan., who is running for re-election.

Several other projects also appeared to be aimed at helping hard-pressed incumbents — including Sens. Carl Levin, D-Mich.; Tom Har-

kin, D-Iowa; Paul Simon, D-Ill.; David Pryor, D-Ark.; Jim Exon, D-Neb., and Daniel K. Akaka, D-Hawaii — win re-election. Mr. Harkin is the only member of the committee.

Mr. Akaka got his projects courtesy of the committee's third-ranking Democrat, Hawaii Sen. Daniel K. Inouye: a \$1.2 million road and sewer project and a \$500,000 water system.

Angered by the Senate's action, Mr. Kemp may get the last laugh. As the bill stands now, the Bush administration is threatening to veto it.

# Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

## (George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
01. Memo	Ed McNally to Chriss Winston, All Speechwriters, All Researchers, Re: Slamming the Democrats for Gutting the Crime Bill. (1 pp.)	10/29/90	<del>P-5</del>	

**Collection:**

**Record Group:** Bush Presidential Records  
**Office:** Speechwriting, White House Office of  
**Series:** Grant, Mary Kate  
**Subseries:** Subject File  
**WHORM Cat.:**  
**File Location:** Assorted 1990 Campaign [Rowland for Gov., Wilson for Gov., Saiki for Sen., etc. [1]

**Open on Expiration of PRA**  
**(Document Follows)**  
 By SN (NLGB) on 4/5/2005

<b>Date Closed:</b> 12/14/2004	<b>OA/ID Number:</b> 04422
<b>FOIA/SYS Case #:</b> S	<b>Appeal Case #:</b>
<b>Re-review Case #:</b> 2005-0482-S	<b>Appeal Disposition:</b>
<b>P-2/P-5 Review Case #:</b>	<b>Disposition Date:</b>
<b>AR Case #:</b>	<b>MR Case #:</b>
<b>AR Disposition:</b>	<b>MR Disposition:</b>
<b>AR Disposition Date:</b>	<b>MR Disposition Date:</b>

### RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

- (b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- (b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- (b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- (b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- (b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- (b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- (b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- (b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

October 29, 1990

MEMORANDUM FOR CHRISS WINSTON  
ALL SPEECHWRITERS  
ALL RESEARCHERS

FROM: ED McNALLY

SUBJECT: SLAMMING THE DEMOCRATS FOR GUTTING THE CRIME BILL

In one of the most cowardly legislative acts on record, liberal Democrats in the conference committee mugged the President's Crime Bill in the last hours of the Congress. Run down by a mob of desparate Democrats fleeing home to their campaigns, it was a true hit and run.

It also marks the first time in almost a decade that a Congress has gone home without producing a serious crime bill.

The injuries proved fatal. The Crime Bill came in D.O.A. -- "Dead On Arrival" -- and missing most of its vital parts.

- o Gone are the President's habeas corpus reforms aimed at stopping convicted criminals from endlessly abusing the appeals process.
- o Gone are the President's reforms of the exclusionary rule -- a judge-made law that lets the guilty go free.
- o Most outrageous of all -- they cut the President's proposal for a real federal death penalty -- striking out even the death penalty for drug kingpins and terrorists.

For almost 10 years, Republican Presidents have been calling for the Congress to pass workable federal death penalty laws. The American people overwhelmingly support it. Both Houses overwhelmingly passed it. But once again, the Democrats choked -- and failed to send a federal death penalty law to the President's desk. It's bad news for America, and bad news for law enforcement -- and good news only for violent criminals.

This gives us an attack issue the President can and should use in every race. (And, unlike the budget, we can attack without apology or explanation.) The Democrats should be hit, and hit hard, on this in every remaining campaign speech. ("This was a strong anti-crime bill when it left this House," said Henry Hyde. "It left here as Arnold Schwarzenegger and came back as Woody Allen.")

Attached are some materials from Justice -- including language drafted for POTUS that we can use.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 19, 1990

MEMORANDUM FOR MARY KATE GRANT

FROM: JIM PINKERTON *JP*

SUBJECT: The Truman Strategy and the Budget

The attached represents a humble initial effort to schematize the Trumanesque rhetoric. If we can distill five or six or seven points about taxes and the budget, it will be easy to repeat and repeat and repeat.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 18, 1990

MEMORANDUM FOR CHRISS WINSTON

FROM: JIM PINKERTON

SUBJECT: Vermont GOP Speech  
Rowland for Governor Fundraiser

While these remarks are generally good, I believe that we need to take a stronger stand on the current situation. I think the President's remarks to the interns this afternoon were a Truman-like step in the right direction. If we were to repeat this message over and over, we'd be a lot better off.

I don't have a final copy of these remarks, but an early draft emphasized six points:

1. Soaking the rich means soaking the middle class:

Let me translate this dishonest bit of Washington doublespeak for you: when some members of Congress talk about "soaking the rich," they really mean raising taxes on everyone. Their talk about "progressivity" and "regressivity" is just a smokescreen to try and hide their effort to raise taxes on ordinary working Americans.

2. Indexing is a shield for the middle class:

Let me tell you about another word: "indexing"... the federal government was a silent partner in inflation, profiting from higher prices even as families suffered. Elimination of indexing does not affect the rich, because they are already in the top tax bracket. Indexing is a shield for the middle class...

3. Incentives are the key to economic growth:

We must reduce the deficit, so that interest rates will come down and enable people to buy homes and cars and so that business can invest in new jobs. But let me tell you about another important word: "incentive." When tax rates get too high, as they did before indexing, then people don't save, because the government takes

so much that there is no incentive. That's the reason why I am standing firm on the 28% top rate. Not because I want to help the rich, but because I want to help everyone.

4. Importance of economic growth:

You don't have to be an expert in federal fiscal policy to understand the real issue here. As I said, I am determined to reduce the deficit, but I am even more determined to continue economic growth, so that all of you can enjoy a better future. I will not let demagogues who speak of "soaking the rich," get away with killing the indexing which preserves incentives and protects the family budget from the attack of a federal government which spends so much that it can't balance its own budget.

5. National interest vs special interest:

You can count on me to hold the Congress' feet to the fire and make them do the right thing. I believe in what I am doing. I believe that the national interest is always more important than the special interests. I will fight the taxers and the spenders if I have to.

6. Congress is the obstacle, and the President is prepared to take his case to the American public:

In the remaining weeks of this political campaign season, I will take my message to the American people. I believe in my heart that as the voters learn more about what the real issues are, issues like indexing and incentive, that they will join me in demanding a Congress that will be responsive and responsible, and that will reduce the deficit by controlling taxing and spending.

This language may be a little too tough -- it can certainly be modified as needed.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 16, 1990

MEMORANDUM FOR ANDREW H. CARD, JR.  
JAMES W. CICCONI  
DAVID F. DEMAREST, JR.

FROM: ROGER B. PORTER *RBP*

SUBJECT: Possible Speech Insert on Crime Bill

This afternoon we received an encouraging letter to the President from the National District Attorneys Association and two State Attorneys General urging him to veto any crime bill that includes the so-called "Racial Justice Act."

As we discussed on the phone, Andy, this letter may provide a useful hook for a speech insert on the crime bill clarifying our position on crime and pointing once again to congressional inaction on an important issue.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Attachment

October 16, 1990

Speech Insert

Crime Bill

Congress should not adjourn before it completes action on tough and meaningful crime legislation the American people want. I want the Congress to send me a bill that includes a workable Federal death penalty, meaningful habeas corpus reform and reform of the exclusionary rule. In short, I want to sign -- and will only sign -- a crime bill that is tougher on criminals than it is on law enforcement.

Just today, I received a letter from the National District Attorneys Association urging me to veto any crime bill that includes the so-called "Racial Justice Act" -- what is really a quota system for the death penalty. As they said, this approach is "simplistic, vague and unworkable." And, I must add, it is unacceptable. The nation's prosecutors know what they need to make our neighborhood streets safe -- to take back our streets. It is time, beyond time, for us to give them those tools.

*? except criminals*  
Every life is sacred - no matter black white  
or red - and those who murder and etc  
must pay the ultimate penalty - equally.

The answer is not in letting those who kill whites off  
it is in enforcing penalties against all who murder  
any race.

NEW

PETE WILSON \ CENTURY PLAZA HOTEL  
FRIDAY, OCTOBER 26, 1990 \ 12:30 P.M.

IT'S A DELIGHT TO BE BACK IN THE GOLDEN STATE. LET  
ME BEGIN BY RECOGNIZING A GREAT TWO-TERM GOVERNOR AND  
REPUBLICAN LEADER -- GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN (GLORIA). THANK  
YOU FOR THAT GRACIOUS AND GENEROUS INTRODUCTION.  
GAYLE, GREAT TO BE WITH YOU AGAIN. I SEE THE REST OF  
THE STATEWIDE TICKET HERE: MARIAN BERGESON (LT. GOV.),  
JOAN MILKEY FLORES (SEC. STATE), THOMAS HAYES  
(TREASURER), MATT FONG (CONTROLLER). LET'S HAVE A HAND  
FOR A GREAT TEAM.

- 2 -

OUR LEADERSHIP IN THE LEGISLATURE, KEN MADDY (SEN. MIN.  
LDR.) AND ROSS JOHNSON (ASSEM. MIN. LDR.). SCOTT BIAO  
[BAY-0] (ACTOR), MEL TORME (THE VELVET FOG), DONALD  
O'CONNOR (ENTERTAINER/DANCER EXTRAORDINAIRE). IT'S  
GREAT TO BE WITH YOU ALL TODAY.

I BRING YOU GREETINGS FROM THE SILVER FOX. IF I  
MAY SAY SO, SHE'S DOING AN OUTSTANDING JOB ON BEHALF OF  
LITERACY ACROSS THIS COUNTRY. ((I'M SURE YOU'RE SORRY  
ABOUT THE BASEBALL TEAM FROM UP NORTH.\\

I GUESS IT WAS THAT FIRST PITCH THAT BARBARA THREW TO THE REDS.)) \\\

IT'S ALWAYS A PLEASURE TO BE BACK TO SAY A FEW WORDS OF SUPPORT FOR YOUR NEXT GOVERNOR -- GOVERNOR PETE WILSON.\\\

YOU KNOW, PETE UNDERSTANDS CALIFORNIA. HE KNOWS CALIFORNIANS WANT A GOVERNMENT THAT IS RESPONSIVE, RESPONSIBLE AND IN SHORT, A GOVERNMENT WORTHY OF RESPECT.

THAT'S WHY PETE ENDORSED PROPOSITION 140 -- TO REFORM OUR GOVERNMENT BY LIMITING TERMS FOR LAWMAKERS. THE 1988 REPUBLICAN PLATFORM CALLED FOR LIMITING TERMS FOR MEMBERS OF CONGRESS. AND NOW MOMENTUM IS BUILDING ALL ACROSS THE COUNTRY. BUT THE DEMOCRATS, INCLUDING PETE'S OPPONENT, DON'T UNDERSTAND THE MOOD OF THE COUNTRY. THEY TRULY BELIEVE THEY DESERVE TO BE RE-ELECTED FROM NOW UNTIL KINGDOM-COME.

I BELIEVE IN CITIZEN LEGISLATORS RETURNING TO LIVE UNDER THE LAWS THEY'VE MADE. I BELIEVE TERM LIMITATION IS AN IDEA WHOSE TIME HAS COME.// ((THESE DEMOCRAT INCUMBENTS REMIND ME OF THE MICHAEL KEATON CHARACTER IN "PACIFIC HEIGHTS" -- ONCE THEY MOVE INTO YOUR BASEMENT, THEY NEVER MOVE OUT.))\\

WELL, PETE WILSON SAYS ENOUGH IS ENOUGH -- AND SO DO I.\\ WE DON'T NEED PERPETUAL LEGISLATORS AND CONGRESSMEN TO RULE FOR ETERNITY.\\

WE NEED MORE REPUBLICANS LIKE PETE WILSON AND WE NEED A CONGRESS THAT WORKS. WE NEED A CONGRESS THAT WORKS FOR THE NATIONAL INTEREST, NOT THE SPECIAL INTEREST.\\

IN EDUCATION, WE WANT REFORM -- TO EMPOWER PARENTS TO CHOOSE THEIR CHILDREN'S SCHOOLS. IN CHILD CARE, REPUBLICANS WANT REFORM -- TO EMPOWER PARENTS TO CHOOSE WHO WILL WATCH OVER THEIR CHILDREN. IN THE MOST DESOLATE INNER CITIES, WE WANT REFORM -- SO WE STRIVE TO CREATE ZONES OF OPPORTUNITY, TO REMOVE BARRIERS TO MOBILITY AND SUCCESS, TO EMPOWER PEOPLE WITH THE SPIRIT OF ENTERPRISE.

IN CIVIL RIGHTS, WE WANT EXPANDED GUARANTEES OF EQUAL OPPORTUNITY FOR ALL -- WITHOUT QUOTAS. AND IN HOUSING, WE WANT TO EMPOWER PUBLIC-HOUSING TENANTS TO TAKE CHARGE OF THEIR OWN LIVES.

BUT THIS IS A CONGRESS THAT WOULD RATHER PROCLAIM "NATIONAL HOME CARE WEEK" THAN GIVE ME A HOUSING BILL. THIS IS A CONGRESS THAT WOULD RATHER ISSUE FEEL-GOOD PROCLAMATIONS, THAN ADDRESS PROBLEMS. THIS IS A CONGRESS THAT IS JUST NOW DELIVERING A BUDGET, ALMOST A FULL MONTH INTO THE NEW FISCAL YEAR, AND AFTER EIGHT MONTHS OF FOOT-DRAGGING NEGOTIATIONS.

---

YOU KNOW, ALMOST 40 TIMES IN THE LAST TEN YEARS CONGRESS HAS HAD TO PASS EMERGENCY MEASURES JUST TO KEEP THE GOVERNMENT OPERATING. THEY DID IT AGAIN JUST LAST WEDNESDAY -- FOR THE THIRD TIME THIS MONTH. I BELIEVE THE AMERICAN PEOPLE HAVE HAD ENOUGH.

THERE IS AN ALTERNATIVE. I MET YESTERDAY AT THE WHITE HOUSE WITH THE REPUBLICAN LEADERSHIP FROM THE HOUSE AND SENATE.

I MADE CLEAR TO THE LEADERSHIP -- AND TO OUR NEGOTIATORS FROM THE WHITE HOUSE -- HOW MUCH WE OWE TO THEIR UNTIRING EFFORTS THESE PAST EIGHT MONTHS -- EFFORTS TO FIGHT THE DEMOCRATS' DETERMINATION TO TAX AND SPEND. // OUR MEETING PROVED TO ME THAT WE IN THE REPUBLICAN PARTY STAND UNITED -- WE STAND ON PRINCIPLE -- AND WE STAND AGAINST THE FAILED TAX AND SPEND POLICIES OF THE DEMOCRATS WHO CONTROL BOTH HOUSES OF THE CONGRESS. ///

WE'RE WORKING TO TURN THINGS AROUND IN WASHINGTON. RIGHT HERE IN CALIFORNIA -- REFORM BEGINS WITH PETE WILSON. IN OUR WAR ON CRIME AND DRUGS, PETE WILSON HAS BEEN EXCEPTIONAL -- A TRUE LEADER. // AS YOUR NEXT GOVERNOR, PETE SAYS, "I WILL NOT HAVE CALIFORNIA UNDER SIEGE TO RAPISTS AND THUGS AND DRUG DEALERS." IS IT ANY SURPRISE THAT HE, AND NOT HIS OPPONENT, IS ENDORSED BY THE WOMEN PROSECUTORS OF CALIFORNIA?

THEY KNOW PETE WILSON WANTS TO GOVERN A CALIFORNIA WHERE WOMEN NEED NO LONGER FEAR THE NIGHT BECAUSE DRUG DEALERS AND CRIMINALS WILL FEAR THE LAW. THEY KNOW HE WOULD MAKE YOUR STREETS SAFER BY EXTENDING CAPITAL PUNISHMENT IN CALIFORNIA TO MAJOR DRUG TRAFFICKERS, THE SAME AS MY PROPOSAL BEFORE CONGRESS.

THE FIRST TIME I CALLED ON CONGRESS TO PASS A TOUGH, COMPREHENSIVE CRIME BILL, I WAS SURROUNDED BY HUNDREDS OF LAW-ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS AT THE FOOT OF THE CAPITOL ON A RAIN-SOAKED MORNING IN MAY OF 1989.

AND AFTER A YEAR AND A HALF, THIS DEMOCRAT CONGRESS STILL HAS NOT PASSED OUR CRIME BILL -- THE ONLY CRIME BILL THE DEMOCRATS HAVE EVER TALKED ABOUT PASSING IS ONE THAT WOULD LEAVE OUR COURTS, OUR COPS AND ULTIMATELY OUR CITIZENS WEAKER THAN THE CRIMINALS WHO PLAGUE OUR CITIES.

WHEN THE DEMOCRATS TRIED TO PUSH THROUGH AN EXCLUSIONARY RULE THAT WOULD HAVE HANDCUFFED LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS -- REPUBLICANS HELD THE LINE.

WHEN THE DEMOCRATS TRIED TO ASSURE CRIMINALS A PROCESS OF ENDLESS APPEALS -- REPUBLICANS HELD THE LINE. WHEN THE DEMOCRATS TRIED TO GUT THE DEATH PENALTY -- REPUBLICANS HELD THE LINE. // THE HOUR IS LATE, BUT IF CONGRESS SENDS ME A BILL THAT IS TOUGHER ON CRIMINALS THAN IT IS ON COPS -- I WILL SIGN IT THE INSTANT IT LANDS ON MY DESK.

IT TROUBLES ME THAT OUR DEMOCRATIC CONGRESS DOESN'T BOTHER TO LISTEN TO THE MOST VULNERABLE OF OUR SOCIETY -- FAMILIES LIVING IN FEAR IN WEST L.A., OR IN WATTS -- IN NEIGHBORHOODS WHERE JUST GOING TO SCHOOL OR THE CORNER STORE IS AN ACT OF COURAGE. IF THE DEMOCRATS IN CONGRESS WANT REAL JUSTICE, IF THEY WANT PEACE IN OUR NEIGHBORHOODS, THEY WOULD HAVE PROTECTED AMERICANS -- ALL AMERICANS -- WITH THE TOUGH LAWS WE PROPOSED SO VERY LONG AGO.\\\

WELL, CALIFORNIA CAN DO SOMETHING ABOUT THE ARROGANCE OF THE LIBERAL STATE LEGISLATURE. YOU CAN DO SOMETHING BECAUSE -- YOU, THE VOTERS -- ARE THE TRUE INCUMBENTS. \ \ YOU CAN ELECT MORE REPUBLICANS -- AND YOU CAN ELECT A GOVERNOR WHO NEVER WAVERS IN FIGHTING CRIME, STANDING FIRM FOR FISCAL SANITY AND PROTECTING THE ENVIRONMENT. YOU CAN ELECT PETE WILSON.

NOVEMBER 6TH IS JUST 11 DAYS AWAY. ALREADY, ABSENTEE BALLOTS ARE STREAMING IN FROM THE PERSIAN GULF -- THOUSANDS OF VOTES FROM OUR MEN AND WOMEN IN UNIFORM. IF THEY CAN FIND THE TIME TO DO THEIR CIVIC DUTY UNDER SUCH DEMANDING CONDITIONS, I KNOW THAT CALIFORNIANS AT HOME WILL TURN OUT AS WELL.

WITH THE SUPPORT AND LEADERSHIP OF CALIFORNIANS LIKE YOU, I AM CONFIDENT THAT WHEN THE VOTERS DO GO TO THE POLLS, THEY WILL VOTE FOR CHANGE.

THEY WILL VOTE FOR REFORM. THEY WILL VOTE REPUBLICAN,  
AND BEST OF ALL THEY WILL SEND PETE WILSON TO  
SACRAMENTO.

THANK YOU, MAY GOD BLESS YOU, AND THE UNITED STATES  
OF AMERICA.

# # #

SAIKI FOR SENATE \ HILTON HAWAIIAN VILLAGE, WAIKIKI  
SATURDAY, OCTOBER 27, 1990 \ 7:30 P.M.

THANK YOU. MY OLD FRIEND, SENATOR HIRAM FONG,  
MAYOR FRANK FASI [FAH-SEE]; FRED HEMMINGS (OUR ABLE  
CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNOR); MIKE LIU [LEE-YOU] (RUNNING TO  
FILL PAT SAIKI'S SHOES IN CONGRESS); ANDY POEPOE [PO-  
EH-PO-EH] (2ND DISTRICT CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE);  
COUNCILMAN DAVID KAHANU [KAH-HAH-NEW], STATE CHAIRMAN  
ANDY ANDERSON, GOVERNOR PETER COLEMAN OF AMERICAN  
SAMOA, CONGRESSMAN BEN BLAZ [BLAHS] OF GUAM.

---

- 2 -

THANK YOU ALL, FOR THAT WARM WELCOME. NICE TO GET  
AWAY FROM WASHINGTON, TO WARMER CLIMES AND COOLER  
HEADS. I WAS HOPING TO MAKE TIME TO DO A LITTLE  
FISHING HERE. BUT AFTER A LIFETIME CATCHING FISH WITH  
NAMES LIKE SKATE, PERCH, PIKE, BASS, AND TROUT --  
SOMEBODY TOLD ME THAT HAWAII'S STATE FISH IS THE "HUMU-  
HUMU-NUKU-NUKU-AHPU-AH-AH." \\\

I FIGURED, IF I CAN'T SAY IT, I'LL NEVER CATCH IT.  
\\\ ]]

HAWAII IS A WONDERFULLY WELCOMING PLACE. YOU FEEL IT IN THE WARM WIND, SEE IT IN THE EYES OF YOUNG AND OLD, SENSE IT EVEN IN YOUR STATE CAPITOL -- NOT SOME DARK, EXCLUSIVE DOME, BUT A ROOF OPEN TO THE SKY, THE SUN, AND THE STARS. AS IF TO MAKE ROOM FOR HIGHER ASPIRATIONS.

HAWAII HAS TAUGHT THE WORLD THAT MEN AND WOMEN FROM ASIA, AFRICA, THE AMERICAS AND EUROPE CAN TIE THEIR DESTINIES TOGETHER IN COMMON CAUSE.

SO WE'RE HERE TO SUPPORT SOMEONE WHO BRINGS THAT LESSON TO LIFE EVERY DAY -- FOR ALL PEOPLE, OF ANY PARTY OR PERSUASION, WHO WANT A BRIGHTER FUTURE FOR HAWAII. A GREAT TEACHER, A GREAT LADY, AND A GREAT LEADER -- WHO CARES ABOUT THIS STATE AND ITS PEOPLE, AND KNOWS HOW TO SERVE THEM WELL IN WASHINGTON. PAT SAIKI [SY-KEE] -- THE NEXT SENATOR FROM HAWAII. PAT'S BACK IN WASHINGTON, DOING WHAT SHE'S DONE EVERY DAY OF HER CAREER -- BEATING THE ODDS.

BACK WHEN THE EXPERTS SAID SHE HAD NO CHANCE, SHE WON HER HOUSE SEAT WITH 60 PERCENT. AND NEXT MONTH -- WITH YOUR HELP -- SHE'S GOING TO DEFY THE ODDS AGAIN. AS THE FIRST ASIAN-AMERICAN WOMAN IN THE UNITED STATES SENATE. \\\

OVER HER TWO TERMS IN CONGRESS, I'VE WATCHED PAT SAIKI IN ACTION. ADMIRING HER UNIQUELY BIPARTISAN APPROACH TO HER WORK.

SEEN HER BUILD CONSENSUS ACROSS THE AISLE, GETTING REPUBLICANS AND DEMOCRATS TO PULL TOGETHER. SHE'S SMART. EFFECTIVE. SHE MOVES GOVERNMENT FORWARD. PAT KNOWS THAT LEADERS ARE SENT TO WASHINGTON, NOT TO QUARREL, BUT TO LEAD -- AND I KNOW THAT AMERICA NEEDS THAT SPIRIT OF ALOHA IN THE U.S. SENATE. \\\

PAT SAIKI ADDS AN IMPORTANT VOICE TO THIS GREAT STATE'S PRESENCE IN WASHINGTON. SHE WAS PART OF A BROAD COALITION CONCERNED ABOUT JAPANESE AMERICANS INTERNED DURING WORLD WAR II.

IT WAS PAT WHO HELPED CONVINCED PRESIDENT REAGAN TO SIGN LEGISLATION REAFFIRMING US AS A NATION OF INTEGRITY AND FAIRNESS. AND JUST THIS MONTH, I WAS PROUD TO PERSONALLY COMMUNICATE THE NATION'S REGRET TO THE NOBLE SURVIVORS OF THOSE CAMPS. PAT'S COMMITMENT TO JUSTICE IS JUST ONE WAY THAT SHE HAS HELPED MAKE AMERICA EVER STRONGER, AND MORE PROUD. \\\

SHE'S ALSO BEEN A LEADER IN CONGRESS TO SAFEGUARD HAWAII'S PRECIOUS ENVIRONMENT -- PROTECTING MARINE LIFE FROM DRIFTNETTING, EXPANDING WILDLIFE REFUGES, AND WORKING TO ESTABLISH OIL SPILL STRIKE TEAMS TO PROTECT HAWAII'S WATERS. VERY SOON, I HOPE TO HAVE A LANDMARK CLEAN AIR ACT THAT I CAN SIGN -- THE ONE MY ADMINISTRATION PROPOSED TO THE CONGRESS LAST YEAR. AND IF I DO, I KNOW THAT PART OF THE REASON WILL BE THE STEADFAST SUPPORT PAT SAIKI HAS GIVEN TO OUR ENVIRONMENTAL INITIATIVES.

PAT SAIKI TRULY IS A CLEAR-THINKING CHAMPION FOR THE ENVIRONMENT. \\\

RECENTLY, PAT URGED THAT THE BOMBING OF KAHOOLAWE [KAH-WHO-LA-VAY] SHOULD BE HALTED. AND JUST THIS WEEK, I DIRECTED THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE TO DISCONTINUE THE ISLAND'S USE AS A WEAPONS RANGE -- EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY.

SHE'S AN EFFECTIVE, COMPASSIONATE LEADER -- WHOSE JUDGMENT IS SOUND, WHOSE VOICE GETS HEARD, WHO MAKES THINGS HAPPEN. SHE'LL MAKE A GREAT SENATOR FOR THE STATE OF HAWAII. \\\

YOU KNOW, WHEN PAT VISITED ME IN THE OVAL OFFICE LAST SPRING, SHE STRESSED THE IMPORTANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES -- AND ALSO TALKED ABOUT TRADE WITH OUR PACIFIC RIM NEIGHBORS. SHE'S GOT A VISION OF HAWAII AS MORE THAN A GATEWAY TO THE PACIFIC RIM.

SHE SEES HAWAII AS A FUTURE FOCAL POINT FOR INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND NEW TECHNOLOGY. FOR EXAMPLE, PAT AND I KNOW HOW IMPORTANT IT IS TO ACHIEVE SUCCESS AT THE GATT TRADE TALKS. THESE NEGOTIATIONS CAN OPEN UP NEW MARKETS FOR HAWAII'S AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS -- AND I'M CONVINCED WE CAN COMPETE WITH ANYONE, ANYWHERE -- AS LONG AS THE COMPETITION IS FREE AND FAIR.

PAT SAIKI KNOWS HOW TO HARNESS THE POWER OF HAWAII BUSINESS -- BY UNLEASHING THE POWER OF THE PEOPLE THEMSELVES. HAWAII WILL HAVE A BRIGHTER FUTURE WITH PAT SAIKI IN THE U.S. SENATE. \\

BUT PAT KNOWS THE FUTURE WILL ALWAYS BE JUST OUT OF REACH, IF WE FOLLOW THE FAILED TRADITION OF TAXING AND SPENDING, SPENDING AND TAXING. THAT'S WHY SHE'S GOT THE BEST SPENDING RECORD OF ANYONE IN THE HAWAII DELEGATION.

IN FACT, HER EFFORTS AGAINST WASTE IN GOVERNMENT MADE HER A TWO-TIME WINNER OF THE "GOLDEN BULLDOG AWARD."

((THAT MAY MAKE A CERTAIN FAMOUS AUTHOR AND SPRINGER SPANIEL NAMED MILLIE A LITTLE JEALOUS, BUT EVER SINCE HER BOOK HIT THE BESTSELLER LIST SHE'S BEEN A LITTLE FULL OF HERSELF -- GAVE HER SOME ALPO THE OTHER DAY, SHE ASKED TO SEE THE WINE LIST.)) ///

I'M SURE YOU'VE BEEN WATCHING THE NEWS ABOUT THE BUDGET NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE CONGRESS.

((IF YOU THINK IT HASN'T BEEN PRETTY FROM 5000 MILES AWAY, YOU SHOULD SEE IT CLOSE UP.)) NO, IT HASN'T BEEN PRETTY, BUT WE ARE GETTING CLOSER TO A BUDGET AGREEMENT NOW -- AN AGREEMENT THAT'S LONG, LONG OVERDUE.

THE BUCK DOES STOP AT MY DESK, BECAUSE AS PRESIDENT, I DO HAVE TO CONSIDER THE NATIONAL INTEREST, BEFORE THE PAROCHIAL INTEREST. SO I AM DETERMINED TO DO MY BEST TO GET AN AGREEMENT THAT PUTS A STOP TO THIS CONGRESSIONAL SPENDING BINGE.

TO GET INTEREST RATES HEADED DOWN, AND OUR ECONOMY ON THE PATH TO CREATING MORE JOBS AND MORE OPPORTUNITIES FOR ALL AMERICANS. \\\

I'M PROUD OF THE EFFORTS OF OUR NEGOTIATING TEAM, AND THE REPUBLICAN LEADERSHIP IN BOTH HOUSES, WHO'VE BEEN STRUGGLING TIRELESSLY FOR EIGHT LONG AND ARDUOUS MONTHS. I'M PROUD OF THIS PARTY BECAUSE IT STANDS FIRMLY UNITED IN THE BROAD PRINCIPLES THAT SUSTAIN IT.

PRINCIPLES LIKE THE ENDURING COMMITMENT TO FREEDOM, JUSTICE AND INDIVIDUAL EMPOWERMENT; THE CONSTANT DETERMINATION TO PLACE OUR FAITH IN A LIMITED GOVERNMENT -- BUT ONE WITH CONSCIENCE AND COMPASSION.

THIS PARTY -- AND OUR LEADERSHIP IN WASHINGTON-- CONTINUES TO FIGHT THE FAILED POLICIES OF THE PAST. LOOK BACK -- OUR 1988 PLATFORM CALLED FOR LIMITING THE TERMS OF MEMBERS OF CONGRESS, AND AS YOU LOOK AT THE MOMENTUM GROWING ACROSS THE COUNTRY, I'M CONVINCED IT'S AN IDEA WHOSE TIME HAS COME.

WE ARE THE PARTY THAT EMPOWERS PEOPLE -- NOT AN ENTRENCHED BUREAUCRACY OF 20,000 CONGRESSIONAL STAFFERS ON CAPITOL HILL. WE ARE DETERMINED TO PUT THE NATIONAL INTEREST AHEAD OF SPECIAL INTERESTS.///

I'M HERE AT THIS EVENT ON A PARTISAN POLITICAL MISSION -- AND I NEED PAT SAIKI AS PART OF OUR TEAM IN THE UNITED STATES SENATE. BUT AS SENATOR VANDENBURG SAID MANY YEARS AGO, PARTISANSHIP STOPS AT THE WATER'S EDGE.

SO I'M TRULY GRATEFUL FOR THE BIPARTISAN SUPPORT NOT ONLY FROM THE CONGRESS, BUT ALSO FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE FOR OUR EFFORTS TO STAND FIRM AGAINST AGGRESSION AND BRUTALITY IN THE PERSIAN GULF.

SO ON SUNDAY, I'LL BE PUTTING PARTISANSHIP ASIDE AND HEAD FOR HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE -- TO TELL HAWAII'S SERVICEMEN AND WOMEN HOW MUCH THEY MEAN TO AMERICA, AND TO THE CAUSE OF PEACE IN THE WORLD. OUR THOUGHTS AND PRAYERS ARE WITH THEM AND THEIR FAMILIES, EVERY DAY.

AND I KNOW THAT PAT, AND ALL OF YOU HERE TODAY, JOIN ME  
IN SALUTING THEM. \\\

PRESIDENT EISENHOWER, WORRIED ABOUT GLOBAL CONFLICT  
IN 1959, SAID "HAWAII CRIES INSISTENTLY TO A DIVIDED  
WORLD, THAT ALL OUR DIFFERENCES OF RACE AND ORIGIN ARE  
LESS THAN THE GRAND AND INDESTRUCTIBLE UNITY OF OUR  
COMMON BROTHERHOOD. THE WORLD SHOULD TAKE TIME TO  
LISTEN... TO HAWAII." WELL, TODAY WASHINGTON LISTENS  
TO HAWAII -- AND PAT SAIKI. \\\

IT'S BEEN A CLOSE RACE FOR PAT -- BUT WE'RE  
BEGINNING TO SEE DAYLIGHT. AND THAT MEANS BRIGHT DAYS  
FOR HAWAII ARE AHEAD. SO THIS NOVEMBER, DO ALL YOU CAN  
TO GET OUT THE VOTE -- FROM HILO ON THE BIG ISLAND, TO  
MAUI, TO KANEOHE, WHERE I FLEW DURING WORLD WAR II, TO  
THE BUSTLING STREETS OF HONOLULU ON OAHU. GET THE  
PEOPLE TO THE POLLS -- AND SEND PAT SAIKI TO THE U.S.  
SENATE. \\\

MAHALO [MA-HAH-LOW] -- AND MAY GOD BLESS THE  
BEAUTIFUL STATE OF HAWAII.

# # #

Washington Post  
Style Section  
Personalities Column  
10/23/90

Congress can't find its way clear to agree on a budget, but it has been able to come up with half a million dollars to make a tourist attraction out of former bandleader Lawrence Welk's Strasburg, N.D., birthplace. The money, included in the fiscal 1991 agriculture appropriation bill now on its way to the White House, is part of a program intended to help rural communities develop new businesses. "That's right, 'Ah one, ah two, ah three, ah four, ah half a million dollars," said Rep. Silvio Conte (R-Mass.), mimicking the way Welk used to direct his orchestra. Conte went on record as opposing the funding.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 25, 1990

Dear Mary Kate:

On behalf of the Office of Political Affairs, I would like to cordially invite you to participate in our Election Night Watch (Tuesday, November 6).


Please feel free to stop by our informal gathering starting around 8:00 p.m. in room 165 of the Old Executive Office Building.

For those who are unable to attend, you are welcome to call (202) 456-2414 to obtain information on incoming results. If there is a particular race you have a special interest in, just let us know in advance and we will contact you when we receive specific results.

Conversely, if you would like to share any information with our office, please feel free to call.

Please contact Ruth Guimond at 6623 to confirm your attendance by Monday, November 5. We look forward to seeing you on election night!

Sincerely,

  
David M. Carney  
Special Assistant to the President  
and Director, Office of Political Affairs

Mary Kate Grant  
117 OEOB

# Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

## (George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
01. Memo	Ed McNally to Chriss Winston, All Speechwriters, All Researchers, re: Slamming the Democrats for Gutting the Crime Bill. (1 pp.)	10/29/90	P-5	

**Collection:**

**Record Group:** Bush Presidential Records  
**Office:** Speechwriting, White House Office of  
**Series:** Grant, Mary Kate  
**Subseries:** Subject File  
**WHORM Cat.:**  
**File Location:** Assorted 1990 Campaign [Rowland for Gov., Wilson for Gov., Saiki for Sen., etc. [1]

**Date Closed:** 12/14/2004  
**FOIA/SYS Case #:**  
**Re-review Case #:** 2005-0482-S  
**P-2/P-5 Review Case #:**  
**OA/ID Number:** 04422

**MR Case #:**  
**MR Disposition:**  
**Disposition Date:**  
**Appeal Case #:**  
**Appeal Disposition:**  
**Disposition Date:**

### RESTRICTION CODES

**Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]**

- P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P-5 Release would disclose confidential advise between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

**Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]**

- (b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- (b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- (b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- (b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- (b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- (b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- (b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- (b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

**DRAFT****PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT ON CONGRESS'S FAILURE TO  
ADOPT SERIOUS CRIMINAL LAW REFORMS IN THE CRIME BILL**

Almost a year and a half ago, I sent tough anti-crime legislation to the Congress -- legislation designed to give police and prosecutors the tools they need to take violent criminals off our streets and put them away for good.

My proposals included a federal death penalty for serious federal crimes, reform of the exclusionary rule to allow courts to consider all evidence gathered by the police while doing their jobs in good faith, and reform of a habeas corpus system that has made a travesty of state death penalty laws through repetitive hearings and endless delay.

For almost ten years Republican Presidents have been calling upon the Congress to pass workable federal death penalty laws. Congress has failed to act, and as the law now stands, the federal government is powerless to impose the death penalty even for the most coldblooded acts of murder, such as terrorist bombings of civilian airplanes or the use of mailbombs against federal judges. We need a workable federal death penalty to send a message to drug-dealers and terrorists that America will not stand by while these violent criminals prey on her citizens or her public officers.

Despite the fact that the American people overwhelmingly support the death penalty, and despite the fact that this year both House of Congress overwhelmingly passed federal death penalty bills, the Congress has again failed to send a federal death penalty law to the President's desk.

In one of the most cowardly legislative acts on record, liberal Democrats in the conference committee mugged the crime bill in the last hours of the Congress. They cut the federal death penalty passed by both Houses -- They lifted habeas corpus and exclusionary rule reform -- They heisted the death penalty for drug kingpins -- and, in a time of budgetary crisis, they larded the bill with half-baked spending measures. There is no beef left in this so-called crime bill, only pork.

There has been alot of rhetoric about civil rights in the last few weeks, but this Congress has done very little to protect what I regard as every American's first civil right -- the right to be free from fear in our homes, in our streets, and in our neighborhoods. The American people deserve better than a cynical pork barrel measure dressed up as a crime bill, and I intended to seek better next year.

# The Philadelphia Inquirer

KNIGHT-RIDDER NEWSPAPERS

DATE: 1/29/90

PAGE: 6A

## Congress passes weak crime bill

By David Hess  
Inquirer Washington Bureau

WASHINGTON — Worried about a voter backlash if it failed to produce a crime bill, a homeward-bound Congress passed an eviscerated version that dropped every contentious item in the bill — including expanding the death penalty to cover more than 30 federal crimes.

"It was either that or no bill at all," said Sen. Joseph R. Biden Jr. (D., Del.), chairman of the Judiciary Committee, acknowledging that the original bill had been "emasculated."

To make the legislation more acceptable all around, conferees had erased the last traces of provisions sought by President Bush. Liberals took a dim view of the expanded death penalty; conservatives were cool to restrictions on assault weapons.

The compromise measure, approved earlier by the Senate by voice vote, was passed by the House late Saturday by 313-1. The lone dissenter was Rep. George W. Gekas (R., Pa.), who objected to the removal of the death-penalty provisions.

The heart of the substitute was a section cracking down on savings-and-loan villains, including imposing life imprisonment for S&L officials who conspire to steal millions.

The S&L section increases the power to seize the fruits of illegal profits amassed by thrift managers, including homes. It provides for hundreds of new federal prosecutors, FBI and Secret Service agents.

Congress approved new protections to make it easier for child-abuse victims to testify, banned trafficking in steroids, made child pornography a federal crime and increased funding for drug-education programs in schools.

It authorized \$220 million for new types of prison programs, including boot camps for nonviolent first-offenders. And it bolstered procedures for cooperating with foreign governments in cracking down on international money-laundering operations.

Items dropped included:

- A provision permitting a "good faith" exception to the rule that prohibits prosecutors from using evidence seized illegally.
- A "racial justice" provision enabling minority defendants to avoid the death penalty by producing valid statistics showing that a disproportionate number of minorities had been sentenced to death in their state judicial districts.
- A provision restricting the number of appeals a death-row inmate could make and imposed a six-month deadline on the time for filing appeals.
- A ban on the manufacture and sale of assault weapons.

Republicans expressed disappointment about the loss of tough provisions.

"This was a strong anti-crime bill when it left this House," said Rep. Henry J. Hyde (R., Ill.). "It left here as Arnold Schwarzenegger and came back as Woody Allen."



# Department of Justice

---

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE  
SATURDAY, OCTOBER 27, 1990

CONTACT (202) 514-2007

Attorney General Dick Thornburgh issued the following statement in response to Congressional conference deletion of key provisions from the Comprehensive Crime Control Act of 1990:

"The conferees' decision to drop use of the death penalty for federal crimes is welcome news only to violent criminals.

"To deny use of the ultimate sanction for such crimes as mail bombings, terrorism and assassination of the President would thwart the will of the American people, state and local prosecutors and the overwhelming membership of the House and the Senate.

"Striking the death penalty from this bill would be an enormous step backwards in the fight against violent crime and would leave the federal government without the authority to impose the death penalty which 36 states now have.

"Rejection of the death penalty along with the stripping of proposals which would control endless appeals by death row inmates and which would assist police in the gathering of evidence now takes the teeth out of this legislation.

"It is hard to comprehend at a time when citizen concern about crime is so high why some on Capitol Hill have such difficulty in passing a crime bill which is pro-law enforcement instead of pro-criminal. I will repeat that the first civil right of all Americans is the right to be safe in our homes, on our streets and in our communities."

Anti-Crime Proposals Excluded From The 1990 Crime Bill

1. All Death Penalty Provisions

Including the death penalty for assassinating the President, treason and drug kingpins who traffick in extremely large quantities of drugs, murdering judges, witnesses, jurors, federal law enforcement officers, diplomats, hostages, victims of kidnapping, and killing by genocide, bank robbery acts of terrorism and mail bombing.

2. Habeas Corpus Reform

3. Exclusionary Rule Reform

4. Anti-Public Corruption Provisions

5. Drug Testing of Federal Offenders

6. Penalty for Firearm Possession by Juveniles Who Are Convicted of Three Serious Drug Offenses

7. Increased Firearms and Explosives Penalties

8. Several Anti-Drug Trafficking Provisions

9. Expanded Asset Forfeiture Authority

10. Drug User Accountability Provisions



## U.S. Department of Justice

## Office of the Deputy Attorney General

The Deputy Attorney General

Washington, D.C. 20530

October 27, 1990

Honorable Thomas S. Foley  
Speaker  
House of Representatives  
1201 LHOB  
Washington, D.C. 20515-4705

Dear Mr. Speaker:

The Department of Justice and the Nation's law enforcement community are shocked and dismayed at the evisceration of the crime bill since it left the House of Representatives three weeks ago.

Almost a year and a half ago, President Bush and Attorney General Thornburgh, with the support of state and local police and prosecutors, sent a comprehensive legislative proposal to the Congress to take violent criminals off our Nation's streets and put them away for good. This package contained a federal death penalty, exclusionary rule reform and habeas corpus reform. Subsequently, the Administration added a proposal providing for the death penalty for drug kingpins.

The House of Representatives, reflecting the will of the American people, passed each of these tough anti-crime measures by lopsided majorities. In addition, just this week, by a vote of almost three to one, this House instructed its conferees not to recede from the tough positions adopted by the House on federal death penalty, habeas corpus reform, the death penalty for drug kingpins, and exclusionary rule reform.

Unfortunately, the Conference Committee ignored the instructions of this body, and deleted the death penalty, habeas corpus and exclusionary rule provisions from the bill. No conference report was issued and a free standing measure was introduced in the Senate -- a measure containing none of the critical criminal law reforms proposed by the President and

passed by the House. In a word, there is little beef left in this crime bill, some pork, and a lot of bun.

Because of Congress's persistent failure to act, the United States is powerless to impose the death penalty against the most violent criminals who threaten American citizens and public officers. Particularly at a time when the world's most vicious terrorists are poised to strike at American citizens, Congress's failure to provide a death penalty for terrorist murder is inexcusable.

The Department of Justice urges the House of Representatives to pass and send to the Senate the President's federal death penalty proposal which passed the House as the Gekas Amendment by a wide margin less than a month ago.

Sincerely,



William P. Barr  
Deputy Attorney General



# Department of Justice

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 29, 1990

AG  
202-514-2007  
202-514-1888  
(TDD)

In assessing the impact of law enforcement legislation passed by Congress on the Department of Justice Attorney General Dick Thornburgh issued the following statement:

"The work of the 101st Congress in the area of anti-crime legislation is a considerable disappointment. Beginning with the President's announcement of his anti-violent crime initiative on May 15, 1989, the Administration had high hopes that this was the Congress which would finally respond to the nation's need for a workable death penalty, and an end to continuous death row appeals and criminals going free on legal technicalities. These hopes were dashed with passage of the so-called 'comprehensive crime bill'.

"The best that can be said about this anti-crime package is in the area of white collar crime. It includes measures which will allow us to step up our efforts at prosecuting and punishing white collar criminals. Dishonest savings and loan president executives, corporations which defy anti-trust laws or dirty our air and those who think they can cheat the taxpayers out of debts owed to the government will feel the pain of these new arrows in our law enforcement quiver."

-more-

-2-

## WHITE COLLAR CRIME PROVISIONS

Highlights of these new enforcement measures include possible life sentences for savings and loans kingpins along with fines up to \$10 million. The federal government will also be better able to protect assets from being transferred or lost through pre-judgment attachments procedures. Moreover, a permanent Office of Special Counsel for savings and loans prosecutions has also been authorized at the Department of Justice. Wire tap regulations have also been extended to include bank fraud violations.

Anti-trust fines and penalties have also been enhanced. Sherman Act maximum fines for corporations have been increased from \$1 million to \$10 million. The government will also now be able to collect triple damages for anti-trust violations. Treble damages will serve as an important deterrent to bid-rigging and price-fixing.

Under new federal laws sought for years by United States Attorneys and passed by Congress last weekend, the Department will now have uniform procedures for collecting the estimated \$90 billion owed to the taxpayers. Previously, our efforts were hampered by the requirement that we utilize often inconsistent state law to collect federal debts, allowing debtors to seek legal sanctuary in different states.

###

90-457

THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary  
(Oklahoma City, Oklahoma)

For Immediate Release

October 29, 1990

REMARKS BY THE PRESIDENT  
TO THE OKLAHOMA WELCOME

Cowboy Hall of Fame  
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

3:40 P.M. CST

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you all very much. I'm delighted to be back here. First, let me pay my respects to a man that campaigned for me way back in the early '60s. He's been my friend and Barbara's friend and your Governor, Henry Bellmon, over here. Henry, delighted to see you, sir. (Applause.)

Of course, two who are with us today who are so active in Washington in leadership roles -- one in the Senate and one in the House. I'm talking about Senator Don Nickles and, of course, Mickey Edwards, the Congressman. We're delighted to have them with us here today. (Applause.)

Bill, thank you for that introduction. Oh, what a joy it is to be with your wonderful family. Barbara sends her love. It's not that our dog is writing another book, but she, too, is out on the campaign trail. I'm just delighted to bring you her greetings.

It's a pleasure to be here for a man who will make a difference. He always has. Your next governor, Bill Price. All of you know his story. (Applause.) Well-qualified man -- well-qualified. The son of a doctor. Went to Georgetown U -- Ohio -- OU -- (laughter) -- Oklahoma University Law School, the Big Red. Finally, he became, as he referred there to it, U.S. Attorney for Western Oklahoma, supporting law and order, battling against the craze of narcotics. He's been a good man, a great servant to this country -- and now you've got to elect him governor of this state. (Applause.)

I have looked at the record. He has conviction. He's not a follower who gets lost in the current. He's a profile in character who alters the tide. Look at how he cracked America's then-largest corruption case back in the early '80s. Or his work for the organization he founded, the Oklahoma Alliance Against Drugs. We need a leader with his vision to follow Henry in Oklahoma City. (Applause.)

I'm here to talk for Bill. Not against his opponent. And I refuse to take it personally that his opponent was the Dukakis chairman in this state. Had nothing to do with my being here. (Applause.) We could use more of his kind of thinking back East, too. All Americans are asking: What on Earth is wrong with Washington? And I know you've been standing, but let me give you the full load about how I see it as one who thinks we need to change this Congress out and get more Republicans and fewer of those liberal Democrats. (Applause.)

After what seemed like endless negotiations we finally have a budget. And the negotiations were tough because the one party that has ruled Congress for almost 40 years is dedicated to perpetual reelection. And that party, the Democratic Party, has a bias for red tape over choice, for Washington solutions over community solutions, and for bureaucracy over people. And you add all of this up and what

MORE

do you get? You get a liberal Democratic-controlled Congress that's committed to two things: taxing and spending. (Applause.)

I We went into negotiation, and the final agreement is an example of how the Democratic Congress works -- or doesn't work. For the sake of the nation, I honestly felt that we had to reduce the deficit now. It is high time that we stop mortgaging the future of these young kids here today. And the Democratic spending binge has got to stop. (Applause.)

I discovered, as Harry Truman did, that the buck does stop there on the desk in the Oval Office, and every once in a while, a President of the opposite party than the one that controls the Congress has got to make a compromise. And I felt really that we had to reach an agreement, and I felt strongly about reducing the deficit with spending cuts, not raising taxes. And in fact, that's exactly the kind of budget that I sent to the Congress last February. Predictably, the Democrats, instead, wanted to slash defense and then raise your taxes.

What we got was a compromise. And there is some good in it. We've got \$492 billion in deficit reduction over five years; over \$350 billion in spending cuts -- the largest cut in history. There are some incentives built in it so that we will become less dependent on foreign oil -- incentives to stimulate domestic oil and gas drilling and production. (Applause.) And we also got Congress to reduce the rate of spending growth with the first-ever five-year curb on spending.

Now, we put Congress on a pay-as-you-go plan so that the liberal Democrats will no longer be able to fund programs with red ink. This agreement has strong enforcement provisions. And if Congress tries to raise spending one dime, they've got to cut other excess spending or find the money for it right there and then. And finally, we held the line -- and this one is very important to me and I believe to the people of Oklahoma and the whole country -- we held the line against the reckless cuts of our Armed Forces. I will not be the President to provide\* over the weakening of this nations defense. (Applause.)

An enforceable deficit reduction agreement is unprecedented. It is long overdue and it is absolutely necessary, but I cannot join the liberal Democrats in an orgy of self-congratulation. After all, we discussed three kinds of proposals, the good, the bad and the ugly. And I told you about the good. Now let me tell you about the bad -- in a word, the taxes. To get an agreement we had to pay a ransom to get the \$350 billion in spending cuts. And the American people have had to pay a price for divided government.

But the price could have been worse. The Democrats' bill that passed the House before this compromise that was enacted -- that Democratic bill tried to raise income taxes on all working Americans. And they attack these indexing provisions of the current tax law in a way that raises taxes on every hardworking citizen. [And they called it their bill to soak the rich, and what it really did is go after the paycheck of the working man and woman in this country. And we said, we are going to stop you -- and we stopped them cold.] *use this* (Applause.)

And let me say this: that was not -- and I am grateful to Mickey Edwards and I'm grateful to Don Nickles for their stand -- that was not, as these demagogues would have you believe, Republicans protecting the rich, that was Republicans standing up for the working family in this country. (Applause.)

And now we're hearing it again. Some of us are old enough to remember this. It happens all the time with the Democrats. And let those liberals that control Congress raise their ugly old cry of divisiveness and class warfare and of soaking the rich. And we, the Republicans, are going to continue fighting for the working people by holding the line on taxes. You send me more Republicans for the

\* preside

MORE

United States Congress and we'll get the job done. (Applause.)

I don't want you to get the feeling I'm down on the Democratic Congress -- (laughter) -- but the budget was due last April. The Democratic Congress gave me a six -- came to me six months late. So late, in fact, that we are on the brink now of an economic downturn. And it's time to call them as you see them, to tell it like it is. And this agreement could have come together in May, in June or in August -- anytime during the last six months. But the Democrats choked the throttle, pulled the throttle back of a slowing economy, while they hunted for every last morsel of partisan advantage. All in the name of politics and of higher taxes. And we're not going to let them get away with it.

In April, when the budget was due, unemployment was 5.4 percent -- a troubling sign. Unemployment last month was 5.7 percent. And since April, when the budget was due, inflation has accelerated and economic growth has slowed. Even after the economy was threatened by the Persian Gulf crisis, Congress delayed.

This Congress was content to stall an agreement and stall the economy. We are not going to let them get away with it. (Applause.) There are congressmen -- thank heavens, there are congressmen -- and you've got some good ones from this state. Men like Jim Inhofe and Mickey Edwards and our distinguished Senator Don Nickles, who rejects this failed tradition of tax and spend. But they're outnumbered by the big taxers and the big spenders. These three are the real defenders of working America, and I am grateful indeed that they are fighting for you and for Oklahoma, and I'd say for America, up there on Capitol Hill. (Applause.)

You know, as we got into these negotiations, even in the middle of them, the big spenders were looking for the pork barrel bonanzas. At the 11th hour in the midst of the budget crisis, congressional conferees on one panel alone pushed through an almost 19-percent increase for pet projects. At the same time, this President and these Republican members were doing our level-best to curtail spending, Congress voted to spend a half a million dollars to create a Lawrence Welk tourist attraction. And we all like Lawrence Welk, "dah-dee-dah", you know how he is. (Laughter.) But I cite this as a symptom of the problem.

AUDIENCE: Get the line-item veto.

THE PRESIDENT: I'm getting to that. (Laughter.) Believe me, the American people know when their Congress asks them to tighten their belts and Congress loosens its own. And I'll tell you what I'd like to do about it. Yes, give me what 43 governors have. Give me the line-item veto. (Applause.) They've failed to cut spending. Let me have a shot at it. (Applause.)

While we're at it, let's have a balanced budget amendment that would discipline the Executive, and darn sure would discipline the Democrats in the House of Representatives and the Senate. (Applause.)

There's one other tool I need even more than the line-item veto and a balanced budget amendment. And I really mean it: that is more Republicans in Congress that think the way these two do. (Applause.) God, I'm glad to be out of Washington. I am thrilled to be out of Washington. And let me say I hear that talk back there that people don't know the difference between the Republicans and the liberal Democrats.

In education, we are the ones that are fighting for reform to empower parents. To choose their children's schools. (Applause.) In child care, Republicans are the ones who demanded reform to empower parents to choose who will watch over their children. And we now have that bill, as a matter of fact. (Applause.) And we're the ones still determined to bring hope and opportunity to the most desolate of the inner cities. The Democrats are still pushing that old line of liberal programs, more taxes, more

MORE

bureaucracy, more government control -- tell the people of Oklahoma City how to mandate things, tell them what they've got to do.

They're still peddling that tired old saw about Republicans and the rich. Well, you and I both know that that is hogwash, and we're not going to let them get away with that anymore. I'm taking this message all across the country: We are for the working people in this country. (Applause.)

This is a Congress that can only act at the last minute when their political feet were held to the fire. A Congress who would rather pass feel-good proclamations than address problems. Look, this is the Congress that passed a resolution called National Crime Prevention Month while it gutted -- took the heart right out of our anticrime bill.

Bill Price knows that we need strong federal legislation to back up our prosecutors and our law enforcement officials. And Congress passed that crazy Crime Prevention Month, but did nothing about the toughness of the crime bill that we called for. (Applause.)

This is the Congress that declared Clean Water Month, but bickered for months over the Clean Air bill. And if you find all this tough to swallow, don't worry -- they've also served up National Digestive Disease Awareness Month. (Laughter.) But I guarantee you one thing: If they send me that bill to make these kids eat their broccoli, I will veto that legislation. (Applause.)

No, liberal Democrat Congress -- and notice I say "liberal Democrat Congress." I know my state next door. And I know the state here, and there's plenty of sound conservative Democrats in the state of Oklahoma that are going to vote for the next governor standing right here. (Applause.)

But this liberal Democrat Congress has become America's biggest and most entrenched special interest. In 1959, Congress was served by 5,800 staff members. Today, it is served by almost 20,000 staff members, who control the perks and pass out the pork. And the Democratic Congress is a confusion of committees and turf-conscious chaos. The House intended to be closest to the people has become a House of Lords.

Ninety-eight percent who seek reelection and reelection -- it is time to turn the tables. The American people deserve a new Congress. This time a Republican Congress. (Applause.) And they still block my proposals for campaign reform. We want to abolish special interest PACs. The Democrats want the taxpayer to foot the bill for the reelection. Democrats talk about taxing the rich, but they all want to have every one of us throw in money for congressional elections. America needs a change. America needs a better deal. (Applause.)

You know, maybe I'm a little old-fashioned, but I think that a \$1.3-trillion budget gives us ample room to dream again, to advance new ideas, to renew our government, to rethink and to restructure our priorities. But the Democratic Congress would rather raise taxes than raise the issue of reform. The problem isn't with the American people and their dream, the problem is this Democrat Congress that protects its perks and privileges and turns its back on the American Dream, and I say we have had enough. (Applause.)

That bill two weeks ago by the Democratic Congress would have raised the income taxes on every working family in America. They call it soak the rich -- every single family, because of the indexing, would have had a tax increase. And we fought it and we beat it. And America needs a Republican Congress that will balance the budget by cutting spending, not by raising taxes on everybody here. (Applause.)

The Democratic Congress turned its back on our police officers. America needs a Republican Congress that will pass those

laws that are necessary to finally get tough on crime. (Applause.) And there's only one way, there is only one way to send this message to Washington, and that is not to send the liberal Democrats back there so they can keep on going down the same tired old road. (Applause.)

You know, last month Oklahoma voters sent politicians a message, and they voted overwhelmingly to restrict state legislative terms to 12 years. Next week voters across this country can follow your lead. Term limitations applying to state officials will be on the ballot in California and in Colorado. But America doesn't have to wait for a ballot initiative to limit the terms of the Democrats in Congress, they can start next Tuesday. (Applause.)

I have great confidence in the American people, the America ideals, which is why the remaining days of this campaign, and for the rest of presidency, I'll take a message out there to the people: America doesn't need a liberal House of Lords. America needs a responsible Congress. America needs a Republican Congress. (Applause.)

Harry Truman reminded us that only a President represents all the people; can stand for the national interest and stand against the special interests. And in this spirit -- I think you'll all remember this -- I did extend my hand. I worked for a bipartisan solution to this horrible budget mess. And you sent me to Washington to govern, to make something good happen for our country. And I've tried very hard, only to have a parade of liberal Democrats march to the microphone in the well of the House to blame me for their failures. And my goodwill has been rewarded with business as usual.

Well, I'll tell you something: America has had enough of business as usual and we don't have to take it anymore. I say send me more members of Congress who will vote like these two here today. I need them up there. And here in this state, people think straight and they like straight talk. So do your talking at the polls on November 6th, and roll up your sleeves and elect this good man governor of the state of Oklahoma because you deserve the best. (Applause.)

And as for me -- I know you're glad this is over, it's hot in here. But I'm just getting warmed up. But I'm really not. (Laughter.) As for me, I'll tell you what I'm going to do. I'm going to crisscross this country from coast to coast and take this message to the American people: more Republican congressmen means more men and women fighting against raising taxes and against the big spenders, and for the values of faith and family, government close to the people that everybody in the state of Oklahoma believes in. More Republicans -- (applause) -- means a better deal for America. And it doesn't get any straighter than that. And I can't wait to get out on that campaign trail for the rest of days before the elections. (Applause.)

Thank you. Elect this good man governor. And God bless the United States of America. (Applause.) Thank you all very much. (Applause.)

END

4:05 P.M. CST

Urgent!

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
CLASSIFICATION

CIRCLE ONE BELOW

IMMEDIATE

PRIORITY

ROUTINE

MODE

SECURE FAX 32

ADMIN FAX # \_\_\_\_\_

PAGES 3

DTG 26

RELEASER J. Doyle

FROM - LOCATION:

1. Chriss Winston OEDB 122 X2930  
X6218

TO - LOCATION - TIME OF RECEIPT:

1. DAVID DEMAREST

2. IRVINE

3. \_\_\_\_\_

4. \_\_\_\_\_

5. \_\_\_\_\_

6. \_\_\_\_\_

7. \_\_\_\_\_

INFORMATION ADDEES - LOCATION - TIME OF RECEIPT:

1. \_\_\_\_\_

2. \_\_\_\_\_

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS - REMARKS:

Deliver Immed!

~~Confidential~~  
CLASSIFICATION

OCTOBER 26, 1990

FOR:               DAVID DEMAREST  
                    CHRISTINA MARTIN

FROM:              CHRISS WINSTON

INSERT:            IRVINE

---

The environment has been one of our top priorities. But what have we gotten? Let's look at the Congressional Record:

o With global climate change a growing problem, I asked for help with one solution we all agree on -- the resources to plant a billion trees. Well, after all the hot air had been emitted, Congress failed to deliver on a billion trees: Instead, they diverted the funds to pay for special interest projects in their own districts.

o With growing concern about the global environment, I asked to make EPA a Cabinet department. In the House, the bill was loaded up with the pet provisions -- unrelated to elevating EPA -- of powerful committee members. In the Senate, the bill made it out of committee, but never to a vote.

o On Superfund, I asked for the funds to clean up toxic wastes, but again -- Congress diverted the funds to pay for more pork instead of cleaner drinking water.

And on clean air -- I sent up a landmark clean air bill on July 21, 1989 -- a year and a half ago. A hundred amendments were added. It took six weeks to appoint the conferees. 150 Congressional negotiators squabbled for 15 weeks. Now 462 days later, it looks like we may get a bill -- thanks to the persistent effort of the likes of Pete Wilson. But we shouldn't have to wait 462 days for a priority bill. And bills that America needs shouldn't become Christmas trees for the special interests on which Congress feeds.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 26, 1990

MEMORANDUM FOR CHRISS WINSTON

FROM: ROGER B. PORTER *RBP*

SUBJECT: Pete Wilson Fundraiser

I have some concerns about the portion of the speech relating to the crime bill.

1. On page 3, in the last sentence, after the "--" I would change the last phrase to read something like, " and the only crime bill the Democrats have ever talked about passing is one that would leave our courts, our cops and ultimately our citizens weaker than the criminals who plague our cities."
2. The next paragraph is factually incorrect in some key respects and, I think, takes the wrong tone. The Republicans have acted affirmatively to pass tough provisions over the objections of the Democrats. It is not a question of the Republicans "holding the line". I suggest that paragraph be rewritten along the following lines:

"When Republicans pushed successfully for expansion of the good faith exception to the exclusionary rule to keep criminals from being put back on the streets for technicalities -- the Democratic leadership fought them. When Republicans sought to bring an end to unlimited and repetitious appeals by criminals where guilt was not even an issue -- the Democratic leadership fought them. When Republicans tried to provide a workable death penalty including the death penalty for drug kingpins, the Democratic leadership fought them. The hour is late, but if Congress sends me a bill that is tougher on criminals than it is on cops -- I will sign it the instant it lands on my desk."

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

October 26, 1990

MEMORANDUM FOR CHRISS WINSTON  
DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR  
COMMUNICATIONS AND DIRECTOR OF SPEECHWRITING

FROM: FREDERICK D. NELSON *FDN*  
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Presidential Remarks -- Pete Wilson Fundraiser,  
Los Angeles

Counsel's office has reviewed the above-referenced draft. Quotas have been a significant issue in the California governor's race. We would suggest raising the issue, perhaps adding to the end of the third full paragraph on page two the words: "In civil rights, we want expanded guarantees of equal opportunity for all -- without quotas."

Other than this suggested addition, we have no legal objections.

cc: James W. Cicconi

90 OCT 26 AID: 56

Urgent!

~~Confidential~~  
CLASSIFICATION

CIRCLE ONE BELOW  
**IMMEDIATE**  
PRIORITY  
ROUTINE

MODE  
**SECURE FAX # 33**  
ADMIN FAX # \_\_\_\_\_

PAGES 2  
DTG 261127  
RELEASER J Doyle

FROM - LOCATION:

1. Washington D.C. Communications chris  
Winston

TO - LOCATION - TIME OF RECEIPT:

- 1. Swine David Demarest
- 2. \_\_\_\_\_
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_
- 4. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_
- 6. \_\_\_\_\_
- 7. \_\_\_\_\_

INFORMATION ADDRESSES - LOCATION - TIME OF RECEIPT:

- 1. \_\_\_\_\_
- 2. \_\_\_\_\_

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS - REMARKS:

Deliver IMMEDIATE!

~~Confidential~~  
CLASSIFICATION

**MEMORANDUM**

**To:** DAVE DEMAREST  
**Through:** CHRISS WINSTON  
**From:** MARK W. DAVIS MD  
**Re:** Pete Wilson

Attached is a new page 2 for today's Pete Wilson speech. The only changes are in the last two paragraphs -- revised examples of proclamations vs. issues. (Note, for the big epoch, that the "Decade of the Brain" proclamation doesn't work -- it was our idea.) See attached.

Wilson LUNCH

country. They actually believe you should be happy with the government you're getting from your Assembly and your Congress; they truly believe they **deserve** to be re-elected from now until kingdom-come. ((These Democrat incumbents remind me of the Michael Keaton character in "Pacific Heights" -- once they move into your basement, they never move out.))\ \ \

Well, Pete Wilson says enough is enough -- and so do I. \ \ We don't need perpetual legislators and Congressmen to rule for eternity. \ \ We need more Republicans like Pete Wilson and we need a Congress that works. \ \ \

We need a clean sweep, because we've had it with Democrat incumbents in Sacramento. And we've had it with Washington. \ \

In education, we want reform -- to **empower** parents to choose their children's schools. In child care, we want reform -- to **empower** parents to choose who will watch over their children. In the most desolate inner cities, we want reform -- so we strive to create zones of opportunity, to remove barriers to mobility and success, to **empower** people with the spirit of enterprise. And in housing, we want to empower public-housing tenants to take charge of their own lives.

But this is a Congress that would rather proclaim "National Home Care Week" than give me a housing bill. This is a Congress that would rather issue feel-good proclamations, than address problems. This is a Congress that broke every self-imposed deadline in the budget process -- and is just now delivering a budget, almost a full month into the new fiscal year.

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: TUESDAY AFTERNOON RALLY  
October 30, 1990 2 p.m.  
Hyatt Regency, Washington

Thank you -- I didn't think I'd ever say it, but after that terrific reception -- it's great to be back here! [[ Or was that warm welcome because you're excited to see the front man for a bestselling author? Since none of my children or grandchildren are writers I'd figured I was safe -- who'd have thought my own dog would have written a "lick and tell" book? ]]

It's terrific to see so many good friends of this Administration -- of this country: \\ Barbara \\ Attorney General Thornburgh \\ Secretaries Brady, Lujan, Sullivan and Watkins \\ our drug czar Bill Bennett \\ our EPA Administrator Bill Reilly \\ Governor Sununu \\ Director Darman \\ and so many others from every department and agency -- men and women who are essential parts of this government -- and of this Republican leadership.

And what a wonderful turnout of Republican friends -- Charlie Black \\ Jeanie Austin \\ so many staff members and leaders from the RNC \\ from the Hill \\ from across this nation. And what a contagious spirit. One week from today is Election Day. Opportunity Day. A day when America's voters will have the opportunity to do something: to vote for change. To vent their frustration, their anger, and the betrayal they've felt at the hands of an unresponsive and irresponsible Congress -- ~~the Democratic Congress~~ that crowd, right up there [point to Capitol Hill]. America has the opportunity to send that crowd a

up there on Cap Hill  
who has -- 40 yrs.

message by sending more Republicans to Congress. *last 2 P's of Dead Cat I reached out - march to miles*

Americans can send a message to every Democratic Congressman or Senator who mortgaged the future of our children. To every ~~Dem member~~ <sup>Dem member</sup> who tried to raise taxes on working America. To every ~~Dem member~~ <sup>Dem member</sup> who's part of this Democratic spending binge. America can say: we're not going to ~~take it anymore~~ let you get away with it. \\  
*take it anymore*

Because this is our country. It belongs to those who work in the fields and factories of America -- who run the small businesses -- who teach our children -- who protect this land -- each one is every bit as much a part of the American Dream as the privileged few who roam the Congressional corridors of power. *Plug for campaign reform*  
 You wouldn't know that to watch the Democrats. > They're too busy -- busy taking care of their special interests and their re-election interests. They may have forgotten their most basic, sacred trust is the common interest. Well, Republicans haven't forgotten. And Americans will remember that. It's the Republicans who are looking out for the working men and women of this country. \\\

Who would have thought that the finest instincts and ideals of Jefferson and Adams would have come down to this: an arrogant majority that uses its power to protect its own prerogatives; its own perks; its own privileges; and its own pet projects. It's time the American people say 'enough is enough'. \\  
*No more Dem control of Cong.*

This city should inspire great visions and great deeds. Instead it's become a place where deal makers peddle promises in the shadows. \\  
 \[ You know, they say there are two things you

should never watch being made: sausage and laws. Well, when it comes to the Democrats in Congress, I'd say this year we've been taken on a 1st-class tour of the hot dog factory. ]] \\  
 ]]

I was disappointed -- but not surprised -- to hear a Democratic Leader say the Democrats will continue to demand higher taxes next year. Disappointed to hear the distortion of Republican motives, goals and accomplishments. Disappointed to hear the clumsy explanation of the Democrats' attempt to raise \$40 billion in new income taxes on working Americans and call it 'soaking the rich'. *Their ~~at~~ rhetoric is wrong & their purpose is wrong* ~~But they always trot out this refrain -- and they're always trying to raise taxes on hardworking Americans.~~ Well, Republicans didn't let them get away with it this year, and we're not going to let them get away with it next year.

Americans have had to endure six months of endless budget negotiations. We finally got a budget. And we fought for what's good in this package: the spending cuts, entitlement reform, and the tough enforcement provisions. But for six months the Democrats stalled. For six months this Democratic Congress stalled the budget agreement and risked stalling the economy -- they tried to pull back the throttle on this economy -- all in the name of politics and higher taxes. And the American people can hold the Democrats responsible, because we're not going to let them get away with it.

All in all, this budget agreement is unprecedented, long-overdue, absolutely necessary. We got nearly \$350 billion in spending cuts and almost \$500 billion in total deficit reduction.

*See these kids on the road 3rd grade classes  
+ I think we've got to do something to stop mortgaging ...*

But to get an agreement we had to pay a ransom. That ransom was taxes. After all, the Democrats chant has always been "tax and spend and damn the deficit". We pushed hard to cut spending and get this deficit down. **I will not leave America's children an avalanche of unpaid bills.**

But the issue is larger than one budget agreement, or one session of Congress, or one election. It may sound corny, but it is about the American Dream. It's about the differences between the parties, and who can best build a better America. It's about America's families, and America's values, and who best represents them. You know the answer -- **Republicans do.**

You know the difference between Republicans and Democrats. We're the ones fighting for <sup>*family perspective*</sup> choice -- in education, in child care, in housing. And we're going to keep on fighting. We're the ones determined to bring hope and opportunity to the millions forgotten by the Democrats. And we won't give up on them. We're the ones with more sympathy for the victims of crime than the criminals. <sup>*gotten on Crime Bill*</sup> And we're going to keep supporting our police officers. We're the ones who understand that the world remains a dangerous place and that American leadership can meet the challenges of an uncertain world. And America will continue to lead because Republicans <sup>*will not*</sup> ~~won't~~ undermine America's strength. (11)

You know, I just returned from California, and Oklahoma. There, as all around the country, there's a growing momentum for limiting terms of legislators. Well, the biggest, most entrenched special interest in America is right here. **Term**

*1988 GOP Platform called for TL for MC's.*

limitation is an idea whose time has come, and I think it's time it comes to Capitol Hill. \\\

You know, when you get out in the country, you see the ideas that work. And what works is the line item veto that 43 <sup>Deukmejian</sup> 4000 times governors already have. And what works is the requirement for a balanced budget that 49 states already have. I like those ideas because I like what works. \\. And that may be my problem with the Democrat Congress.

~~Now, I won't go quite so far as Mark Twain, who said, "It could probably be shown by facts and figures that there is no distinctly native American criminal class except Congress." I will, however, say that as I've traveled listening to the heartbeat of our people, I would agree wholeheartedly with Will Rogers, who said, "This country has come to feel the same when Congress is in session as when the baby gets hold of a hammer."~~

~~Will Rogers' words were never more true than today. It's time government for special interests is replaced by government for the people. We need a government of more Republicans from the breadth of this great nation -- who owe their allegiance to the communities of our 50 states -- not to the Democratic tax-and-spend dogma of Capitol Hill. A government led by men and women with a sense of history. With a sense of the potential of this country and of every American. With the willingness to make difficult choices on behalf of the national interest.~~

And men and women who have a genuine vision. The kind of vision that enabled this Administration to drag some important

*like pulling teeth*

legislation out of a gridlocked Democrat Congress -- legislation like the Clean Air Act; -- a Child Care bill to empower parents; -- the historic Americans with Disabilities Act; -- and a defense budget that protects our nation's security. *+ built incentives into budget to make us less dependent on gov't*

And in that context, halfway around the world, young men and women are serving proudly in the hot sun of the Persian Gulf. They're there for an important reason: because the world will not let the brutal aggression of Saddam Hussein stand. And when this ordeal is over -- when Kuwait is once again a sovereign and free member of the family of nations -- **Saddam Hussein must pay for the pain and hardship he has caused. \\\**

Make no mistake: America is united in its determination to see good triumph over evil. And a united America will not be denied. \\\

In times of crisis and challenge, the American spirit has been a constant source of strength. It's true now in the Persian Gulf -- and it's just as true at home today. ] Because across this land still pulse the generosity and optimism of the true American spirit. The spirit to which Republicans are responding. We will reform this city. Revive this institution. Renew this nation. Together, we can keep this country strong and compassionate and idealistic. \\\

We will do it by bringing this country what it deserves: A Better Deal.

And I'm going to be carrying that message to the American people. Today I'm here in Washington, within sight of the

Capitol Dome, but I will go to the vineyards of California and the farms of Ohio; to the beaches of Cape Cod and the oil fields of Texas. And every time I talk to the American people I'm going to tell them this: **more Republicans in Congress means more men and women fighting against raising taxes and against the big spenders. More Republicans mean a Better Deal for America.** And it starts with your vote -- your voice -- next week.

God bless all of you, and this great country we share.

# # #

Class FINAL  
does have  
minor typos

Smith/Davis/Garmey  
October 28, 1990  
11:40 a.m.  
PRICE1

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: PRICE FUNDRAISER  
OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 29, 1990  
2:55 P.M.

Governor Bellmon, Senator Nickles, Congressman Mickey Edwards, ~~Congressman Inhofe~~. Thank you, Bill, for that introduction. Honored guests, ladies and gentlemen. I am delighted to be in this State of open hearts and open skies. \\

It is a privilege to be here for "a man who'll make a difference -- he always has." Your next Governor. Bill Price.

All of you know Bill's story. The son of a doctor -- he worked his way through school. Went to Georgetown University -- a basketball power. Then, OU Law School -- a football power. \\ ((There's a trend emerging here: Bill probably also likes the Cincinnati Reds.))

Finally, Bill became a U.S. Attorney for western Oklahoma -- supporting law and order for all Oklahomans. \\ Don Nickles calls him "a pioneering leader for Oklahoma." Ronald Reagan calls him "a guardian of the public good." \\ Come next January, I'll call him Governor.

You know, Bill Price is a great family man. Lovely wife, Mary -- three great kids. He's also a man with a penchant for the unexpected. ((At a campaign stop recently in Holdenville, Bill was meeting folks when he shook hands with a beautiful woman. "Hello," he said, "I'm Bill Price. I'm running for

Governor, and it's a pleasure to meet you." He thought the woman looked familiar. \ She should have. \ \ It was his wife.)) \ \

Bill Price also has conviction. He's not a follower who gets lost in the current. He's a profile in character who alters the tide. \ \ Look at how he cracked America's then-largest corruption case in the early 1980s. Or his work for the organization he founded -- the Oklahoma Alliance against Drugs. We need a leader with Bill's vision in Oklahoma City. \ \

We could use more of his kind of thinking back East, too. All Americans are asking: "What on earth is wrong with Washington?" *I'm going to give you the full load*

I've seen many Congresses come and go. And I have never seen a Democrat-controlled Congress more gridlocked, more paralyzed, more tied up in knots than today's.

After what seemed like endless negotiations, we finally have a budget. The negotiations were tough because the one party that has ruled Congress for almost forty years is dedicated to perpetual re-election. And this party, the Democrats, has a bias for red-tape over choice; for Washington solutions over community solutions; for bureaucracy over people. Add all this up, what do you get -- a liberal Democrat controlled Congress committed to two things: tax and spend.

The final budget agreement is an example of how the Democratic Congress works -- or doesn't work. For the sake of the nation, we had to reduce the deficit now. It is high time

that we stopped mortgaging the future of our kids. This Democratic spending binge has got to stop.

Every once in a while a President has to compromise in order to govern. Yes, we had to reach agreement. But I felt strongly about reducing the deficit with spending cuts, not raising taxes. In fact, that's exactly the kind of budget I sent to the Congress last February. Predictably, the Democrats instead wanted to slash defense and <sup>men's</sup> raise <sup>your</sup> taxes. What we got was a compromise.

There is some good in it. We got \$492 billion in deficit reduction -- over \$350 billion in spending cuts -- the largest cut in history. <sup>+ initiatives so less dependent on foreign oil</sup> We also got Congress to reduce the rate of spending growth with the first five-year curb on spending ever.

We put Congress on the pay-as-you-go plan, so the liberal Democrats will no longer be able to fund programs with red ink. This agreement has strong enforcement provisions: if Congress tries to raise spending one dime, they've got to cut other excess spending or find the money for it -- right there and then. Finally, we held the line against reckless cuts of our Armed Forces. I will not be the President to preside over the weakening of this nation's defenses. ///

An enforceable deficit reduction agreement is unprecedented, long-overdue, absolutely necessary. But I will not join the <sup>liberal</sup> Democrats in a <sup>carnival</sup> of self-congratulation. After all, we discussed three kinds of proposals: the good, the bad, and the ugly. I told you about the good, now let me tell you about the

bad. In a word, taxes. To get an agreement we had to pay a ransom to get the \$350 billion in spending cuts.

The American people have had to pay a price for divided government, but the price could have been worse. The Democrats' bill that passed the House tried to raise income taxes on all working Americans. They attacked the "indexing" provisions of the current tax law in a way that raised taxes on every hardworking citizen. They called it their bill to soak the rich, when really it went after the paycheck of working people. Well, *as these Democrats would have you believe* we stopped them. Cold./// That was not Republicans protecting the rich. That was Republicans standing up for every working family in America.\\

Let those liberals that control Congress raise their tired old cry of class warfare, of soak the rich. *We Repubos* We will continue to fight for the working people by holding the line on taxes. Send me more Republicans to get the job done. *///*

*(You may get the feeling I'm down on the Dem Cong. -)*  
 You know, *(by law)* the budget was due last April. The Democratic Congress gave me a budget eight months late; so late, in fact, that we are at the brink of an economic downturn. It's time to tell it like it is.

This agreement could have come together in May, in June, or in August, any time during the last eight months. But the Democrats choked the throttle of a slowing economy while they hunted for every last morsel of partisan advantage --all in the name of politics and higher taxes. Well, we're not going to let them get away with it.///

In April, when the budget was due, unemployment was 5.4 percent. Unemployment last month was 5.7 percent. Since April, when the budget was due, inflation has accelerated. Economic growth has slowed. Even after the economy was threatened by ~~higher oil prices from~~ the Persian Gulf crisis Congress delayed. This Congress was content to stall an agreement and stall the economy. Well, we're not going to let them get away with that.////

Of course, there are Members like Congressmen Jim Inhofe and Mickey Edwards, and Senator Don Nickles --who are with us today -- who reject the failed tradition of tax and spend. But they're outnumbered by the big taxers and the big spenders. These three are the real defenders of working America, and I'm grateful they're fighting for you on up Capitol Hill. *W*

Even in the midst of a crisis, the big spenders look for pork-barrel bonanzas. At the 11th hour, in the midst of the budget crisis, Congressional conferees on one panel alone pushed through an almost 19 percent increase for pet projects. At the same time this President and these Republican members wanted to curtail spending, Congress voted to spend half a million dollars to create a Lawrence Welk tourist attraction. I love Lawrence Welk as much as the next guy, but this spending has got to stop!

*That's not "wannerful, wannerful"*  
Believe me, the American people know when their Congress asks them to tighten their belts, Congress always loosens its own. I'll tell you what I'd like to do about it -- give me what

43 governors have -- give me the line-item-veto. And while we're at it, let's get moving on balanced budget amendment. ///

Under our Constitution, only the President is elected by all the people; only the President represents the entire nation. Give me the tools I need: the line-item-veto, a balanced budget amendment, and most important -- more Republicans in Congress. ///

*liberal* Don't tell me there's no difference between Republicans and Democrats. In education, Republicans are the ones fighting for

reform -- to empower parents to choose their children's schools. ///

In child care, Republicans are the ones who demanded reform -- to empower parents to choose who will watch over their children. ///

And we're the ones still determined to bring hope and opportunity

to the most desolate of our inner cities. The Democrats are

still pushing more ~~that old line of more~~ liberal programs, more taxes, and more

bureaucracy. They're still peddling that tired old saw about

Republicans and the rich. You and I both know that's hogwash and were not going to let them get away with that either. ///

This is a Congress that could only act at the last minute, when their political feet were held to the fire. A Congress that would rather pass feel-good proclamations than address problems.

This is a Congress that passes a resolution called "National Crime Prevention Month" while it guts our tough anti-crime bill.

A Congress that declared "Clean Water Month" but bickered for months over a Clean Air bill. If you find all this tough to swallow, don't worry -- they've also served up "National

Digestive Disease Awareness Month". <sup>there</sup> <sup>mine</sup> ((But if they send me that bill to make kids eat Broccoli, I'll veto it!)) hit!

This liberal Democrat Congress has become America's biggest, most entrenched special interest. MM

In 1959, Congress was served by 5,800 staff members. Today it is served by almost 20,000 staff members, who control the perks, and pass out the pork. The Democrat Congress is a confusion of committees and is a turf-conscious chaos. And the House intended to be closest to the people has become a House of Lords. Ninety-eight percent who seek re-election, are re-elected. It's time to turn the tables -- the American people deserve a new Congress -- this time a Republican Congress. MM

The Democrats still block my proposals for campaign finance reform. We want to abolish special-interest PAC's, the Democrats want the taxpayer to foot the bill for their re-election. Democrats talk about taxing the rich but they want all taxpayers to throw in money for Congressional elections. America needs a change. America needs a Better Deal. MM

A \$1.3 trillion budget gives us ample room to dream again, to advance new ideas to renew our government -- to rethink and restructure our priorities. But the Democratic Congress would rather raise taxes than raise the issue of reform. The problem isn't with the American people and their Dream. The problem is a Dem Congress that protects its perks and privileges and turns its back on the American Dream. And I say we've had enough. -- MM

The Democratic Congress just last month tried to raise income taxes on every working family in America. America needs a Republican Congress that will balance the budget by cutting spending. *Not by raising taxes, MM*

The Democratic Congress turns its back on our police officers. America needs a Republican Congress that will get tough on crime. *MM*

The Democratic Congress is dedicated to the special interests. America needs a Republican Congress that is dedicated to the national interest.

The only way to send this message to Washington is not to *liberals* send the Democrats back. */// so they can keep going down the same tired old road.*

Last month, Oklahoma voters sent politicians a message. They voted overwhelmingly to restrict state legislative terms to 12 years. Next week, voters across America can follow your lead. Term limitations applying to State officials will be on the ballot in California, *and* Colorado, ~~and Massachusetts~~. But America doesn't have to wait for a ballot initiative to limit the terms of the Democrats in Congress. They can start next Tuesday. *MM*

We will keep faith with America -- and American ideals. Which is why in the remaining days of this campaign, and for the rest of my Presidency, I will take a message to the people: America doesn't need a liberal House of Lords. \\ \\ America needs a responsible Congress. \\ \\ A Republican Congress. *MM* Harry Truman reminded us that only a President represents all the

people, can stand for the national interest, and stand against the special interests.

In this spirit, I extended my hand, worked for a bipartisan solution to this horrible budget mess. You sent me to Washington to govern. I have tried very hard -- only to have a parade of liberal Democrats march to the microphone to blame me for their failures. My goodwill has been rewarded with business as usual. Well, America has had enough of business as usual. And we don't have to take it anymore. Send me more member of Congress who will vote like these three here today. ///

Here in this State, people think straight, and like straight talk. Do your talking at the polls on November 6. Roll up your sleeves and elect this man Governor, Oklahoma deserves the best. M

As for me, I am going <sup>to</sup> crisscross this country from coast to coast and take this message to the American people: more Republicans in Congress means more men and women fighting against raising taxes and against the big spenders. M More Republicans means a Better Deal for America. M It doesn't get any straighter than that. ///

Thank you. God bless the United States of America.

# # #

THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release

October 30, 1990

REMARKS BY THE PRESIDENT  
DURING RNC COUNTDOWN EVENT

The Hyatt Regency Hotel  
Washington, D.C.

2:20 P.M. EST

THE PRESIDENT: Good to see you all. (Applause.) Thank you very much. Let me just say that it's terrific to see so many good friends of this administration and of this country. Barbara and I are delighted to be with you, and I want to salute the members of my Cabinet who are up here with me. You know, I am blessed as a President to have such an outstanding Cabinet working for this country every single day. They're good, they're strong, they're principled, and I am very, very fortunate. (Applause.)

I'm told that in addition to these Cabinet members, why, our able administrator, my friend Bill Reilly is here, Bruce Gelb from USIA, Paul Coverdale and also, of course, I want to single out two or three who are here that have just worked their hearts out on recent events in Washington, and I'm talking about our Chief of Staff, John Sununu, Dick Darman and Secretary Brady, who have done an outstanding job wrestling with the United States Senate -- (applause) -- and the House.

And, of course, I wanted to come over and express my personal gratitude to Charlie Black and to Jeanie Austin who are leading our party at this critical time. And to say to other friends of Lee Atwater's, and all of us are, Lee continues to be a great inspiration to both Barbara and to me and all of our family. And I'm sure he is to each and every one of you, too. (Applause.)

I wanted to come over here at this time and talk to you, the faithful, those that are standing there getting the job done day in and day out, because election day is exactly one week from today. I look at it as opportunity day. A day when America's voters will have the opportunity to do something; to vote for change. And to vent their frustration, their anger and the betrayal that they have felt at the hands of the unresponsive and irresponsible Democratic Congress. (Applause.)

You know, I read about voter frustration, but America has the opportunity to send that crowd up there on Capitol Hill, that one Party that's controlled Congress for year after year after year -- the Democrats -- send them a message by sending more Republicans to Congress. (Applause.)

You know, this country faced an enormous challenge here -- a challenge of, I'd say, tremendous consequences. A federal budget deficit spiraling into the hundreds of billions of dollars, and the Democratic philosophy of tax and spend was coming home to roost. And I was elected to make some tough decisions, to govern.

At times, every President finds that he's had to compromise for the good of the country. And I reached out my hand -- I think everybody here knows it and I think people all across the country know -- that I tried to reach out my hand to work with the Democrats in Congress. They control the House, they control the

MORE

Senate. And I tried very hard to do that, only to have a parade of liberal Democrats march to the microphone in the well of the House to blame me for their own failures.

Well, I believe we've had enough of that. (Applause.) And now we get to take our case to the American people. You see, we can -- we can send a message to every Democratic congressman or senator who mortgaged the future of our kids. To every Democrat who tried to raise income taxes, not as they say on the rich, but on every working American. And to every Democrat who's part of this Democratic spending binge, Americans say we're not going to take it anymore.

Because this is our country and it belongs to those who work in the fields and in the factories, who run the small businesses, who teach our kids, who protect the land. And each one is every bit as much a part of the American dream as the privileged few who roam the congressional corridors of power. And I want to abolish, for example, this -- I want to abolish these special interest PACs, and Democrats don't. Democrats want the taxpayers to underwrite the bill for their own reelection, and I don't. And if we have more Republicans on Capitol Hill, Uncle Sam won't foot the bill for Democratic campaigns.

Democrats may be busy taking care of their special interests than these reelection interests. And they may have forgotten their most basic sacred trust is the common interest. Well, I don't think we have forgotten. And I think Americans are going to remember who stands with these values that we all believe and that I've campaigned on and still feel fervently about. It's the Republicans who were looking out for the working men and women of this country.

And who would have thought that the finest instincts and ideals of Jefferson and Adams would have come down to this -- an arrogant majority that uses its power to protect its own prerogative, its own perks, its own privileges, its own pet projects -- and it is time that American people say enough is enough. No more Democratic control of the Congress. (Applause.)

You know, they say there are two things you should never watch being made: sausage and laws. (Laughter.) When it comes to the Democrats in Congress, I'd say this year has been -- we've all been taken on a first-class tour of the hot dog factory. (Laughter.)

I was disappointed but not surprised -- the minute that this budget deficit agreement was reached, disappointed, but not surprised to hear a Democratic leader say that the Democrats will continue to demand higher taxes, raising the income tax rates next year. Disappointed to hear the distortion of Republican motives, goals and accomplishments. Disappointed to hear the clumsy explanation of the Democrats' attempt to raise \$40 billion -- this is the figure from the recent Democrat-passed bill in the House -- \$40 billion in new income taxes on working Americans. And at the same time they were talking about soaking the rich, there was a \$4 billion surtax proposal in there. Four billion dollars for that, and \$40 billion trying to sock to every working man and woman in this country. The rhetoric is wrong and their purpose is wrong. And we're not going to let them get away with it. (Applause.)

After six endless -- six endless -- months of budget negotiations, we finally got a deal. We fought for what's good in the package. I think the spending cuts when you look at them are good. The entitlement reform is good. The tough enforcement provisions are better than I thought we could ever get in any way out of this Congress. But for six months, the Democrats stalled. For six months this Congress stalled the budget agreement and, in my view, risked stalling the economy. They tried to pull back the throttle on this economy. All in the name of politics and higher taxes. And the American people can hold them responsible because we are not going to let them get away with it.

All in all, this budget agreement is unprecedented. It is long overdue and, in my view, it is essential. And every time I see out there across the country some young kid or a class of third graders, I think to myself: We have got to do something to stop mortgaging the future of these young people. It isn't fair, and it isn't right, and the tax and spend mentality has gone too far when you see us with \$500 billion added to the deficit over and over again.

We got nearly \$350 in spending cuts. And almost \$500 billion -- \$492 billion I believe was the figure -- in total deficit reduction. But to get an agreement, there was a ransom. And that ransom was taxes. And, after all, the Democrats' chant has always been tax and spend, and damn the deficit. And we pushed hard to cut spending, to get the deficit down. And I just simply could not bring myself to leave America's children an avalanche of unpaid bills.

The issue is larger than one budget agreement or one session of Congress or one election. It may sound corny, but it's about the American dream. It's about the differences between the parties and who can best build a better America. It's about America's families and America's values and who represents them. And I think you know the answer -- Republicans do.

You know the difference between Republicans and Democrats. We are the ones fighting for family perspective in this year's legislation -- in education, in child care, in housing. And we're going to keep right on fighting. And we're the ones determined to bring hope and opportunity to the millions forgotten by the Democrats. And we won't give up on them. We're the ones with more sympathy for the victims of crime than for the criminals. And that was in my bill that got shuttered aside, parts of it passing but the toughest parts held up by the liberal Democrats in the House of Representatives.

We're going to keep on supporting our police officers. And we're the ones who understand that the world remains a dangerous place and that American leadership can meet the challenges of an uncertain world. And America will continue to lead because Republicans will not undermine America's strength. (Applause.)

I just came back yesterday from California and Oklahoma, and there as all around this country there's a growing momentum for limiting terms of legislators. The biggest, most entrenched special interest in America is right here. And in 1988, the Republican platform called for limiting the terms for Members of Congress. Republicans were the ones out two years ago leading the call. Term limitation is an idea whose time has come. And I think its time has come to Capital Hill, frankly. (Applause.)

Another good thing about getting out in the country, you see what works. See how governors make things happen. Let me tell you what works. Forty-three governors already have it. Governor Deukmejian used it 4,000 times -- and I'm talking, of course, about the line-item veto. If Congress can't cut spending give a President a shot. Give me the line-item veto and see what we can do. (Applause.) These ideas work. This is an idea that is working in the states and most of the states have balanced budget amendments. I'd like to see one of those for the entire country. Let's get out and campaign for it. (Applause.)

We really do need a government of more Republicans from the breadths of this great nation who owe their allegiance to the communities of our 50 states not to the Democratic tax and spend dogma of Capital Hill. A government led by men and women with a sense of history, with a sense of the potential of this country and of every American, with a willingness to make difficult choices on behalf of the national interest. And men and women who have a genuine vision, the kind of vision that enabled this administration to drag some important legislation out of a gridlocked Democratic Congress. Remember -- both houses the Senate and the House in the hands of the Democrats.

We got a good Clean Air Act and I think that's good for the entire United States of American and internationally as well. We had to pull it out, and it worked. We got a child care bill out of this deficit agreement and it's a good one -- doesn't give central government control over all child care -- it empowers parents.

We got a good, fair and effective Americans with Disabilities Act. A landmark piece of legislation in terms of fair play long overdue. We got a Defense Budget that in my view protects our nations security. It was under vicious assault and vicious attack from the liberal elements in the Democrats in both the House and the Senate. But thanks to the negotiating of those right here and the work of our Secretary of Defense. We got a reasonably good Defense number -- one where I can certify to the American people we can keep our interests wherever they may be. We can keep our commitment. And we built some incentives, finally, into our budget agreement for oil and gas explorations so that we will be less dependent on foreign oil.

And that brings me to another piece of news -- I was talking to Secretary Watkins about this -- that I want to tell Americans about, all Americans. Thanks to a combination of markedly increased world production and consumption measures, the gap in oil supply created by the loss of Iraq and Kuwait production, has been closed. And under current circumstances, consumers can count on adequate supplies of petroleum products. And that is good news for all Americans.

In times of crisis and challenge, the American spirit has been a constant source of strength. It's true now, obviously, in the Persian Gulf, and it's just as true at home today. Because across this land still pulses the generosity and the optimism of the true American spirit. The spirit to which Republicans are responding. We will reform this city in the capital, revive this institution, renew this nation, and together we can keep this country strong and compassionate and idealistic. And we'll do it by bringing this country what we deserve -- a better deal.

And I'm going to be carrying that message to the American people. And today I'm here in Washington within sight of the Capitol Dome but I'll go the vineyards of California and the farms of Ohio, to the shores of Massachussettes and out to the oil fields in Texas. And every time I talk to the American people, I'm going to tell them this: More Republicans in Congress means more men and women fighting against raising taxes and against the big spenders. More Republicans means a better deal for America.

And I wanted to thank each and every one of you. And with a week to go before the election, please keep it up. Redouble your efforts. We can make a difference for this, the greatest and freest country on the face of the Earth. God bless you and God bless America. (Applause.) Thank you all very much.

END

2:40 P.M. EST

90 OCT 29 PM 9:32

McGroarty/Dooley  
October 29, 1990  
8:45 pm  
[MASHPEE]

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: WELD/RAPPAPORT CAMPAIGN EVENT  
MASHPEE, MASSACHUSETTS  
NOVEMBER 1, 1990  
10:00 a.m.??

Thank you, [Bill,] for those kind words. My thanks to all of you for this warm welcome. // And a special hello to all the kids here today from Mashpee Middle School. // [[I can remember when I was your age -- and I know you've been looking forward to this event with great anticipation. // After all, it's not every day you get out of class. //]]

It's great to be here on Cape Cod -- to breathe deep the magic of this place. Massachusetts' native son, Henry David Thoreau, once said about the Cape: "A man may stand here and put all America behind him." // [[Given what's been going on in Washington lately, I'm pleased whenever I put my back to the Beltway. //]]

[Introductory acknowledgements.] // Massachusetts' own Andy Card and Ron Kaufman -- valued members of my White House team. // And of course, the team that's ready to turn things around for the commonwealth of Massachusetts: your next Senator: Jim Rappaport. // And your next Governor: William Weld. ///

Mashpee has a history of doing things its own way -- an independent streak as long as the winters out here on the Cape. You know the only thing worse than people who can't help

themselves -- is politicians who do. Here in Mashpee, you know better than most -- the time has come for change. ///

For too long now, Massachusetts politics has been a one-party playground. Here in the land of Lexington and Concord, the birthplace of American independence, politics is played by rules even the East Bloc has abandoned. Democrats are the ones that hold every state wide office. Democrats are the ones holding all but one Congressional seat. Democrats are the ones holding 8 in 10 seats in the State House and Senate. //

And Massachusetts' taxpayers? // They're the ones left holding the bag. //

[[Well, this state has seen more than its share of politics as usual. That's what's so special about Halloween in Massachusetts -- it's the one day all year the handouts go to kids.]]

Take a look at what one-party rule has made possible. When Republicans are an endangered species -- it's open season on the American taxpayer. Massachusetts Democrats added \$2 billion dollars to the taxpayer's burden in the last two years alone -- and raised the state income tax 25%. The end result: Massachusetts now has the 4th highest tax rate of all 50 states -- and the lowest credit rating in the country. // After the heady days of 1988, Massachusetts has learned the harsh truth -- the miracle was a mirage. ///

Now November 6th is five short days away. Time for the Democrats who served up this miracle to say their prayers. //

This November 6th, get Massachusetts moving again. Vote for reform -- vote Republican. Vote Rappaport and Weld. //

Next Tuesday, you can turn it around here in Massachusetts - - and you can help end government-by-gridlock at the federal level. // The **budget fiasco** is just the latest example of the tremendous costs of divided government: stalemated by a Congress far better at protecting its prerogatives, perks and powers than promoting America's best interests. //

For those of you with strong stomachs, look no further than the **sorry spectacle we call the budget process**. For eight long months, Congress sailed along without a compass on a sea of red ink -- sailed right past its own self-imposed deadlines for delivering a budget. And they would have **sailed right out of town** -- and onto the campaign trail, if I hadn't held their feet to the fire until they fulfilled their constitutional duty to deliver a budget. //

Time and again, this Democrat-controlled Congress offers symbolism in place of substance.

**This is a Congress** that proclaims it "National Crime Prevention Month" -- and then **guts** the **crime bill** of key provisions that would make life tougher on criminals.

**This is a Congress** that declares a "National Job Skills Week" -- but sidetracks our enterprise zones initiative that could bring **jobs, advancement and opportunity** to millions who are now without work and without hope in our inner cities.

**This is a Congress** that declares it "Vocational/Technical Education Week" -- but sits on our **Educational Excellence Act** for more than a year. //

Unfortunately, Congress' failure to act is not surprising. After all, how can the **end product** be good government -- when the **process** is an endless maze of 300 committees and subcommittees, served by 30,000 staff members? Special interests feed and multiply -- while the national interest is trampled in the dust, lost in the rush to get re-elected. // And we know by now what to expect from a body so confident in keeping power that it rules more by divine right than democratic mandate. // As I've said before, the House intended to be closest to the people has become a House of Lords. ///

Take a look at the way PAC money pours into incumbents' campaign chests -- a 30 to 1 advantage in PAC funding for incumbents over challengers. **No wonder** the re-election rate to Congress is 98%. **And no wonder** the Congress failed to act on my campaign reform package to eliminate special-interest PAC contributions.

**No wonder** -- because the Democrat-controlled Congress has itself become the single largest special interest of all time. // Unable to act -- but impossible to dislodge. //

As confused and chaotic as Congress is, I don't have to tell you here in Massachusetts -- one party rule just makes it worse. Over time, one-party control creates an Alice-in-Wonderland world, where words lose their meaning: Where "cut" means "spend"

-- and "soak the rich" means it's time to put the squeeze on every American who draws a paycheck. ///

I think the American people know by now: When Congress tells you it's time to tighten your belt -- taxpayers better watch their wallets. //

Some members of the majority party in the Congress say **the fault's not theirs.** They try to pin the blame on you -- the American people. They say you want **more government, more services,** than you're **willing to pay for.** The fact is -- in the past decade, federal spending has doubled. In 1991, the federal budget will reach \$1.4 trillion dollars. The truth is, the American taxpayer **isn't stingy** -- the problem is that taxpayers can't shovel money into the Treasury as fast as Congress cleans it out. //

In our system, only the President represents all the people. Only a President can **stand against the special interests** -- only a President can **stand up for the national interest.** //

Earlier this week, I spoke in Oklahoma -- where the people sent politicians a clear message, voting overwhelmingly to restrict state legislative terms. Term limitation is an idea whose time has come. But America **doesn't have to wait** for a ballot initiative to limit the terms of the Democrats in Congress. You can say "enough is enough" next Tuesday.

This is my message to the people of Massachusetts, and to all the American people: This year, don't make November 6th a mandate for more of the same. This year, when you go to the

polls, give me a Congress I can work with -- and we'll get the job done. ///

Gridlocked government is especially dangerous now -- with the challenge we face in the Persian Gulf. ///

We all know Saddam Hussein's outlaw act threatens grave economic consequences. But as serious as these consequences may be, what is at stake is far more than a matter of economics or oil. // What is at stake is the principle at the heart of international order: whether aggression pays -- or whether aggression is punished. // Whether we live in a world governed by the rule of law -- or the law of the jungle. ///

We've got to take a good look at what we're up against. I've been reading a history of World War II. Back in the 1930's, before the war -- with America mired in isolationism -- Hitler's tanks rolled uncontested into Poland. Behind the blitzkrieg came the Death's Head Regiment -- the SS unit that swept the towns for any signs of resistance. Made examples of the innocent -- lined them up and cut them down. //

The same thing is happening today in Kuwait. [[Examples.]] Barbarism -- pure and simple. The world has seen it before -- and we can't look the other way. //

Make no mistake: America will not waver -- and Saddam's aggression will not stand. //

And when this ordeal is over -- when Kuwait is once again a sovereign and free member of the family of nations -- Saddam Hussein must pay for the pain and hardship he has caused. //

Saddam Hussein must know: The world holds him accountable. ///

And -- with the young men and women of our Armed Forces in our minds -- I want to add one thing more. // Right now -- half a world away -- those brave young men and women are teaching us a lesson about what it means to love liberty -- the precious freedom that gives America its meaning. // So as November 6th draws near, I urge every citizen of the commonwealth of Massachusetts: get out and vote. Don't take democracy for granted. ///

I'm confident -- confident that November 6th will mark a turning point for Massachusetts -- by sending **Jim Rappaport** to work with me in the United States Senate, and making **William Weld** Massachusetts' next Governor. // Once again, my thanks for this warm welcome -- and may God bless Massachusetts.

# # #

**DRAFT**

2:50 E.S.T.

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: PRICE FUNDRAISER  
OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 29, 1990  
2:55 PM

Governor Bellmon, Senator Nickles. Thank you, Bill, for that introduction. Honored guests, ladies and gentlemen. I am delighted to be in this State of open hearts and open skies.

It is a privilege to be here for "a man who'll make a difference -- he always has." Your next Governor. Bill Price.

All of you know Bill's story. The son of a doctor -- he worked his way through school. Went to Georgetown University -- a basketball power. Then, OU Law School -- a football power. (There's a trend emerging here: Bill probably also likes the Cincinnati Reds.)

Finally, Bill became U.S. Attorney for western Oklahoma -- supporting law and order for all Oklahomans. Don Nickles calls him "a pioneering leader for Oklahoma." Ronald Reagan calls him "a guardian of the public good." Come next January, I'll just call him Governor.

You know, Bill Price is a great family man. Lovely wife, Mary -- three great kids. He's also a man with a penchant for the unexpected. (At a campaign stop recently in Holdenville, Bill was meeting folks when he shook hands with a beautiful woman. "Hello," he said, "I'm Bill Price. I'm running for Governor, and it's a pleasure to meet you." He thought the woman looked familiar. She should have. It was his wife.)

Bill Price also has conviction. He's not a follower who gets lost in the current. He's a profile in character who alters

the tide. Look at how he cracked America's then-largest corruption case in the early 1980s. Or his work for the organization he founded -- the Oklahoma Alliance against Drugs. We need a leader with Bill's vision in Oklahoma City.

We could use more of his kind of thinking back East, too. All Americans are asking: "What on earth is wrong with Washington?"

I've seen many Congresses come and go. And I have never seen a Congress more gridlocked, more paralyzed, more tied up in knots than today's.

After what seemed like endless negotiations, we finally have a budget. The negotiations were tough because the one party that has ruled Congress for almost forty years is dedicated to perpetual re-election. And this party, the Democrats, has a bias for red-tape over choice; for Washington solutions over community solutions; for bureaucracy over people. Add all this up, what do you get -- a Congress committed to two things: tax and spend.

The final budget agreement is an example of how the Democratic Congress works -- or doesn't work. For the sake of the nation, we had to reduce the deficit now. It is high time that Congress stopped mortgaging the future of our kids. This Democratic spending binge has got to stop.

Yes, we had to reach agreement. But I felt strongly about reducing the deficit with a careful balance of spending cuts. In fact, that's exactly the kind of budget I sent to the Congress last January. Predictably, the Democrats wanted to slash defense and raise taxes. What we got was a compromise.

Of course, there is some good in it. We got almost \$500\* billion in deficit reduction -- nearly \$350 billion in spending cuts -- the largest cut in history. In fact, this package is 72 percent spending reductions and only 28 percent new revenue. We also got Congress to agree to reduce the rate of spending growth with the first five-year curb on spending ever.

We put Congress on the pay-as-you-go plan, so Congress will no longer be able to fund programs with red ink. And, if they try to raise spending one dime, they've got to cut other excess spending or find the money for it -- right there and then. Finally, we held the line against reckless cuts of our Armed Forces. I will not be the President to preside over the weakening of this nation's defenses.

All in all, this agreement is unprecedented, long-overdue, absolutely necessary. But I will not join the Democrats in a carnival of self-congratulation. After all, we discussed three kinds of proposals: the good, the bad, and the ugly. I told you about the good, now let me tell you about the bad. In a word, taxes. To get an agreement, we had to pay the ransom to get almost \$350 billion\* of spending cuts.

The American people have had to pay a price for divided government, but the price could have been worse. The Democrats' bill that passed the House tried to raise income taxes on all working Americans. They called it their bill to soak the rich, when really it went after the paychecks of working Americans. Well, we stopped them, cold. That was not Republicans protecting

the rich. That was Republicans standing up for every working family in America.

You know, by law, the budget resolution was due last April. The Democratic Congress has given me a budget almost seven months late; so late, in fact, that we have been at the brink of an economic downturn. It's time to tell it like it is. This agreement should have come together in May, in June, or in August, any time during the last seven months. But the Democrats choked the throttle of a slowing economy while they hunted for every last morsel of partisan advantage -- all in the name of politics and higher taxes. Well, we're not going to let them get away with it.

In April, when the budget was due, unemployment was 5.4 percent. Unemployment last month was 5.7 percent. Since April, when the budget was due, inflation has accelerated. Economic growth has slowed. Even after the economy was threatened by higher oil prices from the Persian Gulf crisis, Congress delayed. This Democratic Congress was content to stall an agreement and stall the economy. Well, we're not going to let them get away with that.

Of course, the Members like Congressmen Jim Inhofe and Mickey Edwards, and Senator Don Nickles -- who is with us today -- who reject the failed tradition of tax and spend. But they're outnumbered by the big taxers and the big spenders. These three are the real defenders of working America, and I'm grateful they're fighting for you up on Capitol Hill.

Even in the midst of a crisis, the big spenders look for pork-barrel bonanzas. At the 11th hour, in the midst of the budget crisis, Congressional conferees on one panel alone pushed through an almost 19 percent increase for pet projects. At the same time as they voted to curtail spending, they also voted to spend half a million dollars to create a Lawrence Welk tourist attraction. I like <sup>\*</sup> Lawrence Welk as much as the next guy, but this has got to stop!

Believe me, The American people know that when their Congress asks them to tighten their belts, Congress always loosens its own. I'll tell you what I'd like to do about it -- give me what 43 governors have -- the line-item-veto. And while we're at it, let's get moving on a balanced budget amendment.

Under our Constitution, only the President is elected by all the people; only the President represents the entire nation. Give me the tools I need: the line-item-veto, a balanced budget amendment, and most important -- more Republicans in Congress.

Some people say there's no difference between Republicans and Democrats. In education, Republicans are the ones fighting for reform -- to empower parents to choose their childrens' schools. In child care, Republicans are the ones who demanded reform -- to empower parents to choose who will watch over their children and Republicans fought to make sure the help goes to families and not to bureaucracies! And we're the ones still determined to bring hope and opportunity to the most desolate of our inner cities. The Democrats are still peddling that old saw

about Republicans and the rich. You and I both know that's hog wash and we are not going to let them get away with that either.

This is a Congress that could only act at the last minute, when their political feet were held to the fire. A Congress that would rather pass feel-good proclamations than address problems. This is a Congress that would rather pass a resolution on "National Crime Prevention Month" while it guts our tough anti-crime bill. A Congress that declared "Clean Water Week" but bickered for 15 months over a Clean Air bill. A Congress that declares "National Home Care Week" but takes two years to pass a housing bill.\* If you find all this tough to swallow, don't worry -- they've also served up "National Digestive Disease Awareness Month".

And this is the liberal Democrat Congress that's become America's biggest, most entrenched special interest America has ever seen.

In 1955, Congress was served by 5200 staff members.\* Today, it is served by almost 20,000 staff members, who control the perks, and pass out the pork. Congress is a confusion of committees and is turf-conscious chaos. And the House intended to be closest to the people has become a House of Lords. Ninety-eight percent of those who seek re-election, are re-elected. It's time to turn the tables -- the American people deserve a new Congress -- a Republican Congress.

The Democrats block my proposals for campaign finance reform. We want to abolish special-interest PACs, the Democrats want the taxpayer to foot the bill for their re-election. The

Democrats refuse to clean up the special-interest dollars.

America needs a change. America needs a Better Deal.

A 1.4 trillion <sup>\*</sup> budget gives us ample room to dream again, to advance new ideas to renew our government -- to rethink and restructure our priorities. But the Democratic Congress would rather raise taxes than raise the issue of reform. The problem isn't with the American people and their Dream. The problem is a Congress that protects its perks and privileges and turns its back on the American Dream. And I say we've had enough.

America needs a Republican Congress that will balance the budget by cutting spending -- not the Democratic Congress that just last week tried to raise income taxes on every working family in America.

America needs a Republican Congress that will get tough on crime -- not a Democratic Congress soft on criminals.

America needs a Republican Congress that is dedicated to the national interest -- not a Democratic Congress that caters to the special interests.

The only way to send this message to Washington is not to send the Democrats back.

Last month, Oklahoma voters sent politicians a message. They voted overwhelmingly to restrict state legislative terms to 12 years. Next week, voters across America can follow your lead. Term limitation applying to State officials will be on the ballot in California, Colorado, and Massachusetts. But America doesn't have to wait for a ballot initiative to limit the terms of the Democrats in Congress. They can start next Tuesday.

I have nothing against people who want to retire to a nice house on the hill -- as long as it's not Capitol Hill. If we turn over the Congress, we can turn out the special interests.

As we do, we will keep faith with America -- and American ideals. Which is why in the remaining days of this campaign, and for the rest of my Presidency, I will take a message to the people: America doesn't need a liberal House of Lords. America needs a responsible Congress. A Republican Congress.

Harry Truman reminded us that only a President represents all the people, can stand for the national interest, and stand against the special interests.

In that spirit, I have extended my hand, worked for a bipartisan solution to this horrible budget mess. I have tried very hard -- only to have a parade of liberal Democrats march to the microphone to blame me for their failures. My goodwill has been rewarded with sarcasm and swearing. Well, America has had enough; so have I. And we aren't going to take it anymore.\*

Here in this State, people think straight, and like straight talk. Do your talking at the polls on November 6. Roll up your sleeves and elect this man Governor, Oklahoma deserves the best.

As for me, I am going to crisscross this country from coast to coast and take this message to the America people: more Republicans in Congress means more men and women fighting against raising taxes and against the big spenders. More Republicans means a Better Deal for America. It doesn't get any straighter than that.

Thank you. God bless the United States of America.

(Hinchliffe/Grossman)  
October 29, 1990 12:10 p.m.  
RALLY

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: TUESDAY MORNING RALLY

Thank you -- I didn't think I'd ever say it, but after that terrific reception -- it's great to be back in Washington! [[Or was that warm welcome because you're excited to see the front man for a bestselling author? Since none of my children or grandchildren are writers I'd figured I was safe -- who'd have thought my own dog would have written a "lick and tell" book?]] \\\

A wonderful turnout -- and a contagious spirit -- we're here to celebrate the new era that's going to begin one week from today -- when the voters of America will vent all the frustrated anger and betrayal they've felt at the hands of this unresponsive and irresponsible Congress -- this Democratic Congress.

On Nov. 6 Americans will go into the voting booths and with determination proudly exercise their right to vote -- a right so many have worked and died for. And with their votes they're going to say to every incumbent Democratic Congressman who has let down America and the American people: "we're mad as hell and we're not going to take it anymore!" \\\

And we shouldn't have to take it anymore. This is our country. Those who work in the fields and factories of America -- who run the small businesses -- who teach our children -- who protect this land -- each one is as much a part of the United States as is the leader of the privileged few in the Congressional corridors of power. But you wouldn't know that to watch the Democrats. They're so busy taking care of their special

2

interests and their re-election interests that they've forgotten their most basic, sacred trust: the national interest. \\\

Who would have thought that in just two centuries the finest instincts and ideals of Jefferson and Adams would have come down to this: an institution that uses its power to protect its own prerogatives; its own perks; its own privileges; and its own pet projects. \\\ This Democratic Congress has abused the rights of incumbency to become a body of entrenched self-interests. \\\

This Democratic Congress -- bloated to paralysis by special interests -- has lost even its power to dream. \\\ That beautiful building on the Hill should inspire great visions and great deeds. Instead it's become a place where dealmakers peddle promises in the shadows. \\\ [[You know, they say there are two things you should never watch being made: sausage and legislation. Well, when it comes to the Democrats in Congress, I'd say this year we've been taken on a 1st-class tour of the hot dog factory.]]\\\

I was disheartened -- but not surprised -- to hear Sen. Mitchell say his Democrats will continue to demand higher taxes. Disheartened to hear him misstate Republican motives, goals and accomplishments. Disheartened to hear him misrepresent the Democrats' attempt to conceal \$40 billion in new income taxes on the middle class behind a \$5 billion nick on the rich. That's not defending the middle class. That's soaking it. \\\

For 8 months this Democratic Congress has stalled a budget agreement and stalled the economy, all in the name of politics and higher taxes. But it's been even longer than 8 months. It's been the better part of 40 years that these Democratic leaders

3

and their predecessors have run the federal budget in a way no American household could afford to run its budget: ~~chanting "tax and spend and damn the deficit"~~. No caring parent would deliberately leave his or her children an avalanche of unpaid bills the way the Democrats in Congress have repeatedly and unconscionably left bills to become payable by future generations. |||

Well, next Tuesday the piper's going to show up with a differ-  
ent kind of bill in hand. One that the unrepentant Democrats are going to have to pay with their own political lives. For one of the great built-in protections of our system is that the government has to be accountable to the people. || And on election day 1990 the Democrats are going to learn that lesson. ||

Now, I won't go quite so far as Mark Twain, who said, "It could probably be shown by facts and figures that there is no distinctly native American criminal class except Congress." I will, however, say that as I've traveled listening to the heart-beat of our people, I would agree wholeheartedly with Will Rogers, who said: "This country has come to feel the same when Congress is in session as when the baby gets hold of a hammer."

Well, a week from today that hammer's going to be in the hands of the American voters. And come January, government for special interests will be replaced by government for the people. || Because the public will have voted out the Democrats: the party of self-serving stagnation. In their place will be Republican men and women of integrity and courage. Men and women with a sense of history. A sense of honor. A sense of the dignity and rights of every American.

4

Men and women who are from the breadth of this great nation -- who owe their allegiance to the valleys and hills of our 50 states -- not to the Democratic dogma of Capitol Hill. Men and women who know that this is the time to close a sorry chapter. The chapter entitled "The 101st Congress." A chapter replete with the politicking and ignoble ends of a Congress ruled by one party -- the Democratic party -- a party dedicated to perpetual re-election. \\ A regrettable tale of the most paralyzed and ineffectual Congress in the history of our nation. ///

But there is hope: because across this land still pulses the generosity and optimism that are the true American spirit. The spirit to which Republicans are responding. We will reform this city. Renew this nation. Revive our people. Together, we can keep this country strong and compassionate and idealistic. ///

We will do it by bringing this country what it deserves: A Better Deal. A Republican Congress that is committed to old-fashioned family values. A Congress that will finally pass our tough crime bill -- submitted over 18 months ago. That will finally end the influence of special interests. Finally seek solutions outside of Washington. Finally empower parents -- with choices in areas like education, childcare, and housing. And, above all, a Congress that will finally cut spending. ///

And this Republican Congress will join with American people to respond to an inspiration: "whatever you can do -- or dream you can -- begin it. Boldness has genius, power, and magic in it."\\

This vision of a good, strong, empowered, generous nation - - we can begin it. In seven days we can vote not for incumbent

5

Democratic politicians, who think only of the next election, \\  
but for Republican leaders, who think of the next generation. \\\

There is work to be done. Exciting work that challenges us  
to dare -- to dream -- to try -- to win. Because visions and  
greatness wither in the gray twilight of Democrat complacency.  
But hope will flourish in the glow of a new Republican dawn. \\  
\\

Our first step is to vote next Tuesday. Vote for change.  
For the future. For those who share your ideals. For Republicans.  
This is the message Americans must send the Democrats at the vot-  
ing booths: we want -- we need -- we deserve A Better Deal. The  
Democrats have had their chance -- and they've blown it. Now  
America's hope rests in the party of fairness, hope, and vision -  
- the Republican party. We can still set the finest example for  
the world -- and leave the finest legacy for our children. We  
can begin now. We must begin now. \\  
\\

God bless you -- and the great potential of this splendid  
country we share.

# # # #