

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):

S

FOIA Number:

S

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential Library Staff.

Record Group/Collection: George H.W. Bush Presidential Records
Collection/Office of Origin: Speechwriting, White House Office of
Series: Speech File Draft Files
Subseries: Chron File, 1989-1993

OA/ID Number: 13643
Folder ID Number: 13643-001

Folder Title:
Penn State University 9/23/92 [OA 5813]

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
G	26	18	5	2

DARMAN

p-6
2ND p - 6.3 billion

↓
Not 5.3

92 SEP 23 A10:46

Ross

pH, 5th par

add
after sentence

92 SEP 23 4:10:27

"He talks of talking
the rich. But in Arkansas
we 'take' everyone. You
know,"

continue with
"In Arkansas ..."

Ron Kaufman

0.4 → 5th par.

SEP 23 10:09
"In Arkansas he's
taxing everything he...."
— take out beer & gas
because we taxed beer
& gas.

SCOWCROFT

p. 3, last par., 1st line

NOT Mexico!

192 SEP 23 11:04

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 09/22/92 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: ASAP

SUBJECT: PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: PENN STATE UNIVERSITY, STATE COLLEGE, PA
09/23

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCBRIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	x MOORE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	x MULLINS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PETERSMEYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BATES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BRADY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	x PROVOST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BROMLEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CALIO <i>N/C</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMITH <i>N/C</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST <i>N/C</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TUTWILER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	x ZOELICK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	KAUFMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MCGROARTY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HORNER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	GROOMES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
			BOSKIN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

Please provide any comments directly to Dan MCGroarty ASAP, with a copy to this office. Thanks.

RESPONSE:

*Called 9:00
10:30*

PHILLIP D. BRADY
 Assistant to the President
 and Staff Secretary
 Ext. 2702

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

September 22, 1992 2 SEP 22 PM 08

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: STEVE PROVOST
FROM: JENNIFER GROSSMAN *JG*
SUBJECT: REMARKS AT PENN STATE

Tommorrow, at 1:30 p.m., you will deliver remarks (14 mins./teleprompted) to 10,000 students, faculty, and family on the Old Main Lawn of Penn State University and College. Coach Joe Paterno introduces you.

Your remarks follow the format of a revised stump speech: touching upon six definitive differences between your agenda and your opponent's. These are the themes you explored in more depth at the Enid, Oklahoma event.

NOTE: There has been some controversy on campus over the college's first annual "Pro-Marijuana Week." You might remind students that friends don't let friends vote high.

(Grossman)
Draft Two
September 22, 1992
PENN

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: PENN STATE UNIVERSITY
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1992
1:30 P.M.

Thank you Coach Paterno -- it's one thing to have to play after one of your pep talks, but it's another to have to give a speech. Last time I gave a speech on a college campus, one student came up to me afterwards and said: "That was the best impersonation of Dana Carvey I've ever seen." I never knew I had such talent. \\

I didn't come here today to give a big rally or a grand speech. I came here to talk a bit about where we've been, where we are, and what I want to do -- to get us where we've got to be. You know, as I was walking up here I saw a plaque on the side of this building. Not too shiny, but then again, it didn't need to be. It was dedicated to 374 Americans who died in World War II. All from Penn State. \\

I was there. And I survived to see a lot of history between then and now. The heated battles and a long Cold War -- won by people with the right stuff and people with the right ideas. We stood fast -- so freedom could stand tall. \\

The challenges we face today are different, and so are the demands. The challenge of the 1990s is to win the economic competition -- to win the peace.

Yesterday I travelled to six states -- circling Arkansas to let people know what really goes on under that Little Rock. For months now my opponent has been taking me on and talking this

country down. So I figured it was time to introduce Candidate Clinton to Governor Clinton -- because the rhetoric and the reality are like night and day. And you know what we discovered? Whether it's Candidate Clinton or Governor Clinton -- it doesn't really matter: Bill Clinton is wrong for America.

Some are going to say that talking about the Governor's policy record is like going after an unarmed man. I say: he should have armed himself. He should've packed more than promises. My opponent and I may argue towards some of the same ends. But we start from radically different premises -- premises built on different experience and different philosophies. And I will point out the difference in our visions, because I believe it explains the differences in our views.

Two weeks ago in Detroit, I presented my views in my Agenda for American Renewal. I didn't just hammer away at what's wrong with America -- I gave fair due to what's right. And I offered a comprehensive, integrated approach to win the new global economic competition -- to create the world's first \$10 trillion economy by the dawn of the next century. My opponent will say we can't cut it -- I say: anyway you cut it we can. \ \

My agenda contains 13 specific actions that I will pursue in the first year of my second term -- and I will fight for them harder than the Nittany Lions -- fourth quarter, fourth down -- goal to go. I am asking for nothing more -- nothing less -- than a mandate to move this country forward. \ \

I want a debate over issues -- and an argument over ideas. I will stand on my record -- and I won't let the Governor run away from his. I believe the American people have a right to know what they're buying into. Because remember, if you buy what Candidate Clinton is selling -- there's no refund. There's no rebate. Actually, it's more like a permanent payment plan. \\

On one issue -- the fundamental issue -- my opponent and I have just agreed to disagree. It's a question of how our economy grows -- how our country works.

It's kind of like Jeopardy -- it all comes down to how you phrase the question. My opponent asks what makes the economy grow. His answer: government planners and programs and projects.

I ask who makes the American economy grow. And my answer is you: individual working men and women, building and buying in the freedom of a market. \\

My opponent believes that government will quote -- "invest" -- unquote, your money smarter than you can. I don't see it that way. I say the smart money is on smart people like you.

It's the craziest thing -- at the very moment when Russia, Mexico, Eastern Europe, the whole world, is turning our way, why would we turn back their way? All of a sudden, all around the world, people are turning to free markets, to free trade, to freedom. Now that the world is finally catching on, what are we supposed to say -- "Just kidding?" \\

The world is sending us a message we should already know: Government planning, social engineering, and centralized economies do not work. We know what works: freedom works. \\

I'm not just talking about political freedom. I'm talking about the freedom to save, to invest, to work and keep more of what you earn. \\

And I think we've just discovered another difference between the candidates: Taxes. My opponent wants to raise taxes. I want to cut them. \\

During the 80's we lightened the tax load on labor -- creating 21 million jobs. I know there are some economics majors out there -- but you don't have to crunch numbers to figure it out: The less you tax of something -- the more you get of it. If we cut taxes on investment we'll get more investment. And more investment means more jobs. \\

My opponent disagrees. In Arkansas he's taxing everything he can get his hands on: groceries, beer, gas, mobile homes, cable TV, used cars, airplanes, coal. ((Should I keep going?)) He was even taxing foodstamps till the federal government forced him to stop. I guess that's why yesterday my subconscious spoke up -- by accident I actually called him Governor Taxes. \\

We disagree on taxes, and guess what -- we disagree on government spending. My opponent wants to raise government spending, I want to cut it.

The federal government today spends almost a quarter out of every dollar of our national income. Governor Clinton apparently

thinks that's cheap. On top of the \$1.5 trillion we already spend today -- he's proposed \$220 in brand spanking new spending -- Newsweek thinks his true total could be three times as high. Frankly, I can't think of why anyone would want the government to grow one inch bigger. Maybe my opponent thinks that there'll just be more of it to love.

I believe that government is too big and spends too much. \\ I want to slow the growth of mandatory spending, excluding social security. I want to freeze discretionary spending. And I've already proposed we get rid of 246 programs and more than 4,000 projects that are luxuries we can't afford. To back it up I want a balanced budget amendment and a line-item veto. And I want to give the taxpayer their own private veto pen: the right to take up to 10 percent of your tax payment and send it straight to cut spending \ cut the deficit \ and cut our country free. \\

The fourth difference: opening foreign markets to American goods. Exports support over 400,000 jobs, right here in Pennsylvania. I want lower priced goods for American consumers -- and new customers for American goods. I believe in free trade, because I believe that when trade is free and fair, America beats the competition fair and square -- anywhere. \\

There was a time when my opponent said he favored open trade. Other times, usually after meetings with big union leaders, he wasn't so sure. What will it be? Well, when asked for his opinion on the free trade agreement with Mexico and Canada he said, quote -- "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll

say so" -- end quote. Well I've got news for the Governor: there's no call waiting in the Oval Office. \\

The fifth difference dividing our views is on government red tape, mandates and monopolies. I want to get the government off the backs of small business -- the entrepreneur -- the little guy with a big dream. And my opponent looks at regulation the same way he looks at taxes and spending -- another one of those fun buttons and levers he can use to make our country go. \\

Last year Americans spent 5.3 billion hours just trying to keep up with federal regulations. That's like watching every pro football game on television back-to-back for the next twelve million -- 268,000 years. ((And that's not even including the playoffs.)) \\

Our differences show up in our approaches to health care, to child care, to education. My opponent wants to bring government closer to the people -- real closer -- too close for comfort. I want to give people the means to take control of their own lives -- make the decisions that matter -- who will care for your kids -- how you choose your doctor -- whether you can send your child to the school of your choice.

Finally, when it comes to legal reform -- the Governor and I parted company before we even met. I believe that our legal system is out of control and headed for a crash. It's running roughshod over small businesses -- scaring the wits out of anyone who wants to take a risk, try out something new. Today Americans spend up to \$200 billion in direct costs to lawyers -- that's got

to stop. Americans need to start suing each other less and caring for each other more. \\

One trial lawyer from Arkansas solicited funds for my opponent by writing: "I can never remember an occasion when he failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers were concerned." How touching. We don't need someone to do the right thing for the special interests -- we need a President who will do things right for all Americans.

And this Fall I will continue to talk about what right -- even if it's not in fashion. The Governor wishes I wouldn't talk about foreign policy. It makes him uncomfortable -- and I won't ask him why. But I will ask him what the heck he's talking about when he describes a President's, -- quote -- "powerless moments when countries are invaded, friends are threatened, Americans are held hostage, and our nation's interests are on the line" -- end quote. Governor, if America is powerless when our nation's interests are on the line -- who else do you suppose is going to take care of us? My America isn't powerless. My America takes care of its interests. \\

Someone once said that "You learn more character on the two-yard line than anywhere else in life." I've been there. America has been there. But there's one thing about America -- we never back down, we never give up -- we never retreat, we always compete. I have faith in this country because -- well, all I have to do is look out in this audience. When we meet tough times, we don't just make do and muddle through. We go out long

and we come back strong. Thank you. God bless you and God bless the United States of America.

- MASTER -

(Grossman)
Draft Two
September 22, 1992
PENN

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: PENN STATE UNIVERSITY
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1992
1:30 P.M.

Thank you Coach Paterno -- it's one thing to have to play after one of your pep talks, but it's another to have to give a speech. Last time I gave a speech on a college campus, one student came up to me afterwards and said: "That was the best impersonation of Dana Carvey I've ever seen." I never knew I had such talent. \\

I didn't come here today to give a big rally or a grand speech. I came here to talk a bit about where we've been, where we are, and what I want to do -- to get us where we've got to be. You know, as I was walking ^{THROUGH THE OLD MAIN THIS (JAB)} ~~up here~~ I saw a plaque on the ~~side of~~ ^{THE WALL} ~~this building~~. Not too shiny, but then again, it didn't need to be. It was dedicated to 374 Americans who died in World War II.

All from Penn State. \\

^{fought in that war} I ~~was there~~. And I ^{lived} Porter ~~survived~~ to see a lot of history between then and now. The heated battles and a long Cold War -- won by people with the right stuff and ^{Porter} ~~people with~~ the right ideas. We stood fast -- so freedom could stand tall. \\

The challenges we face today are different, and so are the demands. The challenge of the 1990s is to win the economic competition -- to win the peace.

Yesterday I travelled to six states -- circling Arkansas to ~~shed some light on - and expose the truth about - Governor Clinton's record. Porter~~ ~~let people know what really goes on under that Little Rock. For~~ months now my opponent has been taking me on and talking this

I want a debate over issues -- and an argument over ideas. I will stand on my record -- and I won't let the Governor run away from his. I ~~believe~~ ^{Porter} the American people have a right to know what they're buying into. Because remember, if you buy what Candidate Clinton is selling -- there's no refund. There's no rebate. Actually, it's more like a permanent payment plan. \\

On one issue -- the fundamental issue -- my opponent and I have ~~just~~ ^{Porter} agreed to disagree. It's a question of how our economy grows -- how our country works.

It's kind of like ^{that game} Jeopardy ^{Scowcroft} -- it all comes down to how you phrase the question. My opponent asks what makes the economy grow. His answer: government planners and programs and projects.

I ask who makes the American economy grow. And my answer is you: individual working men and women, building and buying ^{competing} in the ~~freedom~~ of a market. \\

My opponent believes that government will quote -- "invest" -- unquote, your money ^{more productively} ~~smarter~~ ^{Porter} than you can. I don't see it that way. I say the smart money is on smart people like you.

It's the ~~craziest thing~~ -- ~~at~~ ^{and} ^{Scowcroft} the very moment when Russia, Mexico, Eastern Europe, ^{all} ^{Scowcroft} the whole world, is turning our way, why would we turn back their way? All of a sudden, all around the world, people are turning to free markets, to free trade, to freedom. Now that the world is finally catching on, what are we supposed to say -- "Just kidding?" \\

deletes Porter

NOT Mexico! (Scowcroft)

lies in the face of what we see happening around the world. Porter

delete Porter

The world is sending us a message we should already know: Government planning, social engineering, and centralized economies do not work. We know what works: freedom works. \\
I'm not just talking about political freedom. I'm talking about the freedom ^{from excessive government meddling in your lives.} to save, to invest, to work and keep more of ^{I'm talking about freedom} what you earn. \\
And I think we've just discovered another difference between the candidates: Taxes. My opponent ^{has promised} Porter wants to raise taxes. I want to cut them. \\
During the ^{1980's} Porter ^{lowered tax rates and created} Foster ~~the 80's we lightened the tax load on labor -- creating 21 million jobs.~~ I know there are some economics majors out there -- but you don't have to crunch numbers to figure it out: The less you tax of something -- the more you get of it. If we cut taxes on investment we'll get more investment. And more investment means more jobs. \\
The less we tax workers; the more people who will be able to work. Foster
My opponent disagrees. ⁱⁿ Porter ^{in Arkansas he's taxing everything} he can get his hands on: groceries, beer, gas, mobile homes, cable TV, used cars, airplanes, coal. ((Should I keep going?)) He was even taxing foodstamps ^{until} Scowcroft till the federal government forced him to stop. I guess that's why yesterday my subconscious spoke up -- by accident I actually ^{called} Porter Porter called him Governor Taxes. \\
We disagree on taxes, and guess what -- we disagree on government spending. My opponent wants to raise government spending. Scowcroft I want to cut it.
The federal government today spends almost a quarter out of every dollar of our national income. Governor Clinton apparently

He talks of taxing the rich. But in Arkansas he taxes everyone. You know, Ross

Kaufman

^{Porter}
 thinks that's ^{too little} cheap. On top of the \$1.5 trillion we already
 spend today -- he's proposed \$220^{BILLION (Counsel)} in brand spanking new spending
 -- Newsweek thinks his true total could be three times as high.
 Frankly, I can't think of why anyone would want the government to
 grow ^{any} ~~one~~ ^{Porter} inch bigger. Maybe my opponent thinks that there'll
 just be more of it to love.

I believe that government is too big and spends too much. \\
 I want to slow the growth of mandatory spending, excluding social
 security. I want to freeze discretionary spending. And I've
 already proposed we get rid of 246 programs and more than 4,000
 projects that are luxuries we ^{don't need.} ~~can't afford.~~ ^{Porter} To back it up I want
 a balanced budget amendment and a line-item veto. And I want to
 give the taxpayer ^{to} ^{Porter} their own private veto pen: ^a ^{Porter} the right to take
 up to 10 percent of your tax payment and send it straight to cut
 spending ^{and} ^{Porter} \ cut the deficit \ ^{Porter} and cut our country free. \\

The fourth difference: opening foreign markets to American
 goods. Exports support over 400,000 jobs, right here in
 Pennsylvania. I want lower priced goods for American consumers -
 - and new customers ^{abroad} ^{Porter} for American goods. I believe in free trade,
 because I believe that when trade is free and fair, America ^{with} beats ^{Porter}
the competition fair and square -- anywhere. \\

There was a time when my opponent said he favored open
 trade. Other times, usually after meetings with big union
 leaders, he wasn't so sure. What will it be? Well, when asked
 for his opinion on the free trade agreement with Mexico and
 Canada he said, quote -- "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll

say so" -- end quote. Well I've got news for the Governor: there's no call waiting in the Oval Office. \\
The fifth difference dividing our views is on government red

tape, mandates and monopolies. I want to get the government off the backs of small business -- the entrepreneur -- the little guy with a big dream. And my opponent looks at regulation the same way he looks at taxes and spending -- another one of those fun buttons and levers he can use to make our country go. \\
Go w/ # we used in Envia (JAG) 6.3 Darman

Scowcroft

Last year Americans spent 5.3 billion hours just trying to keep up with federal regulations. That's like watching every football game on television back-to-back for the next twelve million years. ((And that's not even including the playoffs.)) \\
- TWO HUNDRED + SIXTY EIGHT HUNDRED THOUSAND (JAG)

refer to Penn State Porter

Our differences show up in our approaches to health care, to child care, to education. My opponent wants to bring government closer to the people -- real close -- too close for comfort. I want to give people the means to take control of their own lives -- make the decisions that matter -- who will care for your kids -- how you choose your doctor -- whether you can send your child to the school of your choice.

like Scowcroft

Finally, when it comes to legal reform -- the Governor and I parted company before we even met. I believe that our legal system is out of control and headed for a crash. It's running roughshod over small businesses -- scaring the wits out of anyone who wants to take a risk, try out something new. Today Americans spend up to \$200 billion in direct costs to lawyers -- that's got

to stop. Americans need to start suing each other less and caring for each other more. \\
 \

One trial lawyer from Arkansas solicited funds for my opponent by writing: "I can never remember an occasion when he failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers were concerned." → ~~How touching.~~ We don't need someone to do the right thing for the special interests -- we need a President who will do things right for all Americans.

And this Fall I will continue to talk about ^{what's Porter} ~~what~~ right -- even if it's not in fashion. The Governor wishes I wouldn't talk about foreign policy. It makes him uncomfortable -- and I won't ask him why. But I will ask him what the heck he's talking about when he describes a President's, -- quote -- "powerless moments when countries are invaded, friends are threatened, Americans are held hostage, and our nation's interests are on the line" -- end quote. Governor, if America is powerless when our nation's interests are on the line -- who else do you suppose is going to take care of us? My America isn't powerless. My America takes care of its interests. \\
 \

Someone once said that "You learn more character on the two-yard line than anywhere else in life." I've been there. America has been there. But there's one thing about America -- we never back down, we never give up -- we never retreat, we always compete. I have faith in this country because -- well, all I have to do is look out in this audience. When we meet tough times, we don't just make do and muddle through. We go out long

Now he's
 "Governor
 Special
 Interest!"
 Scowcroft

and we come back strong. Thank you. God bless you and God bless
the United States of America.

- MASTER -

(Grossman)
Draft Two
September 22, 1992
PENN

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: PENN STATE UNIVERSITY
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1992
1:30 P.M.

Thank you Coach Paterno -- it's one thing to have to play after one of your pep talks, but it's another to have to give a speech. Last time I gave a speech on a college campus, one student came up to me afterwards and said: "That was the best impersonation of Dana Carvey I've ever seen." I never knew I had such talent. \\

I didn't come here today to give a big rally or a grand speech. I came here to talk a bit about where we've been, where we are, and what I want to do -- to get us where we've got to be. You know, as I was walking ^{THROUGH THE OLD MAN} up here I saw ~~a~~ plaque on the ~~side of~~ ^{THIS} ~~this~~ ^{WALL} building. Not too shiny, but then again, it didn't need to be. It was dedicated to 374 Americans who died in World War II. All from Penn State. \\

I was there. And I survived to see a lot of history between then and now. The heated battles and a long Cold War -- won by people with the right stuff and people with the right ideas. We stood fast -- so freedom could stand tall. \

The challenges we face today are different, and so are the demands. The challenge of the 1990s is to win the economic competition -- to win the peace.

Yesterday I travelled to six states -- circling Arkansas to let people know what really goes on under that Little Rock. For months now my opponent has been taking me on and talking this

country down. So I figured it was time to introduce Candidate Clinton to Governor Clinton -- because the rhetoric and the reality are like night and day. And you know what we discovered? Whether it's Candidate Clinton or Governor Clinton -- it doesn't really matter: Bill Clinton is wrong for America.

Some are going to say that talking about the Governor's policy record is like going after an unarmed man. I say: he should have armed himself. He should've packed more than promises. My opponent and I may argue towards some of the same ends. But we start from radically different premises -- premises built on different experience and different philosophies. And I will point out the difference in our visions, because I believe it explains the differences in our views.

Two weeks ago in Detroit, I presented my views in my Agenda for American Renewal. I didn't just hammer away at what's wrong with America -- I gave fair due to what's right. And I offered a comprehensive, integrated approach to win the new global economic competition -- to create the world's first \$10 trillion economy by the dawn of the next century. My opponent will say we can't cut it -- I say: anyway you cut it we can. \.

My agenda contains 13 specific actions that I will pursue in the first year of my second term -- and I will fight for them harder than the Nittany Lions -- fourth quarter, fourth down -- goal to go. I am asking for nothing more, -- nothing less -- than a mandate to move this country forward: \\\

I want a debate over issues -- and an argument over ideas. I will stand on my record -- and I won't let the Governor run away from his. I believe the American people have a right to know what they're buying into. Because remember, if you buy what Candidate Clinton is selling -- there's no refund. There's no rebate. Actually, it's more like a permanent payment plan. \\

On one issue -- the fundamental issue -- my opponent and I have just agreed to disagree. It's a question of how our economy grows -- how our country works.

It's kind of like Jeopardy -- it all comes down to how you phrase the question. My opponent asks what makes the economy grow. His answer: government planners and programs and projects.

I ask who makes the American economy grow. And my answer is you: individual working men and women, building and buying in the freedom of a market. \\

My opponent believes that government will quote -- "invest" -- unquote, your money smarter than you can. I don't see it that way. I say the smart money is on smart people like you.

NOT MEXICO!
(Scowcrab?)

It's the craziest thing -- at the very moment when Russia, Mexico, Eastern Europe, the whole world, is turning our way, why would we turn back their way? All of a sudden, all around the world, people are turning to free markets, to free trade, to freedom. Now that the world is finally catching on, what are we supposed to say -- "Just kidding?" \\

The world is sending us a message we should already know: Government planning, social engineering, and centralized economies do not work. We know what works: freedom works. \\
 \

I'm not just talking about political freedom. I'm talking about the freedom to save, to invest, to work and keep more of what you earn. \\
 \

And I think we've just discovered another difference between the candidates: Taxes. My opponent wants to raise taxes. I want to cut them. \\
 \

During the 80's we lightened the tax load on labor -- creating 21 million jobs. I know there are some economics majors out there -- but you don't have to crunch numbers to figure it out: The less you tax of something -- the more you get of it. If we cut taxes on investment we'll get more investment. And more investment means more jobs. \\
 \

← My opponent disagrees. ⁱⁿ Arkansas he's taxing everything Kaufman take out beer & gas - we also tax them he can get his hands on: groceries, beer, gas, mobile homes, cable TV, used cars, airplanes, coal. ((Should I keep going?)) He was even taxing foodstamps till the federal government forced him to stop. I guess that's why yesterday my subconscious spoke up -- by accident I actually called him Governor Taxes. \\
 \

We disagree on taxes, and guess what -- we disagree on government spending. My opponent wants to raise government spending, I want to cut it.

The federal government today spends almost a quarter out of every dollar of our national income. Governor Clinton apparently

He talks of taxing the rich. But in Arkansas he taxes everyone. You know,

Ross

thinks that's cheap. On top of the \$1.5 trillion we already spend today -- he's proposed \$220^{BILLION (Counsel)} in brand spanking new spending -- Newsweek thinks his true total could be three times as high. Frankly, I can't think of why anyone would want the government to grow one inch bigger. Maybe my opponent thinks that there'll just be more of it to love.

I believe that government is too big and spends too much. \\ I want to slow the growth of mandatory spending, excluding social security. I want to freeze discretionary spending. And I've already proposed we get rid of 246 programs and more than 4,000 projects that are luxuries we can't afford. To back it up I want a balanced budget amendment and a line-item veto. And I want to give the taxpayer their own private veto pen: the right to take up to 10 percent of your tax payment and send it straight to cut spending \ cut the deficit \ and cut our country free. \\

The fourth difference: opening foreign markets to American goods. Exports support over 400,000 jobs, right here in Pennsylvania. I want lower priced goods for American consumers - - and new customers for American goods. I believe in free trade, because I believe that when trade is free and fair, America beats the competition fair and square -- anywhere. \

There was a time when my opponent said he favored open trade. Other times, usually after meetings with big union leaders, he wasn't so sure. What will it be? Well, when asked for his opinion on the free trade agreement with Mexico and Canada he said, quote -- "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll

say so" -- end quote. Well I've got news for the Governor: there's no call waiting in the Oval Office. \\

The fifth difference dividing our views is on government red tape, mandates and monopolies. I want to get the government off the backs of small business -- the entrepreneur -- the little guy with a big dream. And my opponent looks at regulation the same way he looks at taxes and spending -- another one of those fun buttons and levers he can use to make our country go. \\

Last year Americans spent 5.3 ^{6.3} ~~billions~~ ^{Darman} billion hours just trying to keep up with federal regulations. That's like watching every pro football game on television back-to-back for the next twelve million ^{TWO HUNDRED + SIXTY EIGHT THOUSAND (JAG)} ~~years~~ years. ((And that's not even including the playoffs.)) \\

Our differences show up in our approaches to health care, to child care, to education. My opponent wants to bring government closer to the people -- real closer -- too close for comfort. I want to give people the means to take control of their own lives -- make the decisions that matter -- who will care for your kids -- how you choose your doctor -- whether you can send your child to the school of your choice.

Finally, when it comes to legal reform -- the Governor and I parted company before we even met. I believe that our legal system is out of control and headed for a crash. It's running roughshod over small businesses -- scaring the wits out of anyone who wants to take a risk, try out something new. Today Americans spend up to \$200 billion in direct costs to lawyers -- that's got

to stop. Americans need to start suing each other less and caring for each other more. \\
 \

One trial lawyer from Arkansas solicited funds for my opponent by writing: "I can never remember an occasion when he failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers were concerned." How touching. We don't need someone to do the right thing for the special interests -- we need a President who will do things right for all Americans.

And this Fall I will continue to talk about what right -- even if it's not in fashion. The Governor wishes I wouldn't talk about foreign policy. It makes him uncomfortable -- and I won't ask him why. But I will ask him what the heck he's talking about when he describes a President's, -- quote -- "powerless moments when countries are invaded, friends are threatened, Americans are held hostage, and our nation's interests are on the line" -- end quote. Governor, if America is powerless when our nation's interests are on the line -- who else do you suppose is going to take care of us? My America isn't powerless. My America takes care of its interests. \\
 \

Someone once said that "You learn more character on the two-yard line than anywhere else in life." I've been there. America has been there. But there's one thing about America -- we never back down, we never give up -- we never retreat, we always compete. I have faith in this country because -- well, all I have to do is look out in this audience. When we meet tough times, we don't just make do and muddle through. We go out long

and we come back strong. Thank you. God bless you and God bless
the United States of America.

- MASTER -

(Grossman)
Draft Two
September 22, 1992
PENN

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: PENN STATE UNIVERSITY
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1992
1:30 P.M.

Thank you Coach Paterno -- it's one thing to have to play after one of your pep talks, but it's another to have to give a speech. Last time I gave a speech on a college campus, one student came up to me afterwards and said: "That was the best impersonation of Dana Carvey I've ever seen." I never knew I had such talent. \\

I didn't come here today to give a big rally or a grand speech. I came here to talk a bit about where we've been, where we are, and what I want to do -- to get us where we've got to be. You know, as I was walking ^{THROUGH THE OLD MAIN THIS (JOB)} ~~up here~~ I saw a plaque on the ~~side~~ of ^{THE WALL} ~~this building~~. Not too shiny, but then again, it didn't need to be. It was dedicated to 374 Americans who died in World War II. All from Penn State. \\

^{fought in that war} I ~~was there~~. And I ^{lived} Porter ~~survived~~ to see a lot of history between then and now. The heated battles and a long Cold War -- won by people with the right stuff and Porter ~~people with~~ the right ideas. We stood fast -- so freedom could stand tall. \\

The challenges we face today are different, and so are the demands. The challenge of the 1990s is to win the economic competition -- to win the peace.

Yesterday I travelled to six states -- circling Arkansas to ~~shed some light on - and expose the truth about - Governor Clinton's record.~~ Porter ~~let people know what really goes on under that Little Rock.~~ For months now my opponent has been taking me on and talking this

country down. So I figured it was time to introduce Candidate Clinton to Governor Clinton -- because the rhetoric and the reality are like night and day. And you know what we discovered? Whether it's Candidate Clinton or Governor Clinton -- it doesn't really matter: Bill Clinton is wrong for America.

Some are going to say that talking about the Governor's policy record is like going after an unarmed man. I say: he should have armed himself. He should've packed more than promises. My opponent and I may ^{strive} ~~argue~~ ^{Foster} towards some of the same ends. But we start from radically different premises -- premises built on different experience and different philosophies. And I will point out the difference in our visions, because I believe it explains the differences in our views.

Two weeks ago in Detroit, I presented my views in my Agenda for American Renewal. I didn't just hammer away at what's wrong with America -- I gave fair due to what's ^{our strengths as a nation.} ~~right~~. And I offered a comprehensive, integrated approach to win the new global economic competition -- to create the world's first \$10 trillion economy by the ^{first years} ~~dawn~~ of the next century. My opponent ^{says} ~~will~~ say we ^{won't} ~~can't~~ cut it -- I say: anyway you cut it we can. \

My agenda contains 13 specific actions that I will pursue in the first year of my second term -- and I will fight for them ^{[NIT nec]ometrics per JAG} ~~harder~~ ^{like} than the Nittany Lions -- fourth quarter, fourth down -- goal to go. I am asking for nothing more -- nothing less -- than a mandate to move this country forward: \

I want a debate over issues -- and an argument over ideas. I will stand on my record -- and I won't let the Governor run away from his. ^{Porter} I believe the American people have a right to know what they're buying into. Because remember, if you buy what Candidate Clinton is selling -- there's no refund. There's no rebate. Actually, it's more like a permanent payment plan. \\
^{Porter}

On one issue -- the fundamental issue -- my opponent and I have just agreed to disagree. It's a question of how our economy grows -- how our country works.

It's kind of like ^{that game} Jeopardy -- it all comes down to how you phrase the question. My opponent asks what makes the economy grow. His answer: government planners and programs and projects.

I ask who makes the American economy grow. And my answer is you: individual working men and women, building and buying ^{competing} in the ~~freedom~~ of a market. \\
^{Porter}

My opponent believes that government will quote -- "invest" -- unquote, your money ^{more productively} ~~smarter~~ than you can. I don't see it that way. I say the smart money is on smart people like you.

It's the ~~craziest thing~~ -- at the very moment when Russia, ^{and} ~~Mexico, Eastern Europe,~~ ^{Scowcroft} the whole world, is turning our way, why would we turn back ^{old} ~~their~~ way? All of a sudden, all around the world, people are turning to free markets, to free trade, to freedom. Now that the world is finally catching on, what are we supposed to say -- "Just kidding?" \\
^{NOT MEXICO! (Scowcroft)}
^{and Scowcroft}
^{old Scowcroft}

NOT MEXICO!
(Scowcroft)
flies in the face of what we see happening around the world.

delete Porter

Porter

The world is sending us a message we should already know: Government planning, social engineering, and centralized economies do not work. We know what works: freedom works. \\
I'm not just talking about political freedom. I'm talking about the freedom ^{from excessive government meddling in your lives. I'm talking about freedom} to save, to invest, to work and keep more of what you earn. \\
Foster

And I think we've just discovered another difference between the candidates: Taxes. My opponent ^{has promised Porter} wants to raise taxes. I want to cut them. \\
Porter

During the ^{1980's} ~~80's~~ we ^{lowered tax rates and created Foster} ~~lightened the tax load on labor -- creating~~ 21 million jobs. I know there are some economics majors out there -- but you don't have to crunch numbers to figure it out: The less you tax of something -- the more you get of it. If we cut taxes on investment we'll get more investment. And more investment means more jobs. \\
^{The less we tax workers; the more people who will be able to work. Foster}

← He talks of taxing the rich. But in Arkansas he taxes everyone. You know, Ross
My opponent disagrees. ⁱⁿ In Arkansas he's taxing everything he can get his hands on: groceries, beer, gas, mobile homes, cable TV, used cars, airplanes, coal. ((Should I keep going?)) He was even taxing foodstamps ^{until Scowcroft} till the federal government forced him to stop. I guess that's why yesterday my subconscious spoke up -- by accident I ^{Porter} actually called him Governor Taxes. \\
Kaufman

We disagree on taxes, and guess what -- we disagree on government spending. My opponent wants to raise government spending. ^{Scowcroft} I want to cut it.

The federal government today spends almost a quarter out of every dollar of our national income. Governor Clinton apparently

^{Porter}
 thinks that's ^{too little} cheap. On top of the \$1.5 trillion we already
 spend today -- he's proposed \$220^{BILLION (Counsel)} in brand spanking new spending
 -- Newsweek thinks his true total could be three times as high.
 Frankly, I can't think of why anyone would want the government to
 grow ^{any} ^{Porter} one inch bigger. Maybe my opponent thinks that there'll
 just be more of it to love.

I believe that government is too big and spends too much. \\
 I want to slow the growth of mandatory spending, excluding social
 security. I want to freeze discretionary spending. And I've
 already proposed we get rid of 246 programs and more than 4,000
 projects that are luxuries we ^{don't need.} ^{Porter} can't afford. To back it up I want
 a balanced budget amendment and a line-item veto. And I want to
 give ^{Porter} the taxpayer their own private veto pen: ^a ^{Porter} the right to take
 up to 10 percent of your tax payment and send it straight to cut
 spending ^{and Porter} \ cut the deficit \ and cut our country free. \\
^{Porter}

The fourth difference: opening foreign markets to American
 goods. Exports support over 400,000 jobs, right here in
 Pennsylvania. I want lower priced goods for American consumers -
 - and new customers ^{abroad} ^{Porter} for American goods. I believe in free trade,
 because I believe that when trade is free and fair, America ^{will} ^{Porter} beats
the competition fair and square -- anywhere. \

There was a time when my opponent said he favored open
 trade. Other times, usually after meetings with big union
 leaders, he wasn't so sure. What will it be? Well, when asked
 for his opinion on the free trade agreement with Mexico and
 Canada he said, quote -- "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll

say so" -- end quote. Well I've got news for the Governor: there's no call waiting in the Oval Office. \\
The fifth difference dividing our views is on government red

tape, mandates and monopolies. I want to get the government off the backs of small business -- the entrepreneur -- the little guy with a big dream. And my opponent looks at regulation the same way he looks at taxes and spending -- another one of those fun buttons and levers he can use to make our country go. \\
Go w/ # we used in Enid (Ag) 6.3 Darman

Last year Americans spent 5.3 billion hours just trying to keep up with federal regulations. That's like watching every pro football game on television back-to-back for the next twelve million ~~268,000~~ years. ((And that's not even including the playoffs.)) \\
- TWO HUNDRED + SIXTY EIGHT THOUSAND THOUSAND (JAG)
refer to Penn State Parker

Our differences show up in our approaches to health care, to child care, to education. My opponent wants to bring government closer to the people -- real close -- too close for comfort. I want to give people the means to take control of their own lives -- make the decisions that matter -- who will care for your kids -- how you choose your doctor -- whether you can send your child to the school of your choice.
JAG like Scowcroft

Finally, when it comes to legal reform -- the Governor and I parted company before we even met. I believe that our legal system is out of control and headed for a crash. It's running roughshod over small businesses -- scaring the wits out of anyone who wants to take a risk, try out something new. Today Americans spend up to \$200 billion in direct costs to lawyers -- that's got

to stop. Americans need to start suing each other less and caring for each other more. \\\

One trial lawyer from Arkansas solicited funds for my opponent by writing: "I can never remember an occasion when he failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers were concerned." → ~~How touching.~~ We don't need someone to do the right thing for the special interests -- we need a President who will do things right for all Americans.

And this Fall I will continue to talk about ~~what~~ ^{what's} right -- even if it's not in fashion. The Governor wishes I wouldn't talk about foreign policy. It makes him uncomfortable -- and I won't ask him why. But I will ask him what the heck he's talking about when he describes a President's, -- quote -- "powerless moments when countries are invaded, friends are threatened, Americans are held hostage, and our nation's interests are on the line" -- end quote. Governor, if America is powerless when our nation's interests are on the line -- who else do you suppose is going to take care of us? My America isn't powerless. My America takes care of its interests. \\\

Someone once said that "You learn more character on the two-yard line than anywhere else in life." I've been there. America has been there. But there's one thing about America -- we never back down, we never give up -- we never retreat, we always compete. I have faith in this country because -- well, all I have to do is look out in this audience. When we meet tough times, we don't just make do and muddle through. We go out long

Now he's
'Governor
'special
'interest!'
Scowcroft

what's Porter

and we come back strong. Thank you. God bless you and God bless
the United States of America.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

92 SEP 23 11:00

September 23, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR DAN MCGROARTY
SPECIAL ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT
FOR COMMUNICATION

FROM: *McGroarty* GREGORY S. WALDEN
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Presidential Remarks: Penn State University,
State College, PA

At your request, the Counsel's office has reviewed the above-referenced matter. We have no legal objection. See comments/edits on pages 5, 6, and 7.

cc: Phillip D. Brady

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 09/22/92 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: ASAP

SUBJECT: PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: PENN STATE UNIVERSITY, STATE COLLEGE, PA
09/23

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCBRIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MOORE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MULLINS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PETERSMEYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BATES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BRADY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PROVOST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BROMLEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CALIO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMITH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TUTWILER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ZOELICK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	KAUFMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MCGROARTY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HORNER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	GROOMES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
			BOSKIN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

Please provide any comments directly to Dan MCGroarty ASAP, with a copy to this office. Thanks.

RESPONSE: *No legal objection. See comments/edits on pp 5, 6, 7. GR Walker*

PHILLIP D. BRADY
Assistant to the President
and Staff Secretary
Ext. 2702

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

September 22, 1992 2 SEP 22 P10:08

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: STEVE PROVOST
FROM: JENNIFER GROSSMAN JK
SUBJECT: REMARKS AT PENN STATE

Tommorrow, at 1:30 p.m., you will deliver remarks (14 mins./teleprompted) to 10,000 students, faculty, and family on the Old Main Lawn of Penn State University and College. Coach Joe Paterno introduces you.

Your remarks follow the format of a revised stump speech: touching upon six definitive differences between your agenda and your opponent's. These are the themes you explored in more depth at the Enid, Oklahoma event.

NOTE: There has been some controversy on campus over the college's first annual "Pro-Marijuana Week." You might remind students that friends don't let friends vote high.

(Grossman)
Draft Two
September 22, 1992
PENN

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: PENN STATE UNIVERSITY
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1992
1:30 P.M.

Thank you Coach Paterno -- it's one thing to have to play after one of your pep talks, but it's another to have to give a speech. Last time I gave a speech on a college campus, one student came up to me afterwards and said: "That was the best impersonation of Dana Carvey I've ever seen." I never knew I had such talent. \\

I didn't come here today to give a big rally or a grand speech. I came here to talk a bit about where we've been, where we are, and what I want to do -- to get us where we've got to be. You know, as I was walking up here I saw a plaque on the side of this building. Not too shiny, but then again, it didn't need to be. It was dedicated to 374 Americans who died in World War II. All from Penn State. \\

I was there. And I survived to see a lot of history between then and now. The heated battles and a long Cold War -- won by people with the right stuff and people with the right ideas. We stood fast -- so freedom could stand tall. \\

The challenges we face today are different, and so are the demands. The challenge of the 1990s is to win the economic competition -- to win the peace.

Yesterday I travelled to six states -- circling Arkansas to let people know what really goes on under that Little Rock. For months now my opponent has been taking me on and talking this

country down. So I figured it was time to introduce Candidate Clinton to Governor Clinton -- because the rhetoric and the reality are like night and day. And you know what we discovered? Whether it's Candidate Clinton or Governor Clinton -- it doesn't really matter: Bill Clinton is wrong for America.

Some are going to say that talking about the Governor's policy record is like going after an unarmed man. I say: he should have armed himself. He should've packed more than promises. My opponent and I may argue towards some of the same ends. But we start from radically different premises -- premises built on different experience and different philosophies. And I will point out the difference in our visions, because I believe it explains the differences in our views.

Two weeks ago in Detroit, I presented my views in my Agenda for American Renewal. I didn't just hammer away at what's wrong with America -- I gave fair due to what's right. And I offered a comprehensive, integrated approach to win the new global economic competition -- ~~to create the world's first \$10 trillion economy by the dawn of the next century.~~ My opponent will say we can't cut it -- I say: anyway you cut it we can. \ \

My agenda contains 13 specific actions that I will pursue in the first year of my second term -- and I will fight for them harder than the Nittany Lions -- fourth quarter, fourth down -- goal to go. I am asking for nothing more -- nothing less -- than a mandate to move this country forward. \ \

I want a debate over issues -- and an argument over ideas. I will stand on my record -- and I won't let the Governor run away from his. I believe the American people have a right to know what they're buying into. Because remember, if you buy what Candidate Clinton is selling -- there's no refund. There's no rebate. Actually, it's more like a permanent payment plan. \\

On one issue -- the fundamental issue -- my opponent and I have just agreed to disagree. It's a question of how our economy grows -- how our country works.

It's kind of like Jeopardy -- it all comes down to how you phrase the question. My opponent asks what makes the economy grow. His answer: government planners and programs and projects.

I ask who makes the American economy grow. And my answer is you: individual working men and women, building and buying in the freedom of a market. \\

My opponent believes that government will quote -- "invest" -- unquote, your money smarter than you can. I don't see it that way. I say the smart money is on smart people like you.

It's the craziest thing -- at the very moment when Russia, Mexico, Eastern Europe, the whole world, is turning our way, why would we turn back their way? All of a sudden, all around the world, people are turning to free markets, to free trade, to freedom. Now that the world is finally catching on, what are we supposed to say -- "Just kidding?" \\

The world is sending us a message we should already know: Government planning, social engineering, and centralized economies do not work. We know what works: freedom works. \\
\\

I'm not just talking about political freedom. I'm talking about the freedom to save, to invest, to work and keep more of what you earn. \\
\\

And I think we've just discovered another difference between the candidates: Taxes. My opponent wants to raise taxes. I want to cut them. \\
\\

During the 80's we lightened the tax load on labor -- creating 21 million jobs. I know there are some economics majors out there -- but you don't have to crunch numbers to figure it out: The less you tax of something -- the more you get of it. If we cut taxes on investment we'll get more investment. And more investment means more jobs. \\
\\

My opponent disagrees. In Arkansas he's taxing everything he can get his hands on: groceries, beer, gas, mobile homes, cable TV, used cars, airplanes, coal. ((Should I keep going?)) He was even taxing foodstamps till the federal government forced him to stop. I guess that's why yesterday my subconscious spoke up -- by accident I actually called him Governor Taxes. \\
\\

We disagree on taxes, and guess what -- we disagree on government spending. My opponent wants to raise government spending, I want to cut it.

The federal government today spends almost a quarter out of every dollar of our national income. Governor Clinton apparently

thinks that's cheap. On top of the \$1.5 trillion we already spend today -- he's proposed \$220 ^{billions} in brand spanking new spending -- Newsweek thinks his true total could be three times as high. Frankly, I can't think of why anyone would want the government to grow one inch bigger. Maybe my opponent thinks that there'll just be more of it to love. ✓

I believe that government is too big and spends too much. \\ I want to slow the growth of mandatory spending, excluding social security. I want to freeze discretionary spending. And I've already proposed we get rid of 246 programs and more than 4,000 projects that are luxuries we can't afford. To back it up I want a balanced budget amendment and a line-item veto. And I want to give the taxpayer their own private veto pen: the right to take up to 10 percent of your tax payment and send it straight to cut spending \ cut the deficit \ and cut our country free. \\

The fourth difference: opening foreign markets to American goods. Exports support over 400,000 jobs, right here in Pennsylvania. I want lower priced goods for American consumers - - and new customers for American goods. I believe in free trade, because I believe that when trade is free and fair, America beats the competition fair and square -- anywhere. \

There was a time when my opponent said he favored open trade. Other times, usually after meetings with big union leaders, he wasn't so sure. What will it be? Well, when asked for his opinion on the free trade agreement with Mexico and Canada he said, quote -- "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll

say so" -- end quote. Well I've got news for the Governor: there's no call waiting in the Oval Office. \\

The fifth difference dividing our views is on government red tape, mandates and monopolies. I want to get the government off the backs of small business -- the entrepreneur -- the little guy with a big dream. And my opponent looks at regulation the same way he looks at taxes and spending -- another one of those fun buttons and levers he can use to make our country go. \\

Last year Americans spent 5.3 billion hours just trying to keep up with federal regulations. That's like watching every pro football game on television back-to-back for the next twelve million ^{hours} -- 268,000 years. ((And that's not even including the playoffs.)) \\

Our differences show up in our approaches to health care, to child care, to education. My opponent wants to bring government closer to the people -- real closer -- too close for comfort. I want to give people the means to take control of their own lives -- make the decisions that matter -- who will care for your kids -- how you choose your doctor -- whether you can send your child to the school of your choice.

Finally, when it comes to legal reform -- the Governor and I parted company before we even met. I believe that our legal system is out of control and headed for a crash. It's running roughshod over small businesses -- scaring the wits out of anyone who wants to take a risk, try out something new. Today Americans spend up to \$200 billion in direct costs to lawyers -- that's got

to stop. Americans need to start suing each other less and caring for each other more. \\
 \

One trial lawyer from Arkansas solicited funds for my opponent by writing: "I can never remember an occasion when he failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers were concerned." How touching. We don't need someone to do the right thing for the special interests -- we need a President who will do things right for all Americans.

And this Fall I will continue to talk about what right -- even if it's not in fashion. The Governor wishes I wouldn't talk about foreign policy. It makes him uncomfortable -- and I won't ask him why. But I will ask him what the heck he's talking about when he describes a President's, -- quote -- "powerless moments when countries are invaded, friends are threatened, Americans are held hostage, and our nation's interests are on the line" -- end quote. Governor, if America is powerless when our nation's interests are on the line -- who else do you suppose is going to take care of us? ^{The I know} My America isn't powerless. ^{The I know} My America takes care of its interests. \\
 \

[or
 "our
 America"]

Someone once said that "You learn more character on the two-yard line than anywhere else in life." I've been there. America has been there. But there's one thing about America -- we never back down, we never give up -- we never retreat, we always compete. I have faith in this country because -- well, all I have to do is look out in this audience. When we meet tough times, we don't just make do and muddle through. We go out long

and we come back strong. Thank you. God bless you and God bless the United States of America.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

92 SEP 23
September 21, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR DAN MCGROARTY

FROM: ROGER B. PORTER *JH FOR*

SUBJECT: Presidential Remarks: Penn State University

We have reviewed the attached presidential remarks and have noted a few suggested changes on the draft.

If you have any questions or we can be of further assistance, please let us know.

cc: Phillip D. Brady

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 09/22/92

ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: ASAP

SUBJECT: PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: PENN STATE UNIVERSITY, STATE COLLEGE, PA
09/23

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCBRIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MOORE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MULLINS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PETERSMEYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BATES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BRADY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PROVOST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BROMLEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CALIO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMITH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TUTWILER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ZOELICK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	KAUFMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MCGROARTY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HORNER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	GROOMES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
			BOSKIN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

Please provide any comments directly to Dan MCGroarty ASAP, with a copy to this office. Thanks.

RESPONSE:

PHILLIP D. BRADY
Assistant to the President
and Staff Secretary
Ext. 2702

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

September 22, 1992

SEP 22 PM: 08

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: STEVE PROVOST
FROM: JENNIFER GROSSMAN *JG*
SUBJECT: REMARKS AT PENN STATE

Tommorrow, at 1:30 p.m., you will deliver remarks (14 mins./teleprompted) to 10,000 students, faculty, and family on the Old Main Lawn of Penn State University and College. Coach Joe Paterno introduces you.

Your remarks follow the format of a revised stump speech: touching upon six definitive differences between your agenda and your opponent's. These are the themes you explored in more depth at the Enid, Oklahoma event.

NOTE: There has been some controversy on campus over the college's first annual "Pro-Marijuana Week." You might remind students that friends don't let friends vote high.

(Grossman)
Draft Two
September 22, 1992
PENN

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: PENN STATE UNIVERSITY
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1992
1:30 P.M.

Thank you Coach Paterno -- it's one thing to have to play after one of your pep talks, but it's another to have to give a speech. Last time I gave a speech on a college campus, one student came up to me afterwards and said: "That was the best impersonation of Dana Carvey I've ever seen." I never knew I had such talent. \\
/

I didn't come here today to give a big rally or a grand speech. I came here to talk a bit about where we've been, where we are, and what I want to do -- to get us where we've got to be. You know, as I was walking up here I saw a plaque on the side of this building. Not too shiny, but then again, it didn't need to be. It was dedicated to 374 Americans who died in World War II. All from Penn State. \\
/

Fought in that war *LIVED*
I ~~was there~~. And I ~~survived~~ to see a lot of history between then and now. The heated battles and a long Cold War -- won by people with the right stuff and ~~people with~~ the right ideas. We stood fast -- so freedom could stand tall. \\
/

The challenges we face today are different, and so are the demands. The challenge of the 1990s is to win the economic competition -- to win the peace.

-- AND EXPOSE THE TRUTH ABOUT --
SHED SOME LIGHT ON GOVERNOR CLINTON'S RECORDS.
Yesterday I travelled to six states -- circling Arkansas to ~~let people know what really goes on under that Little Rock~~. For months now my opponent has been taking me on and talking this

country down. So I figured it was time to introduce Candidate Clinton to Governor Clinton -- because the rhetoric and the reality are like night and day. And you know what we discovered? Whether it's Candidate Clinton or Governor Clinton -- it doesn't really matter: Bill Clinton is wrong for America.

delete
~~Some are going to say that talking about the Governor's policy record is like going after an unarmed man. I say: he should have armed himself. He should've packed more than promises.~~ My opponent and I may argue towards some of the same ends. But we start from radically different premises -- premises built on different experience and different philosophies. And I will point out the difference in our visions, because I believe it explains the differences in our views. *OUR STRENGTHS AS A NATION.*

Two weeks ago in Detroit, I presented my views in my Agenda for American Renewal. I didn't just hammer away at what's wrong with America -- I gave fair due to ~~what's right~~. And I offered a comprehensive, integrated approach to win the new global economic competition -- to create the world's first \$10 trillion economy by the ^{FIRST YEARS} ~~year~~ of the next century. My opponent ~~will~~ ^S say we ~~can't~~ ^{WON'T} cut it -- I say: anyway you cut it we can. \

My agenda contains 13 specific actions that I will pursue in the first year of my second term -- and I will fight for them harder than the Nittany Lions -- fourth quarter, fourth down -- goal to go. I am asking for nothing more -- nothing less -- than a mandate to move this country forward. \ \

I want a debate over issues -- and an argument over ideas. I will stand on my record -- and I won't let the Governor run away from his. ~~I believe~~ I believe the American people have a right to know what they're buying into. Because remember, if you buy what Candidate Clinton is selling -- there's no refund. There's no rebate. Actually, it's more like a permanent payment plan. \ \

On one issue -- the fundamental issue -- my opponent and I have ~~just~~ agreed to disagree. It's a question of how our economy grows -- how our country works.

~~It's kind of like Jeopardy -- it all comes down to how you phrase the question.~~ DATE My opponent asks what makes the economy grow. His answer: government planners and programs and projects.

I ask who makes the American economy grow. And my answer is you: individual working men and women, building and buying, ⁱⁿ ~~the~~ freedom of a market. \ \ MORE PRODUCTIVELY

My opponent believes that government will quote -- "invest" -- unquote, your money ~~smarter~~ than you can. I don't see it that way. I say the smart money is on smart people like you.

~~It's the craziest thing~~ at the very moment when Russia, Mexico, Eastern Europe, the whole world, ^{AND AN NATIONS ARE} is turning our way, why would we turn back their way? All of a sudden, all around the world, people are turning to free markets, to free trade, to freedom. ~~Now that the world is finally catching on, what are we supposed to say -- "Just kidding?"~~ \ \

The world is sending us a message we should already know: Government planning, social engineering, and centralized economies do not work. We know what works: freedom works. \\
 11

I'm not just talking about political freedom. I'm talking about the freedom to save, to invest, to work and keep more of what you earn. \\
 HAS PROMISED

And I think we've just discovered another difference between the candidates: Taxes. My opponent ~~wants~~ to raise taxes. I want to cut them. \\
 19

During the 80's we lightened the tax load on labor -- creating 21 million jobs. I know there are some economics majors out there -- but you don't have to crunch numbers to figure it out: The less you tax of something -- the more you get of it. If we cut taxes on investment we'll get more investment. And more investment means more jobs. \\
 19

My opponent disagrees. In Arkansas he's taxing everything he can get his hands on: groceries, beer, gas, mobile homes, cable TV, used cars, airplanes, coal. ((Should I keep going?)) He was even taxing foodstamps till the federal government forced him to stop. I guess that's why yesterday my subconscious spoke up -- by accident I ~~actually~~ called him Governor Taxes. \\
 19

We disagree on taxes, and guess what -- we disagree on government spending. My opponent wants to raise government spending, I want to cut it.

The federal government today spends almost a quarter out of every dollar of our national income. Governor Clinton apparently

TOO LITTLE

thinks that's ~~cheap~~. On top of the \$1.5 trillion we already spend today -- he's proposed \$220^{BILLION} in brand spanking new spending -- Newsweek thinks his true total could be three times as high. Frankly, I can't think of why anyone would want the government to grow ~~one inch~~^{ANY} bigger. Maybe my opponent thinks that there'll just be more of it to love.

I believe that government is too big and spends too much. \\ I want to slow the growth of mandatory spending, excluding social security. I want to freeze discretionary spending. And I've already proposed we get rid of 246 programs and more than 4,000 projects that are luxuries we ~~can't afford~~^{DONT NEED}. To back it up I want a balanced budget amendment and a line-item veto. And I want to give ~~the~~^S taxpayer^S their own private veto pen: ~~the~~^A right to take up to 10 percent of your tax payment and send it straight to cut spending ^{AND} cut the deficit, ~~and cut our country free~~ \\

The fourth difference: opening foreign markets to American goods. Exports support over 400,000 jobs, right here in Pennsylvania. I want lower priced goods for American consumers - ^{ABROAD} - and new customers^S for American goods. I believe in free trade, because I believe that when trade is free and fair, America^{will} beats the competition fair and square -- anywhere. \\

There was a time when my opponent said he favored open trade. Other times, usually after meetings with big union leaders, he wasn't so sure. What will it be? Well, when asked for his opinion on the free trade agreement with Mexico and Canada he said, quote -- "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll

say so" -- end quote. Well I've got news for the Governor: there's no call waiting in the Oval Office. \\

The fifth difference dividing our views is on government red tape, mandates and monopolies. I want to get the government off the backs of small business -- the entrepreneur -- the little guy with a big dream. And my opponent looks at regulation the same way he looks at taxes and spending -- another one of those fun buttons and levers he can use to make our country go. \\

Last year Americans spent 5.3 billion hours just trying to keep up with federal regulations. That's like watching every football game on television back-to-back for the next twelve million -- 268,000 years. ((And that's not even including the playoffs.)) \\

REFER TO PENN STATE pro

Our differences show up in our approaches to health care, to child care, to education. My opponent wants to bring government closer to the people -- real closer -- too close for comfort. I want to give people the means to take control of their own lives -- make the decisions that matter -- who will care for your kids -- how you choose your doctor -- whether you can send your child to the school of your choice.

Finally, when it comes to legal reform -- the Governor and I parted company before we even met. I believe that our legal system is out of control and headed for a crash. It's running roughshod over small businesses -- scaring the wits out of anyone who wants to take a risk, try out something new. Today Americans spend up to \$200 billion in direct costs to lawyers -- that's got

to stop. Americans need to start suing each other less and caring for each other more. \\
11

One trial lawyer from Arkansas solicited funds for my opponent by writing: "I can never remember an occasion when he failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers were concerned." How touching. We don't need someone to do the right thing for the special interests -- we need a President who will do things right for all Americans.

And this ~~fall~~ I will continue to talk about ^{is} what~~y~~ right -- even if it's not in fashion. The Governor wishes I wouldn't talk about foreign policy. It makes him uncomfortable -- and I won't ask him why. But I will ask him what the heck he's talking about when he describes a President's, -- quote -- "powerless moments when countries are invaded, friends are threatened, Americans are held hostage, and our nation's interests are on the line" -- end quote. Governor, if America is powerless when our nation's interests are on the line -- who else do you suppose is going to take care of us? My America isn't powerless. My America takes care of its interests. \\
15

Someone once said that "You learn more character on the two-yard line than anywhere else in life." I've been there. America has been there. But there's one thing about America -- we never back down, we never give up -- we never retreat, we always compete. I have faith in this country because -- well, all I have to do is look out in this audience. When we meet tough times, we don't just make do and muddle through. We go out long

and we come back strong. Thank you. God bless you and God bless
the United States of America.

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM



92 SEP 23 11:26

DATE: 09/22/92

ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: ASAP

SUBJECT: PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: PENN STATE UNIVERSITY, STATE COLLEGE, PA 09/23

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCBRIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MOORE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MULLINS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PETERSMEYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BATES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BRADY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PROVOST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BROMLEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CALIO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMITH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TUTWILER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ZOELICK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	KAUFMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MCGROARTY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HORNER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	GROOMES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
			BOSKIN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

Please provide any comments directly to Dan MCGroarty ASAP, with a copy to this office. Thanks.

RESPONSE: TO: DAN MCGROARTY

September 23, 1992

The NSC staff concurs with the draft presidential remarks as amended.

cc: Phillip D. Brady

Brent Scowcroft

PHILLIP D. BRADY Assistant to the President and Staff Secretary Ext. 2702

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

September 22, 1992 2 SEP 22 P10:08

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: STEVE PROVOST
FROM: JENNIFER GROSSMAN *JG*
SUBJECT: REMARKS AT PENN STATE

Tommorrow, at 1:30 p.m., you will deliver remarks (14 mins./teleprompted) to 10,000 students, faculty, and family on the Old Main Lawn of Penn State University and College. Coach Joe Paterno introduces you.

Your remarks follow the format of a revised stump speech: touching upon six definitive differences between your agenda and your opponent's. These are the themes you explored in more depth at the Enid, Oklahoma event.

NOTE: There has been some controversy on campus over the college's first annual "Pro-Marijuana Week." You might remind students that friends don't let friends vote high.

(Grossman)
Draft Two
September 22, 1992
PENN

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: PENN STATE UNIVERSITY
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1992
1:30 P.M.

Thank you Coach Paterno -- it's one thing to have to play after one of your pep talks, but it's another to have to give a speech. Last time I gave a speech on a college campus, one student came up to me afterwards and said: "That was the best impersonation of Dana Carvey I've ever seen." I never knew I had such talent. \\

I didn't come here today to give a big rally or a grand speech. I came here to talk a bit about where we've been, where we are, and what I want to do -- to get us where we've got to be. You know, as I was walking up here I saw a plaque on the side of this building. Not too shiny, but then again, it didn't need to be. It was dedicated to 374 Americans who died in World War II. All from Penn State. \\

I was there. And I survived to see a lot of history between then and now. The heated battles and a long Cold War -- won by people with the right stuff and people with the right ideas. We stood fast -- so freedom could stand tall. \\

The challenges we face today are different, and so are the demands. The challenge of the 1990s is to win the economic competition -- to win the peace.

Yesterday I travelled to six states -- circling Arkansas to let people know what really goes on under that Little Rock. For months now my opponent has been taking me on and talking this

country down. So I figured it was time to introduce Candidate Clinton to Governor Clinton -- because the rhetoric and the reality are like night and day. And you know what we discovered? Whether it's Candidate Clinton or Governor Clinton -- it doesn't really matter: Bill Clinton is wrong for America.

Some are going to say that talking about the Governor's policy record is like going after an unarmed man. I say: he should have armed himself. He should've packed more than promises. My opponent and I may argue towards some of the same ends. But we start from radically different premises -- premises built on different experience and different philosophies. And I will point out the difference in our visions, because I believe it explains the differences in our views.

Two weeks ago in Detroit, I presented my views in my Agenda for American Renewal. I didn't just hammer away at what's wrong with America -- I gave fair due to what's right. And I offered a comprehensive, integrated approach to win the new global economic competition -- to create the world's first \$10 trillion economy by the dawn of the next century. My opponent will say we can't cut it -- I say: anyway you cut it we can. \ \

My agenda contains 13 specific actions that I will pursue in the first year of my second term -- and I will fight for them harder than the Nittany Lions -- fourth quarter, fourth down -- goal to go. I am asking for nothing more -- nothing less -- than a mandate to move this country forward. \ \

I want a debate over issues -- and an argument over ideas. I will stand on my record -- and I won't let the Governor run away from his. I believe the American people have a right to know what they're buying into. Because remember, if you buy what Candidate Clinton is selling -- there's no refund. There's no rebate. Actually, it's more like a permanent payment plan. \\
 \

On one issue -- the fundamental issue -- my opponent and I have just agreed to disagree. It's a question of how our economy grows -- how our country works.

✓ It's kind of like ^{that game} Jeopardy -- it all comes down to how you phrase the question. My opponent asks what makes the economy grow. His answer: government planners and programs and projects.

I ask who makes the American economy grow. And my answer is you: individual working men and women, building and buying in the freedom of a market. \\
 \

NSC
 comments: My opponent believes that government will quote -- "invest" -- unquote, your money smarter than you can. I don't see it that way. I say the smart money is on smart people like you.

delete Mexico
 ✓ It's the craziest thing -- at the very moment when Russia, ^{and} ~~Mexico~~, Eastern Europe, ^{add} the whole world, is turning our way, why would we turn back their way? All of a sudden, all around the world, people are turning to free markets, to free trade, to freedom. Now that the world is finally catching on, what are we supposed to say -- "Just kidding?" \\
 \

The world is sending us a message we should already know: Government planning, social engineering, and centralized economies do not work. We know what works: freedom works. \\
 \

I'm not just talking about political freedom. I'm talking about the freedom to save, to invest, to work and keep more of what you earn. \\
 \

And I think we've just discovered another difference between the candidates: Taxes. My opponent wants to raise taxes. I want to cut them. \\
 \

During the 80's we lightened the tax load on labor -- creating 21 million jobs. I know there are some economics majors out there -- but you don't have to crunch numbers to figure it out: The less you tax of something -- the more you get of it. If we cut taxes on investment we'll get more investment. And more investment means more jobs. \\
 \

My opponent disagrees. In Arkansas he's taxing everything he can get his hands on: groceries, beer, gas, mobile homes, cable TV, used cars, airplanes, coal. ((Should I keep going?)) He was even taxing foodstamps ^{until} ~~till~~ the federal government forced him to stop. I guess that's why yesterday my subconscious spoke up -- by accident I actually called him Governor Taxes. \\
 \

We disagree on taxes, and guess what -- we disagree on government spending. My opponent wants to raise government spending; I want to cut it.

The federal government today spends almost a quarter out of every dollar of our national income. Governor Clinton apparently

thinks that's cheap. On top of the \$1.5 trillion we already spend today -- he's proposed \$220 ^{how much?} in brand spanking new spending -- Newsweek thinks his true total could be three times as high. Frankly, I can't think of why anyone would want the government to grow one inch bigger. Maybe my opponent thinks that there'll just be more of it to love.

I believe that government is too big and spends too much. \\
 I want to slow the growth of mandatory spending, excluding social security. I want to freeze discretionary spending. And I've already proposed we get rid of 246 programs and more than 4,000 projects that are luxuries we can't afford. To back it up I want a balanced budget amendment and a line-item veto. And I want to give the taxpayer their own private veto pen: the right to take up to 10 percent of your tax payment and send it straight to cut spending \ cut the deficit \ and cut our country free. \\
 \

The fourth difference: opening foreign markets to American goods. Exports support over 400,000 jobs, right here in Pennsylvania. I want lower priced goods for American consumers - - and new customers for American goods. I believe in free trade, because I believe that when trade is free and fair, America beats the competition fair and square -- anywhere. \\
 \

There was a time when my opponent said he favored open trade. Other times, usually after meetings with big union leaders, he wasn't so sure. What will it be? Well, when asked for his opinion on the free trade agreement with Mexico and Canada he said, quote -- "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll

say so" -- end quote. Well I've got news for the Governor:
there's no call waiting in the Oval Office. \\

The fifth difference dividing our views is on government red tape, mandates and monopolies. I want to get the government off the backs of small business -- the entrepreneur -- the little guy with a big dream. And my opponent looks at regulation the same way he looks at taxes and spending -- another one of those fun buttons and levers he can use to make our country go. \\

Last year Americans spent 5.3 billion hours just trying to keep up with federal regulations. That's like watching every pro football game on television back-to-back for the next twelve million -- 268,000 years. ((And that's not even including the playoffs.)) \\

Our differences show up in our approaches to health care, to child care, to education. My opponent wants to bring government closer to the people -- real closer -- too close for comfort. I want to give people the means to take control of their own lives -- make the decisions that matter -- like: who will care for your kids -- how you choose your doctor -- whether you can send your child to the school of your choice.

Finally, when it comes to legal reform -- the Governor and I parted company before we even met. I believe that our legal system is out of control and headed for a crash. It's running roughshod over small businesses -- scaring the wits out of anyone who wants to take a risk, try out something new. Today Americans spend up to \$200 billion in direct costs to lawyers -- that's got

to stop. Americans need to start suing each other less and caring for each other more. \\
 \

One trial lawyer from Arkansas solicited funds for my opponent by writing: "I can never remember an occasion when he failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers were concerned." ~~How touching.~~ We don't need someone to do the right thing for the special interests -- we need a President who will do things right for all Americans.

And this Fall I will continue to talk about what right -- even if it's not in fashion. The Governor wishes I wouldn't talk about foreign policy. It makes him uncomfortable -- and I won't ask him why. But I will ask him what the heck he's talking about when he describes a President's, -- quote -- "powerless moments when countries are invaded, friends are threatened, Americans are held hostage, and our nation's interests are on the line" -- end quote. Governor, if America is powerless when our nation's interests are on the line -- who else do you suppose is going to take care of us? My America isn't powerless. My America takes care of its interests. \\
 \

Someone once said that "You learn more character on the two-yard line than anywhere else in life." I've been there. America has been there. But there's one thing about America -- we never back down, we never give up -- we never retreat, we always compete. I have faith in this country because -- well, all I have to do is look out in this audience. When we meet tough times, we don't just make do and muddle through. We go out long

Now he's
 Governor
 Special
 Interests!"

and we come back strong. Thank you. God bless you and God bless the United States of America.

THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary
(State College, Pennsylvania)

For Immediate Release

September 23, 1992

REMARKS BY THE PRESIDENT
AT PENN STATE UNIVERSITY

Penn State University
State College, Pennsylvania

1:20 P.M. EDT

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very, very much. What a wonderful rally. What a great day at Penn State. Thank you, Coach Paterno --

AUDIENCE: Four more years! Four more years!

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you, Coach. It is a great -- thank you very, very much. Thank you. It's one thing to have to play after one of your pep talks, but it's a little tougher to have to give a speech after one of your pep talks. Thank you for that great introduction. (Applause.) Last time I gave a speech on a college campus, one student came up to me afterwards and said: "that was the best imitation of Dana Carvey I've ever seen." (Laughter.) I never knew I had such talent.

But let me just say a word about the coach. And I'm talking to the choir here, but -- a lot of people won't take a position. A lot of people out in life want to protect themselves and not stand up for what they believe in.

And so, in a tough political year when a man with the standing of Coach Joe Paterno stands at my side as a friend and speaks for me, I am very, very grateful to him not just for the support, but for his courage. (Applause.)

And just to get this rally open, I want to do to Governor Clinton this year what Penn State did to Cincinnati last year. (Applause.) I'm glad I'm not running against Joe Paterno, and also glad I'm not running against that world-renowned baton twirler, John Mitchell. Where is the man? (Applause.) There he is, right back there. You can't see him, but I can -- real talent. Now, before I get started, let me simply acknowledge some up here with me on the dais -- two great members of Congress -- Bud Shuster and Bill Clinger. If we had more people like these two in the Congress, the American people wouldn't have those brooms out, yelling "clean house." But as a matter of fact, we ought to clean house. (Applause.)

May I salute Sue Paterno and Tricia Giannini -- and thanks, Tricia, for this -- the President of the College Republicans -- she did a great job on this rally. (Applause.) And so many others working on behalf of the party in Pennsylvania. And Anne Anstine, our Chairman; Joyce Haas, Mary Dunkel.

And I didn't come here today -- you'd be happy to know -- to give a big rally or a grand speech. I came here to talk a bit about where we've been, and where we are, and what I want to do to get us where we've got to be. (Applause.) You know, as I was walking through the old "Main" I saw a plaque on the wall. Not too shiny, but then again, it didn't need to be. It was dedicated to 374 Americans who died in World War II. All from Penn State. And I was

MORE

there, and I survived to see a lot of history between then and now. The heated battles and a long Cold War -- won by people with the right stuff and the people with the right ideas. We stood fast -- we stayed strong and I am the first President that can say we won the Cold War. It is over. (Applause.) And people say: Are you better off? Well, I think it's a good thing that every kid on this campus goes to bed at night without the same fear of nuclear war that the generations precedent had. (Applause.)

But the challenges we face today are different, and so are the demands. The challenge of the '90's is to win the economic competition -- to win the peace.

Yesterday I went to six states -- and for months now my opponent is taking me on and taking this country down. So I figured it was time to introduce Candidate Clinton to Governor Clinton -- because the rhetoric and the reality are like night and day. (Applause.) And, you know what we discovered? Whether it's Candidate Clinton or Governor Clinton -- it doesn't matter: Governor Clinton is wrong for the United States of America if you want to move this country forward. (Applause.)

Some are going to say -- some in the press will be saying, well, talking about the Governor's policy record is like going after an unarmed man. Well, I say: He should have armed himself. He should have packed more than promises. My opponent and I may argue towards some of the same ends. But we start from radically different premise -- premises built on different experience and different philosophies. And I will point out the differences in our visions, because I believe it explains the differences in our views.

And two weeks -- hey, listen -- maybe we can get this guy to shut up. I'll answer your question. He's raising -- no, seriously, he's raising a legitimate question. He's asking about AIDS. It's a terrible curse. We have spent \$4.3 billion on that. I have asked now for \$4.9 billion, and no researcher in this country is going to rest until we find the cure for AIDS. And so we care about it. (Applause.)

Two weeks in Detroit, I presented my views and my Agenda for American Renewal. And I didn't just hammer away at what's wrong with America -- I gave fair due to what's right. And I offered a comprehensive, integrated approach to win the new global economic competition -- to create the world's first \$10-trillion economy by the dawn of the next century. My opponent will say we can't do it -- and I say: When America sets its sights on a goal -- we always succeed. We are the United States of America. (Applause.)

AUDIENCE: USA! USA! USA!

THE PRESIDENT: This agenda that I have out there -- this detailed agenda contains 13 actions, specific actions that I will pursue in the first year of my second term -- and I will fight for them harder than the Nittany Lions -- fourth quarter, fourth down -- goal to go. And that's tough. That's tough. (Applause.) And so I'm asking the people for nothing more -- nothing less -- than a mandate to move this country forward. And I will work with the hundred-some newly-elected members of Congress who will listen to the people to move this country forward. (Applause.)

And, yes, I want a debate -- I want a debate over issues -- and an argument over ideas. And I will stand on my record -- and I won't let that Arkansas Governor run away from his record, either. (Applause.) You know, I think the American people have a right to know what they're buying into. Because remember, if you buy what Candidate Clinton is selling -- there's no refund. There's no rebate. Actually, it's more like a permanent payment plan. And I

MORE

don't think we need that for the United States of America.
(Applause.)

On one issue -- and I think it's the fundamental issue in this campaign -- my opponent and I have just agreed to disagree. It's a question of how our economy grows -- and how our country works.

It's kind of like jeopardy -- it all comes down to how you ask the question. My opponent asks what makes the economy grow. And his answer -- and look at his program -- is, government-planners- and projects and programs. And I ask who makes this country grow. And my answer is you, the individual working men and women, building and buying in the freedom of a market. (Applause.)

My opponent believes that government will quote -- and here are his words "invest" -- unquote, your money smarter than you can. And I don't see it that way. I say the smart money is on smart people, like standing right out here in this beautiful day in Pennsylvania.

You know, it's crazy. Some of you all are studying history, and it's a crazy thing. At the very moment when Russia, and Eastern Europe, and the whole world is turning our way, why would we want to go back their way? (Applause.) And all of a sudden, all around the world, people are turning to free markets, and to free trade, and to freedom. And now that the world is finally catching on, what are we supposed to say -- "just kidding," and start their way? No.

The world is sending us a message we should already know: Government planning, social engineering, centralized economies do not work. We know what works: freedom works. (Applause.)

AUDIENCE: Four more years! Four more years!

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. That's what we're after. Four more. Look, in this discussion I'm not just talking about political freedom. I'm talking about the freedom to save, to invest, to work, and for you and your families to keep more of what you earn.

A major difference between the candidates is taxes. And my opponent has already said he wants to raise taxes. And I want to lower taxes. (Applause.)

During the 80's we lightened the tax load on labor -- creating 21 million jobs. I know there are some economics majors out here -- but you don't have to crunch numbers to figure it out: the less you tax of something -- the more you get of it. If we cut taxes on investment we'll get more investment. And more investment means more jobs for the working men and women in the United States of America. (Applause.)

Now, listen to this, because this is factual. My opponent disagrees. In Arkansas he's taxing everything he can get his hands on: groceries, beer --

AUDIENCE: Booo!

THE PRESIDENT: -- I knew you wouldn't like that one. Mobile homes, cable TV, used cars, airplanes, coal -- he was even taxing food stamps until the federal government forced him to stop.

AUDIENCE: Booo!

THE PRESIDENT: That's the truth. And I guess that's why yesterday my subconscious spoke up -- and by accident -- and it

MORE

was an accident, down there in the south -- I actually called him Governor Taxes. And I'm sorry, I apologize.

AUDIENCE: Bush! Bush! Bush!

THE PRESIDENT: Now, we disagree on taxes, and guess what -- we disagree on government spending. He wants to raise government spending, and I want to cut it.

The federal government today spends almost one quarter out of every dollar of our national income. And he apparently thinks that's cheap. And on top of the \$1.5 trillion we already spend today -- he's proposed \$220 billion in brand spanking new spending -- and Newsweek thinks his true total could be three times as high as that. And frankly, I can't think of why anyone would want the government to grow one inch bigger. (Applause.) Maybe my opponent thinks that there's just that more of it to love.

The fourth difference: opening foreign markets to American goods is a big key difference. Exports support over 400,000 jobs, right here in the state of Pennsylvania. I want lower priced goods for American consumers -- and new customers for American goods. And I believe in free trade, because I believe that when trade is free and fair, America beats the competition fair and square -- anytime. (Applause.)

You know, there was a time when Governor Clinton said he favored open trade. Other times, usually after meetings with big union guys, he wasn't so sure. Well, what will it be? Well, when he's asked for his opinion on the free trade agreement with Mexico and Canada he said, quote -- "when I have a definitive opinion, I'll say so." Well, I've got news for the Governor: there's no call waiting in the oval office. You can't have it both ways. You've got to make up your mind. (Applause.) And I am for creating more jobs in the United States by increasing our exports. (Applause.)

And, finally, when it comes to legal reform -- and this is a tough one -- the Governor and I parted company before we even met. I believe that our legal system is out of control and headed for a crash. And it's running roughshod over small businesses -- scaring the wits out of anyone who wants to take the risk, and try out something new. Today, Americans spend up to \$200 billion in one year in direct costs to lawyers -- now, that's got to stop. Americans need to stop suing each other so much and caring for each other more. (Applause.)

You talk about special interests. One trial lawyer from Arkansas solicited funds for my opponent by writing -- and here's his quote -- "I can never remember an occasion when he failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers were concerned." Well, how touching. We do not need someone to do the right thing for the special interests -- we need a president who will do things right for all the American people. We need to put a lid on these lawsuits. (Applause.) Put limits on these crazy lawsuits.

Now, this fall I'm going to continue to talk about what's right -- even if it's not in fashion. -- The Governor wishes I wouldn't talk about foreign policy. It makes him very uncomfortable -- and I won't ask him why. But I will ask him what the heck he's talking about when he describes a president's, -- quote -- here's what he called it -- a president's "powerless moments when countries are invaded, friends are threatened, Americans are held hostage, and our nation's interests are on the line" -- that's the end of the quote.

Well, let me say, Governor Clinton: If America is powerless when our nation's interests are on the line -- who else do you suppose is going to take care of us? My America is not powerless. My America takes care of its interests. And when we have to fight, we're willing to do it if the cause is just. (Applause.)

- 5 -

Someone once said that "You learn more about character on the two-yard line than anywhere else in life." I don't know whether Joe agrees with that. But I've been there. America has been there. But there's one thing about America -- we never back down, we never give up -- we never retreat, we always compete. And we always win. That is the United States of America.

AUDIENCE: USA! USA!

THE PRESIDENT: And I have faith in our great country. Clinton talks about our country being somewhere below Germany, but north of Sri Lanka. He ought to open his eyes and look around. We are the most respected country in the entire world, and now let's -- now we enhanced the peace, and now let's take that power and use it to help every working man and woman in this country.

May God bless you all. Joe, again, my thanks. And thanks to all of you for this fantastic rally. Thank you so very much. Thank you. (Applause.)

END

1:41 P.M. EDT