

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):

S

FOIA Number:

S

# FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential Library Staff.**

---

**Record Group/Collection:** George H.W. Bush Presidential Records  
**Collection/Office of Origin:** Speechwriting, White House Office of  
**Series:** Speech File Draft Files  
**Subseries:** Chron File, 1989-1993

---

**OA/ID Number:** 13642  
**Folder ID Number:** 13642-001

---

**Folder Title:**  
Inverness Business Park 9/15/92 [OA 5813]

---

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
<b>G</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>

---

# WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 09/14/92 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: ----

SUBJECT: PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK, ENGLEWOOD, CO, 09/15

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCBRIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MOORE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MULLINS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PETERSMEYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BATES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BRADY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PROVOST	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BROMLEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROSS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CALIO	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMITH	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TUTWILER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ZOELICK	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>KAUFMAN</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>MCGROARTY</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HORNER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>BOSKIN</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
			<u>GROOMES</u>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

The attached has been forwarded to the President.

RESPONSE:

**PHILLIP D. BRADY**  
 Assistant to the President  
 and Staff Secretary  
 Ext. 2702

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

SEP 14 P4: 35

September 14, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANDY FERGUSON *AF*  
THROUGH: STEVEN PROVOST  
SUBJECT: MORNING RALLY: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK

Summary:

On Tuesday, September 15, 1992, at approximately 12:30 pm, you will address 7,000 area business people, workers and their families in the parking lot of Jeppesen Sanderson Corporation at Inverness Business Park. You will be introduced by Mr. Frank Kotulak, Project Leader for Jeppesen Sanderson.

Discussion:

Your remarks, (12 minutes / teleprompter) discuss your Agenda for American Renewal and the differences between yourself and Governor Clinton.

(Ferguson/Gershowitz)  
September 14, 1992  
4:00 pm  
COLORADO

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992  
ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

Good morning, Colorado! (Acknowledgments.) ((Now I know what they mean by Rocky Mountain Thunder!)) I'm proud to be here, at the beginning of a new era for America. And I'm proud to be the first President to visit Colorado and say: The Cold War is over -- and Freedom finished first.

Every American should take pride in what we've accomplished. But this election is about more than the past -- it's about shaping the future. It's about what kind of country we're going to leave for our kids.

Here's our challenge: In the next century, America must be not only a military superpower, but also an export superpower and an economic superpower.

This year you're going to hear two versions of how we get there. I want us to look forward -- to prepare our kids to compete, to strengthen our families, to save and invest -- so when it comes to the new challenges of the '90s, America finishes first again.

A Grand Canyon divides me and my opponent on these issues. Two candidates, two very different philosophies. You see it in every issue we care about -- education, health care, economic growth, creating jobs.

My Agenda for American Renewal lays out the answers -- shows us the way as clear as a Jeppesen Dataplan.

I put my trust in the American people -- the same people who made this country the greatest economic power the world has ever seen.

I want more competition to keep health costs down. I want more competition -- to give parents the power to choose their kids' schools -- to make our schools the best in the world.

But for my opponent -- it doesn't matter what the problem is, he always sees the same solution: He wants more government mandates, more government regulations, more government burdens on workers and businesses.

And yes, Governor Clinton wants more taxes.

I want to empower the private sector -- to provide the quality services government can't provide.

He wants to empower government bureaucrats -- to provide "one-size-fits-all" service in schools and health care.

I want to unleash entrepreneurial capitalism, let Americans climb as high as their dreams will take them.

He wants what they call an "industrial policy" -- where government planners decide how high you'll go -- and if you try to go any farther, they'll tax you down to earth.

That's what this debate is about: the role of government in America. It's not just the difference between big government and smaller government. It's the difference between a big government that thinks it knows best, and a smaller government that believes you know better.

Yes, government has an important role in the challenge ahead. I want to use government to give you the means and freedom to make your own choices in life.

My opponent wants to give power to the government. I want to give power to the people.

And when it comes to taxes and spending, the difference couldn't be more clear. I believe government is too big and it spends too much.

My opponent disagrees with us. He thinks the American people are undertaxed. So right out of the box, he wants to raise taxes by \$150 billion.

And that's just for starters. Of course, he says he won't tax you. It's always somebody else -- big corporations, foreign investors. He's even come up with a new twist. He's going to tax jobs. A new training tax, and a health care system leading to a new 7 percent payroll tax -- all to feed the overfed bureaucrats in Washington, D.C.

Governor Clinton likes to call that "new revenues." I call it something else: your money.

Before he's done raising taxes, every American will feel the pinch. He's going to have to tax you because he thinks government's not big enough. He's already called for \$220 billion in new spending -- and Newsweek magazine says the real total could be three times higher. That is not what America needs.

Governor Clinton's tired old "tax and spend" philosophy is wrong for America -- we all know that, because it's been tried before. It would be like going back to the used car lot, and picking up the lemon you sold 12 years before. Only this time it would have higher prices from inflation, skyrocketing interest rates for credit, and a hot air bag thrown in!

Which direction do you want government to go? Governor Clinton wants it to spend more. I want government to spend less. He wants to raise taxes -- I want to cut taxes across the board.

Now I want you to listen closely to Governor Clinton this fall -- you're going to have to. On issue after issue, this guy's been spotted in more places than Elvis Presley.

Take the question of whether to stand up to Saddam Hussein - - the defining test of American leadership in the post-Cold War world.

I had to draw a line in the sand -- and I did.

I knew that only America could force him back into his cage -- and we did.

And Governor Clinton? Two days after Congress followed my lead, he said, and I quote: "I guess I would have voted with the majority if it was a close vote. But I agree with the arguments the minority made."

Maybe that's why Governor Clinton wants an Oval Office -- he spends all his time running around in circles.

But he's wrong. When you're in the Oval Office, when American lives are at stake, you can't take time out to check the

latest opinion polls. I had to make the tough decision, and I'm proud of what America did in Desert Storm.

How about one of the defining issues of the next four years -- whether we're going to continue to open new markets -- tap new customers around the world, so we can create more jobs here at home, right here in Colorado, right here at Inverness.

I know Americans aren't afraid of competition -- because when we compete, we win.

I know the American worker can out-think, out-create, out-work anybody, anywhere, anytime.

Where does Governor Clinton stand? It depends on who he's standing in front of. Sometimes he's for opening markets, but when he talks to the protectionist lobby, he whips out his saxophone and plays a different tune.

They asked him about our new plan to open markets in Mexico, and he said: "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll say so."

I hope you're not planning to hold your breath.

Now, maybe I'm being too tough on Governor Clinton. It's true, on most issues he backpedals better than Karl Mecklenberg.

But not all the time. On some issues, you can hear him loud and clear.

I'll give you an example. I want to get rid of all these crazy lawsuits. If you fall off a step ladder today, a trial lawyer will hand you his business card before you hit the ground. ((Somebody asked me the other day, "An apple a day keeps the doctor away, what works for lawyers?"))

Now, my opponent doesn't think this is a problem.

Let me read from a fund-raising letter sent out for Governor Clinton -- written by the head of the Arkansas Trial Lawyers Association.

This guy loves Governor Clinton. Here's what he wrote: "I can never remember an occasion where he [[that's Governor Clinton]] failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers are concerned."

Well, I don't want to do the "right thing" for the trial lawyers. I want to do what's right for the American people. We've got to sue each other less and care for each other more.

You see, I don't think America is a vast collection of interest groups to be pandered to -- the trial lawyers over here, big labor over there, each clamoring for favors from Washington bureaucrats.

I believe we are a nation of special individuals, not special interests. I believe our genius lies in our people -- in our families and communities -- not in the government. This election I'm asking for a mandate to return power to the people -- to let government give you the means, and then give you the chance to do it your way.

That's how we will win the global competition. That's how we will renew America.

Thank you, God bless you and God bless the United States.

# # #

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 09/14/92 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: ----

SUBJECT: PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK, ENGLEWOOD, CO, 09/15

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCBRIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MOORE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MULLINS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PETERSMEYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BATES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BRADY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PROVOST	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BROMLEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROSS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CALIO	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMITH	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TUTWILER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ZOELICK	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	KAUFMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCGROARTY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HORNER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BOSKIN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
			GROOMES		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

The attached has been forwarded to the President.

RESPONSE:

PHILLIP D. BRADY  
 Assistant to the President  
 and Staff Secretary  
 Ext. 2702

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

SEP 14 P4: 35

September 14, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANDY FERGUSON *AF*  
THROUGH: STEVEN PROVOST  
SUBJECT: MORNING RALLY: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK

Summary:

On Tuesday, September 15, 1992, at approximately 12:30 pm, you will address 7,000 area business people, workers and their families in the parking lot of Jeppesen Sanderson Corporation at Inverness Business Park. You will be introduced by Mr. Frank Kotulak, Project Leader for Jeppesen Sanderson.

Discussion:

Your remarks, (12 minutes / teleprompter) discuss your Agenda for American Renewal and the differences between yourself and Governor Clinton.

(Ferguson/Gershowitz)  
September 14, 1992  
4:00 pm  
COLORADO

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992  
ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

Good morning, Colorado! (Acknowledgments.) ((Now I know what they mean by Rocky Mountain Thunder!)) I'm proud to be here, at the beginning of a new era for America. And I'm proud to be the first President to visit Colorado and say: The Cold War is over -- and Freedom finished first.

Every American should take pride in what we've accomplished. But this election is about more than the past -- it's about shaping the future. It's about what kind of country we're going to leave for our kids.

Here's our challenge: In the next century, America must be not only a military superpower, but also an export superpower and an economic superpower.

This year you're going to hear two versions of how we get there. I want us to look forward -- to prepare our kids to compete, to strengthen our families, to save and invest -- so when it comes to the new challenges of the '90s, America finishes first again.

A Grand Canyon divides me and my opponent on these issues. Two candidates, two very different philosophies. You see it in every issue we care about -- education, health care, economic growth, creating jobs.

My Agenda for American Renewal lays out the answers -- shows us the way as clear as a Jeppesen Dataplan.

I put my trust in the American people -- the same people who made this country the greatest economic power the world has ever seen.

I want more competition to keep health costs down. I want more competition -- to give parents the power to choose their kids' schools -- to make our schools the best in the world.

But for my opponent -- it doesn't matter what the problem is, he always sees the same solution: He wants more government mandates, more government regulations, more government burdens on workers and businesses.

And yes, Governor Clinton wants more taxes.

I want to empower the private sector -- to provide the quality services government can't provide.

He wants to empower government bureaucrats -- to provide "one-size-fits-all" service in schools and health care.

I want to unleash entrepreneurial capitalism, let Americans climb as high as their dreams will take them.

He wants what they call an "industrial policy" -- where government planners decide how high you'll go -- and if you try to go any farther, they'll tax you down to earth.

That's what this debate is about: the role of government in America. It's not just the difference between big government and smaller government. It's the difference between a big government that thinks it knows best, and a smaller government that believes you know better.

Yes, government has an important role in the challenge ahead. I want to use government to give you the means and freedom to make your own choices in life.

My opponent wants to give power to the government. I want to give power to the people.

And when it comes to taxes and spending, the difference couldn't be more clear. I believe government is too big and it spends too much.

My opponent disagrees with us. He thinks the American people are undertaxed. So right out of the box, he wants to raise taxes by \$150 billion.

And that's just for starters. Of course, he says he won't tax you. It's always somebody else -- big corporations, foreign investors. He's even come up with a new twist. He's going to tax jobs. A new training tax, and a health care system leading to a new 7 percent payroll tax -- all to feed the overfed bureaucrats in Washington, D.C.

Governor Clinton likes to call that "new revenues." I call it something else: your money.

Before he's done raising taxes, every American will feel the pinch. He's going to have to tax you because he thinks government's not big enough. He's already called for \$220 billion in new spending -- and Newsweek magazine says the real total could be three times higher. That is not what America needs.

Governor Clinton's tired old "tax and spend" philosophy is wrong for America -- we all know that, because it's been tried before. It would be like going back to the used car lot, and picking up the lemon you sold 12 years before. Only this time it would have higher prices from inflation, skyrocketing interest rates for credit, and a hot air bag thrown in!

Which direction do you want government to go? Governor Clinton wants it to spend more. I want government to spend less. He wants to raise taxes -- I want to cut taxes across the board.

Now I want you to listen closely to Governor Clinton this fall -- you're going to have to. On issue after issue, this guy's been spotted in more places than Elvis Presley.

Take the question of whether to stand up to Saddam Hussein - - the defining test of American leadership in the post-Cold War world.

I had to draw a line in the sand -- and I did.

I knew that only America could force him back into his cage -- and we did.

And Governor Clinton? Two days after Congress followed my lead, he said, and I quote: "I guess I would have voted with the majority if it was a close vote. But I agree with the arguments the minority made."

Maybe that's why Governor Clinton wants an Oval Office -- he spends all his time running around in circles.

But he's wrong. When you're in the Oval Office, when American lives are at stake, you can't take time out to check the

latest opinion polls. I had to make the tough decision, and I'm proud of what America did in Desert Storm.

How about one of the defining issues of the next four years -- whether we're going to continue to open new markets -- tap new customers around the world, so we can create more jobs here at home, right here in Colorado, right here at Inverness.

I know Americans aren't afraid of competition -- because when we compete, we win.

I know the American worker can out-think, out-create, out-work anybody, anywhere, anytime.

Where does Governor Clinton stand? It depends on who he's standing in front of. Sometimes he's for opening markets, but when he talks to the protectionist lobby, he whips out his saxophone and plays a different tune.

They asked him about our new plan to open markets in Mexico, and he said: "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll say so."

I hope you're not planning to hold your breath.

Now, maybe I'm being too tough on Governor Clinton. It's true, on most issues he backpedals better than Karl Mecklenberg.

But not all the time. On some issues, you can hear him loud and clear.

I'll give you an example. I want to get rid of all these crazy lawsuits. If you fall off a step ladder today, a trial lawyer will hand you his business card before you hit the ground. ((Somebody asked me the other day, "An apple a day keeps the doctor away, what works for lawyers?"))

Now, my opponent doesn't think this is a problem.

Let me read from a fund-raising letter sent out for Governor Clinton -- written by the head of the Arkansas Trial Lawyers Association.

This guy loves Governor Clinton. Here's what he wrote: "I can never remember an occasion where he [[that's Governor Clinton]] failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers are concerned."

Well, I don't want to do the "right thing" for the trial lawyers. I want to do what's right for the American people. We've got to sue each other less and care for each other more.

You see, I don't think America is a vast collection of interest groups to be pandered to -- the trial lawyers over here, big labor over there, each clamoring for favors from Washington bureaucrats.

I believe we are a nation of special individuals, not special interests. I believe our genius lies in our people -- in our families and communities -- not in the government. This election I'm asking for a mandate to return power to the people -- to let government give you the means, and then give you the chance to do it your way.

That's how we will win the global competition. That's how we will renew America.

Thank you, God bless you and God bless the United States.

# # #

*McGroarty*

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 9/14/92 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 2:00PM, TODAY!!SEPT.

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992  
SUBJECT: ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCBRIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	X MOORE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
..SCOWCROFT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X MULLINS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
..DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PETERSMEYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BATES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BRADY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	X PROVOST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BROMLEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	..ROSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CALIO <i>N/C</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	..SMITH <i>N/C</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
..DEMAREST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TUTWILER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	X ZOELICK ✓	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
..GRAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	KAUFMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
..HOLIDAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	..BOSKIN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HORNER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	GROOMES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

Please provide comments on the attached directly to Dan McGroarty, Rm. 122, x2930, with a copy to this office NO LATER THAN 2:00PM, TODAY, SEPTEMBER 14. Thank you.

RESPONSE:

*Called 1:00  
2:05  
3:00*

PHILLIP D. BRADY  
Assistant to the President  
and Staff Secretary  
Ext. 2702

(Ferguson/Gershowitz)  
September 11, 1992  
7:00 pm  
COLORADO

2 SEP 14 10:12

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992  
ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

Good morning, Colorado! (Acknowledgments, etc.)

I'm proud to be here, and I'm proud to be the first  
President to visit Colorado and say the Cold War is over -- and  
Freedom finished first.

Every American should take pride in what we've accomplished.  
But this election isn't just about the past -- it's about shaping  
the future. It's about what kind of country we're going to leave  
for our kids.

Here's the challenge: In the next century, America must be  
not only a military superpower, but an export superpower and an  
economic superpower.

This year you're going to hear two versions of how we get  
there. I want us to look forward -- to prepare our kids to  
compete, to strengthen our families, to save and invest -- so  
when it comes to the new challenges of the '90s, America finishes  
first again.

A Grand Canyon divides me and opponent on these issues. You  
see it in every issue we care about -- education, health care,  
economic growth.

I've got an Agenda for American Renewal that lays out the  
answers. And my answers are a lot different from Governor  
Clinton's.

I put my my trust in the American people. I want to take the same market forces that made America the greatest economic power the world has ever seen, and put them to work solving our problems.

I want more competition to keep health costs down. I want more competition -- to give parents the power to choose their kids' schools -- to make our schools the best in the world.

But for my opponent -- it doesn't matter what the problem is, he always sees the same solution: He wants more government mandates, more government regulations, more government burdens on workers and businesses.

And yes, more taxes.

He wants to empower government bureaucrats to provide "one-size-fits-all" service.

I want to give incentives to the private sector to provide the quality services government can't provide.

I want to unleash entrepreneurial capitalism, let Americans climb as high as their dreams will take them.

He wants what they call an "industrial policy" -- where government planners decide how high you'll go -- and if you try to go any farther, he'll tax you down to earth.

That's what this debate is about: the role of government in America. It's not just the difference between big government and little government. It's the difference between a big government that that thinks it knows best, and a smaller government that believes you know better.

Yes, government has an important role in the challenge ahead. I want to use government to give you the means and abilities to make your own choices in life.

I want to give power to the people. My opponent wants to give power to the government.

And when it comes to taxes and spending, the difference couldn't be more clear. I believe government is too big and it spends too much.

My opponent disagrees with us. He thinks the American people are undertaxed. So right out of the box, he wants to raise taxes by \$150 billion.

And that's just for starters. Of course, he says he won't tax you. It's always somebody else -- corporations, foreign investors. He's even come up with a new twist. He's going to tax jobs. A new training tax, a health care system leading to a new 7 percent payroll tax -- all told, another xx in taxes.

He likes to call that "new revenues." I call it something else: your money.

Before he's done, every American will feel the pinch. He's going to have to tax you because he thinks government's not big enough. He's already called for \$220 billion in new spending.

He may be promising the rainbow, but first you've got to hand over the pot of gold.

That's our difference when it comes to government. He wants it to spend more. I want government to spend less. He wants to raise taxes -- I want to cut taxes across the board.

Now I want you to listen closely to Governor Clinton this fall -- you're going to have to. On issue after issue, this guy's been spotted in more places than Elvis Presley.

Take the the question of whether to stand up to Saddam Hussein -- the defining test of American leadership in the post-Cold War world.

I had to draw a line in the sand -- and I did.

I knew that only America could force him back into his cage -- and we did.

And Governor Clinton? Two days after Congress followed my lead, he said: "I guess I would have voted with the majority if it was a close vote. But I agree with the arguments the majority made."

That's the way he waffled on the defining test of the last three years. Now one of the defining issues of the next four years is whether we're going to continue to open new markets -- tap new customers around the world, so we can create more jobs here at home.

Where does Governor Clinton stand? It depends on who he's standing in front of. Sometimes he's for opening markets, but when he talks to the protectionist lobby, he's not so sure.

They asked him about our new plan to open markets in Mexico, and he said: "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll say so."


I hope nobody out there is planning to hold your breath.

Now, maybe I'm being too tough on Governor Clinton. I want to be fair. On some issues, you can here him loud and clear.

Thank you etc.

# # #

# WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM



DATE: 9/14/92 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 2:00PM, TODAY!!SEPT.

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992

SUBJECT: ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCBRIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MOORE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MULLINS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PETERSMEYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BATES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BRADY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PROVOST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BROMLEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CALIO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMITH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TUTWILER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ZOELLICK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	KAUFMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BOSKIN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HORNER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	GROOMES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

Please provide comments on the attached directly to Dan McGroarty, Rm. 122, x2930, with a copy to this office NO LATER THAN 2:00PM, TODAY, SEPTEMBER 14. Thank you.

RESPONSE: TO: DANIEL MCGROARTY

September 14, 1992

The NSC staff concurs, subject to the comment noted on page 4.

Brent Scowcroft

PHILLIP D. BRADY  
Assistant to the President  
and Staff Secretary  
Ext. 2702

02 SEP 14 10:03

(Ferguson/Gershowitz)  
September 11, 1992  
7:00 pm  
COLORADO

2 SEP 14 AIO: 12

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992  
ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

Good morning, Colorado! (Acknowledgments, etc.)

I'm proud to be here, and I'm proud to be the first  
President to visit Colorado and say the Cold War is over -- and  
Freedom finished first.

Every American should take pride in what we've accomplished.  
But this election isn't just about the past -- it's about shaping  
the future. It's about what kind of country we're going to leave  
for our kids.

Here's the challenge: In the next century, America must be  
not only a military superpower, but an export superpower and an  
economic superpower.

This year you're going to hear two versions of how we get  
there. I want us to look forward -- to prepare our kids to  
compete, to strengthen our families, to save and invest -- so  
when it comes to the new challenges of the '90s, America finishes  
first again.

A Grand Canyon divides me and opponent on these issues. You  
see it in every issue we care about -- education, health care,  
economic growth.

I've got an Agenda for American Renewal that lays out the  
answers. And my answers are a lot different from Governor  
Clinton's.

I put my my trust in the American people. I want to take the same market forces that made America the greatest economic power the world has ever seen, and put them to work solving our problems.

I want more competition to keep health costs down. I want more competition -- to give parents the power to choose their kids' schools -- to make our schools the best in the world.

But for my opponent -- it doesn't matter what the problem is, he always sees the same solution: He wants more government mandates, more government regulations, more government burdens on workers and businesses.

And yes, more taxes.

He wants to empower government bureaucrats to provide "one-size-fits-all" service.

I want to give incentives to the private sector to provide the quality services government can't provide.

I want to unleash entrepreneurial capitalism, let Americans climb as high as their dreams will take them.

He wants what they call an "industrial policy" -- where government planners decide how high you'll go -- and if you try to go any farther, he'll tax you down to earth.

That's what this debate is about: the role of government in America. It's not just the difference between big government and little government. It's the difference between a big government that that thinks it knows best, and a smaller government that believes you know better.

Yes, government has an important role in the challenge ahead. I want to use government to give you the means and abilities to make your own choices in life.

I want to give power to the people. My opponent wants to give power to the government.

And when it comes to taxes and spending, the difference couldn't be more clear. I believe government is too big and it spends too much.

My opponent disagrees with us. He thinks the American people are undertaxed. So right out of the box, he wants to raise taxes by \$150 billion.

And that's just for starters. Of course, he says he won't tax you. It's always somebody else -- corporations, foreign investors. He's even come up with a new twist. He's going to tax jobs. A new training tax, a health care system leading to a new 7 percent payroll tax -- all told, another xx in taxes.

He likes to call that "new revenues." I call it something else: your money.

Before he's done, every American will feel the pinch. He's going to have to tax you because he thinks government's not big enough. He's already called for \$220 billion in new spending.

He may be promising the rainbow, but first you've got to hand over the pot of gold.

That's our difference when it comes to government. He wants it to spend more. I want government to spend less. He wants to raise taxes -- I want to cut taxes across the board.

Now I want you to listen closely to Governor Clinton this fall -- you're going to have to. On issue after issue, this guy's been spotted in more places than Elvis Presley.

Take the the question of whether to stand up to Saddam Hussein -- the defining test of American leadership in the post-Cold War world.

I had to draw a line in the sand -- and I did.

I knew that only America could force him back into his cage -- and we did.

And Governor Clinton? Two days after Congress followed my lead, he said: "I guess I would have voted with the majority if it was a close vote. But I agree with the arguments the majority made."

That's the way he waffled on the defining test of the last three years. Now one of the defining issues of the next four years is whether we're going to continue to open new markets -- tap new customers around the world, so we can create more jobs here at home.

Where does Governor Clinton stand? It depends on who he's standing in front of. Sometimes he's for opening markets, but when he talks to the protectionist lobby, he's not so sure.

They asked him about our new plan to open markets in Mexico, and he said: "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll say so."

I hope nobody out there is planning to hold your breath.

Now, maybe I'm being too tough on Governor Clinton. I want to be fair. On some issues, you can here him loud and clear.

*minority*

*check quote!*

*(I think the quote is a little different - but I may be mistaken!)*

I'll give you an example. I want to get rid of all these crazy lawsuits. If you fall off a step ladder today, a trial lawyer will had you his business card before you hit the ground. ✓

But my opponent stands foresquare for the lawyers.

Let me read from a fund-raising letter sent out for Governor Clinton -- written by the head of the Arkansas Trial Lawyers Association.

This guy loves Governor Clinton, and I'll let you tell him why in his own words. This trial lawyer wrote: "I can never remember an occasion where he [[that's Governor Clinton]] failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers are concerned."

Well, I don't want to do the "right thing" for the trial lawyers. I want to do what's right for the American people. We've got to sue each other less and care for each other more.

You see, I don't think of America as a vast collection of interest groups to be pandered to -- the trial lawyers over here, big labor over there, each clammering for attention from the bureaucrats in Washington, D.C.

I believe America is a nation of special individuals, not special interests. I believe our genius lies in our people -- in our families, our communities and neighborhoods -- not in the government. This election I'm asking for a mandate to return power to the people -- to let government give you the means, and then give you the chance to do it your way.

That's how we will win the global competition. That's how we will renew America.

Thank you etc.

# # #

OK w/ change → AC

### WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 9/14/92 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 2:00PM, TODAY!! SEPT.

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992  
SUBJECT: ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCBRIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MOORE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MULLINS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PETERSMEYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BATES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BRADY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PROVOST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BROMLEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CALIO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMITH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TUTWILER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ZOELLICK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	KAUFMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BOSKIN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HORNER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	GROOMES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS: Please provide comments on the attached directly to Dan McGroarty, Rm. 122, x2930, with a copy to this office NO LATER THAN 2:00PM, TODAY, SEPTEMBER 14. Thank you.

RESPONSE:

PHILLIP D. BRADY  
Assistant to the President  
and Staff Secretary  
Ext. 2702

(Ferguson/Gershowitz)  
September 11, 1992  
7:00 pm  
COLORADO

2 SEP 14 AIO: 12

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992  
ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

Good morning, Colorado! (Acknowledgments, etc.)

I'm proud to be here, and I'm proud to be the first  
President to visit Colorado and say the Cold War is over -- and  
Freedom finished first.

Every American should take pride in what we've accomplished.  
But this election isn't just about the past -- it's about shaping  
the future. It's about what kind of country we're going to leave  
for our kids.

Here's the challenge: In the next century, America must be  
not only a military superpower, but an export superpower and an  
economic superpower.

This year you're going to hear two versions of how we get  
there. I want us to look forward -- to prepare our kids to  
compete, to strengthen our families, to save and invest -- so  
when it comes to the new challenges of the '90s, America finishes  
first again.

A Grand Canyon divides me and opponent on these issues. You  
see it in every issue we care about -- education, health care,  
economic growth.

I've got an Agenda for American Renewal that lays out the  
answers. And my answers are a lot different from Governor  
Clinton's.

I put my my trust in the American people. I want to take the same market forces that made America the greatest economic power the world has ever seen, and put them to work solving our problems.

I want more competition to keep health costs down. I want more competition -- to give parents the power to choose their kids' schools -- to make our schools the best in the world.

But for my opponent -- it doesn't matter what the problem is, he always sees the same solution: He wants more government mandates, more government regulations, more government burdens on workers and businesses.

And yes, more taxes.

He wants to <sup>give</sup>empower government bureaucrats <sup>power</sup> to provide "one-size-fits-all" service.

I want to give incentives to the private sector to provide the quality services government can't provide.

I want to unleash entrepreneurial capitalism, let Americans climb as high as their dreams will take them.

He wants what they call an "industrial policy" -- where government planners decide how high you'll go -- and if you try to go any farther, he'll tax you down to earth.

That's what this debate is about: the role of government in America. It's not just the difference between big government and little government. It's the difference between a big government that that thinks it knows best, and a smaller government that believes you know better.

*Don't use with Clinton. Let's word keep for vs.*

Yes, government has an important role in the challenge ahead. I want to use government to give you the means and abilities to make your own choices in life.

I want to give power to the people. My opponent wants to give power to the government.

And when it comes to taxes and spending, the difference couldn't be more clear. I believe government is too big and it spends too much.

My opponent disagrees with us. He thinks the American people are undertaxed. So right out of the box, he wants to raise taxes by \$150 billion.

And that's just for starters. Of course, he says he won't tax you. It's always somebody else -- corporations, foreign investors. He's even come up with a new twist. He's going to tax jobs. A new training tax, a health care system leading to a new 7 percent payroll tax -- all told, another xx in taxes.

He likes to call that "new revenues." I call it something else: your money.

Before he's done, every American will feel the pinch. He's going to have to tax you because he thinks government's not big enough. He's already called for \$220 billion in new spending.

He may be promising the rainbow, but first you've got to hand over the pot of gold.

That's our difference when it comes to government. He wants it to spend more. I want government to spend less. He wants to raise taxes -- I want to cut taxes across the board.

Now I want you to listen closely to Governor Clinton this fall -- you're going to have to. On issue after issue, this guy's been spotted in more places than Elvis Presley.

Take the the question of whether to stand up to Saddam Hussein -- the defining test of American leadership in the post-Cold War world.

I had to draw a line in the sand -- and I did.

I knew that only America could force him back into his cage -- and we did.

And Governor Clinton? Two days after Congress followed my lead, he said: "I guess I would have voted with the majority if it was a close vote. But I agree with the arguments the <sup>majority</sup> ~~majority~~ made."

That's the way he waffled on the defining test of the last three years. Now one of the defining issues of the next four years is whether we're going to continue to open new markets -- tap new customers around the world, so we can create more jobs here at home.

Where does Governor Clinton stand? It depends on who he's standing in front of. Sometimes he's for opening markets, but when he talks to the protectionist lobby, he's not so sure.

They asked him about our new plan to open markets in Mexico, and he said: "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll say so."

I hope nobody out there is planning to hold your breath.

Now, maybe I'm being too tough on Governor Clinton. I want to be fair. On some issues, you can here him loud and clear.

I'll give you an example. I want to get rid of all these crazy lawsuits. If you fall off a step ladder today, a trial lawyer will hand you his business card before you hit the ground.

But my opponent stands foresquare for the lawyers.

Let me read from a fund-raising letter sent out for Governor Clinton -- written by the head of the Arkansas Trial Lawyers Association.

This guy loves Governor Clinton, and I'll let you tell him why in his own words. This trial lawyer wrote: "I can never remember an occasion where he [[that's Governor Clinton]] failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers are concerned."

Well, I don't want to do the "right thing" for the trial lawyers. I want to do what's right for the American people. We've got to sue each other less and care for each other more.

You see, I don't think of America as a vast collection of interest groups to be pandered to -- the trial lawyers over here, big labor over there, each clammering for attention from the bureaucrats in Washington, D.C.

I believe America is a nation of special individuals, not special interests. I believe our genius lies in our people -- in our families, our communities and neighborhoods -- not in the government. This election I'm asking for a mandate to return power to the people -- to let government give you the means, and then give you the chance to do it your way.

That's how we will win the global competition. That's how we will renew America.

Thank you etc.

# # #

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

92 SEP 14 11:01  
September 14, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR DAN MCGROARTY

FROM: ROGER B. PORTER *RBP*

SUBJECT: Presidential Remarks: Inverness Business Park

We have reviewed the attached remarks and have noted several suggested changes on the draft.

Please let us know if you have any questions or if we may help in any other way.

cc: Phillip D. Brady

*JMA  
DA  
BA  
mm*

**WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM**

DATE: 9/14/92 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 2:00PM, TODAY!!SEPT.

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992

SUBJECT: ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCBRIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MOORE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MULLINS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PETERSMEYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BATES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BRADY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PROVOST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BROMLEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CALIO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMITH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TUTWILER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ZOELICK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	KAUFMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BOSKIN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HORNER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	GROOMES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:  
Please provide comments on the attached directly to Dan McGroarty, Rm. 122, x2930, with a copy to this office NO LATER THAN 2:00PM, TODAY, SEPTEMBER 14.  
Thank you.

RESPONSE:

**PHILLIP D. BRADY**  
Assistant to the President  
and Staff Secretary  
Ext. 2702



I put my my trust in the American people. I want to take the same market forces that made America the greatest economic power the world has ever seen, and put them to work solving our problems.

I want more competition to keep health costs down. I want more competition -- to give parents the power to choose their kids' schools -- to make our schools the best in the world.

But for my opponent -- it doesn't matter what the problem is, he always sees the same solution: He wants more government mandates, more government regulations, more government burdens on workers and businesses, AND YES, MORE GOVERNMENT SPENDING.  
~~And yes, more taxes.~~

He wants to empower government bureaucrats to provide "one-size-fits-all" service.

I want to <sup>CREATE</sup> ~~give~~ <sup>THAT ENCOURAGE</sup> incentives ~~to~~ the private sector to provide the quality services government can't provide.

I want to unleash entrepreneurial capitalism, <sup>AND</sup> let Americans climb as high as their dreams will take them.

He wants what they call an "industrial policy" -- where government planners decide how high you'll go -- and if you <sup>REACH FOR THE</sup> ~~try~~ to go any farther, he'll tax you down to earth.  
 NEXT RUNG UP THE LADDER OF OPPORTUNITY

That's what this debate is about: the role of government in America. It's not just the difference between big government and little government. It's the difference between a big government ~~that~~ that thinks it knows best, and a smaller government that believes you know better.

Yes, government has an important role in the challenge ahead. I want to use government to give you the means and abilities to make your own choices in life.

I want to give power to the people. My opponent wants to give power to the government.

And when it comes to taxes and spending, the difference couldn't be more clear. I believe government is too big and it spends too much.

My opponent disagrees with us. He thinks the American people are undertaxed. So right out of the box, he wants to raise taxes by \$150 billion.

And that's just for starters. Of course, he says he won't tax you. It's always somebody else -- corporations, foreign investors. He's even come up with a new twist. He's going to tax jobs. A new training tax, a health care system leading to a new 7 percent payroll tax -- all told, another xx in taxes.

He likes to call that "new revenues." I call it something else: your money. <sup>MONEY</sup>

Before he's done, every American will feel the pinch. He's going to have to tax you because he thinks government's not big enough. He's already called for \$220 billion in new spending.

He <sup>'s HOLDING OUT THE E OF A</sup> may be promising ~~the~~ <sup>YOUR</sup> rainbow, but first you've got to hand over ~~the~~ pot of gold.

That's our difference when it comes to government. He wants it to spend more. I want government to spend less. He wants to raise taxes -- I want to cut taxes across the board.

Now I want you to listen closely to Governor Clinton this fall -- you're going to have to. On issue after issue, this guy's been spotted in more places than Elvis Presley.

Take the the question of whether to stand up to Saddam Hussein -- <sup>A</sup> the defining ~~test~~ <sup>IN</sup> of American leadership in the post-Cold War world. <sub>MOMENT</sub>

I ~~had to~~ <sup>E</sup> draw a line in the sand, ~~and I did.~~

I knew that ~~only~~ <sup>J</sup> America ~~could~~ force him back into his cage -- and we did. <sub>IT</sub> <sub>N LEADERSHIP WOULD</sub>

And Governor Clinton? Two days after Congress followed my lead, he said: "I guess I would have voted with the majority if it was a close vote. But I agree with the arguments the <sup>MINORITY</sup> ~~majority~~ made."

That's the way he waffled on the defining test of the last three years. Now one of the defining issues of the next four years is whether we're going to continue to open new markets -- tap new customers around the world, so we can create more jobs here at home. <sub>AND NEW OPPORTUNITIES</sub>

Where does Governor Clinton stand? It depends on who he's standing in front of. Sometimes he's for opening markets, but when he talks to the protectionist lobby, he's not so sure.

They asked him about our new plan to open markets in Mexico, and he said: "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll say so."

I hope nobody out there is ~~planning to hold~~ <sup>J</sup> ~~your~~ <sup>ING THEIR</sup> breath, <sub>WAITING FOR</sub>

Now, maybe I'm being too tough on Governor Clinton. I want to be fair. On some issues, you can <sup>HEAR</sup> ~~here~~ him loud and clear.

HIM TO BEAR

I'll give you an example. I want to get rid of all these crazy lawsuits. If you fall off a step ladder today, a trial lawyer will <sup>N</sup>had you his business card before you hit the ground. ✓

But my opponent stands <sup>FOUR</sup>~~for~~ square for the lawyers.

Let me read from a fund-raising letter sent out for Governor Clinton -- written by the head of the Arkansas Trial Lawyers Association.

This guy loves Governor Clinton, and ~~I'll let you tell him why in his own words.~~ This trial lawyer wrote: "I can never remember an occasion where he [[that's Governor Clinton]] failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers are concerned."

Well, I don't want to do the "right thing" for the trial lawyers. I want to do what's right for the American people. We've got to sue each other less and care for each other more.

You see, I don't think of America as a vast collection of interest groups to be pandered to -- the trial lawyers over here, big labor over there, each <sup>CLAMORING</sup>~~clamoring~~ for attention from the bureaucrats in Washington, D.C.

I believe America is a nation of special individuals, not special interests. I believe our genius lies in our people -- in our families, our communities and neighborhoods -- not in the government. This election I'm asking for a mandate to return power to the people -- to let government give you the means, and then give you the chance to do it your way.

That's how we will win the global competition. That's how we will renew America.

Thank you etc.

# # #

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

*JMA*  
*TA*  
*= BA*  
*mm*

DATE: 9/14/92 *92 SEP 14 P 1:43* ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 2:00PM, TODAY!!SEPT.

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992  
SUBJECT: ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCBRIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MOORE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MULLINS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PETERSMEYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BATES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<del>PORTER</del>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BRADY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PROVOST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BROMLEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CALIO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMITH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TUTWILER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ZOELICK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	KAUFMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BOSKIN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HORNER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	GROOMES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

Please provide comments on the attached directly to Dan McGroarty, Rm. 122, x2930, with a copy to this office NO LATER THAN 2:00PM, TODAY, SEPTEMBER 14. Thank you.

RESPONSE:

PHILLIP D. BRADY  
Assistant to the President  
and Staff Secretary  
Ext. 2702



I put my my trust in the American people. I want to take the same market forces that made America the greatest economic power the world has ever seen, and put them to work solving our problems.

I want more competition to keep health costs down. I want more competition -- to give parents the power to choose their kids' schools -- to make our schools the best in the world.

But for my opponent -- it doesn't matter what the problem is, he always sees the same solution: He wants more government mandates, more government regulations, more government burdens on workers and businesses, *AND YES, MORE GOVERNMENT SPENDING.*  
*AND THAT MEANS*  
And yes, more taxes.

He wants to empower government bureaucrats to provide "one-size-fits-all" service.

I want to ~~give~~ <sup>CREATE</sup> incentives <sup>THAT ENCOURAGE</sup> to the private sector to provide the quality services government can't provide.

I want to unleash entrepreneurial capitalism, *AND* let Americans climb as high as their dreams will take them.

He wants what they call an "industrial policy" -- where government planners decide how high you'll go -- and if you ~~try~~ *REACH FOR THE*  
*NEXT RUNG UP THE LADDER OF OPPORTUNITY*  
~~to go any farther,~~ he'll tax you down to earth.

That's what this debate is about: the role of government in America. It's not just the difference between big government and little government. It's the difference between a big government ~~that~~ that thinks it knows best, and a smaller government that believes you know better.

*J*  
~~Yes~~, government has an important role in the challenge ahead. I want to use government to give you the means and abilities to make your own choices in life.

I want to give power to the people. My opponent wants to give power to the government.

And when it comes to taxes and spending, the difference couldn't be more clear. I believe government is too big and it spends too much.

My opponent disagrees with us. He thinks the American people are undertaxed. So right out of the box, he wants to raise taxes by \$150 billion.

And that's just for starters. Of course, he says he won't tax you. It's always somebody else -- corporations, foreign investors. He's even come up with a new twist. He's going to tax jobs. A new training tax, a health care system leading to a new 7 percent payroll tax -- all told, another xx in taxes.

He likes to call that "new revenues." I call it something else: your money. <sup>A</sup> MONEY

Before he's done, every American will feel the pinch. He's going to have to tax you because he thinks government's not big enough. He's already called for \$220 billion in new spending.

<sup>'S HOLDING OUT THE E OF A</sup>  
 He ~~may be~~ promising ~~the~~ <sup>YOUR</sup> rainbow, but first you've got to hand over ~~the~~ pot of gold.

*J*  
 That's our difference when it comes to government. He wants ~~to~~ to spend more. I want government to spend less. He wants to raise taxes -- I want to cut taxes across the board.

Now I want you to listen closely to Governor Clinton this fall -- you're going to have to. On issue after issue, this guy's been spotted in more places than Elvis Presley.

Take the the question of whether to stand up to Saddam Hussein -- <sup>A</sup> ~~the~~ defining ~~test~~ <sup>IN</sup> ~~of~~ American leadership in the post-Cold War world. <sub>MOMENT</sub>

I ~~had to~~ <sup>E</sup> draw a line in the sand, ~~and I did.~~

I knew that ~~only~~ America ~~could~~ force him back into his cage -- <sup>IT</sup> ~~and we did.~~ <sub>N LEADERSHIP WOULD</sub>

And Governor Clinton? Two days after Congress followed my lead, he said: "I guess I would have voted with the majority if it was a close vote. But I agree with the arguments the <sup>MINORITY</sup> ~~majority~~ made."

That's the way he waffled on the defining test of the last three years. Now one of the defining issues of the next four years is whether we're going to continue to open new markets -- tap new customers around the world, so we can create more jobs here at home. <sub>AND NEW OPPORTUNITIES</sub>

Where does Governor Clinton stand? It depends on who he's standing in front of. Sometimes he's for opening markets, but when he talks to the protectionist lobby, he's not so sure.

They asked him about our new plan to open markets in Mexico, and he said: "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll say so."

I hope nobody out there is ~~planning to hold~~ <sup>ING THEIR</sup> ~~your~~ breath. <sub>WAITING FOR</sub>

Now, maybe I'm being too tough on Governor Clinton. I want to be fair. On some issues, you can <sup>HEAR</sup> ~~hear~~ him loud and clear.

HMM TO BEHEE ©

I'll give you an example. I want to get rid of all these crazy lawsuits. If you fall off a step ladder today, a trial lawyer will <sup>N</sup>hand you his business card before you hit the ground. ✓

But my opponent stands <sup>fovk</sup> ~~for~~ square for the lawyers.

Let me read from a fund-raising letter sent out for Governor Clinton -- written by the head of the Arkansas Trial Lawyers Association.

This guy loves Governor Clinton, and ~~I'll let you tell him why in his own words.~~ This trial lawyer wrote: "I can never remember an occasion where he [[that's Governor Clinton]] failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers are concerned."

Well, I don't want to do the "right thing" for the trial lawyers. I want to do what's right for the American people. We've got to sue each other less and care for each other more.

You see, I don't think of America as a vast collection of interest groups to be pandered to -- the trial lawyers over here, big labor over there, each <sup>CLAMORING</sup> ~~clamoring~~ for attention from the bureaucrats in Washington, D.C.

I believe America is a nation of special individuals, not special interests. I believe our genius lies in our people -- in our families, our communities and neighborhoods -- not in the government. This election I'm asking for a mandate to return power to the people -- to let government give you the means, and then give you the chance to do it your way.

That's how we will win the global competition. That's how we will renew America.

Thank you etc.


# # #

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

*w/215 comments per Dan*

DATE: 9/14/92 **92 SEP 14 8:01 AM** ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 2:00PM, TODAY!! SEPT. 14

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992  
SUBJECT: ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCBRIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MOORE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MULLINS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PETERSMEYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BATES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BRADY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PROVOST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BROMLEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CALIO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMITH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TUTWILER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ZOELLICK 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	KAUFMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BOSKIN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HORNER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	GROOMES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

Please provide comments on the attached directly to Dan McGroarty, Rm. 122, x2930, with a copy to this office NO LATER THAN 2:00PM, TODAY, SEPTEMBER 14. Thank you.

RESPONSE:

*2:30 pm Dan. With these edits, you can send this out. Pls just give me a clean copy*

PHILLIP D. BRADY  
Assistant to the President  
and Staff Secretary  
Ext. 2702

(Ferguson/Gershowitz)  
September 11, 1992  
7:00 pm  
COLORADO

2 SEP 14 AID: 12

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992  
ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

Good morning, Colorado! (Acknowledgments, etc.)

I'm proud to be here, and I'm proud to be the first  
President to visit Colorado and say the Cold War is over -- and  
Freedom finished first.

Every American should take pride in what we've accomplished.  
But this election isn't just about the past -- it's about shaping  
the future. It's about what kind of country we're going to leave  
for our kids.

Here's the challenge: In the next century, America must be  
not only a military superpower, but an export superpower and an  
economic superpower.

This year you're going to hear two versions of how we get  
there. I want us to look forward -- to prepare our kids to  
compete, to strengthen our families, to save and invest -- so  
when it comes to the new challenges of the '90s, America finishes  
first again.

A Grand Canyon divides me and opponent on these issues. You  
see it in every issue we care about -- education, health care,  
economic growth.

I've got an Agenda for American Renewal that lays out the  
answers. And my answers are a lot different from Governor  
Clinton's.

I put my my trust in the American people. I want to take the same market forces that made America the greatest economic power the world has ever seen, and put them to work solving our problems.

I want more competition to keep health costs down. I want more competition -- to give parents the power to choose their kids' schools -- to make our schools the best in the world.

But for my opponent -- it doesn't matter what the problem is, he always sees the same solution: He wants more government mandates, more government regulations, more government burdens on workers and businesses.

And yes, more taxes.

*my opponent calls for*

He wants to empower government bureaucrats to provide "one-size-fits-all" service.

I want to give incentives to the private sector to provide the quality services government can't provide.

I want to unleash entrepreneurial capitalism, let Americans climb as high as their dreams will take them.

He wants what they call an "industrial policy" -- where government planners decide how high you'll go -- and if you try to go any farther, he'll tax you down to earth.

That's what this debate is about: the role of government in America. It's not just the difference between big government and little government. It's the difference between a big government that that thinks it knows best, and a smaller government that believes you know better.

Yes, government has an important role in the challenge ahead. I want to use government to give you the means and abilities to make your own choices in life.

I want to give power to the people. My opponent wants to give power to the government.

And when it comes to taxes and spending, the difference couldn't be more clear. I believe government is too big and it spends too much.

My opponent disagrees with us. He thinks the American people are undertaxed. So right out of the box, he wants to raise taxes by \$150 billion.

And that's just for starters. Of course, he says he won't tax you. It's always somebody else -- corporations, foreign investors. He's even come up with a new twist. He's going to tax jobs. A new training tax, a health care system leading to a new 7 percent payroll tax -- all told, another xx in taxes.

He likes to call that "new revenues." I call it something else: your money.

Before he's done, every American will feel the pinch. He's going to have to tax you because he thinks government's not big enough. He's already called for \$220 billion in new spending.

*said it believes the true total could be three times as large*  
He may be promising the rainbow, but first you've got to hand over the pot of gold.

That's our difference when it comes to government. He wants it to spend more. I want government to spend less. He wants to raise taxes -- I want to cut taxes across the board.

My opponent

I'm not sure we have this

And Newsweek

Now I want you to listen closely to Governor Clinton this fall -- you're going to have to. On issue after issue, this guy's been spotted in more places than Elvis Presley.

Take the the question of whether to stand up to Saddam Hussein -- the defining test of American leadership in the post-Cold War world.

I had to draw a line in the sand -- and I did.

I knew that only America could force him back into his cage -- and we did.

And Governor Clinton? Two days after Congress followed my lead, he said: "I guess I would have voted with the majority if it was a close vote. But I agree with the arguments the majority made."

That's the way he waffled on the defining test of the last three years. Now one of the defining issues of the next four years is whether we're going to continue to open new markets -- tap new customers around the world, so we can create more jobs here at home.

Where does Governor Clinton stand? It depends on who he's standing in front of. Sometimes he's for opening markets, but when he talks to the protectionist lobby, he's not so sure.

They asked him about our new plan to open markets in Mexico, and he said: "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll say so."

I hope nobody out there is planning to hold your breath.

Now, maybe I'm being too tough on Governor Clinton. I want to be fair. On some issues, you can here him loud and clear.

I'll give you an example. I want to get rid of all these crazy lawsuits. If you fall off a step ladder today, a trial lawyer will hand you his business card before you hit the ground.

But my opponent stands foresquare for the lawyers.

Let me read from a fund-raising letter sent out for Governor Clinton -- written by the head of the Arkansas Trial Lawyers Association.

This guy loves Governor Clinton, and I'll let you tell him why in his own words. This trial lawyer wrote: "I can never remember an occasion where he [[that's Governor Clinton]] failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers are concerned."

Well, I don't want to do the "right thing" for the trial lawyers. I want to do what's right for the American people. We've got to sue each other less and care for each other more.

You see, I don't think of America as a vast collection of interest groups to be pandered to -- the trial lawyers over here, big labor over there, each clammering for attention from the bureaucrats in Washington, D.C.

I believe America is a nation of special individuals, not special interests. I believe our genius lies in our people -- in our families, our communities and neighborhoods -- not in the government. This election I'm asking for a mandate to return power to the people -- to let government give you the means, and then give you the chance to do it your way.

That's how we will win the global competition. That's how we will renew America.

Thank you etc.

# # #

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 9/14/92 **92 SEP 14 4:45** ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 2:00PM, TODAY!!SEPT.

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992

SUBJECT: ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCBRIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MOORE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MULLINS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PETERSMEYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BATES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BRADY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PROVOST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BROMLEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CALIO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMITH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TUTWILER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ZOELICK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	KAUFMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BOSKIN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HORNER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	GROOMES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

Please provide comments on the attached directly to Dan McGroarty, Rm. 122, x2930, with a copy to this office NO LATER THAN 2:00PM, TODAY, SEPTEMBER 14. Thank you.

RESPONSE:

PHILLIP D. BRADY  
Assistant to the President  
and Staff Secretary  
Ext. 2702

(Ferguson/Gershowitz)  
September 11, 1992  
7:00 pm  
COLORADO

2 SEP 14 AIO: 12

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992  
ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

Good morning, Colorado! (Acknowledgments, etc.)

I'm proud to be here, and I'm proud to be the first  
President to visit Colorado and say the Cold War is over -- and  
Freedom finished first.

Every American should take pride in what we've accomplished.  
But this election isn't just about the past -- it's about shaping  
the future. It's about what kind of country we're going to leave  
for our kids.

Here's the challenge: In the next century, America must be  
not only a military superpower, but an export superpower and an  
economic superpower.

This year you're going to hear two versions of how we get  
there. I want us to look forward -- to prepare our kids to  
compete, to strengthen our families, to save and invest -- so  
when it comes to the new challenges of the '90s, America finishes  
first again.

A Grand Canyon divides me and <sup>my</sup> opponent on these issues. You  
see it in every issue we care about -- education, health care,  
economic growth.

I've got an Agenda for American Renewal that lays out the  
answers. And my answers are a lot different from Governor  
Clinton's.

I put my trust in the American people. I want to take the same market forces that made America the greatest economic power the world has ever seen, and put them to work solving our problems.

I want more competition to keep health costs down. I want more competition -- to give parents the power to choose their kids' schools -- to make our schools the best in the world.

But for my opponent -- it doesn't matter what the problem is, he always sees the same solution: He wants more government mandates, more government regulations, more government burdens on workers and businesses.

And yes, more taxes.

He wants to empower government bureaucrats to provide "one-size-fits-all" service.

I want to give incentives to the private sector to provide the quality services government can't provide.

I want to unleash entrepreneurial capitalism, let Americans climb as high as their dreams will take them.

He wants what they call an "industrial policy" -- where government planners decide how high you'll go -- and if you try to go any farther, he'll tax you down to earth.

That's what this debate is about: the role of government in America. It's not just the difference between big government and little government. It's the difference between a big government that that thinks it knows best, and a smaller government that believes you know better.

Yes, government has an important role in the challenge ahead. I want to use government to give you the means and abilities to make your own choices in life.

I want to give power to the people. My opponent wants to give power to the government.

And when it comes to taxes and spending, the difference couldn't be more clear. I believe government is too big and it spends too much.

My opponent disagrees with us. He thinks the American people are undertaxed. So right out of the box, he wants to raise taxes by \$150 billion.

And that's just for starters. Of course, he says he won't tax you. It's always somebody else -- corporations, foreign investors. He's even come up with a new twist. He's going to tax jobs. A new training tax, a health care system leading to a new 7 percent payroll tax -- all told, another xx in taxes.

He likes to call that "new revenues." I call it something else: your money.

Before he's done, every American will feel the pinch. He's going to have to tax you because he thinks government's not big enough. He's already called for \$220 billion in new spending.

He may be promising the rainbow, but first you've got to hand over the pot of gold.

That's our difference when it comes to government. He wants it to spend more. I want government to spend less. He wants to raise taxes -- I want to cut taxes across the board.

Now I want you to listen closely to Governor Clinton this fall -- you're going to have to. On issue after issue, this guy's been spotted in more places than Elvis Presley.

Take the the question of whether to stand up to Saddam Hussein -- the defining test of American leadership in the post-Cold War world.

I had to draw a line in the sand -- and I did.

I knew that only America could force him back into his cage -- and we did.

And Governor Clinton? Two days after Congress followed my lead, he said: "I guess I would have voted with the majority if it was a close vote. But I agree with the arguments the majority made."

That's the way he waffled on the defining test of the last three years. Now one of the defining issues of the next four years is whether we're going to continue to open new markets -- tap new customers around the world, so we can create more jobs here at home.)

Where does Governor Clinton stand? It depends on who he's standing in front of. Sometimes he's for opening markets, but when he talks to the protectionist lobby, he's not so sure.

They asked him about our new plan to open markets in Mexico, and he said: "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll say so."

I hope nobody out there is planning to hold your breath.

Now, maybe I'm being too tough on Governor Clinton. I want to be fair. On some issues, you can hear him loud and clear.

↓ ~~The parking lot~~ of the company which is the location of the event is an exporter and could relate. Might be worth bringing in for a local hook. Its name is Jeppesen/Sanderson.

I'll give you an example. I want to get rid of all these crazy lawsuits. If you fall off a step ladder today, a trial lawyer will had you his business card before you hit the ground.

But my opponent stands foresquare for the lawyers.

Let me read from a fund-raising letter sent out for Governor Clinton -- written by the head of the Arkansas Trial Lawyers Association.

This guy loves Governor Clinton, and I'll let you tell him why in his own words. This trial lawyer wrote: "I can never remember an occasion where he [[that's Governor Clinton]] failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers are concerned."

Well, I don't want to do the "right thing" for the trial lawyers. I want to do what's right for the American people. We've got to sue each other less and care for each other more.

You see, I don't think of America as a vast collection of interest groups to be pandered to -- the trial lawyers over here, big labor over there, each clammering for attention from the bureaucrats in Washington, D.C.

I believe America is a nation of special individuals, not special interests. I believe our genius lies in our people -- in our families, our communities and neighborhoods -- not in the government. This election I'm asking for a mandate to return power to the people -- to let government give you the means, and then give you the chance to do it your way.

That's how we will win the global competition. That's how we will renew America.

Thank you etc.

# # #



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

9-14-92  
92 SEP 14 P 3: 27

**NOTICE:**

Enclosed are comments from staff members of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Such comments do not necessarily represent the official position of the Director of OMB or of the Office of Management and Budget. If you wish to have the Director's personal comments, please let me know -- and contact me if you have any questions.

James C. Murr  
Associate Director for  
Legislative Reference  
and Administration

## WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 9/14/92 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 2:00PM, TODAY!!SEPT.

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992

SUBJECT: ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCBRIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MOORE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MULLINS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PETERSMEYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BATES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BRADY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PROVOST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BROMLEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CALIO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMITH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TUTWILER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ZOELICK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	KAUFMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BOSKIN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HORNER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	GROOMES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**REMARKS:**

Please provide comments on the attached directly to Dan McGroarty, Rm. 122, x2930, with a copy to this office NO LATER THAN 2:00PM, TODAY, SEPTEMBER 14. Thank you.

**RESPONSE:**

See comments  
 (P. Brady may respond at a later time)

**PHILLIP D. BRADY**  
 Assistant to the President  
 and Staff Secretary  
 Ext. 2702

(Ferguson/Gershowitz)  
September 11, 1992  
7:00 pm  
COLORADO

2 SEP 14 AID: 12

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992  
ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

Good morning, Colorado! (Acknowledgments, etc.)

I'm proud to be here, and I'm proud to be the first President to visit Colorado and say the Cold War is over -- and Freedom finished first.

Every American should take pride in what we've accomplished. But this election isn't just about the past -- it's about shaping the future. It's about what kind of country we're going to leave for our kids.

Here's the challenge: In the next century, America must be not only a military superpower, but <sup>also</sup> an export superpower and an economic superpower.

This year you're going to hear two versions of how we get there. I want us to look forward -- to prepare our kids to compete, to strengthen our families, to save and invest -- so when it comes to the new challenges of the '90s, America finishes first again.

A Grand Canyon divides me and <sup>my</sup> opponent on these issues. You see it in every issue we care about -- education, health care, economic growth.

I've got an Agenda for American Renewal that lays out the answers. And my answers are a lot different from Governor Clinton's.

I put my my trust in the American people. I want to take the same market forces that made America the greatest economic power the world has ever seen, and put them to work solving our problems.

I want more competition to keep health costs down. I want more competition -- to give parents the power to choose their kids' schools -- to make our schools the best in the world.

But for my opponent -- it doesn't matter what the problem is, he always sees the same solution: He wants more government mandates, more government regulations, more government burdens on workers and businesses.

And yes, more taxes.

He wants to empower government bureaucrats to provide "one-size-fits-all" service.

I want to give incentives to the private sector to provide the quality services government can't provide.

I want to unleash entrepreneurial capitalism, let Americans climb as high as their dreams will take them.

He wants what they call an "industrial policy" -- where government planners decide how high you'll go -- and if you try to go any farther, he'll tax you down to earth.

That's what this debate is about: the role of government in America. It's not just the difference between big government and little government. It's the difference between a big government that that thinks it knows best, and a smaller government that believes you know better.

(Morin 4/8/44)  
 Job! Govt Cant give abilities

Yes, government has an important role in the challenge ahead. I want to use government to give you the means and freedom ~~abilities~~ to make your own choices in life.

I want to give power to the people. My opponent wants to give power to the government.

And when it comes to taxes and spending, the difference couldn't be more clear. I believe government is too big and it spends too much.

My opponent disagrees with us. He thinks the American people are undertaxed. So right out of the box, he wants to raise taxes by \$150 billion.

And that's just for starters. Of course, he says he won't tax you. It's always somebody else -- corporations, foreign investors. He's even come up with a new twist. He's going to tax jobs. A new training tax, a health care system leading to a new 7 percent payroll tax -- all told, another xx in taxes.

He likes to call that "new revenues." I call it something else: your money.

Before he's done, every American will feel the pinch. He's going to have to tax you because he thinks government's not big enough. He's already called for \$220 billion in new spending.

He may be promising the rainbow, but first you've got to hand over the pot of gold.

That's our difference when it comes to government. He wants it to spend more. I want government to spend less. He wants to raise taxes -- I want to cut taxes across the board.

Now I want you to listen closely to Governor Clinton this fall -- you're going to have to. On issue after issue, this guy's been spotted in more places than <sup>of space</sup> Elvis Presley.

Take the the question of whether to stand up to Saddam Hussein -- the defining test of American leadership in the post-Cold War world.

I had to draw a line in the sand -- and I did.

I knew that only America could force him back into his cage -- and we did.

And Governor Clinton? Two days after Congress followed my lead, he said: "I guess I would have voted with the majority if it was a close vote. But I agree with the arguments the majority made."

That's the way he waffled on the defining test of the last three years. Now one of the defining issues of the next four years is whether we're going to continue to open new markets -- tap new customers around the world, so we can create more jobs here at home.

Where does Governor Clinton stand? It depends on who he's standing in front of. Sometimes he's for opening markets, but when he talks to the protectionist lobby, he's not so sure.

They asked him about our new plan to open markets in Mexico, and he said: "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll say so."

I hope nobody out there is planning to hold your breath.

Now, maybe I'm being too tough on Governor Clinton. I want to be fair. On some issues, you can here him loud and clear.

I'll give you an example. I want to get rid of all these crazy lawsuits. If you fall off a step ladder today, a trial lawyer will <sup>hd</sup> hand you his business card before you hit the ground. ✓

But my opponent stands foresquare for the lawyers.

Let me read from a fund-raising letter sent out for Governor Clinton -- written by the head of the Arkansas Trial Lawyers Association.

This guy loves Governor Clinton, and I'll let you tell him why in his own words. This trial lawyer wrote: "I can never remember an occasion where he [[that's Governor Clinton]] failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers are concerned."

Well, I don't want to do the "right thing" for the trial lawyers. I want to do what's right for the American people. We've got to sue each other less and care for each other more.

You see, I don't think of America as a vast collection of interest groups to be pandered to -- the trial lawyers over here, big labor over there, each clammering for attention from the bureaucrats in Washington, D.C.

I believe America is a nation of special individuals, not special interests. I believe our genius lies in our people -- in our families, our communities and neighborhoods -- not in the government. This election I'm asking for a mandate to return power to the people -- to let government give you the means, and then give you the chance to do it your way.

That's how we will win the global competition. That's how we will renew America.

Thank you etc.

# # #

J.D. Foster

CEA

(Ferguson/Gershowitz)  
September 11, 1992  
7:00 pm  
COLORADO

SEP 14 10:12  
SEP 14 P1:50

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992  
ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

Good morning, Colorado! (Acknowledgments, etc.)

I'm proud to be here, and I'm proud to be the first President to visit Colorado and say the Cold War is over -- and Freedom finished first.

Every American should take pride in what we've accomplished. But this election isn't just about the past -- it's about shaping the future. It's about what kind of country we're going to leave for our kids.

Here's the challenge: In the next century, America must be not only a military superpower, but an export superpower and an economic superpower.

This year you're going to hear two versions of how we get there. I want us to look forward -- to prepare our kids to compete, to strengthen our families, to save and invest -- so when it comes to the new challenges of the '90s, America finishes first again.

A Grand Canyon divides me and <sup>my</sup> opponent on these issues. You see it in every issue we care about -- education, health care, economic growth.

I've got an Agenda for American Renewal that lays out the answers. And my answers are a lot different from Governor Clinton's.

I put my trust in the American people. I want to take the same market forces that made America the greatest economic power the world has ever seen, and put them to work solving our problems.

I want more competition to keep health costs down. I want more competition -- to give parents the power to choose their kids' schools -- to make our schools the best in the world.

But for my opponent -- it doesn't matter what the problem is, he always sees the same solution: He wants more government mandates, more government regulations, more government burdens on workers and businesses.

And yes, more taxes.

He wants to empower government bureaucrats to provide "one-size-fits-all" service.

I want to give incentives to the private sector to provide the quality services government can't provide.

I want to unleash entrepreneurial capitalism, let Americans climb as high as their dreams will take them.

He wants what they call an "industrial policy" -- where government planners decide how high you'll go -- and if you try to go any farther, he'll tax you down to earth.

That's what this debate is about: the role of government in America. It's not just the difference between big government and little government. It's the difference between a big government that that thinks it knows best, and a smaller government that believes you know better.

Yes, government has an important role in the challenge ahead. I want to use government to give you the means and abilities to make your own choices in life.

I want to give power to the people. My opponent wants to give power to the government.

And when it comes to taxes and spending, the difference couldn't be more clear. I believe government is too big and it spends too much.

My opponent disagrees with us. He thinks the American people are undertaxed. So right out of the box, he wants to raise taxes by \$150 billion.

And that's just for starters. Of course, he says he won't tax you. It's always somebody else -- corporations, foreign investors. He's even come up with a new twist. He's going to tax jobs. A new training tax, a health care system leading to a new 7 percent payroll tax -- all told, another xx in taxes. <sup>CEA has no figure</sup>

He likes to call that "new revenues." I call it something else: your money.

Before he's done, every American will feel the pinch. He's going to have to tax you because he thinks government's not big enough. He's already called for \$220 billion in new spending.

He may be promising the rainbow, but first you've got to hand over the pot of gold.

That's our difference when it comes to government. He wants it to spend more. I want government to spend less. He wants to raise taxes -- I want to cut taxes across the board.

Now I want you to listen closely to Governor Clinton this fall -- you're going to have to. On issue after issue, this guy's been spotted in more places than Elvis Presley.

Take the the question of whether to stand up to Saddam Hussein -- the defining test of American leadership in the post-Cold War world.

I had to draw a line in the sand -- and I did.

I knew that only America could force him back into his cage -- and we did.

And Governor Clinton? Two days after Congress followed my lead, he said: "I guess I would have voted with the majority if it was a close vote. But I agree with the arguments the <sup>minority</sup> ~~majority~~ made."

That's the way he waffled on the defining test of the last three years. Now one of the defining issues of the next four years is whether we're going to continue to open new markets -- tap new customers around the world, so we can create more jobs here at home.

Where does Governor Clinton stand? It depends on who he's standing in front of. Sometimes he's for opening markets, but when he talks to the protectionist lobby, he's not so sure.

They asked him about our new plan to open markets in Mexico, and he said: "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll say so."

I hope <sup>you're not</sup> ~~nobody out there is~~ planning to hold your breath.

Now, maybe I'm being too tough on Governor Clinton. I want to be fair. On some issues, you can here him loud and clear.

I'll give you an example. I want to get rid of all these crazy lawsuits. If you fall off a step ladder today, a trial lawyer will had you his business card before you hit the ground.

But my opponent stands foresquare for the lawyers.

Let me read from a fund-raising letter sent out for Governor Clinton -- written by the head of the Arkansas Trial Lawyers Association.

This guy loves Governor Clinton, and I'll let you tell him why in his own words. This trial lawyer wrote: "I can never remember an occasion where he [[that's Governor Clinton]] failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers are concerned."

Well, I don't want to do the "right thing" for the trial lawyers. I want to do what's right for the American people. We've got to sue each other less and care for each other more.

You see, I don't think of America as a vast collection of interest groups to be pandered to -- the trial lawyers over here, big labor over there, each clammering for attention from the bureaucrats in Washington, D.C.

I believe America is a nation of special individuals, not special interests. I believe our genius lies in our people -- in our families, our communities and neighborhoods -- not in the government. This election I'm asking for a mandate to return power to the people -- to let government give you the means, and then give you the chance to do it your way.

That's how we will win the global competition. That's how we will renew America.

Thank you etc.

# # #

(Ferguson/Gershowitz)  
September 11, 1992  
7:00 pm  
COLORADO

202714 AID: 12

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: INVERNESS BUSINESS PARK  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1992  
ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

Good morning, Colorado! (Acknowledgments, etc.)

I'm proud to be here, and I'm proud to be the first President to visit Colorado and say the Cold War is over -- and Freedom finished first.

Every American should take pride in what we've accomplished. But this election isn't just about the past -- it's about shaping the future. It's about what kind of country we're going to leave for our kids.

Here's the challenge: In the next century, America must be not only a military superpower, but an export superpower and an economic superpower.

This year you're going to hear two versions of how we get there. I want us to look forward -- to prepare our kids to compete, to strengthen our families, to save and invest -- so when it comes to the new challenges of the '90s, America finishes first again.

A Grand Canyon divides me and opponent on these issues. You see it in every issue we care about -- education, health care, economic growth.

I've got an Agenda for American Renewal that lays out the answers. And my answers are a lot different from Governor Clinton's.

I put my my trust in the American people. I want to take the same market forces that made America the greatest economic power the world has ever seen, and put them to work solving our problems.

I want more competition to keep health costs down. I want more competition -- to give parents the power to choose their kids' schools -- to make our schools the best in the world.

But for my opponent -- it doesn't matter what the problem is, he always sees the same solution: He wants more government mandates, more government regulations, more government burdens on workers and businesses.

And yes, more taxes.

He wants to empower government bureaucrats to provide "one-size-fits-all" service.

I want to give incentives to the private sector to provide the quality services government can't provide.

I want to unleash entrepreneurial capitalism, let Americans climb as high as their dreams will take them.

He wants what they call an "industrial policy" -- where government planners decide how high you'll go -- and if you try to go any farther, he'll tax you down to earth.

That's what this debate is about: the role of government in America. It's not just the difference between big government and little government. It's the difference between a big government that that thinks it knows best, and a smaller government that believes you know better.

Yes, government has an important role in the challenge ahead. I want to use government to give you the means and abilities to make your own choices in life.

I want to give power to the people. My opponent wants to give power to the government.

And when it comes to taxes and spending, the difference couldn't be more clear. I believe government is too big and it spends too much.

My opponent disagrees with us. He thinks the American people are undertaxed. So right out of the box, he wants to raise taxes by \$150 billion.

And that's just for starters. Of course, he says he won't tax you. It's always somebody else -- corporations, foreign investors. He's even come up with a new twist. He's going to tax jobs. A new training tax, a health care system leading to a new 7 percent payroll tax -- all told, another xx in taxes.

He likes to call that "new revenues." I call it something else: your money.

Before he's done, every American will feel the pinch. He's going to have to tax you because he thinks government's not big enough. He's already called for \$220 billion in new spending.

He may be promising the rainbow, but first you've got to hand over the pot of gold.

That's our difference when it comes to government. He wants it to spend more. I want government to spend less. He wants to raise taxes -- I want to cut taxes across the board.

Now I want you to listen closely to Governor Clinton this fall -- you're going to have to. On issue after issue, this guy's been spotted in more places than Elvis Presley.

Take the the question of whether to stand up to Saddam Hussein -- the defining test of American leadership in the post-Cold War world.

I had to draw a line in the sand -- and I did.

I knew that only America could force him back into his cage -- and we did.

And Governor Clinton? Two days after Congress followed my lead, he said: "I guess I would have voted with the majority if it was a close vote. But I agree with the arguments the majority made."

That's the way he waffled on the defining test of the last three years. Now one of the defining issues of the next four years is whether we're going to continue to open new markets -- tap new customers around the world, so we can create more jobs here at home.

Where does Governor Clinton stand? It depends on who he's standing in front of. Sometimes he's for opening markets, but when he talks to the protectionist lobby, he's not so sure.

They asked him about our new plan to open markets in Mexico, and he said: "When I have a definitive opinion, I'll say so."

I hope nobody out there is planning to hold your breath.

Now, maybe I'm being too tough on Governor Clinton. I want to be fair. On some issues, you can here him loud and clear.

I'll give you an example. I want to get rid of all these crazy lawsuits. If you fall off a step ladder today, a trial lawyer will had you his business card before you hit the ground.

But my opponent stands foresquare for the lawyers.

Let me read from a fund-raising letter sent out for Governor Clinton -- written by the head of the Arkansas Trial Lawyers Association.

This guy loves Governor Clinton, and I'll let you tell him why in his own words. This trial lawyer wrote: "I can never remember an occasion where he [[that's Governor Clinton]] failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers are concerned."

Well, I don't want to do the "right thing" for the trial lawyers. I want to do what's right for the American people. We've got to sue each other less and care for each other more.

You see, I don't think of America as a vast collection of interest groups to be pandered to -- the trial lawyers over here, big labor over there, each clammering for attention from the bureaucrats in Washington, D.C.

I believe America is a nation of special individuals, not special interests. I believe our genius lies in our people -- in our families, our communities and neighborhoods -- not in the government. This election I'm asking for a mandate to return power to the people -- to let government give you the means, and then give you the chance to do it your way.

That's how we will win the global competition. That's how we will renew America.

Thank you etc.

# # #