

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):

S

FOIA Number:

S

# FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential Library Staff.**

---

**Record Group/Collection:** George H.W. Bush Presidential Records  
**Collection/Office of Origin:** Speechwriting, White House Office of  
**Series:** Speech File Draft Files  
**Subseries:** Chron File, 1989-1993

---

**OA/ID Number:** 13536  
**Folder ID Number:** 13536-011

---

**Folder Title:**  
New York GOP 7/24/90 [OA 5375] [1]

---

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
<b>G</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>

---

THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary  
(New York, New York)

For Immediate Release

July 24, 1990

REMARKS BY THE PRESIDENT  
TO THE NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY

Waldorf Astoria Hotel  
New York, New York

7:50 P.M. EDT

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you all. Thank you so much, Senator. And let me say how very pleased I am to be here. A salute first to Senator D'Amato who's doing such a superb job in Washington. (Applause.) He and I have to leave before the broccoli to get back to -- (laughter) -- get back down there, so I hope you'll excuse us. But let me salute my old friend, the Borough President. Mr. President, we're proud of you, Guy Molinari. (Applause.) And, of course, our Senate Leader, Ralph Marino. I just met with him and we talked about the importance of keeping control of this Senate, given the significance of redistricting coming up.

It is absolutely essential, and thus I want to thank everybody that has helped in this dinner. It is key that Ralph continue to run the Senate on the Republican side. We've got to keep control of it. (Applause.) And I expect because of his responsibilities as head of this whole campaign for the Senate, Guy Valella, over here, knows that he's got some big shoes to fill, too -- big responsibilities. Rapp, it's good to see you again -- Rapp Rappleyea, the leader over here on our -- I wish we had some more troops for him, and maybe we will out of this selection on the assembly.

I want to salute our able Party Chairman, Pat Barrett, a man whose given up an awful lot to lead our troops. (Applause.) And my old friend, Dick Rosenbaum, the National Committeeman. (Applause.) Comptroller Ned Regan, and Bernard Smith, running here. Is it okay to mention my brother John? Okay. All right. (Applause.) And I'm going to be in real trouble, but Rita -- Rita DiMartino. I see her all over the place. She's like Batman -- she's everywhere. And Joe Mondello and many other leaders -- I'm very, very pleased to be here. (Applause.) I want to single out two, however, who deserve our support. They have mine, and they are going to surprise a lot of people. Pierre Rinfret for Governor, and Geoff Yancey. (Applause.) We need them. They're a good team. They've got great families.

You know, for two years, when I was Ambassador to the U.N., Barbara and I lived up here, in this -- rough living here in this very hotel, room 42 A. And whenever I complained about anything, the Silver Fox would roll her eyes and say: "Just where do you think we live? The Waldorf Astoria?" Well, here we are back again, and I'm pleased to be here. And I understand that New York has been selected as the site of a very important event in 1992 -- a convention that will attract thousands of participants from all over the country -- people who hope to put their past setbacks behind them and plan a winning strategy for future. That's right -- the first reunion of all the ex-managers of the New York Yankees will be held right here in New York City. (Laughter and applause.)

Then there's another -- the other future New York convention -- the Democrats'. Let me say that my hand is still extended to them when it comes to working for the good of the nation. I look forward to the Senate hearings of David Souter; I am sure that they will find him to be tough, but fair. He's a first-rate appellate judge, an outstanding jurist and a great legal mind. And I

MORE

am delighted that he is the nominee for the Supreme Court.  
(Applause.)

Many Democrats in Washington have supported me in meeting this fantastic era of change abroad that Al D'Amato so generously referred to, are working with me now to pass the first revision of the Clean Air Act in more than 13 years -- tougher standards to cut down on acid rain and other air pollutants. And they worked with me so I could sign into law a bill ending discrimination against disabled Americans. So tough negotiations can get results. I see Amory Houghton out here, and he and other congressmen on the Republican side know this. They're in the minority, but they're working hard. Tough negotiations can get results. But differences between the parties are still broad and they're still deep, and much remains to be done -- too much. With more Republicans in Washington and in Albany, think of how much more we can achieve.

In New York, we face a tremendous opportunity to fight the Democrat gerrymander -- an opportunity to end discrimination against voters by race and by party. That is our mission this November -- (applause) -- that is our mission, and it is one that transcends mere politics because we're deeply concerned about the future of this great state.

To coin a phrase, we love New York, all of New York, from the oak-lined avenues of Long Island, to Yankee Stadium, to Broadway. From the city streets of Buffalo, to the New York of farm towns and the Adirondacks -- New York is a city of lights, a state of grandeur, a place where dreams come true. It certainly is for me -- after all, New York is where Barbara Bush was born, and she's doing pretty darn well. (Applause.)

But we are concerned for the future, because New York has become something else -- it's become a showcase of liberal policies. And after 16 years of dominance by liberal Democrats, it's time to judge the results.

For 92 months, America has enjoyed peacetime economic expansion and the creation of more than 22 million jobs. But not all the benefits of those years were enjoyed by the people of New York State. Throughout the 1980s, while most of America was growing and looking forward to the future, life in New York -- especially in the City -- was becoming more expensive, more difficult and more dangerous, regrettably, than ever before.

Liberal Democrats blame every problem on Republican policies, especially Republican economic policies. But in the late '70s, a large airline centered in New York didn't go out of business. It just decided to move south, to Texas, taking more than a thousand jobs with it. And in 1987, a large energy corporation based in New York didn't go out of business. It just decided to move south to Virginia, taking 3,600 jobs with it. In fact, since 1983, almost a third of the Fortune 500 corporations based here have chosen to leave.

Now, New Yorkers want a change. New Yorkers want the companies and the good jobs they represent to stay -- right here in New York. And New Yorkers want an end to open-air drug marts and these muggings. And New Yorkers want -- (applause) -- and New Yorkers want a government that empowers people -- not bureaucracies. (Applause.)

Twenty-nine out of the last 35 years of Democratic dominance in Congress have also taken their toll. Only a President carries a national mandate.

But like Republicans before me, I know that to deal with a Democrat Congress is to often face government by gridlock -- with spending skyrocketing out of control, good legislation thrown aside for pork, and a budget deficit looming over our children's children.

It is time we asked the American people to end the

gridlock. To choose the liberal mindset of the Democratic Party or to choose our path -- the Republican path of opportunity and growth. To empower government to run their lives, or to empower people to run their lives for themselves. Time to ask America to choose. (Applause.)

Here in New York, you must choose a governor. And let it be a Republican governor -- Pierre Rinfret. Here's a family man -- I hope you've all met his family -- a decorated war hero, a successful entrepreneur who pulled himself out of Hell's Kitchen, and wants to lead others out of poverty. And some say maybe he's not be a politician. Well, he may not be a politician, but maybe New York doesn't need another politician. Maybe New York needs a change right now. (Applause.) So, Pierre, we are all for you -- you and your ticket -- best of luck. (Applause.)

You know, New York faces another choice: to keep your outstanding Senate Leader Ralph Marino and his colleagues as your prime line of defense against a liberal governor and his assembly. Republicans, you see, like what works. And that's why your Republican Senate has been -- and will remain -- your watchdog against big spenders. And, more, a sane proponent of what works.

So be thankful that your Republicans in the Senate forced a spending cap, forced baseline budgeting. It is the Republicans in the New York Senate who managed to trim \$1.5 billion in Democratic spending proposals. (Applause.) They know you can't trust a party that would double the fare of the Staten Island Ferry. (Laughter.) And kidding aside, think of what these Republicans would achieve if they could work with a Republican governor in a Republican assembly.

Jobs and spending are important. But safe streets are of equal concern, especially in a city that lives in fear. And that's why New York agrees with us: those violent criminals deserve nothing less than punishment, swift and sure. (Applause.)

So Republicans are united in wanting to change lenient, blame-the-victim laws -- liberal Democrats don't want to change these laws. (Applause.) Republicans want to allow the women of this state to be able to defend themselves with MACE, and liberal Democrats don't. (Applause.) And Republicans -- and this is a big one nationally -- Republicans want murderers and drug kingpins to pay the ultimate penalty and liberal Democrats don't. (Applause.)

In Washington, we argue that those who sell drugs are selling death. And we propose that drug kingpins reap what they sow. But our crime bill faces another obstacle. Fifteen months ago, I stood before the U.S. Capitol and announced America's determination to take back the streets.

The Senate has now cleared a crime bill -- Al D'Amato fighting for it -- a major new package, 423 days after I proposed it. It's not a perfect bill. It does nothing to ensure that evidence gathered by good, decent policemen acting in good faith isn't barred by technicalities that let bad people go free. But this bill will go a long way toward toughening sentences for violent crime, and reducing repetitive appeals.

Now this legislation is over in the House side now, before the House. And let us tell the members of the House, 423 days is long enough. Don't keep our men and women in blue waiting. Pass a tough bill, and pass it soon. (Applause.)

Let me give you another example of how a liberal Congress, long in power, jealously clings to the failed policies of the past. In April of last year, our Administration asked Congress to pass the Education Excellence Act -- reform proposals to reward achievement and allow educational choice. And yet Congress killed many of these sensible and cost-effective proposals. And then they doubled our request with hundreds of millions of dollars' worth of unnecessary, unrelated and costly changes.

If liberal Democrats should have learned anything, it is that you cannot reform an education system by throwing billions of dollars at it. So when is it going to penetrate liberal thinking that we shouldn't throw money at an ineffective education system that is already the most expensive in the entire industrialized world? When are they going to start demanding results and stop measuring the value of a program by the size of its price tag? And when are they going to stop blocking genuine, much-needed reform? (Applause.)

Where the liberal mindset dominates, the net result has been the same: Bad schools, dangerous streets, big deficits. Of course, times can change, and I hope they do. As you know, I met this morning, and will meet every morning this week, with the congressional leadership, the Speaker and the Democratic leader and the minority leader on the House side, and the two Senate leaders, one Republican, one Democrat on the Senate -- met to work for an agreement to lower our federal deficit.

We all know that the Democrats have a long track record on spending. But if the Berlin Wall could come down in the same year that America goes nuts over the Teenage Mutant Ninja Turtles, who knows what could happen next? (Applause.)

And again, times can change. The leaders of Congress can work with me to break the impasse on reducing the budget deficit. And I think they are trying. I've saluted Dick Gephardt and I'll do it here again tonight. I believe he's trying hard. He's the one that has to lead this enormously diverse group into trying to get a deal. The spotlight is on both sides to place progress over partisanship and the national interest over special interest. I welcome sincere efforts from both sides of the aisle, and I'm eager to get an agreement with congressional leaders to achieve meaningful budget reform. And this is my hope. But as long as the liberal mindset dominates, we will be forced to measure our successes in catastrophes averted and calamities mitigated.

The genius of the American system is that it allows for checks and balances. But this doesn't mean that the voters must choose political stalemate, year after year, decade after decade. So let me be blunt: Divided government just isn't good enough, for America or for New York. We must have more Republicans up there in Albany and in Washington. And I think it's time to ask the American people to let us show what we can do without the albatross of liberal legislatures. It's time to ask America to choose.

As we go into the 1990 election season, remember an adage from a great Republican governor of New York, and a great President. Theodore Roosevelt said: "In life, as in a football game, the principle to follow is: Hit the line hard." The choice is clear: Republican reform or the Democratic status quo. And when we present the people with this stark choice, rest assured -- we will hit the line hard in November.

Thank you for all you have done to help this party. We are pledged to be in there supporting Pierre Rinfret and the rest of the ticket. May God bless you, and God bless the United States of America. Thank you all very, very much.

END

8:09 P.M. EDT

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

1990 JUL 23 PM 7:27

July 23, 1990

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN  
7/24/90  
Add a  
or two on  
Southern

INFORMATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: CHRISS WINSTON CW

FROM: MARK DAVIS MD

SUBJECT: REVISIONS ON NEW YORK STATE PARTY

This morning, members of the Senior Staff had second thoughts on this speech, worrying that it could lead too easily to a direct debate between yourself and a certain personage in Albany. So we toned down the speech a bit, cutting out some of the fighting words.

Also, because of the ongoing budget summit, we have inserted some new language on Page Seven that leaves room for Congressional leaders to defy expectations, and to join you in a heroic step toward balancing the budget.

Davis/Blymire  
Title: Newyork1  
Date: June 16, 1990  
Draft: Four

**PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS: NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY, WALDORF ASTORIA  
7:30 p.m., TUESDAY, JULY 24, 1990**

((Senator D'Amato, Bill Green and the other Members of the New York Congressional Delegation, Senate Majority Leader Ralph Marino, Chairman Pat Barrett, Pierre Rinfret, Comptroller Regan, Guy Vellella, Assembly Minority Leader Clarence [{"RAPP"}] Rappleyea.))

((You know, for two years, when I was ambassador to the United Nations, Barbara and I lived in this very hotel, in room 42 A. And whenever I complained about anything, Barbara would just roll her eyes and say: "Just where do you think we live? The Waldorf Astoria?"))\\

((Now I understand that New York City has been selected as the site of an important event in 1992 -- a convention that will attract thousands of participants from all over the country -- people who hope to put their past setbacks behind them and plan a winning strategy for the future.\\ That's right -- the first reunion of all the ex-managers of the New York Yankees.))\\

But then there is that other future New York convention -- the Democrats'. Of course, many Democrats in Washington have supported me in meeting this fantastic era of change abroad; are working with me to pass the first revision of the Clean Air Act in more than thirteen years -- tougher standards to cut down on

acid rain and other air pollutants. And they worked with me so I could sign into law a bill ending discrimination against disabled Americans. So tough negotiations can get results. But differences between the parties are still broad and deep, and much remains to be done -- too much. With more Republicans in Washington and in Albany, think of how much more we can achieve.

In New York, we face a tremendous opportunity to fight the Democrat gerrymander -- an opportunity to end discrimination against voters by race and by party. That is our mission this November, and it is one that transcends mere politics because we are deeply concerned about the future of this great state.

To coin a phrase, we love New York, all of New York, from the oak-lined avenues of Long Island, to Yankee Stadium, to Broadway. From the city streets of Buffalo, to the New York of farm towns and the Adirondacks -- New York is a city of lights, a state of grandeur, a place where dreams come true.\\ ((It certainly is for me -- After all, New York is where Barbara Bush was born.))\\

But we are concerned for the future, because New York has become something else -- a showcase of liberal policies. And after sixteen years of dominance by liberal Democrats, it is time to judge the results.

For 92 months, America has enjoyed peacetime economic expansion and the creation of more than 22 million jobs. But not all the benefits of those years were enjoyed by the people of New York State. Throughout the 1980s, while most of America was

growing and looking forward to the future, life in New York -- especially in the City -- was becoming more expensive, more difficult and more dangerous than ever before.

Liberal Democrats blame every problem on Republican policies, especially Republican economic policies. But in the late seventies, a large airline centered in New York didn't go out of business. It just decided to move south, to Texas, taking more than a thousand jobs with it. And in 1987, a large energy corporation based in New York didn't go out of business. It just decided to move south to Virginia, taking 3,600 jobs with it. ((In fact, since 1983, almost a third of the Fortune 500 corporations based here have chosen to leave.))

New Yorkers are simply sick and tired of a declining quality of life that drives away companies and good jobs. New Yorkers are sick and tired of open-air drug marts and muggings. And New Yorkers are sick and tired of the liberal anti-reform mentality.

Twenty-nine out of the last thirty-five years of Democrat dominance in Congress have also taken their toll. Only a President carries a national mandate. But, like Republican Presidents before me, I know that to deal with a Democrat Congress is to often face government by gridlock -- with spending skyrocketing out of control, good legislation thrown aside for pork, and a budget deficit looming over our children's children.

It is time we asked the American people to end the gridlock. To choose the liberal mindset of the Democratic Party or to choose our path -- the Republican path of opportunity and growth.

*Be sure  
this will  
set  
with  
N.Y.'s  
elected  
Repubs  
Molinari  
Rapp?  
etc*

To empower government to run their lives, or to empower people to run their lives for themselves. To ask America to CHOOSE.\\\

Here in New York, you must choose a governor. Let it be a Republican Governor -- Pierre Rinfret.\\\ Here is a family man, a decorated war hero, a successful entrepreneur who pulled himself out of Hell's Kitchen, and wants to lead others out of poverty. He may not be a politician. But maybe New York doesn't need another politician. Maybe New York needs a change.\\\

New York must also make another choice -- to keep your outstanding Senate Leader Ralph Marino and his colleagues as your <sup>prime</sup> ~~last~~ line of defense against a liberal governor and his Assembly. Republicans like what works. That's why your Republican Senate has been -- and will remain -- your watchdog against big spenders. *And, more, a same proponent of what works.*

So be thankful that your Republicans in the Senate forced a spending cap and base-line budgeting. It is the Republicans in the Senate who managed to trim \$1.5 billion in Democratic spending proposals. ((They know you can't trust a party that would double the fare of the Staten Island Ferry.))\\\ Kidding aside, think of what these Republicans would achieve if they could work with a Republican governor, a Republican Assembly.

Jobs and spending are important. But safe streets are of equal concern, especially in a city that lives in fear. That's why New York agrees with us -- these violent criminals deserve nothing less than punishment swift and sure.

??  
joke on reality??

So Republicans want to change lenient, blame-the-victim laws, and liberal Democrats don't.

Republicans want to allow the women of this state to be able to defend themselves with MACE, and liberal Democrats don't.

And Republicans want murderers and drug kingpins to pay the ultimate penalty -- and liberal Democrats don't.\\\

In Washington, we argued that those who sell drugs are selling death. And we proposed that drug kingpins reap what they sow. But our crime bill faces another obstacle. Fifteen months ago, I stood before the U.S. Capitol and announced America's determination to take back the streets.

The Senate has now cleared a crime bill, a major new package -- 423 days after I proposed it. It is not a perfect bill. It does nothing to ensure that evidence gathered by good cops acting in good faith isn't barred by technicalities that let bad people go free. But this bill will go a long way toward toughening sentences for violent crime, and reducing repetitive appeals.

Now this legislation is before the House. And let us tell the Members of the House, 423 days is long enough. Don't keep our men and women in blue waiting. Pass a tough crime bill, and pass it soon.\\\

Let me give you another example of how a liberal Congress, long in power, jealously clings to the failed policies of the past. In April of last year, our Administration asked Congress to pass the Educational Excellence Act -- reform proposals to reward achievement and allow educational choice. Yet Congress

killed many of these sensible and cost-effective proposals. And then they doubled our request with hundreds of millions of dollars worth of unnecessary, unrelated and costly changes.

If liberal Democrats should have learned anything, it is that you cannot reform an education system by throwing billions of dollars at it. So when is it going to penetrate liberal thinking that we shouldn't throw money at an ineffective education system that is already the most expensive in the industrialized world? When are they going to start demanding results and stop measuring the value of a program by the size of its price tag? When are they going to stop blocking reform?

Where the liberal mindset dominates, the net result has been the same: bad schools, dangerous streets, big deficits. Of course, times can change -- and I hope they do. As you know, I met this morning -- and will meet every morning this week -- with the Congressional leadership to work for an agreement to lower our federal deficit.

We all know that the Democrats have a long track record on spending. ((But if the Berlin Wall could come down in the same year that America goes nuts over Teen-Age Mutant Ninja Turtles, \\ who knows what could happen next?)) Again, times can change. The leaders of Congress can work with me to break the impasse on reducing the budget deficit. The spotlight is on both sides to place progress over partisanship, and the national interest over special interests. I welcome sincere efforts from both sides of

the aisle, and I am eager to get an agreement with Congressional leaders to achieve meaningful budget reform.

This is my hope. But as long as the liberal mindset dominates, we will be forced to measure our successes in catastrophes averted and calamities mitigated.

The genius of the American system is that it allows for checks and balances. But this doesn't mean that the voters must choose political stalemate, year after year, decade after decade. Let me be blunt: Divided government just isn't good enough, for America or for New York. **We must have more Republicans.**\\

It is time to ask the American people to let us show what we can do without the albatross of liberal legislatures. It is time to ask America to choose.

As we go into the 1990 election season, remember an adage from a great Republican governor of New York, and a great President. Theodore Roosevelt said: "In life, as in a football game, the principle to follow is: Hit the line hard."

The choice is clear: Republican reform or the Democrat status quo. When we present the people with this stark choice, rest assured -- we will hit the line hard in November.

Thank you for all you have done, and are pledged to do. And may God bless you, and the United States of America.

FINAL

NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY, WALDORF ASTORIA  
7:30 P.M., TUESDAY, JULY 24, 1990

NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PA  
7:30 P.M., TUESDAY, JU

(( SENATOR D'AMATO, AND THE OTHER MEMBERS OF THE (( SENATOR D'AMATO  
NEW YORK CONGRESSIONAL DELEGATION, BOROUGH PRESIDENT NEW YORK CONGRESSIONAL  
MOLINARI, SENATE MAJORITY LEADER RALPH MARINO, SENATOR SENATE MAJOR  
GUY VELLELLA, ASSEMBLY MINORITY LEADER "RAPP" GUY VELLELLA, ASSEMBLY  
RAPPLEYEA, CHAIRMAN PAT BARRETT, DICK ROSENBAUM RAPPLEYEA, CHAIRMAN PA  
REPUBLICAN TICKET OF PIERRE RINFRET AND GEOFF YANCY REPUBLICAN TICKET OF P  
COMPTROLLER REGAN, AND BERNARD SMITH. )) COMPTROLLER REGAN, AND

(( YOU KNOW, FOR TWO YEARS, WHEN I WAS AMBASSADOR (( YOU KNOW, FOR T  
TO THE UNITED NATIONS, BARBARA AND I LIVED IN THIS VERY UNITED NATIONS,  
HOTEL, IN ROOM 42 A. AND WHENEVER I COMPLAINED ABOUT IN ROOM 42 A.  
ANYTHING, BARBARA WOULD JUST ROLL HER EYES AND SAY: BARBARA WOULD  
"JUST WHERE DO YOU THINK WE LIVE? THE WALDORF "JUST WHERE DO YOU THIN  
ASTORIA?"))\ \ \ \ ASTORIA?"))\ \ \ \

(( NOW I UNDERSTAND THAT NEW YORK CITY HAS BEEN (( NOW I UNDERSTAND  
SELECTED AS THE SITE OF AN IMPORTANT EVENT IN 1992 EC-FA AS THE SITE OF  
CONVENTION THAT WILL ATTRACT THOUSANDS OF PARTICIPANTS ION THAT WILL A  
FROM ALL OVER THE COUNTRY -- PEOPLE WHO HOPE TO PUT ALL OVER THE COUN  
THEIR PAST SETBACKS BEHIND THEM AND PLAN A WINNING IIR PAST SETBACKS BEI  
STRATEGY FOR THE FUTURE. \ \ THAT'S RIGHT -- THE FIRSTGY FOR THE FUTUR  
REUNION OF ALL THE EX-MANAGERS OF THE NEW YORK REUNION OF ALL THE EX-  
YANKEES.))\ \ \ \ YANKEES.))\ \ \ \

BUT THEN THERE IS THAT OTHER FUTURE NEW YORK CONVENTION -- THE DEMOCRATS'. LET ME SAY, MY HAND IS STILL EXTENDED TO THEM WHEN IT COMES TO WORKING FOR THE GOOD OF THE NATION. I LOOK FORWARD TO THE SENATE HEARINGS OF DAVID SOUTER; I AM SURE THEY WILL FIND HIM TO BE TOUGH, BUT FAIR.\\ HE'S A FIRST-RATE APPELLATE JUDGE, AN OUTSTANDING JURIST AND A GREAT LEGAL MIND.

MANY DEMOCRATS IN WASHINGTON HAVE SUPPORTED ME IN MEETING THIS FANTASTIC ERA OF CHANGE ABROAD; ARE WORKING WITH ME TO PASS THE FIRST REVISION OF THE CLEAN AIR ACT IN MORE THAN THIRTEEN YEARS -- TOUGHER STANDARDS TO CUT DOWN ON ACID RAIN AND OTHER AIR POLLUTANTS. AND THEY WORKED WITH ME SO I COULD SIGN INTO LAW A BILL ENDING DISCRIMINATION AGAINST DISABLED AMERICANS.

SO TOUGH NEGOTIATIONS CAN GET RESULTS. BUT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PARTIES ARE STILL BROAD AND DEEP, AND MUCH REMAINS TO BE DONE -- TOO MUCH. WITH MORE REPUBLICANS IN WASHINGTON AND IN ALBANY, THINK OF HOW MUCH MORE WE CAN ACHIEVE.

IN NEW YORK, WE FACE A TREMENDOUS OPPORTUNITY TO FIGHT THE DEMOCRAT GERRYMANDER -- AN OPPORTUNITY TO END DISCRIMINATION AGAINST VOTERS BY RACE AND BY PARTY. THAT IS OUR MISSION THIS NOVEMBER, AND IT IS ONE THAT TRANSCENDS MERE POLITICS BECAUSE WE ARE DEEPLY CONCERNED ABOUT THE FUTURE OF THIS GREAT STATE.

TO COIN A PHRASE, WE LOVE NEW YORK, ALL OF NEW YORK, FROM THE OAK-LINED AVENUES OF LONG ISLAND, TO YANKEE STADIUM, TO BROADWAY. FROM THE CITY STREETS OF BUFFALO, TO THE NEW YORK OF FARM TOWNS AND THE ADIRONDACKS -- NEW YORK IS A CITY OF LIGHTS, A STATE OF GRANDEUR, A PLACE WHERE DREAMS COME TRUE.\\ ((IT CERTAINLY IS FOR ME -- AFTER ALL, NEW YORK IS WHERE BARBARA BUSH WAS BORN.))\\

BUT WE ARE CONCERNED FOR THE FUTURE, BECAUSE NEW YORK HAS BECOME SOMETHING ELSE -- A SHOWCASE OF LIBERAL POLICIES. AND AFTER SIXTEEN YEARS OF DOMINANCE BY LIBERAL DEMOCRATS, IT IS TIME TO JUDGE THE RESULTS.

FOR 92 MONTHS, AMERICA HAS ENJOYED PEACETIME ECONOMIC EXPANSION AND THE CREATION OF MORE THAN 22 MILLION JOBS. BUT NOT ALL THE BENEFITS OF THOSE YEARS WERE ENJOYED BY THE PEOPLE OF NEW YORK STATE. THROUGHOUT THE 1980S, WHILE MOST OF AMERICA WAS GROWING AND LOOKING FORWARD TO THE FUTURE, LIFE IN NEW YORK -- ESPECIALLY IN THE CITY -- WAS BECOMING MORE EXPENSIVE, MORE DIFFICULT AND MORE DANGEROUS THAN EVER BEFORE.

LIBERAL DEMOCRATS BLAME EVERY PROBLEM ON REPUBLICAN POLICIES, ESPECIALLY REPUBLICAN ECONOMIC POLICIES. BUT IN THE LATE SEVENTIES, A LARGE AIRLINE CENTERED IN NEW YORK DIDN'T GO OUT OF BUSINESS. IT JUST DECIDED TO MOVE SOUTH, TO TEXAS, TAKING MORE THAN A THOUSAND JOBS WITH IT. AND IN 1987, A LARGE ENERGY CORPORATION BASED IN NEW YORK DIDN'T GO OUT OF BUSINESS. IT JUST DECIDED TO MOVE SOUTH TO VIRGINIA, TAKING 3,600 JOBS WITH IT. ((IN FACT, SINCE 1983, ALMOST A THIRD OF THE FORTUNE 500 CORPORATIONS BASED HERE HAVE CHOSEN TO LEAVE.))

NEW YORKERS WANT A CHANGE. NEW YORKERS WANT THE NEW YORKERS WANT COMPANIES AND THE GOOD JOBS THEY REPRESENT TO STAY COMPANIES AND THE GOOD RIGHT HERE IN NEW YORK. NEW YORKERS WANT AN END TO IT HERE IN NEW YORK OPEN-AIR DRUG MARTS AND MUGGINGS. AND NEW YORKERS WANT DRUG MARTS AND A GOVERNMENT THAT EMPOWERS PEOPLE -- NOT BUREAUCRACIES GOVERNMENT THAT EMPO

TWENTY-NINE OUT OF THE LAST THIRTY-FIVE YEARS OF TWENTY-NINE OUT OF DEMOCRAT DOMINANCE IN CONGRESS HAVE ALSO TAKEN THEIR DEMOCRAT DOMINANCE IN TOLL. ONLY A PRESIDENT CARRIES A NATIONAL MANDATE. ONLY A PRESIDENT BUT, LIKE REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTS BEFORE ME, I KNOW THAT REPUBLICAN P TO DEAL WITH A DEMOCRAT CONGRESS IS TO OFTEN FACE DEAL WITH A DEMOCRAT GOVERNMENT BY GRIDLOCK -- WITH SPENDING SKYROCKETING GOVERNMENT BY GRIDLOCK OUT OF CONTROL, GOOD LEGISLATION THROWN ASIDE FOR PORK, CONTROL, GOOD L AND A BUDGET DEFICIT LOOMING OVER OUR CHILDREN'S AND A BUDGET DEFICIT L CHILDREN.

IT IS TIME WE ASKED THE AMERICAN PEOPLE TO END THE IS TIME WE ASK GRIDLOCK. TO CHOOSE THE LIBERAL MINDSET OF THE GRIDLOCK. TO CHOOSE DEMOCRATIC PARTY OR TO CHOOSE OUR PATH -- THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY OR TO REPUBLICAN PATH OF OPPORTUNITY AND GROWTH. TO EMPOWER CAN PATH OF OPP GOVERNMENT TO RUN THEIR LIVES, OR TO EMPOWER PEOPLE TO RUN THEIR RUN THEIR LIVES FOR THEMSELVES. TO ASK AMERICA TO THEIR LIVES FOR TH CHOOSE. \\\

HERE IN NEW YORK, YOU MUST CHOOSE A GOVERNOR. LET IT BE A REPUBLICAN GOVERNOR -- PIERRE RINFRET.\\\

HERE IS A FAMILY MAN, A DECORATED WAR HERO, A SUCCESSFUL ENTREPRENEUR WHO PULLED HIMSELF OUT OF HELL'S KITCHEN, AND WANTS TO LEAD OTHERS OUT OF POVERTY. HE MAY NOT BE A POLITICIAN. BUT MAYBE NEW YORK DOESN'T NEED ANOTHER POLITICIAN. MAYBE NEW YORK NEEDS A CHANGE.\\\

NEW YORK MUST ALSO MAKE ANOTHER CHOICE -- TO KEEP YOUR OUTSTANDING SENATE LEADER RALPH MARINO AND HIS COLLEAGUES AS YOUR PRIME LINE OF DEFENSE AGAINST A LIBERAL GOVERNOR AND HIS ASSEMBLY. REPUBLICANS LIKE WHAT WORKS. THAT'S WHY YOUR REPUBLICAN SENATE HAS BEEN -- AND WILL REMAIN -- YOUR WATCHDOG AGAINST BIG SPENDERS. AND, MORE, A SANE PROPONENT OF WHAT WORKS.

SO BE THANKFUL THAT YOUR REPUBLICANS IN THE SENATE BE THANKFUL TH  
FORCED A SPENDING CAP AND BASE-LINE BUDGETING. FITCIS A SPENDING CAP  
THE REPUBLICANS IN THE SENATE WHO MANAGED TO TRIM \$1.75 REPUBLICANS IN THE  
BILLION IN DEMOCRATIC SPENDING PROPOSALS. ((THEY KNOW IN DEMOCRATIC)  
YOU CAN'T TRUST A PARTY THAT WOULD DOUBLE THE FARE OF N'T TRUST A PART  
THE STATEN ISLAND FERRY.))\\ KIDDING ASIDE, THINK OF TEN ISLAND FERR  
WHAT THESE REPUBLICANS WOULD ACHIEVE IF THEY COULD WORK SE REPUBLICANS  
WITH A REPUBLICAN GOVERNOR, A REPUBLICAN ASSEMBLY, TH A REPUBLICAN GOVE

JOB AND SPENDING ARE IMPORTANT. BUT SAFE STREETS AND SPENDING  
ARE OF EQUAL CONCERN, ESPECIALLY IN A CITY THAT LIVES IN CONCERN,  
IN FEAR. THAT'S WHY NEW YORK AGREES WITH US -- IT'S SER. THAT'S WHY N  
VIOLENT CRIMINALS DESERVE NOTHING LESS THAN PUNISHMENT CRIMINALS DESERVE  
SWIFT AND SURE. SWIFT AND SURE.

SO REPUBLICANS WANT TO CHANGE LENIENT, BLAME-THE-REPUBLICANS WA  
VICTIM LAWS, AND LIBERAL DEMOCRATS DON'T. VICTIM LAWS, AND LIBER

REPUBLICANS WANT TO ALLOW THE WOMEN OF THIS STATE REPUBLICANS WANT  
TO BE ABLE TO DEFEND THEMSELVES WITH MACE, AND LIBERAL ABLE TO DEFEND  
DEMOCRATS DON'T. DEMOCRATS DON'T.

AND REPUBLICANS WANT MURDERERS AND DRUG KINGPINS  
TO PAY THE ULTIMATE PENALTY -- AND LIBERAL DEMOCRATS  
DON'T. \\\

IN WASHINGTON, WE ARGUED THAT THOSE WHO SELL DRUGS  
ARE SELLING DEATH. AND WE PROPOSED THAT DRUG KINGPINS  
REAP WHAT THEY SOW. BUT OUR CRIME BILL FACES ANOTHER  
OBSTACLE. FIFTEEN MONTHS AGO, I STOOD BEFORE THE U.S.  
CAPITOL AND ANNOUNCED AMERICA'S DETERMINATION TO TAKE  
BACK THE STREETS.

THE SENATE HAS NOW CLEARED A CRIME BILL, A MAJOR  
NEW PACKAGE -- 423 DAYS AFTER I PROPOSED IT. IT IS NOT  
A PERFECT BILL. IT DOES NOTHING TO ENSURE THAT  
EVIDENCE GATHERED BY GOOD COPS ACTING IN GOOD FAITH  
ISN'T BARRED BY TECHNICALITIES THAT LET BAD PEOPLE GO  
FREE. BUT THIS BILL WILL GO A LONG WAY TOWARD  
TOUGHENING SENTENCES FOR VIOLENT CRIME, AND REDUCING  
REPETITIVE APPEALS.

NOW THIS LEGISLATION IS BEFORE THE HOUSE. AND LET  
US TELL THE MEMBERS OF THE HOUSE, 423 DAYS IS LONG  
ENOUGH. DON'T KEEP OUR MEN AND WOMEN IN BLUE WAITING.  
PASS A TOUGH CRIME BILL, AND PASS IT SOON. \\\

LET ME GIVE YOU ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF HOW A LIBERAL LET ME GIVE YOU  
CONGRESS, LONG IN POWER, JEALOUSLY CLINGS TO THE POLICIES OF THE PAST. LONG IN POW  
POLICIES OF THE PAST. IN APRIL OF LAST YEAR, OUR POLICIES OF THE PAST  
ADMINISTRATION ASKED CONGRESS TO PASS THE EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATION ASKED  
EXCELLENCE ACT -- REFORM PROPOSALS TO REWARD EXCELLENCE ACT -- REE  
ACHIEVEMENT AND ALLOW EDUCATIONAL CHOICE. YET CONGRESS AND ALLOW  
KILLED MANY OF THESE SENSIBLE AND COST-EFFECTIVE ILLED MANY OF THESE  
PROPOSALS. AND THEN THEY DOUBLED OUR REQUEST WITH POSALS. AND THEN  
HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS WORTH OF UNNECESSARY, HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS  
UNRELATED AND COSTLY CHANGES. UNRELATED AND COSTLY

IF LIBERAL DEMOCRATS SHOULD HAVE LEARNED ANYTHING, LIBERAL DEMOC  
IT IS THAT YOU CANNOT REFORM AN EDUCATION SYSTEM BYS THAT YOU CANNOT  
THROWING BILLIONS OF DOLLARS AT IT. SO WHEN IS IT THROWING BILLIONS OF  
GOING TO PENETRATE LIBERAL THINKING THAT WE SHOULDNT TO PENETRATE LI  
THROW MONEY AT AN INEFFECTIVE EDUCATION SYSTEM THAT IS MONEY AT AN INE  
ALREADY THE MOST EXPENSIVE IN THE INDUSTRIALIZED WORLD? THE MOST EXPE  
WHEN ARE THEY GOING TO START DEMANDING RESULTS AND STOP THEY GOING TO  
MEASURING THE VALUE OF A PROGRAM BY THE SIZE OF ITS MEASURING THE VALUE OF  
PRICE TAG? WHEN ARE THEY GOING TO STOP BLOCKING PRICE TAG? WHEN ARE  
REFORM? REFORM?

WHERE THE LIBERAL MINDSET DOMINATES, THE NET RESULT HAS BEEN THE SAME: BAD SCHOOLS, DANGEROUS STREETS, BIG DEFICITS. OF COURSE, TIMES CAN CHANGE -- AND I HOPE THEY DO. AS YOU KNOW, I MET THIS MORNING -- AND WILL MEET EVERY MORNING THIS WEEK -- WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL LEADERSHIP TO WORK FOR AN AGREEMENT TO LOWER OUR FEDERAL DEFICIT.

WE ALL KNOW THAT THE DEMOCRATS HAVE A LONG TRACK RECORD ON SPENDING. ((BUT IF THE BERLIN WALL COULD COME DOWN IN THE SAME YEAR THAT AMERICA GOES NUTS OVER TEEN-AGE MUTANT NINJA TURTLES, \\ WHO KNOWS WHAT COULD HAPPEN NEXT?)) AGAIN, TIMES CAN CHANGE. THE LEADERS OF CONGRESS CAN WORK WITH ME TO BREAK THE IMPASSE ON REDUCING THE BUDGET DEFICIT. THE SPOTLIGHT IS ON BOTH SIDES TO PLACE PROGRESS OVER PARTISANSHIP, AND THE NATIONAL INTEREST OVER SPECIAL INTERESTS. I WELCOME SINCERE EFFORTS FROM BOTH SIDES OF THE AISLE, AND I AM EAGER TO GET AN AGREEMENT WITH CONGRESSIONAL LEADERS TO ACHIEVE MEANINGFUL BUDGET REFORM.

THIS IS MY HOPE. BUT AS LONG AS THE LIBERAL MINDSET DOMINATES, WE WILL BE FORCED TO MEASURE OUR SUCCESSSES IN CATASTROPHES AVERTED AND CALAMITIES MITIGATED. THIS IS MY HOPE. LIBERAL MINDSET DOMINATES, WE WILL BE FORCED TO MEASURE OUR SUCCESSSES IN CATASTROPHES AVERTED AND CALAMITIES MITIGATED.

THE GENIUS OF THE AMERICAN SYSTEM IS THAT IT ALLOWS FOR CHECKS AND BALANCES. BUT THIS DOESN'T MEAN THAT THE VOTERS MUST CHOOSE POLITICAL STALEMATE AFTER YEAR, DECADE AFTER DECADE. LET ME BE BLUNT: DIVIDED GOVERNMENT JUST ISN'T GOOD ENOUGH, FOR AMERICA OR FOR NEW YORK. WE MUST HAVE MORE REPUBLICANS.

IT IS TIME TO ASK THE AMERICAN PEOPLE TO LET US SHOW WHAT WE CAN DO WITHOUT THE ALBATROSS OF LIBERAL LEGISLATURES. IT IS TIME TO ASK AMERICA TO CHOOSE

AS WE GO INTO THE 1990 ELECTION SEASON, REMEMBER AN ADAGE FROM A GREAT REPUBLICAN GOVERNOR OF NEW YORK AND A GREAT PRESIDENT. THEODORE ROOSEVELT SAID IN HIS LIFE, AS IN A FOOTBALL GAME, THE PRINCIPLE TO FOLLOW IS: HIT THE LINE HARD.

- 12 -

THE CHOICE IS CLEAR: REPUBLICAN REFORM OR THE  
DEMOCRAT STATUS QUO. WHEN WE PRESENT THE PEOPLE WITH  
THIS STARK CHOICE, REST ASSURED -- WE WILL HIT THE LINE  
HARD IN NOVEMBER.

THANK YOU FOR ALL YOU HAVE DONE, AND ARE PLEDGED  
TO DO. AND MAY GOD BLESS YOU, AND THE UNITED STATES OF  
AMERICA.

# # #

# WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

30 JUL 24 8:29

DATE: 07/23/90 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: ----

SUBJECT: PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY

	ACTION FYI			ACTION FYI	
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MCCLURE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SUNUNU	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NEWMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROGICH	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CARD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNTERMAYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CICCONI	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WINSTON	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROGERS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HAGIN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

The attached has been forwarded to the President.

RESPONSE:

James W. Cicconi  
Assistant to the President  
and Deputy to the Chief of Staff  
Ext. 2702

ES:BA 45...

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

July 23, 1990

**TO:** CHRISS WINSTON

**FROM: JAMES W. CICCONI**  
**Assistant to the President and**  
**Deputy to the Chief of Staff**

Have spoken with both Dave  
and Ed Rogers re the implicit  
references to Cuomo. Ed may  
offer some edits. If so, will  
forward as soon as received.

*Jim*

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

1990 JUL 23 PM 7:27

July 23, 1990

INFORMATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: CHRISS WINSTON CW

FROM: MARK DAVIS MD

SUBJECT: REVISIONS ON NEW YORK STATE PARTY

This morning, members of the Senior Staff had second thoughts on this speech, worrying that it could lead too easily to a direct debate between yourself and a certain personage in Albany. So we toned down the speech a bit, cutting out some of the fighting words.

Also, because of the ongoing budget summit, we have inserted some new language on Page Seven that leaves room for Congressional leaders to defy expectations, and to join you in a heroic step toward balancing the budget.

Davis/Blymire  
Title: Newyork1  
Date: June 16, 1990  
Draft: Four

**PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS: NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY, WALDORF ASTORIA  
7:30 p.m., TUESDAY, JULY 24, 1990**

((Senator D'Amato, Bill Green and the other Members of the New York Congressional Delegation, Senate Majority Leader Ralph Marino, Chairman Pat Barrett, Pierre Rinfret, Comptroller Regan, Guy Vellella, Assembly Minority Leader Clarence [{"RAPP"}] Rappleyea.))

((You know, for two years, when I was ambassador to the United Nations, Barbara and I lived in this very hotel, in room 42 A. And whenever I complained about anything, Barbara would just roll her eyes and say: "Just where do you think we live? The Waldorf Astoria?"))\\

((Now I understand that New York City has been selected as the site of an important event in 1992 -- a convention that will attract thousands of participants from all over the country -- people who hope to put their past setbacks behind them and plan a winning strategy for the future.\\ That's right -- the first reunion of all the ex-managers of the New York Yankees.))\\

But then there is that other future New York convention -- the Democrats'. Of course, many Democrats in Washington have supported me in meeting this fantastic era of change abroad; are working with me to pass the first revision of the Clean Air Act in more than thirteen years -- tougher standards to cut down on

acid rain and other air pollutants. And they worked with me so I could sign into law a bill ending discrimination against disabled Americans. So tough negotiations can get results. But differences between the parties are still broad and deep, and much remains to be done -- too much. With more Republicans in Washington and in Albany, think of how much more we can achieve.

In New York, we face a tremendous opportunity to fight the Democrat gerrymander -- an opportunity to end discrimination against voters by race and by party. That is our mission this November, and it is one that transcends mere politics because we are deeply concerned about the future of this great state.

To coin a phrase, we love New York, all of New York, from the oak-lined avenues of Long Island, to Yankee Stadium, to Broadway. From the city streets of Buffalo, to the New York of farm towns and the Adirondacks -- New York is a city of lights, a state of grandeur, a place where dreams come true.\\ ((It certainly is for me -- After all, New York is where Barbara Bush was born.))\\

But we are concerned for the future, because New York has become something else -- a showcase of liberal policies. And after sixteen years of dominance by liberal Democrats, it is time to judge the results.

For 92 months, America has enjoyed peacetime economic expansion and the creation of more than 22 million jobs. But not all the benefits of those years were enjoyed by the people of New York State. Throughout the 1980s, while most of America was

growing and looking forward to the future, life in New York -- especially in the City -- was becoming more expensive, more difficult and more dangerous than ever before.

Liberal Democrats blame every problem on Republican policies, especially Republican economic policies. But in the late seventies, a large airline centered in New York didn't go out of business. It just decided to move south, to Texas, taking more than a thousand jobs with it. And in 1987, a large energy corporation based in New York didn't go out of business. It just decided to move south to Virginia, taking 3,600 jobs with it. ((In fact, since 1983, almost a third of the Fortune 500 corporations based here have chosen to leave.))

New Yorkers are simply sick and tired of a declining quality of life that drives away companies and good jobs. New Yorkers are sick and tired of open-air drug marts and muggings. And New Yorkers are sick and tired of the liberal anti-reform mentality.

Twenty-nine out of the last thirty-five years of Democrat dominance in Congress have also taken their toll. Only a President carries a national mandate. But, like Republican Presidents before me, I know that to deal with a Democrat Congress is to often face government by gridlock -- with spending skyrocketing out of control, good legislation thrown aside for pork, and a budget deficit looming over our children's children.

It is time we asked the American people to end the gridlock. To choose the liberal mindset of the Democratic Party or to choose our path -- the Republican path of opportunity and growth.

**To empower government to run their lives, or to empower people to run their lives for themselves. To ask America to CHOOSE.\\\**

Here in New York, you must choose a governor. Let it be a Republican Governor -- **Pierre Rinfret.\\\** Here is a family man, a decorated war hero, a successful entrepreneur who pulled himself out of Hell's Kitchen, and wants to lead others out of poverty. He may not be a politician. But maybe New York doesn't need another politician. **Maybe New York needs a change.\\\**

New York must also make another choice -- to keep your outstanding Senate Leader Ralph Marino and his colleagues as your last line of defense against a liberal governor and his Assembly. Republicans like what works. That's why your Republican Senate has been -- and will remain -- your watchdog against big spenders.

So be thankful that your Republicans in the Senate forced a spending cap and base-line budgeting. It is the Republicans in the Senate who managed to trim \$1.5 billion in Democratic spending proposals. ((They know you can't trust a party that would double the fare of the Staten Island Ferry.))\\\ Kidding aside, think of what these Republicans would achieve if they could work with a Republican governor, a Republican Assembly.

Jobs and spending are important. But safe streets are of equal concern, especially in a city that lives in fear. That's why New York agrees with us -- these violent criminals deserve nothing less than punishment swift and sure.

So Republicans want to change lenient, blame-the-victim laws, and liberal Democrats don't.

Republicans want to allow the women of this state to be able to defend themselves with MACE, and liberal Democrats don't.

And Republicans want murderers and drug kingpins to pay the ultimate penalty -- and liberal Democrats don't.\\\

In Washington, we argued that those who sell drugs are selling death. And we proposed that drug kingpins reap what they sow. But our crime bill faces another obstacle. Fifteen months ago, I stood before the U.S. Capitol and announced America's determination to take back the streets.

The Senate has now cleared a crime bill, a major new package -- 423 days after I proposed it. It is not a perfect bill. It does nothing to ensure that evidence gathered by good cops acting in good faith isn't barred by technicalities that let bad people go free. But this bill will go a long way toward toughening sentences for violent crime, and reducing repetitive appeals.

Now this legislation is before the House. And let us tell the Members of the House, 423 days is long enough. Don't keep our men and women in blue waiting. Pass a tough crime bill, and pass it soon.\\\

Let me give you another example of how a liberal Congress, long in power, jealously clings to the failed policies of the past. In April of last year, our Administration asked Congress to pass the Educational Excellence Act -- reform proposals to reward achievement and allow educational choice. Yet Congress

killed many of these sensible and cost-effective proposals. And then they doubled our request with hundreds of millions of dollars worth of unnecessary, unrelated and costly changes.

If liberal Democrats should have learned anything, it is that you cannot reform an education system by throwing billions of dollars at it. So when is it going to penetrate liberal thinking that we shouldn't throw money at an ineffective education system that is already the most expensive in the industrialized world? When are they going to start demanding results and stop measuring the value of a program by the size of its price tag? When are they going to stop blocking reform?

Where the liberal mindset dominates, the net result has been the same: bad schools, dangerous streets, big deficits. Of course, times can change -- and I hope they do. As you know, I met this morning -- and will meet every morning this week -- with the Congressional leadership to work for an agreement to lower our federal deficit.

We all know that the Democrats have a long track record on spending. ((But if the Berlin Wall could come down in the same year that America goes nuts over Teen-Age Mutant Ninja Turtles, \\ who knows what could happen next?)) Again, times can change. The leaders of Congress can work with me to break the impasse on reducing the budget deficit. The spotlight is on both sides to place progress over partisanship, and the national interest over special interests. I welcome sincere efforts from both sides of

the aisle, and I am eager to get an agreement with Congressional leaders to achieve meaningful budget reform.

This is my hope. But as long as the liberal mindset dominates, we will be forced to measure our successes in catastrophes averted and calamities mitigated.

The genius of the American system is that it allows for checks and balances. But this doesn't mean that the voters must choose political stalemate, year after year, decade after decade. Let me be blunt: Divided government just isn't good enough, for America or for New York. We must have more Republicans.\\

It is time to ask the American people to let us show what we can do without the albatross of liberal legislatures. It is time to ask America to choose.

As we go into the 1990 election season, remember an adage from a great Republican governor of New York, and a great President. Theodore Roosevelt said: "In life, as in a football game, the principle to follow is: Hit the line hard."

The choice is clear: Republican reform or the Democrat status quo. When we present the people with this stark choice, rest assured -- we will hit the line hard in November.

Thank you for all you have done, and are pledged to do. And may God bless you, and the United States of America.

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN  
7/23/90

July 21, 1990

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: CHRISS WINSTON  
FROM: MARK DAVIS MD  
SUBJECT: NEW YORK GOP

I. SUMMARY

You will speak to about 1,400 New York Republicans at the Waldorf Astoria Ballroom, Tuesday, June 24, at about 7:45 p.m. Your remarks are about fourteen minutes long and will be on teleprompter.

II. DISCUSSION

This speech praises gubernatorial candidate Pierre Rinfret, but looks to the New York Republican Senate as our last line of defense against gerrymandering. While praising New York State, it details the failure of liberal government. And it draws an explicit comparison between liberal legislators in Albany and in Washington, and asks the American people to -- once and for all -- choose between the parties.

Because this speech "hits the line hard," we are double-sourcing certain sections (in some cases, triple-sourcing.) When you find a few lines in brackets, it means that the item mentioned has a document to verify it -- and we are waiting to gather even more sources to back it up.

1990 JUL 21 PM 4:54

OK  
question  
p. 4

Davis/Blymire  
Title: New York  
Date: June 16, 1990  
Draft: Three

PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS: NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY, WALDORF ASTORIA  
7:30 p.m., TUESDAY, JULY 24, 1990

((Senator D'Amato, Bill Green and the other Members of the New York Congressional Delegation, Senate Majority Leader Ralph Marino, Chairman Pat Barrett, Pierre Rinfret, Comptroller Regan, Guy Vellella, Assembly Minority Leader Clarence ["RAPP"] Rappleyea.))

((You know, for two years, when I was ambassador to the United Nations, Barbara and I lived in this very hotel, in room 42 A. And whenever I complained about anything, Barbara would just roll her eyes and say: "Just where do you think we live? The Waldorf Astoria?"))\\

((Now I understand that New York City has been selected as the site of an important event in 1992 -- a convention that will attract thousands of participants from all over the country -- people who hope to put their past setbacks behind them and plan a winning strategy for the future.\\ That's right -- the first reunion of all the ex-managers of the New York Yankees.))\\

But then there is that other future New York convention -- the Democrats. Of course, many Democrats in Washington have supported me in meeting this fantastic era of change abroad; have worked with me to pass the first revision of the Clean Air Act in more than thirteen years -- tougher standards to cut down on acid

rain and other air pollutants. And they worked with me so I could sign into law a bill ending discrimination against disabled Americans. So tough negotiations can get results. But differences between the parties are still broad and deep, and much remains to be done -- too much. With more Republicans in Washington and in Albany, think of how much more we can achieve.

In New York, we face a tremendous opportunity to end the Democrat gerrymander -- an opportunity to end discrimination against voters by race and by party. That is our mission this November, and it is one that transcends mere politics because we are deeply concerned about the future of this great state.

To coin a phrase, we love New York, all of New York, from the oak-lined avenues of Long Island, to Yankee Stadium, to Broadway. From the city streets of Buffalo, to the New York of farm towns and the Adirondacks -- New York is a city of lights, a state of grandeur, a place where dreams come true.\\ ((It certainly is for me -- After all, New York is where <sup>Barbara</sup> ~~the silver~~ <sub>was born</sub> Fox was born.))\\

But we are concerned for the future, because New York has become something else -- a showcase of liberal policies. And after sixteen years of dominance by liberal Democrats, it is time to judge the results.

For 92 months, America has enjoyed peacetime economic expansion and the creation of more than 22 million jobs. But not all the benefits of those years were enjoyed by the people of New York State. Throughout the 1980s, while most of America was

growing and looking forward to the future, life in New York -- especially in the City -- was becoming more expensive, more difficult and more dangerous than ever before.

In Albany, liberal Democrats blame every problem on Republican policies, especially Republican economic policies. But in the late seventies, a large airline centered in New York didn't go out of business. It just decided to move south, to Texas, taking more than a thousand jobs with it. And in 1987, a large energy corporation based in New York didn't go out of business. It just decided to move south to Virginia, taking 3,600 jobs with it. ((In fact, since 1983, almost a third of the Fortune 500 corporations based here have chosen to leave.))

Now New York's credit rating is in a bigger slump than the Yankee's batting average. And yet my friends in New York tell me that this year Democrat leaders proposed an almost ten percent increase in spending -- twice the rate of inflation! ((No wonder Rapp here said: "This is not a budget, it's a buffet."))\ \

Who let this happen? The same people who've been in power in Gracie Mansion and Albany for years -- liberal Democrats. Well, New Yorkers are sick and tired of a declining quality of life that drives away companies and good jobs. New Yorkers are sick and tired of open-air drug marts and muggings. And New Yorkers are sick and tired of the liberal anti-reform mentality.

Twenty-nine out of the last thirty-five years of Democrat dominance in Congress have also taken their toll. Only a President carries a national mandate. But, like Republican

Presidents before me, I know that to deal with a Democrat Congress is to often face government by gridlock -- with spending skyrocketing out of control, good legislation thrown aside for pork, and a budget deficit looming over our children's children.

It is time we asked the American people to end the gridlock. To choose the liberal mindset of the Democratic Party or to choose our path -- the Republican path. To empower government to run their lives, or to empower people to run their lives for themselves. To ask America to CHOOSE.\\\

Here in New York, you must choose a governor. Let it be a Republican Governor -- Pierre Rinfret.\\\ Here is a family man, a decorated war hero, a successful entrepreneur who pulled himself out of Hell's Kitchen, and wants to lead others out of poverty. He may not be a politician. But maybe New York doesn't need another politician. Maybe New York needs a change.\\\

New York must also make another choice -- to keep your outstanding Senate Leader Ralph Marino and his colleagues as your last line of defense against a liberal governor and his Assembly. Republicans like what works. That's why your Republican Senate has been -- and will remain -- your watchdog against big spenders.

And believe me, you're matched up against big spenders. Example: An adjunct fellow of the Manhattan Institute reports that the state payroll ballooned from \$3 billion in 1983 to almost \$7 billion in 1988 -- a 130 percent increase! No wonder New Yorkers are among the highest taxed people in the country.

*How did Fed do??*

So be thankful that your Republicans in the Senate forced a spending cap and base-line budgeting. It is the Republicans in the Senate who managed to trim \$1.5 billion in Democratic spending proposals. ((They know you can't trust a party that would double the fare of the Staten Island Ferry.))\\\ Kidding aside, think of what these Republicans would achieve if they could work with a Republican governor, a Republican Assembly.

Jobs and taxes are important. But safe streets are of equal concern, especially in a city that lives in fear. That's why New York agrees with us -- these violent criminals deserve nothing less than punishment swift and sure.

So Republicans want to change lenient, blame-the-victim laws, and liberal Democrats don't.

Republicans want to allow the women of this state to be able to defend themselves with MACE, and liberal Democrats don't.

And Republicans want murderers and drug kingpins to pay the ultimate penalty -- and liberal Democrats don't.\\\

In Washington, we argued that those who sell drugs are selling death. And we proposed that drug kingpins reap what they sow. But our crime bill faces another obstacle. Fifteen months ago, I stood before the U.S. Capitol and announced America's determination to take back the streets.

The Senate has now cleared a crime bill, a major new package -- 423 days after I proposed it. It is not a perfect bill. It does nothing to ensure that evidence gathered by good cops acting in good faith isn't barred by technicalities that let bad people

go free. But it is a crime bill, and it does toughen sentences for violent crime.

Now this legislation is before the House. And let us tell the Members of the House, 423 days is long enough. Don't keep our men and women in blue waiting. Pass a tough crime bill, and pass it soon.\\\

Let me give you another example of how a liberal Congress, long in power, jealously clings to the failed policies of the past. In April of last year, our Administration asked Congress to pass the Educational Excellence Act -- reform proposals to reward achievement and allow educational choice. Yet Congress killed many of these sensible and cost-effective proposals. And then they doubled our request with hundreds of millions of dollars worth of unnecessary, unrelated and costly changes.

If liberal Democrats should have learned anything, it is that you cannot reform an education system by throwing billions of dollars at it. ((After all, Al Shanker, President of the American Federation of Teachers, estimates that New York State has more school administrators than all of Western Europe.))

So when is it going to penetrate liberal thinking that we shouldn't throw money at an ineffective education system that is already the most expensive in the industrialized world? When are they going to start demanding results and stop measuring the value of a program by the size of its price tag? When are they going to stop blocking reform?

But where the liberal mindset dominates, as it does in Albany and in Washington, the net result is the same: bad schools, dangerous streets, big deficits. And as long as liberal Democrats run Congress and run Albany, we -- a Republican President and a Republican New York State Senate -- will often be forced to measure our successes in catastrophes averted and calamities mitigated.

The genius of the American system is that it allows for checks and balances. But this doesn't mean that the voters must choose political stalemate, year after year, decade after decade. Let me be blunt: Divided government isn't working very well.

Divided government just isn't good enough, for America or for New York. **We must have more Republicans.\**

It is time to ask the American people to let us show what we can do without the albatross of liberal legislatures. It is time to ask America to choose.

As we go into the 1990 election season, remember an adage from a great Republican governor of New York, and a great President. Theodore Roosevelt said: "In life, as in a football game, the principle to follow is: Hit the line hard."

The choice is clear: Republican reform or the Democrat status quo. When we present the people with this stark choice, rest assured -- we will hit the line hard in November.

Thank you for all you have done, and are pledged to do. And may God bless you, and the United States of America.

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

July 23, 1990

WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

ENT HAS SEEN  
7/23/90

1990 JUL 21 PM 4:54

**TO:** CHRISS WINSTON  
**FROM:** **JAMES W. CICCONI**  
**Assistant to the President and**  
**Deputy to the Chief of Staff**

L, 1990

*OK*  
*Christie*  
*R. 4*

Have spoken with both Dave and Ed Rogers re the implicit references to Cuomo. Ed may offer some edits. If so, will forward as soon as received.

*Jim*

York Republicans at the Waldorf 4, at about 7:45 p.m. Your teleprompter. --- fourteen minutes long and will be on

**II. DISCUSSION**

This speech praises gubernatorial candidate Pierre Rinfret, but looks to the New York Republican Senate as our last line of defense against gerrymandering. While praising New York State, it details the failure of liberal government. And it draws an explicit comparison between liberal legislators in Albany and in Washington, and asks the American people to -- once and for all -- choose between the parties.

Because this speech "hits the line hard," we are double-sourcing certain sections (in some cases, triple-sourcing.) When you find a few lines in brackets, it means that the item mentioned has a document to verify it -- and we are waiting to gather even more sources to back it up.

Davis/Blymire  
Title: New York  
Date: June 16, 1990  
Draft: Three

**PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS: NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY, WALDORF ASTORIA  
7:30 p.m., TUESDAY, JULY 24, 1990**

((Senator D'Amato, Bill Green and the other Members of the New York Congressional Delegation, Senate Majority Leader Ralph Marino, Chairman Pat Barrett, Pierre Rinfret, Comptroller Regan, Guy Vellella, Assembly Minority Leader Clarence [{"RAPP"}] Rappleyea.))

((You know, for two years, when I was ambassador to the United Nations, Barbara and I lived in this very hotel, in room 42 A. And whenever I complained about anything, Barbara would just roll her eyes and say: "Just where do you think we live? The Waldorf Astoria?"))\\ \\

((Now I understand that New York City has been selected as the site of an important event in 1992 -- a convention that will attract thousands of participants from all over the country -- people who hope to put their past setbacks behind them and plan a winning strategy for the future.\\ That's right -- the first reunion of all the ex-managers of the New York Yankees.))\\ \\

But then there is that other future New York convention -- the Democrats. Of course, many Democrats in Washington have supported me in meeting this fantastic era of change abroad; have worked with me to pass the first revision of the Clean Air Act in more than thirteen years -- tougher standards to cut down on acid

rain and other air pollutants. And they worked with me so I could sign into law a bill ending discrimination against disabled Americans. So tough negotiations can get results. But differences between the parties are still broad and deep, and much remains to be done -- too much. With more Republicans in Washington and in Albany, think of how much more we can achieve.

In New York, we face a tremendous opportunity to end the Democrat gerrymander -- an opportunity to end discrimination against voters by race and by party. That is our mission this November, and it is one that transcends mere politics because we are deeply concerned about the future of this great state.

To coin a phrase, we love New York, all of New York, from the oak-lined avenues of Long Island, to Yankee Stadium, to Broadway. From the city streets of Buffalo, to the New York of farm towns and the Adirondacks -- New York is a city of lights, a state of grandeur, a place where dreams come true.\\ ((It certainly is for me -- After all, New York is where <sup>Barbara</sup> ~~the Silver~~ ~~was born.~~))\\  
~~was born.~~))\\

But we are concerned for the future, because New York has become something else -- a showcase of liberal policies. And after sixteen years of dominance by liberal Democrats, it is time to judge the results.

For 92 months, America has enjoyed peacetime economic expansion and the creation of more than 22 million jobs. But not all the benefits of those years were enjoyed by the people of New York State. Throughout the 1980s, while most of America was

growing and looking forward to the future, life in New York -- especially in the City -- was becoming more expensive, more difficult and more dangerous than ever before.

~~In Albany,~~ Liberal Democrats blame every problem on Republican policies, especially Republican economic policies. But in the late seventies, a large airline centered in New York didn't go out of business. It just decided to move south, to Texas, taking more than a thousand jobs with it. And in 1987, a large energy corporation based in New York didn't go out of business. It just decided to move south to Virginia, taking 3,600 jobs with it. ((In fact, since 1983, almost a third of the Fortune 500 corporations based here have chosen to leave.))

Keep

~~Now New York's credit rating is in a bigger slump than the Yankee's batting average. And yet my friends in New York tell me that this year Democrat leaders proposed an almost ten percent increase in spending -- twice the rate of inflation! ((No wonder Rapp here said: "This is not a budget, it's a buffet."))\ \~~

RODGERS  
Delete

~~Who let this happen? The same people who've been in power in Gracie Mansion and Albany for years -- liberal Democrats.~~

RODGERS -  
Dem most

Well, New Yorkers are sick and tired of a declining quality of life that drives away companies and good jobs. New Yorkers are sick and tired of open-air drug marts and muggings. And New Yorkers are sick and tired of the liberal anti-reform mentality.

Twenty-nine out of the last thirty-five years of Democrat dominance in Congress have also taken their toll. Only a President carries a national mandate. But, like Republican

Presidents before me, I know that to deal with a Democrat Congress is to often face government by gridlock -- with spending skyrocketing out of control, good legislation thrown aside for pork, and a budget deficit looming over our children's children.

It is time we asked the American people to end the gridlock. To choose the liberal mindset of the Democratic Party or to choose our path -- the Republican path. To empower government to run their lives, or to empower people to run their lives for themselves. To ask America to CHOOSE.\\\

Here in New York, you must choose a governor. Let it be a Republican Governor -- Pierre Rinfret.\\\ Here is a family man, a decorated war hero, a successful entrepreneur who pulled himself out of Hell's Kitchen, and wants to lead others out of poverty. He may not be a politician. But maybe New York doesn't need another politician. Maybe New York needs a change.\\\

New York must also make another choice -- to keep your outstanding Senate Leader Ralph Marino and his colleagues as your last line of defense against a liberal governor and his Assembly. Republicans like what works. That's why your Republican Senate has been -- and will remain -- your watchdog against big spenders.

And believe me, you're matched up against big spenders. Example: An adjunct fellow of the Manhattan Institute reports that the state payroll ballooned from \$3 billion in 1983 to almost \$7 billion in 1988 -- a 130 percent increase! No wonder New Yorkers are among the highest taxed people in the country.

So be thankful that your Republicans in the Senate forced a spending cap and base-line budgeting. It is the Republicans in the Senate who managed to trim \$1.5 billion in Democratic spending proposals. ((They know you can't trust a party that would double the fare of the Staten Island Ferry.))\\\ Kidding aside, think of what these Republicans would achieve if they could work with a Republican governor, a Republican Assembly.

Jobs and taxes are important. But safe streets are of equal concern, especially in a city that lives in fear. That's why New York agrees with us -- these violent criminals deserve nothing less than punishment swift and sure.

So Republicans want to change lenient, blame-the-victim laws, and liberal Democrats don't.

Republicans want to allow the women of this state to be able to defend themselves with MACE, and liberal Democrats don't.

And Republicans want murderers and drug kingpins to pay the ultimate penalty -- and liberal Democrats don't.\\\

In Washington, we argued that those who sell drugs are selling death. And we proposed that drug kingpins reap what they sow. But our crime bill faces another obstacle. Fifteen months ago, I stood before the U.S. Capitol and announced America's determination to take back the streets.

The Senate has now cleared a crime bill, a major new package -- 423 days after I proposed it. It is not a perfect bill. It does nothing to ensure that evidence gathered by good cops acting in good faith isn't barred by technicalities that let bad people

go free. But it is a crime bill, and it does toughen sentences for violent crime.

Now this legislation is before the House. And let us tell the Members of the House, 423 days is long enough. Don't keep our men and women in blue waiting. Pass a tough crime bill, and pass it soon.\\\

Let me give you another example of how a liberal Congress, long in power, jealously clings to the failed policies of the past. In April of last year, our Administration asked Congress to pass the Educational Excellence Act -- reform proposals to reward achievement and allow educational choice. Yet Congress killed many of these sensible and cost-effective proposals. And then they doubled our request with hundreds of millions of dollars worth of unnecessary, unrelated and costly changes.

If liberal Democrats should have learned anything, it is that you cannot reform an education system by throwing billions of dollars at it. ((After all, Al Shanker, President of the American Federation of Teachers, estimates that New York State has more school administrators than all of Western Europe.))

So when is it going to penetrate liberal thinking that we shouldn't throw money at an ineffective education system that is already the most expensive in the industrialized world? When are they going to start demanding results and stop measuring the value of a program by the size of its price tag? When are they going to stop blocking reform?

*Three of us is the forefront of reform*

*meeting every day every week - long 1. b. sword / tons of change / so can change this need to embrace a new path*

*insert*

But where the liberal mindset dominates, ~~as it does in Albany and in Washington,~~ the net result is the same: bad schools, dangerous streets, big deficits. And as long as liberal Democrats run Congress and run Albany, we -- a Republican President and a Republican New York State Senate -- will often be forced to measure our successes in catastrophes averted and calamities mitigated. [INSERT]

*THOSE OF US IN THE FOREFRONT OF REFORM.*

The genius of the American system is that it allows for checks and balances. <sup>STILL,</sup> But this doesn't mean that the voters must choose political stalemate, year after year, decade after decade. Let me be blunt: Divided government isn't working very well.

Divided government just isn't good enough, for America or for New York. **We must have more Republicans.**

It is time to ask the American people to let us show what we can do without the albatross of liberal legislatures. It is time to ask America to choose.

As we go into the 1990 election season, remember an adage from a great Republican governor of New York, and a great President. Theodore Roosevelt said: "In life, as in a football game, the principle to follow is: Hit the line hard."

The choice is clear: Republican reform or the Democrat status quo. When we present the people with this stark choice, rest assured -- we will hit the line hard in November.

Thank you for all you have done, and are pledged to do. And may God bless you, and the United States of America.

\$1900.00 m.

20 b-

purchase on all of us

proof padding since intelligence to . . .

---

- ~~Cicconi~~ - RODOERS -

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

90 JUL 23 P7:27

July 23, 1990

INFORMATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: CHRISS WINSTON *CW*

FROM: MARK DAVIS *MD*

SUBJECT: REVISIONS ON NEW YORK STATE PARTY

This morning, members of the Senior Staff had second thoughts on this speech, worrying that it could lead too easily to a direct debate between yourself and a certain personage in Albany. So we toned down the speech a bit, cutting out some of the fighting words.

Also, because of the ongoing budget summit, we have inserted some new language on Page Seven that leaves room for Congressional leaders to defy expectations, and to join you in a heroic step toward balancing the budget.

Davis/Blymire  
Title: Newyork1  
Date: June 16, 1990  
Draft: Four

PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS: NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY, WALDORF ASTORIA  
7:30 p.m., TUESDAY, JULY 24, 1990

((Senator D'Amato, Bill Green and the other Members of the New York Congressional Delegation, Senate Majority Leader Ralph Marino, Chairman Pat Barrett, Pierre Rinfret, Comptroller Regan, Guy Vellella, Assembly Minority Leader Clarence [{"RAPP"}] Rappleyea.))

((You know, for two years, when I was ambassador to the United Nations, Barbara and I lived in this very hotel, in room 42 A. And whenever I complained about anything, Barbara would just roll her eyes and say: "Just where do you think we live? The Waldorf Astoria?"))\\

((Now I understand that New York City has been selected as the site of an important event in 1992 -- a convention that will attract thousands of participants from all over the country -- people who hope to put their past setbacks behind them and plan a winning strategy for the future.\\ That's right -- the first reunion of all the ex-managers of the New York Yankees.))\\

But then there is that **other** future New York convention -- the Democrats'. Of course, many Democrats in Washington have supported me in meeting this fantastic era of change abroad; are working with me to pass the first revision of the Clean Air Act in more than thirteen years -- tougher standards to cut down on

acid rain and other air pollutants. And they worked with me so I could sign into law a bill ending discrimination against disabled Americans. So tough negotiations can get results. But differences between the parties are still broad and deep, and much remains to be done -- too much. With more Republicans in Washington and in Albany, think of how much more we can achieve.

In New York, we face a tremendous opportunity to end the Democrat gerrymander -- an opportunity to end discrimination against voters by race and by party. That is our mission this November, and it is one that transcends mere politics because we are deeply concerned about the future of this great state.

To coin a phrase, we love New York, all of New York, from the oak-lined avenues of Long Island, to Yankee Stadium, to Broadway. From the city streets of Buffalo, to the New York of farm towns and the Adirondacks -- New York is a city of lights, a state of grandeur, a place where dreams come true.\\ ((It certainly is for me -- After all, New York is where Barbara Bush was born.))\\

But we are concerned for the future, because New York has become something else -- a showcase of liberal policies. And after sixteen years of dominance by liberal Democrats, it is time to judge the results.

For 92 months, America has enjoyed peacetime economic expansion and the creation of more than 22 million jobs. But not all the benefits of those years were enjoyed by the people of New York State. Throughout the 1980s, while most of America was

growing and looking forward to the future, life in New York -- especially in the City -- was becoming more expensive, more difficult and more dangerous than ever before.

Liberal Democrats blame every problem on Republican policies, especially Republican economic policies. But in the late seventies, a large airline centered in New York didn't go out of business. It just decided to move south, to Texas, taking more than a thousand jobs with it. And in 1987, a large energy corporation based in New York didn't go out of business. It just decided to move south to Virginia, taking 3,600 jobs with it. ((In fact, since 1983, almost a third of the Fortune 500 corporations based here have chosen to leave.))

New Yorkers are simply sick and tired of a declining quality of life that drives away companies and good jobs. New Yorkers are sick and tired of open-air drug marts and muggings. And New Yorkers are sick and tired of the liberal anti-reform mentality.

Twenty-nine out of the last thirty-five years of Democrat dominance in Congress have also taken their toll. Only a President carries a national mandate. But, like Republican Presidents before me, I know that to deal with a Democrat Congress is to often face government by gridlock -- with spending skyrocketing out of control, good legislation thrown aside for pork, and a budget deficit looming over our children's children.

It is time we asked the American people to end the gridlock. To choose the liberal mindset of the Democratic Party or to choose our path -- the Republican path of opportunity and growth.

To empower government to run their lives, or to empower people to run their lives for themselves. To ask America to CHOOSE.\\\

Here in New York, you must choose a governor. Let it be a Republican Governor -- **Pierre Rinfret**.\\\ Here is a family man, a decorated war hero, a successful entrepreneur who pulled himself out of Hell's Kitchen, and wants to lead others out of poverty. He may not be a politician. But maybe New York doesn't need another politician. **Maybe New York needs a change.**\\\

New York must also make another choice -- to keep your outstanding Senate Leader Ralph Marino and his colleagues as your last line of defense against a liberal governor and his Assembly. Republicans like what works. That's why your Republican Senate has been -- and will remain -- your watchdog against big spenders.

So be thankful that your Republicans in the Senate forced a spending cap and base-line budgeting. It is the Republicans in the Senate who managed to trim \$1.5 billion in Democratic spending proposals. ((They know you can't trust a party that would double the fare of the Staten Island Ferry.))\\\ Kidding aside, think of what these Republicans would achieve if they could work with a **Republican governor, a Republican Assembly.**

Jobs and spending are important. But safe streets are of equal concern, especially in a city that lives in fear. That's why New York agrees with us -- these violent criminals deserve nothing less than punishment swift and sure.

So Republicans want to change lenient, blame-the-victim laws, and liberal Democrats don't.

Republicans want to allow the women of this state to be able to defend themselves with MACE, and liberal Democrats don't.

**And Republicans want murderers and drug kingpins to pay the ultimate penalty -- and liberal Democrats don't.\\\**

In Washington, we argued that those who sell drugs are selling death. And we proposed that drug kingpins reap what they sow. But our crime bill faces another obstacle. Fifteen months ago, I stood before the U.S. Capitol and announced America's determination to take back the streets.

The Senate has now cleared a crime bill, a major new package -- 423 days after I proposed it. It is not a perfect bill. It does nothing to ensure that evidence gathered by good cops acting in good faith isn't barred by technicalities that let bad people go free. But this bill will go a long way toward toughening sentences for violent crime, and reducing repetitive appeals.

Now this legislation is before the House. And let us tell the Members of the House, 423 days is long enough. Don't keep our men and women in blue waiting. Pass a tough crime bill, and pass it soon.\\\

Let me give you another example of how a liberal Congress, long in power, jealously clings to the failed policies of the past. In April of last year, our Administration asked Congress to pass the Educational Excellence Act -- reform proposals to reward achievement and allow educational choice. Yet Congress

killed many of these sensible and cost-effective proposals. And then they doubled our request with hundreds of millions of dollars worth of unnecessary, unrelated and costly changes.

If liberal Democrats should have learned anything, it is that you cannot reform an education system by throwing billions of dollars at it. So when is it going to penetrate liberal thinking that we shouldn't throw money at an ineffective education system that is already the most expensive in the industrialized world? When are they going to start demanding results and stop measuring the value of a program by the size of its price tag? When are they going to stop blocking reform?

Where the liberal mindset dominates, the net result has been the same: bad schools, dangerous streets, big deficits. Of course, times can change -- and I hope they do. As you know, I met this morning -- and will meet every morning this week -- with the Congressional leadership to work for an agreement to lower our federal deficit.

We all know that the Democrats have a long track record on spending. ((But if the Berlin Wall could come down in the same year that America goes nuts over Teen-Age Mutant Ninja Turtles, \\ who knows what could happen next?)) Again, times can change. The leaders of Congress can work with me to break the impasse on reducing the budget deficit. The spotlight is on both sides to place progress over partisanship, and the national interest over special interests. I welcome sincere efforts from both sides of

the aisle, and I am eager to get an agreement with Congressional leaders to achieve meaningful budget reform.

This is my hope. But as long as the liberal mindset dominates, we will be forced to measure our successes in catastrophes averted and calamities mitigated.

The genius of the American system is that it allows for checks and balances. But this doesn't mean that the voters must choose political stalemate, year after year, decade after decade. Let me be blunt: Divided government just isn't good enough, for America or for New York. **We must have more Republicans.**\\

It is time to ask the American people to let us show what we can do without the albatross of liberal legislatures. It is time to ask America to choose.

As we go into the 1990 election season, remember an adage from a great Republican governor of New York, and a great President. Theodore Roosevelt said: "In life, as in a football game, the principle to follow is: Hit the line hard."

The choice is clear: Republican reform or the Democrat status quo. When we present the people with this stark choice, rest assured -- we will hit the line hard in November.

Thank you for all you have done, and are pledged to do. And may God bless you, and the United States of America.

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

90 JUL 23 09:57

DATE: 7/21/90 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: -----

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY  
WALDORF ASTORIA

SUBJECT: TUESDAY, JULY 24, 1990, 7:30 PM  
(7/16 - draft three)

	ACTION FYI			ACTION FYI	
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCCLURE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SUNUNU	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	NEWMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ROGICH	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CARD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNTERMAYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CICCONI	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ROGERS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PINKERTON	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WINSTON	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	CARNEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HAGIN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BOSKIN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ANDERSON	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
			BENNETT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

The attached has been forwarded to the President.

RESPONSE:

James W. Cicconi  
Assistant to the President  
and Deputy to the Chief of Staff  
Ext. 2702

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

1990 JUL 21 PM 4:54

July 21, 1990

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: CHRISS WINSTON

FROM: MARK DAVIS *MD*

SUBJECT: NEW YORK GOP

I. SUMMARY

You will speak to about 1,400 New York Republicans at the Waldorf Astoria Ballroom, Tuesday, June 24, at about 7:45 p.m. Your remarks are about fourteen minutes long and will be on teleprompter.

II. DISCUSSION

This speech praises gubernatorial candidate Pierre Rinfret, but looks to the New York Republican Senate as our last line of defense against gerrymandering. While praising New York State, it details the failure of liberal government. And it draws an explicit comparison between liberal legislators in Albany and in Washington, and asks the American people to -- once and for all -- choose between the parties.

Because this speech "hits the line hard," we are double-sourcing certain sections (in some cases, triple-sourcing.) When you find a few lines in brackets, it means that the item mentioned has a document to verify it -- and we are waiting to gather even more sources to back it up.

Davis/Blymire  
Title: Newyork  
Date: June 16, 1990  
Draft: Three

**PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS: NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY, WALDORF ASTORIA  
7:30 p.m., TUESDAY, JULY 24, 1990**

((Senator D'Amato and all the Members of the New York Delegation, Senate Majority Leader Ralph Marino, Chairman Pat Barrett, Pierre Rinfret, Comptroller Regan, Guy Vellella, Assembly Minority Leader Clarence [{"RAPP"}] Rappleyea.))

((You know, for two years, when I was ambassador to the United Nations, Barbara and I lived in this very hotel, in room 42 A. And whenever I complained about anything, Barbara would just roll her eyes and say: "Just where do you think we live? The Waldorf Astoria?"))\\

((Now I understand that New York City has been selected as the site of an important event in 1992 -- a convention that will attract thousands of participants from all over the country -- people who hope to put their past setbacks behind them and plan a winning strategy for the future.\\ That's right -- the first reunion of all the ex-managers of the New York Yankees.))\\

But then there is that other future New York convention -- the Democrats. Of course, many Democrats in Washington have supported me in meeting this fantastic era of change abroad; have worked with me to pass the first revision of the Clean Air Act in more than thirteen years -- tougher standards to cut down on acid rain and other air pollutants. And they worked with me so I

could sign into law a bill ending discrimination against disabled Americans. So tough negotiations can get results. But differences between the parties are still broad and deep, and much remains to be done -- too much. With more Republicans in Washington and in Albany, think of how much more we can achieve.

In New York, we face a tremendous opportunity to end the Democrat gerrymander -- an opportunity to end discrimination against voters by race and by party. That is our mission this November, and it is one that transcends mere politics because we are deeply concerned about the future of this great state.

To coin a phrase, we love New York, all of New York, from the oak-lined avenues of Long Island, to Yankee Stadium, to Broadway. From the city streets of Buffalo, to the New York of farm towns and the Adirondacks -- New York is a city of lights, a state of grandeur, a place where dreams come true.\\ ((It certainly is for me -- After all, New York is where the Silver Fox was born.))\\

But we are concerned for the future, because New York has become something else -- a showcase of liberal policies. And after sixteen years of dominance by liberal Democrats, it is time to judge the results.

For 92 months, America has enjoyed peacetime economic expansion and the creation of more than 22 million jobs. But not all the benefits of those years were enjoyed by the people of New York State. Throughout the 1980s, while most of America was growing and looking forward to the future, life in New York --

especially in the City -- was becoming more expensive, more difficult and more dangerous than ever before.

In Albany, liberal Democrats blame every problem on Republican policies, especially Republican economic policies. But in the late seventies, a large airline centered in New York didn't go out of business. It just decided to move south, to Texas, taking more than a thousand jobs with it. And in 1987, a large energy corporation based in New York didn't go out of business. It just decided to move south to Virginia, taking 3,600 jobs with it. ((In fact, since 1983, almost a third of the Fortune 500 corporations based here have chosen to leave.))

Now New York's credit rating is in a bigger slump than the Yankee's batting average. And yet my friends in New York tell me that this year Democrat leaders proposed an almost ten percent increase in spending -- twice the rate of inflation! ((No wonder Rapp here said: "This is not a budget, it's a buffet."))\

Who let this happen? The same people who've been in power in Gracie Mansion and Albany for years -- liberal Democrats. Well, New Yorkers are sick and tired of a declining quality of life that drives away companies and good jobs. New Yorkers are sick and tired of open-air drug marts and muggings. And New Yorkers are sick and tired of the liberal anti-reform mentality.

Twenty-nine out of the last thirty-five years of Democrat dominance in Congress have also taken their toll. Only a President carries a national mandate. But, like Republican Presidents before me, I know that to deal with a Democrat

Congress is to often face government by gridlock -- with spending skyrocketing out of control, good legislation thrown aside for pork, and a budget deficit looming over our children's children.

It is time we asked the American people to end the gridlock. To choose the liberal mindset of the Democratic Party or to choose our path -- the Republican path. To empower government to run their lives, or to empower people to run their lives for themselves. To ask America to CHOOSE.\\\

Here in New York, you must choose a governor. Let it be a Republican Governor -- Pierre Rinfret.\\\ Here is a family man, a decorated war hero, a successful entrepreneur who pulled himself out of Hell's Kitchen, and wants to lead others out of poverty. He may not be a politician. But maybe New York doesn't need another politician. Maybe New York needs a change.\\\

New York must also make another choice -- to keep your outstanding Senate Leader Ralph Marino and his colleagues as your last line of defense against a liberal governor and his Assembly. Republicans like what works. That's why your Republican Senate has been -- and will remain -- your watchdog against big spenders.

And believe me, you're matched up against big spenders. Example: An adjunct fellow of the Manhattan Institute reports that the state payroll ballooned from \$3 billion in 1983 to almost \$7 billion in 1988 -- a 130 percent increase! No wonder New Yorkers are among the highest taxed people in the country.

So be thankful that your Republicans in the Senate forced a spending cap and base-line budgeting. It is the Republicans in the Senate who managed to trim \$1.5 billion in Democratic spending proposals. ((They know you can't trust a party that would double the fare of the Staten Island Ferry.))\\\ Kidding aside, think of what these Republicans would achieve if they could work with a Republican governor, a Republican Assembly.

Jobs and taxes are important. But safe streets are of equal concern, especially in a city that lives in fear. That's why New York agrees with us -- these violent criminals deserve nothing less than punishment swift and sure.

So Republicans want to change lenient, blame-the-victim laws, and liberal Democrats don't.

Republicans want to allow the women of this state to be able to defend themselves with MACE, and liberal Democrats don't.

And Republicans want murderers and drug kingpins to pay the ultimate penalty -- and liberal Democrats don't.\\\

In Washington, we argued that those who sell drugs are selling death. And we proposed that drug kingpins reap what they sow. But our crime bill faces another obstacle. Fifteen months ago, I stood before the U.S. Capitol and announced America's determination to take back the streets.

The Senate has now cleared a crime bill, a major new package -- 423 days after I proposed it. It is not a perfect bill. It does nothing to ensure that evidence gathered by good cops acting in good faith isn't barred by technicalities that let bad people

go free. But it is a crime bill, and it does toughen sentences for violent crime.

Now this legislation is before the House. And let us tell the Members of the House, **423 days is long enough. Don't keep our men and women in blue waiting. Pass a tough crime bill, and pass it soon.\ \ \**

Let me give you another example of how a liberal Congress, long in power, jealously clings to the failed policies of the past. In April of last year, our Administration asked Congress to pass the Educational Excellence Act -- reform proposals to reward achievement and allow educational choice. Yet Congress killed many of these sensible and cost-effective proposals. And then they doubled our request with hundreds of millions of dollars worth of unnecessary, unrelated and costly changes.

If liberal Democrats should have learned anything, it is that you cannot reform an education system by throwing billions of dollars at it. ((After all, Al Shanker, President of the American Federation of Teachers, estimates that New York State has more school administrators than all of Western Europe.))

So when is it going to penetrate liberal thinking that we shouldn't throw money at an ineffective education system that is already the most expensive in the industrialized world? When are they going to start demanding results and stop measuring the value of a program by the size of its price tag? When are they going to stop blocking reform?

But where the liberal mindset dominates, as it does in Albany and in Washington, the net result is the same: bad schools, dangerous streets, big deficits. And as long as liberal Democrats run Congress and run Albany, we -- a Republican President and a Republican New York State Senate -- will often be forced to measure our successes in catastrophes averted and calamities mitigated.

The genius of the American system is that it allows for checks and balances. But this doesn't mean that the voters must choose political stalemate, year after year, decade after decade. Let me be blunt: Divided government isn't working very well.

Divided government just isn't good enough, for America or for New York. **We must have more Republicans.\**

It is time to ask the American people to let us show what we can do without the albatross of liberal legislatures. It is time to ask America to choose.

As we go into the 1990 election season, remember an adage from a great Republican governor of New York, and a great President. Theodore Roosevelt said: "In life, as in a football game, the principle to follow is: Hit the line hard."

The choice is clear: Republican reform or the Democrat status quo. When we present the people with this stark choice, rest assured -- we will hit the line hard in November.

Thank you for all you have done, and are pledged to do. And may God bless you, and the United States of America.

**WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM**

DATE: 07/19/90 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 3:00 p.m. Friday 07/20

SUBJECT: PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY  
(07/16 draft two)

	ACTION FYI			ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCCLURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SUNUNU	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	NEWMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROGICH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CARD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	UNTERMAYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CICCONI	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>ROGERS</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>PINKERTON</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>WINSTON</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>CARNEY</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HAGIN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>BOSKIN</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>ANDERSON</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			BENNETT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

please provide any comments/recommendations directly to Chriss Winston by 3:00 p.m. on Friday, 07/20, with a copy to my office. Thanks.

RESPONSE:

James W. Cicconi  
 Assistant to the President  
 and Deputy to the Chief of Staff  
 Ext. 2702

Davis/Blymire  
Title: Newyork  
Date: June 16, 1990  
Draft: Two

1990 JUL 19 AM 7:59

PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS: NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY, WALDORF ASTORIA  
7:30 p.m., TUESDAY, ~~JUNE~~ 24, 1990  
July

((Acknowledgements -- Senator D'Amato, Chairman Pat Barrett,  
Senate Majority Leader Ralph Marino, Commissioner Regan.))

((You know, for two years, when I was ambassador to the  
United Nations, Barbara and I lived in this very hotel, in room  
42 A. And whenever I complained about anything, Barbara would  
just roll her eyes and say: "Just where do you think we live?  
The Waldorf Astoria?"))\\

((Of course, we've changed addresses. But every time I come  
back to New York, someone always asks if I have any comment on  
the plight of a certain charismatic New York celebrity. You know  
who I'm talking about -- that celebrity whose financial problems  
have made so many headlines, a luminary who is waging a day-to-  
day battle to avoid the creditors.\\ Well, I have absolutely  
nothing to say about that: You see, I promised myself I wouldn't  
talk about Mario Cuomo tonight.))\\

But I am here tonight to talk about the differences between  
our parties. Of course, many Democrats in Washington have  
supported me in meeting this fantastic era of change abroad; have  
worked with me to pass the first revision of the Clean Air Act in  
more than thirteen years -- tougher standards to cut down on acid  
rain and other toxins. And they worked with me, so I could sign

into law a bill ending discrimination against disabled Americans. But much remains to be done -- too much. Tonight, I will speak of our disagreements. And what better place to discuss these differences than in a state run by Democrats.

In New York, we face a tremendous opportunity to end the Democrat gerrymander -- an opportunity to end discrimination against voters by race and by party. That is our mission this November, and it is one that transcends mere politics because we are deeply concerned about the future of this great state.

We love New York, all of New York, from the oak-lined avenues of Long Island, to the Brooklyn Arts Museum, to Broadway. From Yankee Stadium, to the city streets of Buffalo, to the New York of farm towns and Adirondacks. New York is a city of lights, a state of grandeur, a place where dreams come true.

But we are concerned for the future, because New York has become something else -- a showcase of liberal policies. And after sixteen years of dominance by liberal Democrats, it is time to judge the results.

For 92 months, America has enjoyed peacetime economic expansion and the creation of more than 22 million jobs. But not all the benefits of those years were enjoyed by the people of New York State. Throughout the 1980s, while most of America was growing and looking forward to the future, life in New York -- especially in the City -- was becoming more expensive, more difficult and more dangerous than ever before.

( Here in Albany ) liberal Democrats blame every problem on Republican policies -- especially Republican economic policies. But in 198((x)) a large energy corporation based in New York didn't go out of business. It just moved south to Virginia, taking ((number)) jobs with it. And in 19 ((x)), a large airline centered in New York didn't go out of business. It just moved south to Texas, taking ((number)) jobs with it. In fact, since 1983, almost a third of the Fortune 500 corporations based in New York City have chosen to leave.

New York's credit rating is lower than Donald Trump's, and its annual budget deficit is higher than those of the three largest South American countries combined. And yet my friends in New York tell me that this year Democrat leaders proposed an almost ten percent increase in spending -- twice the rate of inflation!

Who let this happen? The same people who've been in power in Gracie Mansion and Albany for years -- liberal Democrats. Well, New Yorkers are sick and tired of a declining quality of life that drives away companies and good jobs. New Yorkers are sick and tired of open-air drug marts and muggings. And New Yorkers are sick and tired of the liberal anti-reform mentality.

Thirty-four years of Democrat dominance in Congress have also taken their toll. Only a President carries a national mandate. But, like Republican Presidents before me, I know that to deal with a Democrat Congress is to often face government by gridlock -- with spending skyrocketing out of control, good

legislation thrown aside for pork, and a budget deficit looming over our children's children.

It is time we asked the American people to end the gridlock. To choose the liberal mindset of the Democratic Party or to choose our path -- the Republican path. To empower government to run their lives, or to empower people to run their lives for themselves. To ask America to CHOOSE.\\\

Here in New York, you must choose a governor. Let it be a Republican Governor -- Pierre Rinfret.\\\ Here is a family man, a decorated war hero, a successful entrepreneur who pulled himself out of Hell's Kitchen, and wants to lead others out of poverty into opportunity. He may not be a politician. But maybe New York doesn't need another politician. Maybe New York needs a change.\\\

But New York must also make another choice -- to keep Senate Leader Ralph Marino and his colleagues as your last line of defense against a liberal governor and his Assembly. Republicans like what works. That's why your Republican Senate has been -- and will remain -- your watchdog against big spenders.

It is the Republicans in the Senate, after all, who forced a spending cap and base-line budgeting. It is the Republicans in the Senate who managed to trim \$1.5 billion in Democratic spending proposals. ((They know you can't trust a party that would double the fare of the Staten Island Ferry.))\\\ Kidding aside, think of what these Republicans could achieve if they could work with a Republican governor, a Republican Assembly.

These matters of jobs and taxes are important. But safe streets are of equal concern, especially in a city that lives in fear. That's why New York agrees with us -- these violent criminals deserve nothing less than punishment swift and sure.

So Republicans want to change lenient, blame-the-victim laws, and liberal Democrats don't.

Republicans want to allow the women of this state to be able to defend themselves with MACE, and liberal Democrats don't.

**And Republicans want cop killers and drug kingpins to pay the ultimate penalty -- and liberal Democrats don't.\\\**

In Washington, we argued that those who sell drugs are selling death. And we proposed that drug kingpins reap what they sow. But our crime bill faces another obstacle. Fifteen months ago, I stood before the U.S. Capitol and announced America's determination to **take back the streets.**

The Senate has now cleared a crime bill. But it is a bill that does nothing to end the endless appeals process, or to ensure that evidence gathered by good cops acting in good faith isn't barred by technicalities that let bad people go free.

Let me give you another example of how a liberal Congress, long in power, jealously clings to the failed policies of the past. In April of '89, our Administration asked Congress to pass the Educational Excellence Act -- ~~reform~~ proposals to reward achievement and <sup>SPUR SPARK NEEDED REFORM</sup> allow educational choice, -- and yes, spend more ~~money on worthy programs like Head Start.~~ Yet Congress killed many of these sensible and cost-effective proposals. And then

they **tripled** our request with one **billion** dollars worth of unnecessary, unrelated and costly changes.

If liberal Democrats should have learned anything, it is that you cannot reform an education system by throwing billions of dollars at it. Right here in New York State, spending on education increased by ((dollar amount)) over ((years)); and yet SAT scores dropped ((number)), and graduation rates fell from ((numbers)). **When is it going to penetrate liberal thinking that we shouldn't throw money at an/education system that is already the most expensive in the industrialized world? When are they going to/stop measuring the results of a program by the size of its price tag? When are they going to stop blocking/reform?**

*INEFFECTIVE*  
*(START MEASURING DEMANDING RESULTS AND STOP)*  
*VALUE*  
*NEEDED BE ESSENTIAL RESTRUCTURING*  
*AND*

But where the liberal mindset dominates, as it does in Albany and in Washington, the net result is the same: bad schools, dangerous streets, big deficits. And as long as liberal Democrats run Congress and run Albany, we -- a Republican President and a Republican New York State Senate -- will often be forced to measure our successes in catastrophes averted and calamities mitigated.

Let me be blunt: Divided government isn't working very well.

Divided government just isn't good enough, for America or for New York.

It is time to ask the American people to let us show what we can do without the albatross of liberal legislatures. It is time to ask America to choose.

As we go into the 1990 election season, remember an adage from a great Republican governor of New York, and a great President. Theodore Roosevelt said: "In life, as in a football game, the principle to follow is: Hit the line hard."

The choice is clear: Republican reform or the Democrat status quo. When we present the people with this stark choice, rest assured -- we will hit the line hard in November.

Thank you for all you have done, and are pledged to do. And may God bless you, and the United States of America.

#

#

#

**WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM**

90 JUL 20 8:28

DATE: 07/19/90 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 3:00 p.m. Friday 07/20

SUBJECT: PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY  
(07/16 draft two)

	ACTION FYI			ACTION FYI	
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MCCLURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SUNUNU	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	NEWMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROGICH <i>n/c</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CARD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	UNTERMAYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CICCONI	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>ROGERS</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>PINKERTON</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>WINSTON</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>CARNEY</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HAGIN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>BOSKIN</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY <i>Not here yet At 1:20</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>ANDERSON</u> <i>n/c</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			BENNETT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

Please provide any comments/recommendations directly to Chriss Winston by 3:00 p.m. on Friday, 07/20, with a copy to my office. Thanks.

RESPONSE:

James W. Cicconi  
 Assistant to the President  
 and Deputy to the Chief of Staff  
 Ext. 2702

Davis/Blymire  
Title: Newyork  
Date: June 16, 1990  
Draft: Two

1990 JUL 18 AM 7:59

PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS: NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY, WALDORF ASTORIA  
7:30 p.m., TUESDAY, ~~JUNE~~ 24, 1990

((Acknowledgements -- Senator D'Amato, <sup>July</sup> Chairman Pat Barrett, <sup>Congressman Bill Green</sup> Senate Majority Leader Ralph Marino, Commissioner Regan, <sup>Pierre Rinfret</sup>))

((You know, for two years, when I was ambassador to the United Nations, Barbara and I lived in this very hotel, in room 42 A. And whenever I complained about anything, Barbara would just roll her eyes and say: "Just where do you think we live? The Waldorf Astoria?"))\\ \\

((Of course, we've changed addresses. But every time I come back to New York, someone always asks if I have any comment on the plight of a certain charismatic New York celebrity. You know who I'm talking about -- that celebrity whose financial problems have made so many headlines, a luminary who is waging a day-to-day battle to avoid the creditors.\\ \\ Well, I have absolutely nothing to say about that: You see, I promised myself I wouldn't talk about Mario Cuomo tonight.))\\ \\

But I am here tonight to talk about the differences between our parties. Of course, many Democrats in Washington have supported me in meeting this fantastic era of change abroad; have worked with me to pass the first revision of the Clean Air Act in more than thirteen years -- tougher standards to cut down on acid rain and other ~~toxins~~.

*air pollutants.*

into law a bill ending discrimination against disabled Americans. But much remains to be done -- too much. Tonight, I will speak of our disagreements. And what better place to discuss these differences than in a state run by Democrats.

In New York, we face a tremendous opportunity to end the Democrat gerrymander -- an opportunity to end discrimination against voters by race and by party. That is our mission this November, and it is one that transcends mere politics because we are deeply concerned about the future of this great state.

*To coin a phrase*  
 We love New York, all of New York, from the oak-lined avenues of Long Island, to the Brooklyn Arts Museum, to Broadway. From Yankee Stadium, to the city streets of Buffalo, to the New York of farm towns and Adirondacks. New York is a city of *(aside B.B.)* lights, a state of grandeur, a place where dreams come true.

But we are concerned for the future, because New York has become something else -- a showcase of liberal policies. And after sixteen years of dominance by liberal Democrats, it is time to judge the results.

*?*  
 For 92 months, America has enjoyed peacetime economic expansion and the creation of more than 22 million jobs. But not all the benefits of those years were enjoyed by the people of New York State. Throughout the 1980s, while most of America was growing and looking forward to the future, life in New York -- especially in the City -- was becoming more expensive, more difficult and more dangerous than ever before.

~~Here~~ in Albany, liberal Democrats blame every problem on Republican policies -- especially Republican economic policies. But in 198((x)) a large energy corporation based in New York didn't go out of business. It just moved south to Virginia, taking ((number)) jobs with it. And in 19 ((x)), a large airline centered in New York didn't go out of business. It just moved south to Texas, taking ((number)) jobs with it. In fact, since 1983, almost a third of the Fortune 500 corporations based in New York City have chosen to leave.

New York's credit rating is lower than Donald Trump's, and its annual budget deficit is higher than those of the three largest South American countries combined. And yet my friends in New York tell me that this year Democrat leaders proposed an almost ten percent increase in spending -- twice the rate of inflation!

Who let this happen? The same people who've been in power in Gracie Mansion and Albany for years -- liberal Democrats. Well, New Yorkers are sick and tired of a declining quality of life that drives away companies and good jobs. New Yorkers are sick and tired of open-air drug marts and muggings. And New Yorkers are sick and tired of the liberal anti-reform mentality.

Thirty-four years of Democrat dominance in Congress have also taken their toll. Only a President carries a national mandate. But, like Republican Presidents before me, I know that to deal with a Democrat Congress is to often face government by gridlock -- with spending skyrocketing out of control, good

Use what was  
said in MKS

legislation thrown aside for pork, and a budget deficit looming over our children's children.

It is time we asked the American people to end the gridlock. To choose the liberal mindset of the Democratic Party or to choose our path -- the Republican path. To empower government to run their lives, or to empower people to run their lives for themselves. To ask America to CHOOSE.\\\

Here in New York, you must choose a governor. Let it be a Republican Governor -- Pierre Rinfret.\\\ Here is a family man, a decorated war hero, a successful entrepreneur who pulled himself out of Hell's Kitchen, and wants to lead others out of poverty into opportunity. He may not be a politician. But maybe New York doesn't need another politician. Maybe New York needs a change.\\\

But New York must also make another choice -- to keep Senate Leader Ralph Marino and his colleagues as your last line of defense against a liberal governor and his Assembly. Republicans like what works. That's why your Republican Senate has been -- and will remain -- your watchdog against big spenders.

It is the Republicans in the Senate, after all, who forced a spending cap and base-line budgeting. It is the Republicans in the Senate who managed to trim \$1.5 billion in Democratic spending proposals. ((They know you can't trust a party that would double the fare of the Staten Island Ferry.))\\\ Kidding aside, think of what these Republicans could achieve if they could work with a Republican governor, a Republican Assembly.



*the Democrat-controlled House*  
*doubled*  
they ~~tripled~~ our request with <sup>6</sup> ~~one billion~~ *hundreds of millions* dollars worth of *while* unnecessary, unrelated and costly changes.

If liberal Democrats should have learned anything, it is that you cannot reform an education system by throwing billions of dollars at it. Right here in New York State, spending on education increased by ((dollar amount)) over ((years)); and yet SAT scores dropped ((number)), and graduation rates fell from ((numbers)). When is it going to penetrate liberal thinking that we shouldn't throw money at an education system that is already the most expensive in the industrialized world? When are they going to stop measuring the results of a program by the size of its price tag? When are they going to stop blocking reform?

But where the liberal mindset dominates, as it does in Albany and in Washington, the net result is the same: bad schools, dangerous streets, big deficits. And as long as liberal Democrats run Congress and run Albany, we -- a Republican President and a Republican New York State Senate -- will often be forced to measure our successes in catastrophes averted and calamities mitigated.

Let me be blunt: Divided government isn't working very well. Divided government just isn't good enough, for America or for New York.

It is time to ask the American people to let us show what we can do without the albatross of liberal legislatures. It is time to ask America to choose.

As we go into the 1990 election season, remember an adage from a great Republican governor of New York, and a great President. Theodore Roosevelt said: "In life, as in a football game, the principle to follow is: Hit the line hard."

The choice is clear: Republican reform or the Democrat status quo. When we present the people with this stark choice, rest assured -- we will hit the line hard in November.

Thank you for all you have done, and are pledged to do. And may God bless you, and the United States of America.

#

#

#

Document No. 159833 ✓**WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM**DATE: 07/19/90ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 3:00 p.m. Friday 07/20SUBJECT: PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY  
(07/18 draft two)

	ACTION FYI			ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<del>MCCLURE</del>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SUNUNU	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	NEWMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCOWCROFT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PORTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROGICH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CARD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	UNTERMAYER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CICCONI	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>ROGERS</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEMAREST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>PINKERTON</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
FITZWATER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>WINSTON</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
GRAY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>CARNEY</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HAGIN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>BOSKIN</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HOLIDAY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>ANDERSON</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<u>BENNETT</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**REMARKS:**

please provide any comments/recommendations directly to Chriss Winston by 3:00 p.m. on Friday, 07/20, with a copy to my office. Thanks.

**RESPONSE:**

Please see comments.

7/20/90

James W. Cicconi  
Assistant to the President  
and Deputy to the Chief of Staff  
Ext. 2702

Congressmen Bill Green (othus)

(invited)

Davis/Blyaire  
Title: Newyork  
Date: June 16, 1990  
Draft: Two

1990 JUL 19 7:59

PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS: NEW YORK REPUBLICAN PARTY, WALDORF ASTORIA  
7:30 P.M., TUESDAY, ~~June~~ 24, 1990  
July

((Acknowledgements -- Senator D'Amato, Chairman Pat Barrett,  
Senate Majority Leader Ralph Marino, Commissioner Regan.))

((You know, for two years, when I was ambassador to the  
United Nations, Barbara and I lived in this very hotel, in room  
42 A. And whenever I complained about anything, Barbara would  
just roll her eyes and say: "Just where do you think we live?  
The Waldorf Astoria?"))\ \ \

((Of course, we've changed addresses. But every time I come  
back to New York, someone always asks if I have any comment on  
the plight of a certain charismatic New York celebrity. You know  
who I'm talking about -- that celebrity whose financial problems  
have made so many headlines, a luminary who is waging a day-to-  
day battle to avoid the creditors.\ \ \ Well, I have absolutely  
nothing to say about that: You see, I promised myself I wouldn't  
talk about Mario Cuomo tonight.))\ \ \

But I am here tonight to talk about the differences between  
our parties. Of course, many Democrats in Washington have  
supported me in meeting this fantastic era of change abroad; have  
worked with me to pass the first revision of the Clean Air Act in  
more than thirteen years -- tougher standards to cut down on acid  
rain and ~~other~~ <sup>air</sup> ~~pollutants~~. And they worked with me, so I could sign

reduce auto emissions.

2

into law a bill ending discrimination against disabled Americans. But much remains to be done -- too much. Tonight, I will speak of our disagreements. And what better place to discuss these differences than in a state run by Democrats.

In New York, we face a tremendous opportunity to end the Democrat gerrymander -- an opportunity to end discrimination against voters by race and by party. That is our mission this November, and it is one that transcends mere politics because we are deeply concerned about the future of this great state.

We love New York, all of New York, from the oak-lined avenues of Long Island, to the Brooklyn Arts Museum, to Broadway. From Yankee Stadium, to the city streets of Buffalo, to the New York of farm towns and Adirondacks. New York is a city of lights, a state of grandeur, a place where dreams come true.

But we are concerned for the future, because New York has become something else -- a showcase of liberal policies. And after sixteen years of dominance by liberal Democrats, it is time to judge the results.

For 92 months, America has enjoyed peacetime economic expansion and the creation of more than 22 million jobs. But not all the benefits of those years were enjoyed by the people of New York State. Throughout the 1980s, while most of America was growing and looking forward to the future, life in New York -- especially in the City -- was becoming more expensive, more difficult and more dangerous than ever before.

3

✓ Here in Albany, liberal Democrats blame every problem on Republican policies -- especially Republican economic policies. But in 198((x)) a large energy corporation based in New York didn't go out of business. It just moved south to Virginia, taking ((number)) jobs with it. And in 19 ((x)), a large airline centered in New York didn't go out of business. It just moved south to Texas, taking ((number)) jobs with it. In fact, since 1983, almost a third of the Fortune 500 corporations based in New York City have chosen to leave.

New York's credit rating is lower than Donald Trump's, and its annual budget deficit is higher than those of the three largest South American countries combined. And yet my friends in New York tell me that this year Democrat leaders proposed an almost ten percent increase in spending -- twice the rate of inflation!

X Who let this happen? The same people who've been in power in ~~Crane Mansion and Albany~~ for years -- liberal Democrats.

Well, New Yorkers are sick and tired of a declining quality of life that drives away companies and good jobs. New Yorkers are sick and tired of open-air drug marts and muggings. And New Yorkers are sick and tired of the liberal anti-reform mentality.

X Thirty-four years of Democrat dominance in <sup>the House of Representatives</sup> ~~Congress~~ have also taken their toll. Only a President carries a national mandate. But, like Republican Presidents before me, I know that to deal with a Democrat Congress is to often face government by gridlock -- with spending skyrocketing out of control, good

We believe the correct number is 36 for the House (since 1954) - Of

also correct not Senate - necessary GOP control 1980-86.

4

legislation thrown aside for pork, and a budget deficit looming over our children's children.

It is time we asked the American people to ~~end the gridlock.~~ <sup>STAY</sup>  
~~To choose~~ <sup>reject</sup> the liberal mindset of the Democratic Party <sup>and</sup> to  
 choose our path -- the Republican path. <sup>NOT</sup> To empower government to  
 run their lives, <sup>but</sup> to empower people to run their lives for  
 themselves. ~~To ask America to choose.~~ \\\

Here in New York, you must choose a governor. Let it be a Republican Governor -- Pierre Rinfret. \\\ Here is a family man, a decorated war hero, a successful entrepreneur who pulled himself out of Hell's Kitchen, and wants to lead others out of poverty into opportunity. He may not be a politician. But maybe New York doesn't need another politician. Maybe New York needs a change. \\\

But New York must also make another choice -- to keep Senate Leader Ralph Marino and his colleagues as your last line of defense against a liberal governor and his Assembly. Republicans like what works. That's why your Republican Senate has been -- and will remain -- your watchdog against big spenders.

It is the Republicans in the Senate, after all, who forced a spending cap and base-line budgeting. It is the Republicans in the Senate who managed to trim \$1.5 billion in Democratic spending proposals. (~~They know you can't trust a party that would double the fare of the Staten Island Ferry.~~) \\\ Kidding aside, think of what these Republicans could achieve if they could work with a Republican governor, a Republican Assembly.

Revenues not Spending!

These matters of jobs and taxes are important. But safe streets are of equal concern, especially in a city that lives in fear. That's why New York agrees with us -- these violent criminals deserve nothing less than punishment swift and sure.

So Republicans want to change lenient, blame-the-victim laws, and liberal Democrats don't.

Republicans want to allow the women of this state to be able to defend themselves with MACE, and liberal Democrats don't.

And Republicans want cop killers and drug kingpins to pay the ultimate penalty -- and liberal Democrats don't.\\\

In Washington, we argued that those who sell drugs are selling death. And we proposed that drug kingpins reap what they sow. But our crime bill faces another obstacle. Fifteen months ago, I stood before the U.S. Capitol and announced America's determination to take back the streets.

The Senate has now cleared a crime bill. But it is a bill that does nothing to ~~end the endless appeals process, or to~~ ensure that evidence gathered by good cops acting in good faith isn't barred by technicalities that let bad people go free.

Let me give you another example of how a liberal Congress, long in power, jealously clings to the failed policies of the past. In April of <sup>last year</sup> '89, our Administration asked Congress to pass the Educational Excellence Act -- reform proposals to reward achievement and allow educational choice -- and yes, spend more money on worthy programs like Head Start. Yet Congress killed many of these sensible and cost-effective proposals. And then

Congress has still not passed a crime bill. focus on House

NOT SO  
Habeas  
Corpus  
reform  
significant  
through not  
(over)  
supported  
by  
cop  
members -  
esp.  
Thurmond,

*Democrat controlled House of Representatives*

they ~~tripled~~ <sup>doubled</sup> our request with ~~one billion~~ <sup>hundreds of millions of</sup> dollars worth of unnecessary, unrelated and costly changes.

If liberal Democrats should have learned anything, it is that you cannot reform an education system by throwing billions of dollars at it. Right here in New York State, spending on education increased by ((dollar amount)) over ((years)); and yet SAT scores dropped ((number)), and graduation rates fell from ((numbers)). When is it going to penetrate liberal thinking that we shouldn't throw money at an education system that is already the most expensive in the industrialized world? When are they going to stop measuring the results of a program by the size of its price tag? When are they going to stop blocking reform?

But where the liberal mindset dominates, as it does in Albany and in Washington, the net result is the same: bad schools, dangerous streets, big deficits. And as long as liberal Democrats run Congress and run Albany, we -- a Republican President and a Republican New York State Senate -- will often be forced to measure our successes in catastrophes averted and calamities mitigated.

Let me be blunt: Divided government isn't working very well.

Divided government just isn't good enough, for America or for New York.

It is time to ask the American people to let us show what we can do without the albatross of liberal legislatures. It is time to ask America to choose.

7

As we go into the 1990 election season, remember an adage from a great Republican governor of New York, and a great President. Theodore Roosevelt said: "In life, as in a football game, the principle to follow is: Hit the line hard."

The choice is clear: Republican reform or the Democrat status quo. When we present the people with this stark choice, rest assured -- we will hit the line hard in November.

Thank you for all you have done, and are pledged to do. And may God bless you, and the United States of America.

#

#

#