

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):  
2009-0275-S

FOIA Number:  
2009-0275-S

# FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an  
administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential  
Library Staff.**

---

**Record Group/Collection:** George H.W. Bush Presidential Records  
**Collection/Office of Origin:** Scowcroft, Brent, Collection  
**Series:** China Chronological Files  
**Subseries:** Tiananmen Files

---

**OA/ID Number:** 91132  
**Folder ID Number:** 91132-003

---

**Folder Title:**  
China: Tiananmen (January 1990)

---

| Stack: | Row: | Section: | Shelf: | Position: |
|--------|------|----------|--------|-----------|
| G      | 34   | 3        | 4      | 4         |

---

## Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet (George Bush Library)

| Doc. No. / Type                                                                     | Subject/Title | Date | Restriction | Classification |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------|-------------|----------------|
| 01a. Talking Points Re: Points To Be Made with Republican Senators on China (2 pp.) |               | n.d. | (b)(1)      |                |
| 01b. Talking Points Re: Positive Steps by China (2 pp.)                             |               | n.d. | (b)(1)      |                |

Page 1 of 1

**Collection:**

**Record Group:** Bush Presidential Records  
**Office:** Scowcroft, Brent, Files  
**Series:** China Chronological Files  
**Subseries:** Tiananmen Files  
**WHORM Cat.:**  
**File Location:** China: Tiananmen (January 1990)

**Pinksheet Number:** cap1109  
**OA/ID Number:** 91132-003  
**Date Closed:** 5/1/2009  
**FOIA/Sys Case #:** 2009-0275-S  
**Re-review Case #:**  
**P-2/P-5 Review Case #:**

UNCLASSIFIED  
NSC/S PROFILE

RECORD ID: 9000383  
RECEIVED: 17 JAN 90 13

TO: SCOWCROFT

**CHRON FILE**

FROM: PAAL  
JACKSON

DOC DATE: 17 JAN 90  
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: CHINA P R



PERSONS:

SUBJECT: TALKING PTS FOR CALLS TO REPUBLICAN SENATORS RE CHINA

ACTION: NOTED BY SCOWCROFT

DUE DATE: 20 JAN 90

STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: PAAL

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

JACKSON  
PAAL

COMMENTS: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

DISPATCHED BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSJWD

CLOSED BY: NSJRP

DOC 1 OF 1

UNCLASSIFIED

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

January 17, 1990

**CHRON FILE**

Natl Sec Advisor  
has seen

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR BRENT SCOWCROFT

THROUGH:  KARL JACKSON

FROM: DOUGLAS PAAL 

SUBJECT: China: Points to Be Made for Calls to Republican  
Senators

For the record, I am forwarding copies of the points to be made (Tab A) and a fact sheet on positive steps taken by China (Tab B) used by the President today in phone calls to Republican Senators on the Pelosi Bill.

RECOMMENDATION

That you approve these points to be made and the fact sheet for the record.

Approve \_\_\_\_\_

Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_

Attachments:

Tab A Points to be made with Republican Senators  
Tab B Positive steps by China

POINTS TO BE MADE WITH REPUBLICAN SENATORS  
ON CHINA

- I am calling about China policy and upcoming votes on the Pelosi Bill.
- I want you to know that under my administrative actions, no Chinese students or scholars are going to be sent home against their will.
- The Pelosi Bill is the Democrats' bill.
- A vote to override my veto will not protect even one additional student, but it may well provide China's hardliners a pretext to shut down these student exchanges altogether.
- I hope the Chinese students already here will show more concern that their fellow students in China also have the opportunity to come here to study.
- There is a power struggle going on in China between hardliner isolationist forces and more moderate leaders. It's at a delicate stage.
- Since I sent Brent Scowcroft and Larry Eagleburger to China, we have seen some modest but positive steps (attached).

I want to keep that process moving in the direction of more substantial progress; I would hate to see it set back by an override that will do nothing materially for the students here.

-- My policy is beginning to work; let me manage it.



## POSITIVE STEPS BY CHINA

- Following the Scowcroft trip, China muted its propaganda attacks on the US.
- Beijing publicly denied it will sell M-9 missiles to Syria and added a broader assurance for the first time that it will sell no medium range ballistic missile to any Middle East country.
- The Chinese reopened discussions to resume the Fulbright exchange program. Beijing had suspended it last summer in response to Chinese hardliners who see the program as an agency of "bourgeois" influence.
- The Chinese reversed themselves and agreed to receive the first Peace Corps volunteers, on schedule in Sichuan Province next September. Again this has been a sensitive issue inside China, with hardliners arguing that the volunteers are spies or carriers of "bourgeois democracy."
- More importantly, China lifted martial law in Beijing. The implications of this move are complex, and the action has not fundamentally changed the situation at one stroke. But hardliners were anxious to retain the extra powers given them by martial law, and symbolically it is very significant

that the regime has shown it is willing to bend to foreign pressure. To declare that the lifting of martial law is anything other than a positive step runs the risk of strengthening those who refuse to bend.

-- Our Embassy in Beijing is no longer being harrassed by security forces and is back to the situation prior to Tiananmen. Our Ambassador's access is greatly improved.



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

0556

January 23, 1990

**CHRON FILE**

3

MEMORANDUM FOR MARLIN FITZWATER

FROM: BRENT SCOWCROFT

SUBJECT: Press Conference by the President -- China Points

Attached are points to be made by the President at his press conference on China and the Pelosi Bill, January 24, 1990.

Attachment

Tab A Points to be made

POINTS TO BE MADE  
JANUARY 24 PRESS CONFERENCE  
CHINA

THE PELOSI BILL

- THIS MORNING I WANT TO TALK ABOUT CHINA AND THE PELOSI BILL.
- FIRST ON THE BILL ITSELF. RIGHT AFTER TIANANMEN, I MOVED TO PROTECT THE CHINESE STUDENTS IN THIS COUNTRY. NOT ONE WAS SENT BACK. THEY WERE SAFE.
- EVERY AMERICAN SHOULD KNOW I WILL NOT BREAK FAITH WITH THE CHINESE STUDENTS HERE. THEY ARE PROTECTED IRREVOCABLY.
- WHEN THE CONGRESS PASSED THE PELOSI BILL LAST FALL, I WAS FACED WITH A CHOICE:
- IF I SIGNED THE BILL, THE STUDENTS HERE WOULD STILL BE SAFE, BUT CHINA WOULD

- RETALIATE AND CUT OFF FUTURE OFFICIAL STUDENT EXCHANGES.
  - IF I VETOED THE BILL, I COULD TAKE ACTIONS TO PROVIDE THE STUDENTS EVEN GREATER PROTECTIONS, WHILE KEEPING THE DOOR OPEN FOR MORE CHINESE SCHOLARS TO STUDY IN THIS COUNTRY.
  - I MADE THE RIGHT CHOICE, THE CHOICE TO KEEP OPEN THESE EXCHANGES -- WHICH ARE AS VALUABLE TO US AS THEY ARE TO THE CHINESE PEOPLE.
  - THE PRICE OF THE PELOSI BILL TODAY IS THE LOST OPPORTUNITY FOR CHINA'S SCHOLARS OF TOMORROW.
  - THE BILL IS TOTALLY UNNECESSARY, THE LONG TERM POLICY CONSEQUENCES POTENTIALLY GREAT. THERE IS NO SUBSTANCE TO JUSTIFY THIS BILL, AND MUCH TO BE LOST.
- CHINA POLICY

- THE PELOSI BILL IS THE IMMEDIATE ISSUE, BUT THERE IS MUCH MORE AT STAKE.
- SINCE TIANANMEN'S OUTRAGEOUS SUPPRESSION, I HAVE TRIED TO PURSUE THE POLICY OF FIVE ADMINISTRATIONS IN DEALING WITH CHINA.
- ON THE ONE HAND, I SOUGHT TO SHOW THE CHINESE THAT THERE IS A PENALTY FOR WHAT HAPPENED, THAT IT COULD NOT BE BUSINESS AS USUAL.
- ON THE OTHER, I HAVE TRIED TO PREVENT CHINA FROM RETREATING TO THE ISOLATION OF AN EARLIER ERA, TO ENCOURAGE CHINA ON THE PATH OF REFORM AND MODERNIZATION.
- I AM TOO REALISTIC TO THINK THAT WE ARE DEALING WITH A PLEASANT OR SIMPLE SITUATION. IT WAS PLENTY UGLY AT THE END OF THE CULTURAL REVOLUTION WHEN WE FIRST ENGAGED WITH THE CHINESE.
- BUT LOOK AT THE RESULTS OF THAT

- ENGAGEMENT: A CHINA MORE OFTEN CHOOSING PEACE WITH ITS NEIGHBORS RATHER THAN TENSIONS, A PEOPLE WHOSE WEALTH AND FREEDOM WERE INCREASING, AN INCREASINGLY RESPONSIBLE STANDARD OF INTERNATIONAL BEHAVIOR.
- THAT WAS SET BACK AT TIANANMEN, BUT IT WAS NOT ALL LOST. I AM CONVINCED THAT THE MEMORY OF THE PROGRESS OF THE LAST DECADE IS A POWERFUL FACTOR IN CHINA.
  - LAST FALL, WE FINALLY GOT A SIGNAL THAT CHINA WAS READY TO START TALKING AND I SENT BRENT SCOWCROFT AND LARRY EAGLEBURGER TO BEIJING.
  - SO FAR, WE HAVE SEEN STEPS THAT APPEAR TOO SMALL TO MANY HERE AND TOO LARGE TO MANY IN CHINA. THEY INCLUDE ACCEPTING THE PEACE CORPS VOLUNTEERS, ACCREDITING A VOA CORRESPONDENT, MUTING HOSTILE

CARD 5 OF 5

PROPAGANDA, ASSURANCES ON MISSILE SALES,  
REOPENING THE FULBRIGHT EXCHANGES,  
LIFTING MARTIAL LAW AND RELEASING 573  
PEOPLE DETAINED AFTER LAST JUNE'S EVENTS.  
-- MY WORRY IS THAT WE NOT SLAM THE DOOR ON  
THIS INITIAL FORWARD MOTION IN US-CHINA  
RELATIONS AND IN CHINA'S TREATMENT OF ITS  
OWN PEOPLE.  
-- IF THE FINAL LESSON FOR THE CHINESE  
LEADERSHIP IN RESPONDING TO OUR EXPRESSED  
CONCERNS IS REJECTION OF THEM OUTRIGHT --  
AND THAT IS HOW THEY WILL READ THE PELOSI  
BILL -- THEN CONGRESS WILL HAVE ITSELF TO  
THANK FOR THE CONSEQUENCES IN CHINA.  
-- THIS IS A STRONG MESSAGE. I WANT IT TO  
BE SEEN IT THAT WAY.  
-- NOW, I WILL BE GLAD TO TAKE YOUR  
QUESTIONS.

POINTS TO BE MADE  
JANUARY 24 PRESS CONFERENCE  
CHINA

The Pelosi Bill

- This morning I want to talk about China and the Pelosi Bill.
- First on the Bill itself. Right after Tiananmen, I moved to protect the Chinese students in this country. Not one was sent back. They were safe.
- Every American should know I will not break faith with the Chinese students here. They are protected irrevocably.
- When the Congress passed the Pelosi Bill last fall, I was faced with a choice:
- If I signed the Bill, the students here would still be safe, but China would retaliate and cut off future official student exchanges.
- If I vetoed the Bill, I could take actions to provide the students even greater protections, while keeping the door open for more Chinese scholars to study in this country.
- I made the right choice, the choice to keep open these exchanges -- which are as valuable to us as they are to the Chinese people.
- The price of the Pelosi Bill today is the lost opportunity for China's scholars of tomorrow.



- The Bill is totally unnecessary, the long term policy consequences potentially great. There is no substance to justify this bill, and much to be lost.

#### China Policy

- The Pelosi Bill is the immediate issue, but there is much more at stake.
- Since Tiananmen's outrageous suppression, I have tried to pursue the policy of five administrations in dealing with China.
- On the one hand, I sought to show the Chinese that there is a penalty for what happened, that it could not be business as usual.
- On the other, I have tried to prevent China from retreating to the isolation of an earlier era, to encourage China on the path of reform and modernization.
- I am too realistic to think that we are dealing with a pleasant or simple situation. It was plenty ugly at the end of the Cultural Revolution when we first engaged with the Chinese.
- But look at the results of that engagement: a China more often choosing peace with its neighbors rather than tensions, a people whose wealth and freedom were increasing, an increasingly responsible standard of international behavior.

- That was set back at Tiananmen, but it was not all lost. I am convinced that the memory of the progress of the last decade is a powerful factor in China.
- Last fall, we finally got a signal that China was ready to start talking and I sent Brent Scowcroft and Larry Eagleburger to Beijing.
- So far, we have seen steps that appear too small to many here and too large to many in China. They include accepting the Peace Corps volunteers, accrediting a VOA correspondent, muting hostile propaganda, assurances on missile sales, reopening the Fulbright exchanges, lifting martial law and releasing 573 people detained after last June's events.
- My worry is that we not slam the door on this initial forward motion in US-China relations and in China's treatment of its own people.
- If the final lesson for the Chinese leadership in responding to our expressed concerns is rejection of them outright -- and that is how they will read the Pelosi Bill -- then Congress will have itself to thank for the consequences in China.
- This is a strong message. I want it to be seen it that way.
- Now, I will be glad to take your questions.



NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

January 23, 1990

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR BRENT SCOWCROFT

THROUGH: KARL JACKSON *KJS*  
FROM: DOUGLAS PAAL *DP*  
SUBJECT: President's Press Conference on China, January 24,  
1990

Attached are points for the President to make at his press conference on China and the Pelosi Bill.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum to Fitzwater at Tab I.

Attachments

Tab A      Points to be made

RECETHE Bite House

PROOFED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
URGENT NOT PROOFED: \_\_\_\_\_

LOG # 0556  
SYSTEM PRS NSC INT  
DOCLOG mc A/O

|                 | SEQUENCE TO | HAS SEEN   | DISPOSITION |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Dep Exec        |             |            |             |
| Philip Hughes   | 1           | APK        |             |
| Bob Gates       | 2           | ✓          |             |
| Brent Scowcroft | 3           | ✓          |             |
| Philip Hughes   |             |            |             |
| Situation Room  |             |            |             |
| West Wing Desk  | 4           | rec'd 2/23 | Q           |
| NSC Secretariat | 5           |            | N           |
|                 |             |            |             |
|                 |             |            |             |
|                 |             |            |             |

A = Action      I = Information      D = Dispatch      R = Retain      N = No further Action

cc: VP

**I = Information**

**D = Dispatch**

**R = Retain**

**N = No further Action**

cc: VP

## Sununu

**Other**

Should be seen by: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date/Time)

## COMMENTS

TP's for Press Conference re:  
China

(Exec Sec office has diskette)

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

0555



January 23, 1990

**CHRON FILE**

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES W. CICCONI

FROM: BRENT SCOWCROFT *BS*

SUBJECT: Senate Republican Breakfast, January 24, 1990 --  
China

The points for the President to make with the Senate Republicans on the Pelosi Bill at the breakfast tomorrow are attached.

Attachment

Tab A Points to be made

POINTS TO BE MADE  
SENATE REPUBLICANS BREAKFAST  
CHINA

THE PELOSI BILL

- THIS MORNING I HAVE ASKED YOU HERE TO TALK ABOUT CHINA AND THE PELOSI BILL. I NEED YOUR SUPPORT.
- FIRST ON THE BILL ITSELF. RIGHT AFTER TIANANMEN, I MOVED TO PROTECT THE CHINESE STUDENTS IN THIS COUNTRY. NOT ONE WAS SENT BACK. THEY WERE SAFE.
- YOU KNOW I WILL NOT BREAK FAITH WITH THE CHINESE STUDENTS HERE. THEY ARE PROTECTED IRREVOCABLY.
- WHEN YOU LATER JOINED IN PASSING THE PELOSI BILL, I WAS FACED WITH A CHOICE:

CARD 2 OF 5

- IF I SIGNED THE BILL, THE STUDENTS HERE WOULD STILL BE SAFE, BUT CHINA WOULD RETALIATE AND CUT OFF FUTURE OFFICIAL STUDENT EXCHANGES.
- IF I VETOED THE BILL, I COULD TAKE ACTIONS TO PROVIDE THE STUDENTS EVEN GREATER PROTECTIONS, WHILE KEEPING THE DOOR OPEN FOR MORE CHINESE SCHOLARS TO STUDY IN THIS COUNTRY.
- I MADE THE RIGHT CHOICE, THE CHOICE TO KEEP OPEN THESE EXCHANGES -- WHICH YOU KNOW ARE AS VALUABLE TO US AS THEY ARE TO THE CHINESE PEOPLE.
- THE PRICE OF THE PELOSI BILL TODAY IS THE LOST OPPORTUNITY FOR CHINA'S SCHOLARS OF TOMORROW.
- THE BILL IS TOTALLY UNNECESSARY, THE LONG TERM POLICY CONSEQUENCES POTENTIALLY GREAT. DON'T GIVE THE DEMOCRATS THE

FIRST PERCEIVED VICTORY OF THE SESSION  
WITH NO SUBSTANCE TO JUSTIFY IT.

CHINA POLICY

- THE PELOSI BILL IS THE IMMEDIATE ISSUE,  
BUT THERE IS MUCH MORE AT STAKE.
- SINCE TIANANMEN'S OUTRAGEOUS SUPPRESSION,  
I HAVE TRIED TO PURSUE THE POLICY OF FIVE  
ADMINISTRATIONS IN DEALING WITH CHINA.
- ON THE ONE HAND, I SOUGHT TO SHOW THE  
CHINESE THAT THERE IS A PENALTY FOR WHAT  
HAPPENED, THAT IT COULD NOT BE BUSINESS  
AS USUAL.
- ON THE OTHER, I HAVE TRIED TO ENTICE  
CHINA OUT OF ITS SHELL, TO ENCOURAGE  
BEHAVIOR THAT WOULD SLOWLY GET CHINA BACK  
ON THE PATH OF REFORM AND MODERNIZATION.
- I AM TOO REALISTIC TO THINK THAT WE WERE  
DEALING WITH A PLEASANT SITUATION. IT  
WAS PLENTY UGLY AT THE END OF THE

- CULTURAL REVOLUTION WHEN WE FIRST ENGAGED  
WITH THE CHINESE.
- BUT LOOK AT THE RESULTS OF THAT  
ENGAGEMENT: A CHINA MORE OFTEN CHOOSING  
PEACE WITH ITS NEIGHBORS RATHER THAN  
TENSIONS, A PEOPLE WHOSE WEALTH AND  
FREEDOM WERE INCREASING, AN INCREASINGLY  
RESPONSIBLE STANDARD OF INTERNATIONAL  
BEHAVIOR.
- THAT WAS ALL SET BACK AT TIANANMEN, BUT  
IT WAS NOT ALL LOST. THE REFORMERS, THE  
MODERATES LOST THAT BATTLE, BUT THEY ARE  
NOT ALL SWEEPED AWAY FOREVER.
- LAST FALL, WE FINALLY GOT A SIGNAL THAT  
CHINA WAS READY TO START TALKING AND I  
SENT BRENT SCOWCROFT AND LARRY  
EAGLEBURGER TO BEIJING.
- SO FAR, WE HAVE SEEN STEPS THAT APPEAR  
TOO SMALL TO MANY HERE AND TOO LARGE TO

- MANY IN CHINA. YOU HAVE THE LIST OF POSITIVE STEPS, SO I WILL NOT REPEAT IT
- MY WORRY IS THAT WE NOT SLAM THE DOOR ON THIS INITIAL FORWARD MOTION IN US-CHINA RELATIONS AND IN CHINA'S TREATMENT OF ITS OWN PEOPLE.
- IF THE FINAL LESSON FOR THE CHINESE LEADERSHIP IN ACCOMMODATING OUR EXPRESSED CONCERNS IS REJECTION OF THEM OUTRIGHT -- AND THAT IS HOW THEY WILL READ THE PELOSI BILL -- THEN YOU WILL HAVE YOURSELVES TO THANK FOR THE CONSEQUENCES IN CHINA.
- THIS IS A STRONG MESSAGE. I WANT YOU TO SEE IT THAT WAY.
- DON'T STOP THE PROCESS. DON'T VOTE WITH THE DEMOCRATS AND FOR PELOSI.



POINTS TO BE MADE  
SENATE REPUBLICANS BREAKFAST  
CHINA

The Pelosi Bill

- This morning I have asked you here to talk about China and the Pelosi Bill. I need your support.
- First on the Bill itself. Right after Tiananmen, I moved to protect the Chinese students in this country. Not one was sent back. They were safe.
- You know I will not break faith with the Chinese students here. They are protected irrevocably.
- When you later joined in passing the Pelosi Bill, I was faced with a choice:
- If I signed the Bill, the students here would still be safe, but China would retaliate and cut off future official student exchanges.
- If I vetoed the Bill, I could take actions to provide the students even greater protections, while keeping the door open for more Chinese scholars to study in this country.
- I made the right choice, the choice to keep open these exchanges -- which you know are as valuable to us as they are to the Chinese people.
- The price of the Pelosi Bill today is the lost opportunity for China's scholars of tomorrow.

- The Bill is totally unnecessary, the long term policy consequences potentially great. Don't give the Democrats the first perceived victory of the session with no substance to justify it.

#### China Policy

- The Pelosi Bill is the immediate issue, but there is much more at stake.
- Since Tiananmen's outrageous suppression, I have tried to pursue the policy of five administrations in dealing with China.
- On the one hand, I sought to show the Chinese that there is a penalty for what happened, that it could not be business as usual.
- On the other, I have tried to entice China out of its shell, to encourage behavior that would slowly get China back on the path of reform and modernization.
- I am too realistic to think that we were dealing with a pleasant situation. It was plenty ugly at the end of the Cultural Revolution when we first engaged with the Chinese.
- But **look** at the results of that engagement: a China more often choosing peace with its neighbors rather than tensions, a people whose wealth and freedom were increasing, an increasingly responsible standard of international behavior.

- That was all set back at Tiananmen, but it was not all lost. The reformers, the moderates lost that battle, but they are not all swept away forever.
- Last fall, we finally got a signal that China was ready to start talking and I sent Brent Scowcroft and Larry Eagleburger to Beijing.
- So far, we have seen steps that appear too small to many here and too large to many in China. You have the list of positive steps, so I will not repeat it
- My worry is that we not slam the door on this initial forward motion in US-China relations and in China's treatment of its own people.
- If the final lesson for the Chinese leadership in accommodating our expressed concerns is rejection of them outright -- and that is how they will read the Pelosi Bill -- then you will have yourselves to thank for the consequences in China.
- This is a strong message. I want you to see it that way.
- Don't stop the process. Don't vote with the Democrats and for Pelosi.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

January 23, 1990

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR BRENT SCOWCROFT

THROUGH: KARL JACKSON *KJS*  
FROM: DOUGLAS PAAL *DP*  
SUBJECT: Senate Republican Breakfast -- China

At Tab I is a memorandum to Fred McClure conveying points to make on China (Tab A) at the Senate Republican breakfast on January 24.

Concurrences by: *WHL* Virginia A. Lampley, *WHL* Peter W. Rodman

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum to McClure at Tab I.

Attachments

Tab I Scowcroft/McClure memo  
Tab A Points to be made

**National Security Council**  
**The White House**

RECEIVED

PROOFED BY: mc LOG # 0555  
 URGENT NOT PROOFED P 7: 26 SYSTEM PRS NSC INT  
 BYPASSED WW DESK: \_\_\_\_\_ DOCLOG mc A/O \_\_\_\_\_

|                 | SEQUENCE TO | HAS SEEN     | DISPOSITION |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| Dep Exec        | <u>1</u>    | <u>✓</u>     | <u>A</u>    |
| Philip Hughes   | <u>2</u>    | <u>APK</u>   |             |
| Bob Gates       | <u>3</u>    |              |             |
| Brent Scowcroft | <u>4</u>    | <u>✓</u>     |             |
| Philip Hughes   |             |              |             |
| Situation Room  |             |              |             |
| West Wing Desk  | <u>5</u>    | <u>mc/23</u> | <u>D</u>    |
| NSC Secretariat | <u>6</u>    |              | <u>N</u>    |
| _____           |             |              |             |
| _____           |             |              |             |

A = Action    I = Information    D = Dispatch    R = Retain    N = No further Action

cc:    VP    Sununu    Other \_\_\_\_\_

Should be seen by: \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Date/Time)

**COMMENTS**

TP's for Breakfast w/ GOP Senators

(Exec Sec office has diskette)