

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):
2009-0166-S

FOIA Number:
2009-0166-S

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential
Library Staff.**

Record Group/Collection: George H.W. Bush Presidential Records
Collection/Office of Origin: Office of the President
Series: Daily Files
Subseries:

OA/ID Number: 90690
Folder ID Number: 90690-004

Folder Title:
Saturday, June 20, 1992

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
G	35	1	7	0

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Doc. No. / Type	Subject/Title	Date	Restriction	Classification
01. Memo	Brent Scowcroft to The President Re: Telephone Call to Prime Minister Mulroney Prime Minister of Canada [originally closed as 01a]. (1 pp.)	6/19/92	(b)(1)	C
02. Handwritten Notes	Re: [Best TV you've done. . .] [originally closed as 01b]. (1 pp.)	6/19/92	(b)(1)	
03. Letter	Prime Minister Mulroney to President George Bush [originally closed as 01e]. (2 pp.)	6/6/92	(b)(1)	
04. Report	Re: [Government Report] [originally closed as 03a]. (3 pp.)	6/8/92	(b)(1)	TS
05. Map	Re: [Map] [originally closed as 03b]. (1 pp.)	n.d.	(b)(1)	
06. Photographs	Re: 335547 [originally closed as 03c]. (1 pp.)	06/1992	(b)(1)	
07. Map	Re: [Map] [originally closed as 03d]. (1 pp.)	05/1992	(b)(1)	
08. Manifest	Helo Manifest (Staff/ Guests), Re: Camp David. (1 pp.)	n.d.	(b)(7)(c), (b)(7)(e), (b)(7)(f)	
09. Manifest	POV (Staff/ Guests), Re: Camp David. (1 pp.)	n.d.	(b)(7)(c), (b)(7)(e), (b)(7)(f)	

Page 1 of 1

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Office of the President
Series: Daily Files
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Saturday, June 20, 1992

Pinksheet Number: cap6152
OA/ID Number: 90690-004
Date Closed: 9/13/2019
FOIA/Sys Case #: 2009-0166-S[4]
Re-review Case #:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:

Missing
Schedule

Document Originally
Attached to
Following Page

PRESIDENTIAL MOVEMENTS

Los Angeles, California
 Dallas, Texas
 Washington, D.C.

LOCATION Camp David, Maryland

DATE 20 June 1992

TIME

MOVEMENTS

0728	Depart Four Seasons Hotel via Motorcade
0755	Arrive Tustin Marine Corps Air Station
	Irvine, California
0800	Depart Tustin Marine Corps Air Station
	via Marine One
0842	Arrive Burbank Airport, Burbank, California
0850	Depart Burbank Airport via Motorcade
0905	Arrive Universal City Hilton and Towers
1000	Depart Universal City Hilton and Towers
	via Motorcade
1012	Arrive Burbank Airport
1017	Depart Burbank Airport via Marine One
1047	Arrive El Toro Marine Corps Air Station
	Orange County, California
1058	Depart El Toro Marine Corps Air Station
	via Air Force One
CDT 1513	Arrive Love Field, Dallas, Texas
1717	Depart Love Field via Air Force One
EDT 2032	Arrive Andrews Air Force Base, Maryland
2042	Depart Andrews Air Force Base via Marine One
2120	Arrive Camp David, Maryland

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

TELEPHONE MEMORANDUM

SIGNAL SWITCHBOARD

June 20, 1992

	TIME		NAME	ACTION
	PLACED	DISC		
OUT	7:11 AM	7:15	David F. Demarest, Jr. Office, Newport Beach, California Newport Beach Signal 3-1238	Tlkd-ok 7:14 AM
INC	PM			
OUT	9:35 AM	10:07	Conference Call: Prime Minister Brian Mulroney Office, Ottawa, Canada 232-2555	Tlkd-ok 9:42 AM
INC	PM			
OUT	AM		Mr. Steven J. Baker White House Situation Room White House Signal 7-2264	
INC	PM			
OUT	AM		Mr. William T. Pryce White House Situation Room White House Signal 7-2264	
INC	PM			
OUT	AM		Mr. Robert B. Morley White House Situation Room White House Signal 7-2264	
INC	PM			
OUT	AM		Conference Call: President Carlos Andres Perez Residence, Caracas, Venezuela 241-6406	Tlkd-ok 2:27 PM via Secure Satellite
INC	2:20 PM	2:42		
OUT	AM		Mr. Michael J. McEnroe White House Situation Room White House Signal 7-2264	
INC	PM			
OUT	AM		Mr. William T. Pryce White House Situation Room White House Signal 7-2264	
INC	PM			
OUT	AM		Mr. Robert B. Morley White House Situation Room White House Signal 7-2264	
INC	PM			
OUT	AM		Ms. Patricia Arizu White House Situation Room White House Signal 7-2264	
INC	PM			
OUT	AM		Secretary Samuel K. Skinner Residence, Arlington, Virginia Secure Voice x7906	Tlkd-ok 7:26 PM via Secure Satellite
INC	7:25 PM	7:32		

TELEPHONE MEMORANDUM
SIGNAL SWITCHBOARD

[illegible]

TELEPHONE MEMORANDUM

 JUNE 20th , 19 92

[illegible]

Presidential Phone Calls



DATE: 6-20 TIME: 6⁴⁰ AM
incoming/outgoing

WITH: P. M. Mulroney

SUBJECT: call Aristide re Bazini
etc.

(see notes)

FOLLOW UP: Idk what Ton
have on all details
Will tell Bunt.

07

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
01. Memo	Brent Scowcroft to The President Re: Telephone Call to Prime Minister Mulroney Prime Minister of Canada [originally closed as 01a]. (1 pp.)	6/19/92	(b)(1)	C

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records

Office: Office of the President

Series: Daily Files

Subseries:

WHORM Cat.:

File Location: Saturday, June 20, 1992

Date Closed: 9/13/2019

OA/ID Number: 90690-004

FOIA/SYS Case #: 2009-0166-S[4]

Appeal Case #:

Re-review Case #:

Appeal Disposition:

P-2/P-5 Review Case #:

Disposition Date:

AR Case #:

MR Case #:

AR Disposition:

MR Disposition:

AR Disposition Date:

MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- (b)(1) National security classified information
- (b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency
- (b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute
- (b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information
- (b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy
- (b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes
- (b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions
- (b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells

Deed of Gift Restrictions

- C(1) Closed by Executive Order 13526, governing access to national security information
- C(2) Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the information
- C(3) Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift [formerly listed as only C]
- PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
02. Handwritten Notes	Re: [Best TV you've done. . .] [originally closed as 01b]. (1 pp.)	6/19/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Office of the President
Series: Daily Files
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Saturday, June 20, 1992

Date Closed:	9/13/2019	OA/ID Number:	90690-004
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2009-0166-S[4]	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:		Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells

Deed of Gift Restrictions

C(1) Closed by Executive Order 13526, governing access to national security information
C(2) Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the information
C(3) Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift [formerly listed as only C]
PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

4757

POINTS TO BE MADE FOR
TELEPHONE CONVERSATION WITH
PRIME MINISTER BRIAN MULRONEY OF CANADA

- I received your letter about Haiti. I'm also concerned. Frankly, I think we are running out of time.
- The way we see it, there are two elements needed to resolve the crisis. Agreement on a Prime Minister and an international presence in Haiti to build confidence.
- We all tried to support Theodore on CAP's suggestion, but he couldn't get the necessary support.
- Frankly, it looks like Marc Bazin may be the only Haitian political leader who can make a deal with Aristide that allows him to go back.
- Aristide is hesitant about Bazin and I understand that. But Aristide is part of the problem and shouldn't be allowed to dictate impossible terms on the issue of Prime Minister.
- When I suggested to Aristide in Rio that he should support Bazin, he said it would be difficult. I think it would help a lot for you to urge him to do so also, along with CAP.
- If we could get consensus on Bazin, then he and Aristide could jointly ask for the OAS to send its civilian mission to Haiti and for the U.N. to send some kind of security mission as you suggested.
- I agree with you that a security mission will be needed to stabilize the situation in Haiti and allow Aristide to go back.

DECLASSIFIED
PER NSC WAIVER, #2021-02
By MC NARA, Date 7/16/21

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

2

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

-- Would you be willing to call Aristide to press him to try to
make a deal with Bazin?

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

TAB B

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

4757

BACKGROUND PAPER FOR TELEPHONE CALL TO
PRIME MINISTER MULRONEY OF CANADA

Center-right economist Mark Bazin and his Cabinet have now been approved by both houses of the Haitian Congress. Bazin is the new de facto Prime Minister and President Nerette will be stepping down, leaving the presidency vacant. Bazin's Cabinet appointments reflect his effort to please various political constituencies in the Haitian body politic, although none of Aristide's supporters are in the Cabinet and Rene Theodore declined a post.

Bazin's announced goal is to work with the OAS and Aristide to bring an end to the crisis in Haiti. If Bazin and Aristide can reach a compromise, and the outcome enjoys broad support in Haiti, we and the OAS will accept it. This may be Aristide's last chance to cut a deal. If Aristide remains intransigent, Bazin or others may well consolidate power without him, sending a negative signal to the Hemisphere that coups can succeed. A UN/OAS presence in Haiti will be necessary to make this work -- both to reassure Aristide and his opponents. Mulroney has written to you suggesting a UN involvement in Haiti, including adoption of the OAS sanctions and possibly stronger collective action.

You should try to achieve two goals in your conversation with Mulroney:

- Persuade him to use his good offices to get Aristide to negotiate a deal with Bazin.
- Encourage him to seek support in the Security Council for a U.N. security mission in Haiti.

DECLASSIFIED

PER NSC WAIVER, #2024-02
By MC NARA, Date 11/16/21~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

TAB C

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
03. Letter	Prime Minister Mulroney to President George Bush [originally closed as 01e]. (2 pp.)	6/6/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records

Office: Office of the President

Series: Daily Files

Subseries:

WHORM Cat.:

File Location: Saturday, June 20, 1992

Date Closed: 9/13/2019	OA/ID Number: 90690-004
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2009-0166-S[4]	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- (b)(1) National security classified information
- (b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency
- (b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute
- (b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information
- (b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy
- (b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes
- (b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions
- (b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells

Deed of Gift Restrictions

- C(1) Closed by Executive Order 13526, governing access to national security information
- C(2) Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the information
- C(3) Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift [formerly listed as only C]
- PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]

Presidential Phone Calls

Aboard Air Force One



Pacific Time

DATE:

6-20

TIME:

11:20

incoming / outgoing

WITH:

C. A. Perez

SUBJECT:

attached

FOLLOW UP:

*Tom Hawk will
get group moving
on Monday*

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

4760

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 19, 1992

32 JUN 19 P2:21

FOR: THE PRESIDENT

FROM: BRENT SCOWCROFT 

SUBJECT: Telephone Call to President Perez of Venezuela (C)

DATE: ~~3:30 p.m.~~ 1130 SA1 4:00 p.m. (P.S.T.)

PURPOSE: To discuss the situation in Haiti. President Perez has requested the call.

SECURE OR OPEN: Open

INTERPRETER: Required

PHONE NUMBER: 861-1610; 861-1603; 810-811

CONTACT PERSON: Ann Patterson 647-1715

TIME DIFFERENCE: None

SUGGESTED TIMES: President Perez has asked to talk to the President as soon as possible.

TOPICS OF DISCUSSION: Haiti

Date of Submission:

Action:

Attachments

Tab A Points to be Made

Tab B Background Paper

DECLASSIFIED

White House Guidelines

E.O. 13526, SEC 3.4 (b), September 11, 2006

By Mc NARA, Date 6/18/24~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

4760

My idea Con, Ven, U.S perhaps Tom Fox set up working group. Meet in D.C. situation gets out of hand -

POINTS TO BE MADE FOR
TELEPHONE CONVERSATION WITH
PRESIDENT CARLOS ANDRES PEREZ OF VENEZUELA

- I urge him to pressure Bazin*
Our Ambassador in D.C. plus
- I'm sorry we didn't see each other in Rio. I hope your domestic situation is all right. *want to talk you talk Bazin - I had concern Haiti - downward trend. We should agree,*
 - I understand you want to talk about Haiti. So do I. I think we are running out of time. *Can, Ven. U.S*
 - The way we see it, there are two elements needed to resolve the crisis. Agreement on a Prime Minister and an international presence in Haiti to build confidence.
 - When we last talked, you recommended we jointly support Theodore. We did. But he apparently didn't have the support needed. *unfortunately Aristide goes on this*
 - ☒ Marc Bazin has been approved by the Haitian parliament and, frankly, he may be the only Haitian political leader who can make a deal with Aristide that allows him to go back.
 - Aristide is hesitant about Bazin and I understand that. But Aristide is part of the problem and shouldn't be allowed to dictate impossible terms on the issue of Prime Minister.
 - When I suggested to Aristide in Rio that he should support Bazin, he said it would be difficult. I think it would help a lot for you to urge him to consider working with Bazin. *If you and Mulroney and Mitterrand all press him on this, we might have a chance for a solution.*
 - If we could get consensus on Bazin, then he and Aristide could jointly ask for the OAS to send its civilian mission

BUSH LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY - GEORGE BUSH HANDWRITING

DECLASSIFIED

PER NSC WAIVER, #2021-02

By MC NARA, Date 7/16/21~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

2

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

to Haiti and for the U.N. to send some kind of security mission.

- I think we will need a UN security mission to help stabilize the situation to the point where Aristide could go back.
- Would you be willing to call Aristide to press him to try to make a deal with Bazin, and to call Mitterrand to ask him to make the same approach to Aristide.
- We also will need your help on the Security Council to get U.N. involvement if we get to that point.

BUSH LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY - GEORGE BUSH HANDWRITING

Sum ① we more
connected with group
CAP " call Aristide
" " Tancrède
I say " At work for more
flexible - " ideas
I have some other ideas
But need your plan
I'll send ideas
in writing

TAB B

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

BACKGROUND PAPER

Center-right economist Marc Bazin and his Cabinet have now been approved by both houses of the Haitian Congress. Bazin is the new de facto Prime Minister and President Nerette will be stepping down, leaving the Presidency vacant. Bazin's Cabinet appointments reflect his effort to please various political constituencies in the Haitian body politic, although none of Aristide's supporters are in the Cabinet and Rene Theodore declined a post.

Bazin's announced goal is to work with the OAS and Aristide to reach a compromise. If the outcome enjoys broad support in Haiti, we and the OAS will accept it. This may be Aristide's last chance to cut a deal. If Aristide remains intransigent, Bazin or others may well consolidate power without him, sending a negative signal to the hemisphere that coups can succeed.

You should try to achieve two goals in you conversation with CAP:

- Persuade him to use his good offices to get Aristide to negotiate a deal with Bazin. We would also like him to call Mitterand and ask him to do the same.
- Gain his support in the Security Council for a U.N. security mission to Haiti.

DECLASSIFIED

PER NSC WAIVER, #202102

By MC NARA, Date 7/16/21~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
04. Report	Re: [Government Report] [originally closed as 03a]. (3 pp.)	6/8/92	(b)(1)	TS

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Office of the President
Series: Daily Files
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Saturday, June 20, 1992

Date Closed: 9/13/2019	OA/ID Number: 90690-004
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2009-0166-S[4]	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells

Deed of Gift Restrictions

C(1) Closed by Executive Order 13526, governing access to national security information
C(2) Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the information
C(3) Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift [formerly listed as only C]
PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
05. Map	Re: [Map] [originally closed as 03b]. (1 pp.)	n.d.	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Office of the President
Series: Daily Files
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Saturday, June 20, 1992

Date Closed: 9/13/2019	OA/ID Number: 90690-004
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2009-0166-S[4]	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells

Deed of Gift Restrictions

C(1) Closed by Executive Order 13526, governing access to national security information
C(2) Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the information
C(3) Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift [formerly listed as only C]
PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
06. Photographs	Re: 335547 [originally closed as 03c]. (1 pp.)	06/1992	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Office of the President
Series: Daily Files
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Saturday, June 20, 1992

Date Closed: 9/13/2019	OA/ID Number: 90690-004
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2009-0166-S[4]	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells

Deed of Gift Restrictions

C(1) Closed by Executive Order 13526, governing access to national security information
C(2) Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the information
C(3) Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift [formerly listed as only C]
PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
07. Map	Re: [Map] [originally closed as 03d]. (1 pp.)	05/1992	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Office of the President
Series: Daily Files
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Saturday, June 20, 1992

Date Closed: 9/13/2019 **OA/ID Number:** 90690-004

FOIA/SYS Case #: 2009-0166-S[4]

Appeal Case #:

Re-review Case #:

Appeal Disposition:

P-2/P-5 Review Case #:

Disposition Date:

AR Case #:

MR Case #:

AR Disposition:

MR Disposition:

AR Disposition Date:

MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- (b)(1) National security classified information
- (b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency
- (b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute
- (b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information
- (b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy
- (b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes
- (b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions
- (b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells

Deed of Gift Restrictions

- C(1) Closed by Executive Order 13526, governing access to national security information
- C(2) Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the information
- C(3) Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift [formerly listed as only C]
- PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]



Handy 6/20
United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

June 17, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR ROSE ZAMARIA

FROM: Connie Dierman *CMD*
SUBJECT: Request for Signed Presidential Bookplates

We would like to use the book The American Presidents (The American Bicentennial Presidential Inaugural Edition) as Presidential gifts on the upcoming trip to Poland, Germany, and Finland.

I would greatly appreciate your help in obtaining signed bookplates for the following individuals:

POLAND

The Honorable
Thomas W. Simons, Jr.
US Ambassador

GERMANY

His Excellency
Heinrich Seemann
Chief of Protocol

The Honorable
Robert M. Kimmitt
US Ambassador

FINLAND

Her Excellency
Eva-Christina Makelainen
Chief of Protocol

The Honorable
John Kelly
US Ambassador

Thanks, Rose.

The Honorable
Thomas W. Simons, Jr.
US Ambassador to Poland



Hon Thomas Simons Jr
With Appreciation
And High Regard.

George Bush
President of the United States of America

July 1992

The Honorable
John Kelly
US Ambassador to Finland



To
Amb. John Kelly
With Thanks
& With High
Regard.

George Bush
President of the United States of America

July '92

The Honorable
Robert M. Kimmitt
US Ambassador to Germany



To July 1992
Amb. Bob Kimmitt
With Warmest
Best Wishes & Thanks

George Bush
President of the United States of America

Her Excellency
Eva-Christina Makelainen
Chief of Protocol, Finland



To
Her Excellency
Eva-Christina Makelainen

With Many Thanks

for the Hospitality

by [Signature]

President of the United States of America

July 1992

His Excellency
Heinrich Seemann
Chief of Protocol, Germany



H.E. Heinrich Seemann

With Appreciation

and Thanks

[Signature]

President of the United States of America

July 1992

daily



June 20, 1992

From: The President

To: Boyden Gray

George Pfau was in to see me again on the line item veto, but it also came up when I met with the Jarvis anti-tax people in Los Angeles on Friday, June 19. Like Lasky, this group is asking that we find a specific case and test the line item veto theory.

I would appreciate someone preparing reply to Lasky, making it as positive as possible, but telling him the other problems. I told George Pfau that the Attorney General couldn't give me an opinion saying I had the inherent right to exercise the line item veto. I also told him we were continually looking for a case where I could exercise the veto and avoid a constitutional challenge.

Help!

h

FROM THE PRESIDENT

PaineWebber Incorporated
100 California Street
Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94111
415 954-5932
415 954-5913 Fax

George H. Pfau, Jr.
Senior Vice President-Investments

PaineWebber

April 6, 1992

President George Bush
White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear George:

I am enclosing a letter and two legal briefs on subjects near and dear to my heart and, I know, to yours. I hope they will be helpful.

Moses Lasky is a trial attorney in San Francisco who is greatly revered and feared. His court victories are legendary. He is, indeed, a lawyer to be reckoned with.

As you can see from his cover letter we have talked about the "line item veto" for some time as well as "term limits". I feel now is the time to use the line item veto. There is almost no way to lose. I hope you will consider it and do it when you get the right "item".

All the best,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "George", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

MOSES LASKY · 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 1200
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111

March 30, 1992

Mr. George Pfau, Jr.
100 California Street, Suite 320
San Francisco, CA 94111

Dear George:

More than a year ago I remarked to you that the case for the proposition that the President already has the line item veto was much stronger than I had seen it elsewhere put by its proponents, and you urged me to set down my reasons for you to place in the President's hands. I promised to do so but never did. More recently, I remarked to you that states have the constitutional power to confine congressmen to a limited number of terms, that I thought the conclusion unanswerable, and I had yet seen no published article that made that case. You commented that the President would be interested, as term limits in irresponsible congresses. - in in

matters. Here are the tw

You also urged me to write directly to the President, & then forward to him. This I have not done as must certainly be occupied at this moment with matters more immediately demanding, and a letter from a stranger is not likely to get much attention. The memoranda might gain some


*The President
remembers
receiving this
& asking for
a response*

Mr. George Pfau, Jr.
March 30, 1992
Page 2

attention if they reached him under care of a personal letter from a long-time friend.

The matter of a limit on terms of congressmen is one that concerns the President only on one remove. The issue will probably get to the Supreme Court on a case coming up from an attack on Colorado's recent initiative measure which limits congressmen to two terms. When and if it does, the President can instruct the Solicitor General to file an amicus brief in support of Colorado. I would love to brief and argue that case in the Supreme Court. I have had several constitutional cases there; in my last one I prevailed against Archibald Cox.

Sincerely,



Moses Lasky

ML:tk

12230

Moses Lasky
505 Sansome Street, Suite 1200
San Francisco, CA 94111
March 30, 1992

Memorandum Re Line Item Veto

Articles have been written on whether the President possesses a line item veto, but the case for existence of the line item veto can be both more simply and more effectively stated than anything I have read.

All that the Constitution says about veto by the President appears in Article I, Section 7:

"Every bill which shall have passed the House of Representatives and the Senate shall, before it become a law, be presented to the President of the United States. If he approves, he shall sign it, but, if not, he shall return it, with his objections to that House in which it shall have originated . . ."

The key to this provision is the word "bill". One must inquire what is a "bill". The Constitution does not define the word, but the meaning of "bill" is and long has been understood to be a proposal which, if adopted, will become a law. Webster's Dictionary; Black's Law Dictionary; Words and Phrases (Perm. Ed.) pp. 630 et seq.

Nothing in the word "bill" connotes anything about size, length, or thickness of the bundle of paper Congress may choose to send up to the President at any

given moment. If Congress proposed 100, 1,000, or 10,000 appropriations, each on separate pieces of paper, each would be a separate bill. If it bundled the numerous pieces of paper together by a shoelace tied through a hole in the upper left hand corner of the sheet, no one would contend that they were one bill. It should make no difference that the sheets are bound together in a sturdier way. The fact that Congress may assemble all these appropriations in one bundle or volume does not mean that all the different appropriations constitute a proposal for one law. What counts is not the physical apparatus but the logical meaning.

There is an apt analogy that is completely in point. An Act of Congress or of a state legislature often contains numerous provisions, and frequently one of the provisions is declared by a court to be unconstitutional. Does this render the whole statute invalid? The answer is elementary. It does so only if it is evident that the legislature would not have enacted the constitutional provisions independently of the unconstitutional. Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1, 108 (1976). Any lawyer in the Department of Justice can quickly find dozens of cases to the same effect. Legislative bodies often insert into a statute a statement that the unconstitutionality of any one

provision shall not affect the validity of other portions. But if the statute says nothing to that effect, courts determine the matter by the logic and reason of the subject.

By the same reasoning, if the President should veto a particular appropriation and approve the remainder of the document presented to him, the courts would have to determine whether Congress had sent him one bill or more than one, so that his veto of one or more items was an effective veto without affecting his approval of the others in the bundle. What this determination comes to is simply a determination whether Congress would have refused to enact the remainder of the bundle if the one vetoed provision were not included.

It would be a rare case indeed where the court would do so. It is inconceivable that a court would find, for example, that Congress would not have appropriated money for the payment of salaries of congressmen or other government officials or employees or for the defense establishment, unless the President also approved an appropriation for the Welk Memorial in South Dakota!

If the President should veto an item, his right to exercise a line item veto could arise in either of two ways. It might be argued that veto of a

particular item was of no effect because the President had approved the rest or else the veto of the one item constituted failure to approve the "bill", so that there was no appropriation of anything. Either way, Congress would end up looking foolish, and the public's ridicule of Congress could in effect establish the line item veto. How silly would Congress look were it to say that it would have enacted no appropriation bill at all unless a pork barrel item for Keokuk or for developing a purple rose in Luther Burbank's garden in Santa Rosa, California were approved!

I suggest that, should the President purport to exercise a line item veto, carefully selecting the time, one of two things would happen. Either the Supreme Court would sustain it or, if it did not, Congress would be made to look so foolish that it would enact a statute declaring generally that its intention shall be deemed to be that each line of any appropriation stands on its own feet.

I am no politician, but I suspect that a line item veto before the election could have a dramatic effect.

Moses Lasky
505 Sansome Street, Suite 1200
San Francisco, CA 94111
March 30, 1992

Memorandum On Whether A State Can Limit
The Number Of Terms Its Representatives
In Congress Or Its Senator May Serve

The contention that a state cannot limit the number of terms one may serve as a representative in Congress or as a United States Senator is based on two fallacies. The first is that the Constitution of the United States specifies the qualifications for senators and representatives. The second is a tacit assumption that representatives and senators are officials of the federal government alone. Neither of these suppositions is correct.

The Constitution does not state the qualifications of senators and representatives. What it does is to specify certain minimum conditions that must be satisfied. Article I, Section 2, of the Constitution states that:

"No person shall be a Representative who shall not have attained to the age of 25 years, and been seven years a citizen of the United States, and who shall not, when elected, be an inhabitant of that state in which he shall be chosen."

Article I, Section 3, similarly reads:

"No person shall be a Senator who shall not have attained to the age of 30 years, and been nine years a citizen of the United States, and who shall not, when elected, be an inhabitant of that state for which he shall be chosen."

The only other relevant provision is Article I, Section 6, which provides "no person holding any office under the United States shall be a member of either house during his continuance in office".

It will be noted that the qualifications stated in the Constitution are in the "negative form". The Supreme Court so observed in the Adams Clayton Powell case. (Powell v. McCormack, 395 U.S. 486 (1969)). The provisions had been changed by the Committee on Style from the affirmative form in which they had been placed by the Committee on Detail when reporting to the Convention. It had then read:

"Every member of the House of Representatives shall be of the age of 25 years at least; shall have been a citizen of [in] the United States for at least three years before his election; and shall be, at the time of his election, a resident of the state in which he shall be chosen."

This was changed to the negative form before adoption by the framers of the Constitution. The writers of the Constitution wrote with precision. Whatever the reason for the change in wording, its effect is plain.

Instead of purporting to state qualifications, Sections 2, 3 and 6 of Article I now merely state a minimum eligibility standards.

These provisions do not specify that one who possesses the elements mentioned meets all qualifications. What they say is that unless the person has these minimum qualifications, he may not even be considered. This is even clearer when looked at in the light of the second fallacy. Article I, Section 2 states that "The House of Representatives shall be comprised of members chosen . . . by the people of the several states". Section 3 originally provided that Senators shall be "chosen by the Legislature of the state", and by the 17th Amendment they are chosen by the "people of each state". In Powell v. McCormack, at p. 503, the Court's opinion, referring to the legislative immunity created by the Speech and Debate clause, which grants immunity to Congressmen for anything said on the floor, states that the clause

"insures that legislators are free
to represent the interests of their
constituents"

This was an automatic observation. It therefore was clear recognition of what is obvious, that the state, or the citizens of a state, are the constituents of the representatives and senators. If anyone should have

something to say about the qualifications of those who seek their selection, it is the constituents. Senators and representatives are not merely officers of the United States; they are representatives of their states. The members of Congress are selected state by state; representatives must be an inhabitant of the state "in which he shall be chosen"; a senator must be an inhabitant of "that state for which he shall be chosen".

The Powell case will undoubtedly be relied on by those who contest constitutionality of state-imposed term limitations. That reliance will be misplaced, for the issue in Powell was whether Congress or either house can impose qualifications, not whether states can. Justice Douglas in his concurring and dissenting opinion remarked

"As the Court says, the important constitutional question [in the Powell case] is whether the Congress has power to deviate from or alter the qualifications for membership as a representative contained in Article I, Section 2, Clause 2 of the Constitution"

Congress has only such powers as the Constitution expressly gives it. But states have all powers the Constitution does not forbid. The House had excluded Powell, purporting to act on the power granted each house to be the sole judge of the qualifications of its

members. The Court drew a distinction between "exclusion" and "expulsion". It would have upheld an "expulsion" but would not an "exclusion". Each house is the sole judge of whether an elected official possesses qualifications set forth in the Constitution but it cannot add to qualifications. That is all Powell held.

Congress itself is granted no authority to add to or subtract from the qualifications. It can do no more than "make or alter" state regulations concerning the times and manner of holding elections for senators and representatives (Article I, Section 4).

Articles in the Wall Street Journal, seeking to uphold the powers of states to impose term limits on Congressmen, have placed their argument on the power granted states to fix the time, manner, and place of holding election for Congressmen. I think that is weak support for a conclusion far more solidly based.

It is rational that the state which a congressman represents should have something to say about the qualifications of its representative, and there is nothing in the Constitution to negative that rational conclusion.

Suppose the provision of the Constitution about selection of congressmen read as follows:

"Each state shall select two senators from the state as a whole and a number of representatives [according to its population], at time, place and in the manner the state shall determine, provided that no one may be selected as a senator who shall not have attained to the age of 30 years [etc.], and no one may be selected as a member of the House of Representatives who has not attained to the age of 25 years [etc.]"

If so worded, there could be no doubt that each state could determine for itself any other qualifications. And yet what appears in the Constitution and the provision just postulated are identical in meaning.

To put the matter even more simply. The Constitution says to each of the states: "You select your representatives and senators on whatever basis you choose, and if they satisfy the minimum provisions of eligibility stated in Sections 2, 3, and 6 of Article I, they will be seated".

daily



June 20, 1992

Dick Darman:

Before I mail this to David Packard, please let me know if what I say is accurate, and please give me any information we have to back it up.

4

FROM THE PRESIDENT

(DICTATED BY THE PRESIDENT ON AIR FORCE ONE)

June 20, 1992

Dear David:

It was good seeing you and thanks once again for your magnificent support for me and for the party. Because I, too, oppose abortion some think I oppose population control. I strongly favor population control and, indeed, our support international planning through AID is at an all time high (HAVE DARMAN VERIFY).

I agree with you on education involving family planning. What we should do and what I will do as a result of your letter is get out a strong statement of support for international family planning.

Thanks for the very helpful letter.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Packard
26580 Taaffe Road
Los Altos Hills, California 94022

(SEND BOOKLET OR FACT SHEET -- WHAT EVER IS AVAILABLE)

DAVID PACKARD
26580 TAAFFE ROAD
LOS ALTOS HILLS, CALIFORNIA 94022

Dictate

June 18, 1992

President George Bush
The White House
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. President:

Your meeting with Yeltsin with the agreement to make major reductions in nuclear weapons was a real ten-strike and it should help your campaign.

Your position on the environmental problem is not acceptable to a very large number of voters and I think you will not be re-elected unless you make a radical change in your position on this issue.

I understand your position on abortion and you clearly can not change that.

You can, however, come out with a strong position on population control. Abortion is not an indispensable element of population control. In fact, with an effective population control program in place, abortion will be unnecessary.

The most important factor for an effective population control program is the education of women on the use of contraceptive techniques and devices. There are a number of other population control actions that have been effective as well.

I realize you could not come out with a strong population control message in Rio. The Developing Nations were afraid that an extensive discussion of population control might result in their being blamed for all of the problems. They wanted the industrialized countries to be blamed so they would have a logical base on which to request financial support.

An effective population control program could keep the growth down to one-half or less than that which would result without one over the next few decades.

Population control will have a major impact on the preservation of rain forests. People living around them have to make the decision whether to starve to death or cut down some trees so they and their families can survive. High level agreements will have little or no effect on controlling

President Bush
Page Two

people in this position.

There are valid reasons for going slow on actions to control the greenhouse effect. I am sure you understand these but I think a better case could be made to support your position on this issue.


I have not taken the time to provide numbers and data to support my recommendation. These are readily available and PCAST could prepare and document the case.

My comments so far relate to the international problems. I think a similar case could be made on the domestic problems and these will be the most important to many voters.

I strongly urge you and your advisors to come out quickly with a strong statement announcing and describing a policy along the lines I am suggesting.

I think this is the only choice you have to assure your re-election. If there is something I can do to be helpful in implementing these suggestions, please let me know.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'D. Packard', written in a cursive style.

David Packard



THE PRESIDENT

June 21, 1992

Marlin:

Let's see what we can do on Monday to make
clear my strong support for the
Supercollider.

Have some scientists in? Strong W>H>
Statement? Remarks in Rose Garden?

Maybe I can endorse a statement by a group
of scientists- PCAST maybe?

cy

cc; Sam Skinner

Mr. President:

6/19/92

Peter O'Donnell called from a cruise ship. Wanted to pass on to you that you should make a statement regarding the cancellation of funding for the supercollider.

It should state that the Project is in the national interest and has your support. That you will be working with the Senate to get the funds restored.

There is going to be a need for some Presidential involvement.

Suggested, also, that you might meet with the President's National Research Com. to let them know they have your support. (not sure this is correct title of the group)

AFTER HE AND I SPOKE, HE HAD HIS OFFICE FAX THE ATTACHED NEWS CLIPPINGS.

I told Peter I'm sure you would be addressing this while you were in Texas on Saturday.

RZ

PETER O'DONNELL, JR.
1401 ELM STREET, SUITE 3366
DALLAS, TEXAS 75202-2943

INVESTMENTS

June 19, 1992

Ms. Rose Zamaria
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D. C. 20200

Dear Rose:

Enclosed are two items from the Dallas Morning News today.

1. An editorial that speaks to the point I tried to make that the SSC is in the national interest.
2. An article that I think gives you some of the political aspects of the situation.

Thanks for your help.

Sincerely yours,



Peter O'Donnell, Jr.

Encl.

The Dallas Morning News

Texas' Leading Newspaper

© 1992, The Dallas Morning News

Dallas, Texas, Friday, June 19, 1992

15 Sections

25 Cents

Senate support for collider in doubt

Texans say saving project to be tough

By Anne Reitenberg

Washington Bureau of The Dallas Morning News

WASHINGTON — Backers and detractors of the Superconducting Super Collider agreed Thursday that the momentum of the House vote to ax the project might prove too big to beat back in the Senate.

Texas' two senators said they did not know whether support was hearty enough in their chamber to revive the collider after the House's stunning move Wednesday to deny it funding in the next fiscal year, which begins Oct. 1.

"After seeing what happened in the House, of course I'm worried," Sen. Lloyd Bentsen, D-Texas, said at

SUPER COLLIDER VOTE

■ Status of construction.	26A
■ Workers fear for jobs.	25A
■ U.S. may lose science lead.	27A
■ Arms could lose billions.	27A
■ Editorial.	30A

a news conference. "The fight this year is going to be tougher than it was last year. We are going to have to redouble our efforts. . . . I think we can win."

Others said the \$3.25 billion collider was surely doomed.

"It's dead," said Rep. Craig Watkins, D-Houston, the sole Texan

in the House to vote against the project. "I don't care what happens in the Senate. With the vote margin in the House, there is just not going to be an SSC."

The House voted 212-181 to kill the program in fiscal 1993, and to spend \$34 million shutting collider offices, laboratories and work sites in Dallas and eight counties.

The Senate could restore the money that the House excised from the energy and water development appropriations bill, but the House would have to endorse any restoration. And there is no certainty that

Please see SENATE on Page 26A.

SUPER COLLIDER VOTE

Senate may not be able to save collider

Continued from Page 1A.

The Senate will make the effort. Though more supportive of the project in the past than House members, senators are feeling the same election-year, cut-the-budget, throw-the-bombs-out pressure as their colleagues on the other side of Capitol Hill.

"Congress has been heat up so much in this session, every one of them is looking for a vote they can cast to say, 'Look, I saved money,'" said John White, a former Texas agriculture commissioner and Democratic national chairman. "And this impact is mighty big bucks."

"The Senate's been convinced before that it's a worthy project and they can be convinced again, but it's going to be some really heavy lifting."

The Senate voted 62-37 in favor of the collider last year.

Sen. J. Bennett Johnston, chairman of the Senate appropriations subcommittee responsible for collider funding, predicted an uphill fight to win again this year and tried to wage it.

"It would be a tragedy for this country, long the world leader in scientific research, to mindlessly burn our scientific seed corn, as we would be doing by abandoning the SSC," the Louisiana Democrat said in a statement. "This

is a program that should be continued."

But Rep. Martin Frost, D-Dallas, predicted death for this project in the Senate without hard lobbying by the White House.

Mr. Frost complained that although Democrats in the House came through with most of the votes for keeping the project alive, Republicans failed miserably — and he blamed President Bush.

"The president just did not deliver the votes we were told the Republicans would provide," Mr. Frost said. "(Eminis Republican) Joe Barton worked very hard, but he didn't get much help from the White House."

"The president couldn't deliver his own people. I don't know how hard he worked. But he'd sure better work a lot harder over in the Senate. If it's going to survive, the president better get after it."


The 181 ballots for the collider were cast by 102 Democrats and 79 Republicans. Mr. Frost said the GOP had pledged 110 solid votes.

Texas Gov. Ann Richards, a Democrat, said she, too, was surprised by "strong Republican opposition."

"The president has consistently pointed out the importance of the program, but we did not get the Republican support yesterday that we hoped to have," she said Thursday.

FUNDING THE SUPER COLLIDER

A look at funds requested and granted for the Superconducting Super Collider, in millions.



Ms. Richards added that she believed the collider was walloped because it was in the first appropriations bill "out of the block" after last week's balanced-budget amendment debate.

Mr. Bush is a longtime collider booster. He asked Congress to give the program \$630 million in fiscal 1993 and expressed disappointment when the House Appropriations Committee pared his request to

\$383.7 million.

The president was traveling in California on Thursday and had no immediate comment.

Sen. Phil Gramm, R-Texas, said Mr. Bush's continued backing was assured but added that it was no time for one party to be pointing fingers at the other.

"One reason we've been so successful in the Senate (in backing the collider) is that we've not allowed partisanship to get in the way of the debate," Mr. Gramm said. "I think we can do it again."

But the anti-deficit wave that swept the House last week with impassioned debate over a constitutional amendment to balance the budget — an amendment only narrowly rejected — has begun leaking into the Senate.

Mr. Gramm is chief among the senators agitating for a budget overhaul and elimination of the annual federal deficit, which next year will hit \$480 billion. He said in a speech on the floor Wednesday that he was frustrated to hear "vague platitudes" about the need to cut the deficit but not see any action.

"I hope that a merciful God does not hold politicians accountable for the sin of hypocrisy," he said, referring to lawmakers' failure to match

their budget-cutting rhetoric with votes. "We ought to do something about it."

But Mr. Gramm — like his budget-cutting competitors from Texas in the House — does not believe the collider should be a casualty in the budget war. He said Thursday that it was a vital national science endeavor and deserving of federal funding even in hard fiscal times.

"I don't see any real contradiction between the two (positions)," he said.

"When a family runs into budget problems, they don't pull Johnny out of Texas A&M," Mr. Gramm said. "They just don't go on vacation that year."

The collider, the largest scientific instrument ever designed, was first funded by Congress in fiscal 1988. It has received more than \$1 billion in federal appropriations and praise from the leadership in both chambers.

But the House vote Wednesday packed a punch.

Proctor James, Mr. Johnston's aide on the Senate appropriations subcommittee, said it would force senators to re-examine their views on the government's spending priorities and commitment to scientific research.

"The magnitude of the vote in the House requires us to step back," Mr. Jones said. "Was this an emotional outburst, a fallout from the balanced-budget amendment? Does it mean the country can really no longer afford to fund projects like this?"

"There is no nation that has been more blessed by the benefits of basic, fundamental science than the United States. Is that over for us?"

Mr. Washington said that, for now, it should be.

"The collider is way down on the list of priorities when it comes to basic human needs," the Houston Democrat said. "We can't continue to fund every program. We can't have our cake and eat our cake. We've got to give some of our cake back from Texas so our children can eat, too."

He said he and others would fight any Senate move to resume funding.

"We (in the House) will instruct our conferees not to come back with anything for the SSC," Mr. Washington said. "And the collider is just the first cut on the hog. There are 12 more appropriations bills, and each one is going to lose a significant project. It has to be done."

The Dallas Morning News
Friday, June 19, 1982

BURL OSBORN, Publisher and Editor
JEREMY L. HALDREICH, President and General Manager
RALPH LAMER, Senior Vice President/Executive Editor
WILLIAM W. EVANS, Executive Managing Editor
ROBERT W. MONO JR., Managing Editor
RENA PEDERSON, Vice President/Editorial Page Editor
Senior Vice Presidents
HARRY M. STANLEY JR., Sales and Marketing
J. WILLIAM CUNY, Administration and Finance
FRANK MCKNIGHT, Circulation
Vice Presidents
RICHARD STARKS, Advertising
HAROLD F. GAAR JR., Marketing
BARRY PITCHAM, Circulation
GROVER D. LIVINGSTON, Information Management
DEAN BLYTHE, Special Projects
JAMES M. CORREY, Production

EDITORIALS

SUPER COLLIDER

Vote to kill project shows a loss of vision

Members of the House of Representatives lined up at the microphones Wednesday to explain why Congress should kill the \$8.25 billion Superconducting Super Collider. They argued that the super collider was too costly. They said they were tired of congressional representatives from Texas pushing for a balanced budget and then urging the House to spend billions on the collider.

What House members failed to explain in this ugly show of political muscle is how their assault on the super collider shows a positive vision for America's future. That is something they simply could not do.

The 232-181 vote to kill the project showed little or no concern about the scientific and technological strength of this nation. Instead, House members seemed determined to find a victim to punish for last week's rough debate over a balanced-budget amendment. The Superconducting Super Collider became a convenient punching bag for Congress' frustrations.

It is vital that the Senate not fall prey to the same mind-set. The clamor for cost cutting must not be interpreted as a sign of America's willingness to fall behind in scientific research.

No one summed up the devastating impact of the House vote more effectively than Gov. Ann Richards. "This project is not about jobs in Texas," Ms. Richards said. "It is about our vision of our future."

Scientists from throughout the nation have come to Ellis County to be a part of the


Superconducting Super Collider program. They know that cutting-edge technology will emerge from the work they will be performing.

This week, the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center announced a \$28 million program to use the collider in cancer treatment. Medical school officials believe that subatomic particles produced by the collider can be used to destroy tumors. The project is just one of many examples of the scientific doors that will be opened by the Superconducting Super Collider.

Without a doubt, Congress no longer can ignore a national debt that has now reached trillions of dollars. Elected representatives must respond to the public's overwhelming desire to curb runaway federal costs.

But the answer to this crisis will not be found by using a meat cleaver to hack away programs instead of setting national priorities. Congress must follow a budgeting strategy that will allow each project and each expenditure to stand on its own merits.

That is the only way the House of Representatives can address our financial dilemma responsibly without relegating this nation to a role of scientific mediocrity. The Superconducting Super Collider is too important to be victimized by political gamesmanship and regional resentment. The Senate should send a loud and clear message to the rest of the world that the United States intends to retain its position of leadership in science.



THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN^{4/18}

HISTORY OF BUDGETARY SUPPORT FOR SUPERCONDUCTING SUPER COLLIDER
(Million \$)

02

	<u>President's Budget</u>	<u>Congressional Appropriation</u>	<u>Notable Votes</u>
<u>FY 1988</u>	35	33	
<u>FY 1989</u>	363	98	Senate: Weicker Amendment would have transferred SSC funds to health programs; defeated 57 to 38.
<u>FY 1990</u>	250	218	House: Eckart-Obey amendment to strike construction funds; defeated 330 to 93.
<u>FY 1991</u>	318	243	House: Roe Bill to authorize project, and cap Federal funding at \$5 billion; passed 309-109.
<u>FY 1992</u>	534	484	House: Slattery amendment to terminate project; defeated 251 to 165. Senate: Bumpers amendment to terminate project; defeated 62 to 37.
<u>FY 1993</u>	650	NA	House: Eckart amendment to terminate project; passed 232 to 181.

Daily 6/20

June 15, 1992

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN ^{6/20}

MEMORANDUM FOR BOB TEETER

FROM: Mary Matalin

SUBJECT: Louie Nunn



Velma Childers called the President to complain of Louie Nunn's poor treatment at the hand of our Kentucky organization.

Here are the facts:

Senator Mitch McConnell is our chairman. He has had long experience with Governor Nunn, who threatened to quit both of McConnell's races. Nunn regularly flirts with Democrats, most recently attending a Perot meeting with former Governor Wilkinson.

McConnell personally put him on our Steering Committee. BQ leaders, Congressmen Hal Rogers and Jim Bunning, as well as GOP chairman Bob Gable regularly try to stroke him. On Saturday morning, we held a BQ county chairman organizational meeting at which McConnell, Rogers, Bunning, Senator Thad Cochran, and Nunn spoke. Governor Nunn was very supportive in his comments to the county chairmen. Following that meeting, our RPD spent an hour talking to Nunn and annointed him THE Kentucky BQ surrogate.

On Saturday afternoon, a fracas ensued at the state convention when the fundamentalist-right (20% of the party) tried to pass a resolution to elect the delegates from the convention floor, as opposed to electing them from the county-chosen slate as dictated by party rules. The resolution had nothing to do with BQ; it was an anti-establishment attack, which is a common occurrence in states where the fundamentalists have gained strength since infiltrating the state party organizations since 1988. (In fact, they took over the Iowa state party this weekend.)

✓ In so much as Nunn hates the GOP chairman, he joined with the fundamentalists against the establishment. Again, BQ was not a party to this internecine warfare and in fact would be stupid to intervene.

✓ In short, Nunn is a constant problem in Kentucky. McConnell and the whole BQ team do their best to control him. He's old, bitter, and unsatisfiable. We, nonetheless, keep a vigilant eye on him -- again, most recently by appointing him the predominant Kentucky surrogate.

Our RPD is calling Velma Childers this morning to report all of this since she obviously only had Nunn's version.

Daily 6/20

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN 6/20

June 12, 1992

W

MEMORANDUM FOR BOB TEETER

FROM: Mary Matalin

SUBJECT: Bart Starr

When the Alabama campaign kicked off, the very first call BQ Chairman Emory Folmar instructed his ED to make was to Bart Starr to join the Steering Committee. Starr was an active and prominent member of the team during the primary and continues to work closely with Folmar. In fact, Folmar has asked him to host at his home the first Victory '92 Alabama fundraiser with Vice President Quayle.

For obvious reasons, our RPDs work through our state chairmen and EDs and do not regularly contact individual supporters. No one from the political division in Washington has ever met with Starr and hence, no one here ever offered him a Southeast regional co-chairmanship. In fact, we have no plans to establish a Southeast regional post, as Governor Campbell more than adequately covers the entire region. Neither Campbell nor Folmar ever reported any problems with Starr. If anyone at the White House offered him such a post, that information was not passed on to us.

I had Warren Tompkins call Starr this morning. Their conversation was cordial and friendly. They discussed the Alabama campaign, the fundraiser, etc. Starr did indicate he would like to be a surrogate (which we have passed along to Hooley's shop), but he never mentioned a Southeast regional post.

The second point of his letter pertains to the message content of Buchanan's brochures and ours. We do not sell T-shirts and boxer shorts on our brochures. We cannot control every over-zealous vendor out there. The official BQ brochure tracks on the themes the campaign has been stressing -- first-term accomplishments, leadership, experience, reforms, etc.

As for organizations and grassroots mechanisms, the Alabama BQ team is very active. Emory Folmar has taken his chairmanship seriously and works as hard as any leader in the field. A fully-staffed Alabama office was open for two months during the primary season and only closed last week.

Page Two

His concern about Ross Perot is one we hear consistantly from the field, which will likely continue until Perot's candidacy is tested and found wanting. The recent remarks of Vice President Quayle, Charlie Black and Rich Bond on Perot will quell some of the concerns of our Bush loyalists in the field.

cc: Fred Malek

Attachments

PRESIDENT GEORGE BUSH NEEDS YOUR HELP

Will you join us?

The President is working to restore America's strength, reinvigorate our economy, and help our nation prepare for the next century.

George and Barbara Bush share our traditional values of family, faith, hard work, and responsibility. Let's keep our First Family in the White House.

The election will be close. It can only be won with a strong grassroots organization. Your help as a volunteer can and will make the difference.

Please, join George Bush's grassroots team. Tell the President how you'll help by completing and returning this card today.

*The Future of America
in the Hands of Experience.
President George Bush.*

BUSH
QUAYLE
92



PLACE
STAMP
HERE

Bush-Quayle'92

1030 15th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20005



☒ **Y. Mr. President!**

I'll be part of the Bush-Quayle volunteer team. To keep your experienced leadership at work for America, I will:

Please Print

Name _____

Address _____

City _____

State _____ Zip _____ County _____

Home phone _____

Work phone _____

Fax number _____

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☐ Become a Bush-Quayle Neighborhood Coordinator. | ☐ Walk my neighborhood. |
| ☐ Volunteer my time. | ☐ Call or write my friends and neighbors. |
| ☐ Put a sign in my yard. | ☐ Work the polls. |
| ☐ Put a bumper sticker on my car/truck. | ☐ Make calls from my home. |
| ☐ Distribute literature. | ☐ Make calls from HQ. |
| | ☐ Recruit other volunteers. |

I'm available ☐ Days ☐ Evenings ☐ Weekends

Paid for by Bush-Quayle '92 Primary Committee, Inc.



Vote for President George Bush.



The Future of America
in the Hands of Experience.
President George Bush.



President Bush's Reform Agenda

- **Change and Renew Our Schools**

President Bush's "America 2000" education reforms call for world-class standards, an emphasis on basics and choice of public, private or religious schools.

- **Affordable Health Care**

President Bush's plan will make quality health care affordable and available to all families without government taking it over.

- **Expand Markets**

President Bush is committed to creating fair trade opportunities and that means jobs for Americans. The President is convinced American workers can outproduce, and outperform anyone.

- **Limit Government's Size and Power**

President Bush believes we must tackle the challenges facing our nation without higher taxes or wasteful government spending.

- **Reform Welfare**

President Bush believes welfare must not be a lifestyle or a habit. Able-bodied adults on welfare have a responsibility to seek work, education or job training.

- **Stop the Epidemic of Lawsuits**

President Bush knows unnecessary lawsuits cost people jobs and American families an estimated \$80 billion a year for everything we buy. That's why he wants civic justice reforms to stop frivolous lawsuits.



Daily

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN ^{6/20}

June 15, 1992

H

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: Bob Teeter *Q. v. v. y.*

I thought you would be interested in the attached concerning Perot and Clinton vice presidential potentials.



The Polling Report

Vol. 8, No. 11

AN INDEPENDENT SURVEY OF TRENDS AFFECTING ELECTIONS, GOVERNMENT AND BUSINESS

June 8, 1992

The United Nations

The Roper Organization for the United Nations Association of the U.S.A., underwritten by the Hitachi Foundation. March 7-14, 1992. N=1,994 adults nationwide, interviewed in person:

"In general, do you feel that the United Nations is doing a good job or a poor job in trying to solve the problems it has had to face?"

	1992 %	1989 %
Good job	45	38
Poor job	35	29
Don't know	20	34

"Do you think the United States and the other U.N. member countries should provide the United Nations with more money than it has now to [see below], or less money, or are they providing the U.N. with the right amount of money now for that purpose?"

	More Money %	Less Money %	Right Amount %	Don't Know %
Stop disease and improve health care around the world	44	16	30	10
Help poor countries develop their economies	24	24	41	10
Slow population growth by providing birth control information and devices	40	15	33	13
Improve and protect the environment	51	12	28	9
Bring peace to regional conflicts	30	16	43	11

The following results are based on half the sample:

Help increase world food production	45	12	35	9
Provide relief to victims of disaster	40	11	41	8
Help manage the world's economy	24	20	42	13
Monitor violations of human rights throughout the world	35	14	38	13
Control narcotics trafficking and abuse	53	12	25	10
Promote democracy in the countries of the world	21	19	47	13
Maintain a defense force to stop aggression around the world	25	17	46	12
Control the manufacture and spread of advanced weapons	35	19	35	12

Continued on page 8

...and for Vice President

The Gallup Poll. May 18-20, 1992. N=804 reg. voters nationwide:

"Suppose the presidential election were being held today. If George Bush were the Republican candidate and Bill Clinton were the Democratic candidate and H. Ross Perot were the independent candidate, who would you vote for? Is there any chance you will vote for George Bush/Bill Clinton/Ross Perot in November or is there no chance whatsoever that you will vote for him?"

	Bush %	Clinton %	Perot %
Core voters	17	11	6
Swing voters	44	41	58
Anti-voters	39	48	36

Core Voters: Current supporters of the candidate who say there is no chance they will vote for others.

Swing Voters: Current supporters of the candidate who would consider voting for others, and non-supporters who would consider voting for the candidate.

Anti-voters: Non-supporters who say there is no chance they will vote for the candidate.

	MORE Likely %	LESS Likely %	More likely Minus Less likely %
"I'd like to know how Bill Clinton's selection of a vice-presidential running mate might affect your chances of voting for him in November. If he selects [see below] as his running mate, would you be more likely to vote for the Democratic ticket in November, less likely, or wouldn't it make much difference? Next, what if he selects..."			

Based on Clinton swing voters:

Colin Powell	38	19	+19
Paul Tsongas	38	20	+18
Bill Bradley	26	17	+9
Lloyd Bentsen	31	24	+7
Bob Kerrey	23	16	+7
Paul Simon	25	23	+2
George Mitchell	18	21	-3
John Kerry	15	21	-6
Jay Rockefeller	19	27	-8
Harris Wofford	10	22	-12
Jesse Jackson	23	44	-21

"I'd like to know how Ross Perot's selection of a vice-presidential running mate might affect your chances of voting for him in November. If he selects [see below] as his running mate, would you be more likely to vote for Perot in November, less likely, or wouldn't it make much difference? Next, what if he selects..."

Based on Perot swing voters:

Norman Schwarzkopf	42	21	+21
Jeane Kirkpatrick	24	21	+3
Lee Iacocca	27	34	-7
Warren Rudman	13	22	-9
Peter Ueberroth	12	31	-19
Bill Moyers	11	32	-21

daily 6/20



THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN ^{6/20}

U

Mr President

Throw this out when finished.
I asked my former oil partner at
Dillon Read why are the oil companies
talking gloom.

The answer is that the recovery in prices
is too recent to have hit their proper stream
and has not continued for long enough. NFD

MEMORANDUM

TO: N.F. Brady

June 12, 1992

The following table shows increases in oil and natural gas prices from the depressed level in late January to higher levels in mid June.

	<u>Jan. 24</u>	<u>June 11 Close</u>
West Texas intermediate	18.50	22.35
Natural gas		
Next month	1.05	1.59
Average next twelve months	1.35	1.69

Oil and gas stocks have increased from their low prices to current levels as indicated in the following table:

	<u>52 Week Low</u>	<u>June 11 Close</u>
Amoco	41 3/4	49 7/8
Anadarko	18 1/2	27
Ashland Oil	26 1/4	30 5/8
Chevron	60 1/8	73 3/8
Exxon	53 3/4	63 1/4
Mobil	57 7/8	67 5/8
Noble Affiliates	11 5/8	15 3/8
Rowan	4 5/8	9 1/4
Schlumberger	52 5/8	66 1/4
UNOCAL	20 1/4	28 7/8

Note: Everyone's prices are up.

Don't take 11.5 off → The private energy companies in which Dillon Read has invested since 1983 are doing quite well (~~list attached~~). The sharp decline in natural gas prices last July and again in January was reflected in the 52-week low prices in the above table. However, the recovery in oil and gas prices has restored confidence among stock market investors.

Private investors and industry participants are still cautious and will delay decisions to invest until the recovery in natural gas prices continues through the summer. The \$1.69 average price for the next twelve months is equal to the 1990 average price and up from the \$1.60 average price in 1991.

Natural gas investors would like to get to the \$2.50 per MCF range, but the recent recovery is not likely to increase prices above the \$2.00 level on a year round basis. The BTU conversion is 6 MCF = 1 bbl so \$2.00 per MCF gas is equal to \$12.00 oil making gas a bargain for U.S. industry and residential consumers.

Bryan Lawrence

Condition of Apparatus Operator Scott L. Miller

Still in Cedars-Sinai Medical Center

8700 Beverly Blvd

LA, CA 90048

3/0 ~~213~~-855-5000 -- General Number

310-855-2821 Room 821 -- Best number to reach him on in
the 8th Floor Rehab. Center

* Going home tonight for one night for first time (on a 12
hour pass) 818-366-2529 -- Home number

Dramatic improvement

in physical therapy

some speech problems

Hoarse voice

vocal cord damage, will most likely always be hoarse

Partial paralysis on left side

in particular hand and leg

Great spirits and looks good

cards continue to come in volume

In last week's medical press conference it was said that it is
unlikely that he will ever return to active duty, but should be
able to manage his own life with some restrictions.

It will be 7-14 days before the medical team will decide if Scott
can return home and do rehab on an outpatient basis.

The Chief of the Fire Department has publicly stated the when
Scott comes up for promotion to Captain he will receive it
because he has earned it. This is unusual for someone not on
active duty. (Tests were given before accident.)

Everyone would like to see him return to active duty, but he
can't they are ready to find him another position, for example
with dispatch.

The President hand wrote
note and handed directly
to Bill Farish with the
instructions to give
immediately to Advance
person for mailing
immediately. No xerox
in area, therefore, no
copy made for files.

6/20/92

C fth
I wrote him
hand 6-20
wished him
well etc
07

8

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

BOARD OF
FIRE COMMISSIONERS
485-6032

JAMES E. BLANCARTE
PRESIDENT

CARL R. TERZIAN
VICE-PRESIDENT

AILEEN ADAMS

NICHOLAS H. STONNINGTON
KENNETH S. WASHINGTON

EVA WHITELOCK
EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT



TOM BRADLEY
MAYOR

6:15 May went to
Call -
Find out Status.
DEPARTMENT OF FIRE

200 NORTH MAIN STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

DONALD O. MANNING
CHIEF ENGINEER
AND
GENERAL MANAGER

Shirley Green
May 28, 1992

The Honorable George H. W. Bush
President of the United States
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Your personal visit to the City of Los Angeles and the granting of Emergency Aid for Disaster Recovery are greatly appreciated.

Mr. President, I would especially like to thank you for your hospital visit to Apparatus Operator Scott L. Miller. As you must have noticed, his spirits are high but recovery is slow. Your visit meant a lot to all of us, including Scott's family.

In addition, your personal visit to Fire Station 26 inspired me and all of the firefighters and paramedics who performed well beyond the call of duty. Again, thank you for your personal support under the most difficult of circumstances.

Very respectfully yours,

Donald O. Manning
DONALD O. MANNING
Chief Engineer and General Manager

DOM:lmi/23860(1)



From the desk of
George Bush

Robin
Int's speech
of my life

**Document Originally
Attached to
Following Page**

Nix/DD
June 20, 1992
Draft Five
TEXAS

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: TEXAS STATE CONVENTION

Thank you all for that warm welcome. Let me quickly say hello to a few of our dias guests: our elected officials, Kay Bailey Hutchinson and Rick Perry. Now on to the political types -- Ernie Angelo and Penny Butler, Texas GOP National Committee members; Fred Meyer, Chairman of the Texas State GOP; my Texas Bush-Quayle team, Jim Oberwetter and Barbara Patton; Rob Mosbacher, chairman of Texas Victory '92. And I'm pleased that so many members of the Texas Congressional Delegation could attend. Last but not least -- today's talented Master of Ceremonies, Fred McClure.

I don't think I've ever felt it as strongly -- I'm proud to be a Texan. Barbara and I raised our kids here, from 1948 on voted in every Presidential election here, I coached Little League here, built my business here, worked in the party here, my Presidential Library will be here; my Presidential campaign started here, and when my work is over, I'll return here. It is great to be back home because there is no place like Texas. 7'5

November 3rd is but a few months away. The going has been rough, but we're turning the corner, and I'll let the world in on a secret you already know: I finish what I start. To finish the job the American people asked me to do, I need your help -- Texas, give me four more years as your leader.

Since becoming your President, I've been to all fifty states, felt the heartbeat of America. I've felt it closeup -- farmers, ranchers, city kids, teachers, truck drivers -- I know the American people, and they are with us. But they are angry -- angry at big government, small results, and big excuses. They are right -- government is just too big and spends too much.

This election must be a referendum on some big ideas. One of them is a government that works right without raising taxes. Unlike one of my opponents I don't believe that the only way to ever balance the budget is "a massive tax increase." The American people know I proposed an amendment to balance the budget. They know I fought for it -- the only Presidential candidate to support it. That's why in the fall they'll be with us -- send us more Congressmen from Texas who will do what the people want -- people want a Balanced Budget Amendment.

The American people know that the President should have what forty-three governors have to control spending. They know I've called for it, fought for it. In the fall they'll be with us -- America wants the President to have the Line-Item-Veto.

The taxpayer knows how the budget gets busted. It's an arrogant, permanent liberal, Democratic-controlled Congress -- unaccountable to the people. The American people are with us -- it's time to limit terms for members of Congress.

You and I, we know each other. Throughout my life in Texas, you've seen me up close. When I sent our sons and daughters into battle, Texans anguished with me -- and overwhelmingly supported

me. When I agreed to pay a painful price for a spending controls deal on the budget, Lord knows, you argued with me. And when I said I will not eat broccoli, every kid in Texas said "Yes"!

But through it all you knew I would never break with Texas values we hold most dear: freedom and faith, honor and decency, and most of all family.

American leadership changed the world. Panama is a Democratic country. It's democratically elected leaders back in office -- it's narco-trafficking dictator in jail where he belongs. Eastern Europe is free. Imperial Communism is dead and buried. Just this week Boris Yeltsin and I stood in the Rose Garden to announce the most sweeping nuclear arms cuts in history -- eliminating those enormous multi-warhead ICBM's that have threatened the world. That's something spectacular -- dreams come true for America -- these kids right here will sleep at night without the same fear of nuclear war, and I am proud of that record.

But let me warn you: for all the great triumphs freedom has made, the world remains a dangerous place. That's why a big idea in this campaign is defending America's interests abroad. The best way to keep America safe is to keep America strong.

The Soviet "bear" might now be a creature of the past, but there are still plenty of wolves out there -- you know who they are. But as our actions in the Gulf proved, we will defend our interests -- we will keep the wolves at bay -- and we will never let aggression stand.

Yes, our successes abroad have laid the foundation for stepping up our attack on our domestic problems. And as I work to correct what's wrong, I will always remember the people don't work for the government, the government works for the people.

No, to set things on a new track right here at home -- we must start with a moral, even a spiritual revival across our nation, particularly when it comes to instilling values in our kids. So here is another big idea: fads may come and go -- but in the Bush Administration, the family will always be in fashion.

That's how we put first things first -- families united, fathers and mothers staying together, in spite of tough times, because they love their children and want them to grow up whole and strong. It all begins with the family.

Some of the ideas I've put forth for changing America unnerve those who cling to the old thinking of the status quo. Not everyone is ready for new ideas. So it will take time. And four years just haven't been enough to finish our mission.

Some people say, why can't you bring the same kind of purpose and success to the domestic scene as you did in Desert Shield and Desert Storm? The answer is I didn't have to get permission from some old thinker in the Congress to kick Saddam Hussein out of Kuwait.

And it's just plain irresponsible and out of touch with reality to suggest that the President should take a poll and get a tax increase before he leads the world against aggression.

What the people want from their leaders is leadership and that's exactly what the American people got in Desert Storm.

Would I like to see our domestic agenda off and running? You bet. I understand the frustration that's out there -- same as you: family values under siege, second-rate schools, too much violence on too many streets. An old thinking Congress can't get the job done. For 35 out the last 35 years Democrats have controlled the House of Representatives. What do the people see as a result? -- a crooked post office and a lot of bounced checks. Let's change that. We must break this gridlock and recapture the trust of the American people. And the way to do that is Republican control of both Houses. Give me a Congress like that and you just watch us get his country moving again.

We have much to do these next four years, but I know our strength is in our values, our determination, and our optimism about the future. There's a right way and a wrong way to set a new course. The wrong way is to give up -- pick up your marbles and go home. I will not give up on America -- not now, not ever.

There has been too much pessimism, too many people trying to get elected by saying how bad things are -- too many gloomy TV news stories about what's wrong with America. America will always be a rising nation -- and we can and will make this country better.

After a tough recession, confidence is returning to our economy. Some good fundamentals are in place: low interest rates, and low inflation. Stronger growth in the first quarter.

The United States is still the largest and most productive economy in the world. Don't ever forget that. The biggest mission -- the biggest idea of this campaign is to accelerate economic growth to create hope and opportunity for everyone. And with our exports still climbing -- there's solid proof that Americans can outcompete anyone, anytime, anywhere in the world.

Look right down a Texas road for examples -- Texas beef on the market in Japan, Texas cotton to Europe, Texas oil and gas technology in demand everywhere. I'm going to fight to keep that technology state of the art. To help keep our independent oil and gas producers competitive, I 'll keep pushing for a revised Alternative Minimum Tax. I'll keep pushing for cleaner fuels like natural gas. And I'll keep pushing to reduce our dangerous dependence on foreign oil, not only by conservation, but also by opening projects for domestic production like ANWR.

A rising nation educates her young people. All across America a revolution in education -- we call it America 2000 -- to bring back excellence to our schools. Well, we were the ones to start this revolution -- we are the ones that are leading it - - we'll be the ones to complete it. And before we're done, whether it's public, private or religious, parents are going to have the right to choose their children's schools.

There's another great and dynamic movement transforming our country. It is a volunteer movement of ordinary people solving problems right where they live -- millions of courageous people taking direct and consequential action on their own. This is how

must resolve not to once again walk down the old paths of the old thinkers. The future of our country is at stake. The job is only half-done. We must finish what we started.

I'm proud of our record. We've had to make the tough calls -- every day and every week. We've proposed a better way for America -- a new track for America -- and like Texas, it's a big idea. When Congress blocks the way -- stuck in the muck of the old thinking -- we'll keep the heat on -- keep pushing for change. One specific on that: the Congress may have a vision problem but don't for a minute think we're going to give up on the Superconducting Supercollider. It's good for Texas, and it's good for our country.

When the American people send me a Congress with a commitment to change -- we'll pass the laws, do the work the American people deserve. But with or without the Congress we are going to make our families stronger, our schools better, our streets safer, and build opportunity for all Americans. That's what the American people want, not excuses, but action.

This is an age of great change for America -- that's what makes November 3rd so important. Change can seem to threaten the most valuable legacies we hope to leave our children: good jobs, strong families, a nation at peace. Change breeds uncertainty and skepticism. I understand that. But we are the United States of America -- one nation under God.

The genius of America is everywhere. It's in a society that places a premium on performance, not glitz -- on service, not

selfishness. A society that captures what Texas is all about. Let the skeptics say it can't be done. The optimists will say, let's get to work.

I am ready. Yes, we are in the fight of our lives, but it's worth the fight and we are in it to win.

I appreciate this warm Texas welcome. God bless you all.

#

~~the American~~ people. You and I, we know each other. Throughout my life in Texas, you've seen me up close.

When I sent our sons and daughters into battle, ^{in Panama, in Desert for} Texans anguished with me -- and overwhelmingly supported me. When I agreed to pay a painful price for a spending controls deal on the budget, Lord knows, you argued with me. And when I said I will not eat broccoli, every kid in Texas said "Yes"!

But through it all you knew I would never break with Texas values we hold most dear: freedom and faith, honor and decency, and most of all family.

~~You've seen these values~~ ^{change the world} change the world. Panama is a Democratic country. It's democratically elected leaders back in office -- it's narco-trafficking dictator in jail where he belongs. Eastern Europe is free. Imperial Communism is dead and buried. Just this week Boris Yeltsin and I stood in the Rose Garden to announce the most sweeping nuclear arms cuts in history -- eliminating those enormous multi-warhead ICBM's that have threatened the world. That's something spectacular -- dreams come true for America -- these kids right here will sleep at night without the same fear of nuclear war, and I am proud of that record.

But let me warn you: for all the great triumphs freedom has made, the world remains a dangerous place. That's why a big idea in this campaign is defending America's interests abroad. The best way to keep America safe is to keep America strong.

Nix/DD
June 17, 1992
Draft three
TEXAS

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: TEXAS STATE CONVENTION

[ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS]

[[I appreciate this great turnout -- especially with the
Home Shopping Network featuring Ross Perot today.]]

I don't think I've ever felt it as strongly -- I'm proud to
be a Texan. Barbara and I raised our kids here. I coached Little
League here, built my business here, my Presidential campaign
started here, and when my work is over, I'll return here. I've
chosen a great site for my Presidential Library -- the home of
the Aggies -- Texas A & M. It is great to be back home because
there is no place like Texas.

November 3rd is but a few months away. The going is a
little rough ^{but we're turning the corner, and} right now, but I'll let the world in on a secret you
already know: I finish what I start. To finish the job the
American people asked me to do, I need your help -- Texas, give
me four more years as your leader.

^{A strange political year -- yes but}
I'll do my part -- ~~by keeping my eye on the ball~~, Snappy
answers and glib talk won't get the job done. Let somebody else
pitch pie-in-the-sky promises, and babble sound-good sound-
bites. Let somebody else become the darling of the talking heads
on TV, I'll keep fighting to get something done for this country.

There's too much at stake for America to forget about trust
and judgment and values -- too much at stake, as we say in Texas,
to buy a pig in a poke.

Count on this: somebody else can cozy up to the special interest crowd in Washington, spend the next five months being all things to all people -- nobody else is going to stick closer to the values that made this Party great and this country great.

No matter what the polls say or the pundits want, nobody else is going to hang tougher on principle. And one more thing: nobody else is going to lead this country for the next four years. We will win in November, and we will win going away.

In just the last four years, the world we have known for the last forty years ~~is changed~~ ^{is changed world} vanished. Our mission for the next four years is to shape our new world -- not just abroad -- but right here at home. It's a big job to set the course for the next forty years.

It means solving big problems with a level head, tolerance, and good judgment. ~~But that's why I want to be President~~ ^{This is a demanding job and a President must be} because ~~I have been tested by fears and~~ ^{superior} I am the right man for that job. ^{for the job}

I understand America and her problems and I understand where we must go. I want for my kids and my grandkids -- for every one of America's children -- what we all want: families strong and united, good schools, safe neighborhoods, a job-creating economy, and a world at peace. Pretty straightforward, isn't it?

Since becoming your President, I've ^{been to all 50 states,} felt the heartbeat of ~~this country~~ ^{America} in every single state. I've felt it closeup -- farmers, ranchers, city kids, teachers, truck drivers -- I know the American people, and they are with us. But they are angry -- angry at big government, small results, and big excuses. They are right -- government is just too big and spends too much.

By our willingness to stand up for freedom, fighting for freedom, and fighting for freedom, we will win in November, and we will win going away.

no one'll have the guts

This election must be a referendum on some big ideas. One of them is a government that works right without raising taxes. You see, I don't believe that the only way to ever balance the budget is "a massive tax increase." The American people know I proposed an amendment to force us to balance the budget. They know I fought for it -- the only Presidential candidate to support it. That's why in the fall they'll be with us -- *And no one Congress Texas who will do what the people want* America wants a Balanced Budget Amendment.

Government is too big and spends too much. The American people know that the President should have what forty-three governors have to control spending. They know I've called for it, fought for it. That's why in the fall they'll be with us -- America wants the President to have the Line-Item-Veto.

Government is too big and spends too much. The taxpayer knows how the budget gets busted. It's an arrogant, permanent *Dem conf.* Congress -- unaccountable to the people. The American people are with us -- it's time to limit terms for members of Congress.

Now, there are others out there who say they are the leaders of change. Maybe they can read polls -- but they haven't read the American people. You and I, we know each other. Throughout my life in Texas, you've seen me tested.

When I sent our sons and daughters into battle, Texans *and overwhelmingly supported me* anguished with me. When I agreed to pay a painful price for a *expanding controls* deal on the budget, Lord knows, you argued with me. And when I *said I will not eat* defied the powerful broccoli lobby, *every kid in Texas said "Yes!"* you laughed with me. But through it all you knew I would never break with Texas values we

hold most dear: freedom and faith, honor and decency, and most of all family.

You've seen these values change the world. Eastern Europe is free. Imperial Communism is dead and buried. Just this week we put another nail in the coffin of the Cold War.) Boris Yeltsin and I stood in the Rose Garden to announce the most sweeping nuclear arms cuts in history. ^{eliminating the ~~previous~~ ICBM's that have been in the world} That's something spectacular ~~for~~ ^{in 24} ~~our children and our grandchildren~~ -- these kids right here will sleep at night without ^{you know} fear of nuclear war. These are dreams come true for America, and I am proud of that record.

But let me warn you: for all the great triumphs freedom has made, the world remains a dangerous place. That's why a big idea in this campaign is defending America's interests abroad. The best way to keep America safe is to keep America strong.

The Soviet "bear" might now be a creature of the past, but there are still plenty of wolves out there -- you know who they are. But as our actions in the Gulf proved, we will defend our interests -- we will keep the wolves at bay -- and we will never let aggression stand.

Yes, our successes abroad have laid the foundation for stepping up our attack on our domestic problems. ~~But we don't need to bring back the central planners or the social engineers.~~ ?

~~They're on the run in Russia -- they're not welcome here. The big government crowd has it backward: the people don't work for the government, the government works for the people.~~
And as I want to correct what's wrong I'll always remember

Panama is a democratic country
It's dem of
peace
benef
in 24
- It's
name
freedom
dictate
in JCI
What
belo

No, to set things on a new track right here at home -- we must start with a moral, even a spiritual revival across our nation, particularly when it comes to instilling values in our kids. So here is another big idea: fads may come and go -- but in the Bush Administration, the family will always be in fashion.

That's how we put first things first -- families united, fathers and mothers staying together, in spite of tough times, because they love their children and want them to grow up whole and strong. It all begins with the family.

Some of the ideas I've put forth for changing America unnerve those who cling to the old thinking of the status quo. Not everyone is ready for new ideas. So it will take time. And four years just haven't been enough to finish our mission.

On that one, give me a few more Phil Gramms -- a few more new thinkers in the Congress -- give me a new Congress, the kind my great predecessor, Ronald Reagan began with, and you just watch us get this country moving again.

Some people say, why can't you bring the same kind of purpose and success to the domestic scene as you did in Desert Shield and Desert Storm? Fair question. And the answer is I didn't have to get permission from some old thinker in the Congress to kick Saddam Hussein out of Kuwait.

Would I like to see our domestic agenda off and running? You bet. So I understand the feeling that's out there because I am frustrated with the same things you are: family values under siege, second-rate schools, too much despair and too much

Don't
Mayor

And by the way it's
a little witty to suggest that I should have 54's opinion -
I lead the world vs the

Do we need
all this
identifying
with the
problem

violence on too many streets. Think about the fifty-year old man laid off after thirty years with the same company when he asks, "What about me?" Or the parents who took on second jobs to provide a daughter with a college education, when they say, "Our daughter can't find a job, what about her?" Or the kids on the streets, whose only friend is crack, whose only family is the gang, when they ask, "What about us?"

At this historic moment when political leadership is so necessary, we too must ask, "What about him, what about her, what about those kids?" To the American people their government is impotent and out of touch, still shuffling these painful protests to the waiting room of some Congressional subcommittee. We must change this. We must break this gridlock and recapture the trust

of the American people. And the way to do that is to give

We have much to do these next four years, but I know our strength is in our values, our determination, and our optimism about the future. There's a right way and a wrong way to set a new course. The wrong way is to give up -- and I will not give up on America -- not now, not ever. America will always be a rising nation -- but only if we continue to believe in ourselves.

After a tough recession, confidence is returning to our economy. Some good fundamentals are in place: low interest rates, and low inflation. Stronger growth in the first quarter. The United States is still the largest and most productive economy in the world. Don't ever forget that. The biggest

There has been too much pessimism - too many people trying to get ahead of Americans by saying how bad things are - too many gloomy TV news broadcasts

??

who agree on the changes

Let's check it out 35 years out of last 35 years Democrats have controlled the White House for 20 years - the last 10 years

mission -- the biggest idea of this campaign is to accelerate economic growth to create hope and opportunity for everyone. And with our exports still climbing -- there's solid proof that Americans can outcompete anyone, anytime, anywhere in the world.

Look right down a Texas road for examples -- Texas beef on the market in Japan, Texas cotton woven in Europe, Texas oil and gas technology in demand everywhere. I'm going to fight to keep that technology state of the art. To help keep our independent oil and gas producers competitive, I 'll keep pushing for a revised Alternative Minimum Tax. I'll keep pushing for cleaner fuels like natural gas. And I'll keep pushing to reduce our dangerous dependence on foreign oil, not only by conservation, *but by opening up ANWR and* ~~but also by supporting the industries right here in Texas.~~ (?)

A rising nation educates her young people. Well, you wouldn't know it to read the papers, but all across America a revolution in education *we call Amer. 2000* is bringing back excellence to our schools. Well, we were the ones to start it -- we are the ones that are leading it -- we'll be the ones to complete it. And before we're done, whether it's public, private or religious, parents are going to have the right to choose their children's schools.

You wouldn't know it to watch the network news, but there's another great and dynamic movement transforming our country. It is a movement of ordinary people solving problems right where they live -- millions of courageous people taking direct and consequential action on their own. This is how we help create

whole and good communities. Lest anyone forget, under my Presidency this ^{volunteer} movement, this big idea, is a national crusade. The real heroes of America -- God bless them -- are the ones we call Points of Light.

Despite the odds, we've had some successes on Capitol Hill - - legislation like our Child Care Act -- which said parents raise kids, not government; the Clean Air Act -- linking a strong economy with a clean environment; the Americans with Disabilities Act -- guaranteeing the disabled their rightful place in the mainstream. And when it comes to stopping bad legislation with my veto pen, it's Bush 28, Congress 0.

So despite what you hear from the pundits, or the special interests, we are already setting a new course for America. But it is a very critical moment. To meet the tough issues of education, of crime, or the decline in the American family -- we must resolve not to once again walk down the old paths of the old thinkers. The future of our country is at stake. The job is only half-done. We must finish what we started.

I'm proud of our record. We've had to make the tough calls -- every day and every week. Bigger government, higher taxes, (moral relativism) - that's their way -- the old way -- and we've proposed a better way. A new track for America -- like Texas, it's a big idea. When Congress blocked the way -- stuck in the muck of the old thinking -- we kept the heat on -- kept pushing for change. One specific on that note: the Congress may have a vision problem but don't for a minute think we're going to give

verbos
(?)

up on the Superconducting Supercollider. Across the board we're going to keep on pushing the Congress until we get the job done.

When the American people send me a Congress with a commitment to change -- we'll pass the laws, do the work the American people deserve. But with or without the Congress we are going to make our families stronger, our schools better, our streets safer, and build opportunity for all Americans. That's what the American people want, not excuses, but action.

This is an age of great change for America -- that's what makes November 3rd so important. Change can seem to threaten the most valuable legacies we hope to leave our children: good jobs, strong families, a nation at peace. Change breeds uncertainty and skepticism. I understand that. But we are the United States of America -- one nation under God.

The genius of America is everywhere. It's in a society that places a premium on performance, not glitz -- on service, not selfishness. A society that captures what Texas is all about. Let the skeptics say it can't be done. The will optimists say, let's get to work.

I am ready. Yes, we are in the fight of our lives, but it's worth the fight and we are in it to win.

I appreciate this warm Texas welcome. God bless you all.

#

Nix/DD
June 20, 1992
Draft Four
TEXAS

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: TEXAS STATE CONVENTION

[ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS]

I don't think I've ever felt it as strongly -- I'm proud to be a Texan. Barbara and I raised our kids here, from 1948 on voted in every Presidential election here, I coached Little League here, built my business here, worked in the party here, chose a great site for my Presidential Library -- the home of the Aggies -- Texas A & M; my Presidential campaign started here, and when my work is over, I'll return here. It is great to be back home because there is no place like Texas.

November 3rd is but a few months away. The going has been rough, but we're turning the corner, and I'll let the world in on a secret you already know: I finish what I start. To finish the job the American people asked me to do, I need your help -- Texas, give me four more years as your leader.

A strange political year -- yes, but snappy answers and glib talk won't get the job done. Let somebody else pitch pie-in-the-sky promises, and babble sound-good sound-bites. Let somebody else become the darling of the talking heads on TV, I'll keep fighting to get something done for this country. There's too much at stake for America to forget about trust and judgment and values -- too much at stake, as we say in Texas, to buy a pig in a poke.

Count on this: somebody else can cozy up to the special interest crowd in Washington, spend the next five months being all things to all people -- nobody else is going to stick closer to the values that made this Party great and this country great.

No matter what the polls say or the pundits want, nobody else is going to hang tougher on principle. And one more thing: nobody else is going to lead this country for the next four years. We will win in November, and we will win going away.

In just the last four years, the world we have known for the last forty years is a changed world. By our willingness to stand up for freedom to stand against aggression and fight for what's right, we changed the world. Our mission for the next four years is to shape our new world -- not just abroad -- but right here at home. It's a big job to set the course for the next forty years. It means solving big problems with a level head, tolerance, and good judgment. That is a demanding job -- a President must be temperamentally suited for it. I have been tested by fire and I am the right man for that job.

I understand America and her problems and I understand where we must go. ~~I want for my kids and my grandkids -- for every one of America's children~~ -- what we all want: families strong and united, good schools, safe neighborhoods, a job-creating economy, and a world at peace. ~~Pretty straightforward, isn't it?~~

Since becoming your President, I've been to all fifty states, felt the heartbeat of America. I've felt it closeup -- farmers, ranchers, city kids, teachers, truck drivers -- I know

the American people, and they are with us. But they are angry -
- angry at big government, small results, and big excuses. They
are right -- government is just too big and spends too much.

This election must be a referendum on some big ideas. One
of them is a government that works right without raising taxes.
You see, unlike one of my opponents I don't believe that the only
way to ever balance the budget is "a massive tax increase." The
American people know I proposed an amendment to force us to
balance the budget. They know I fought for it -- the only
Presidential candidate to support it. That's why in the fall
they'll be with us -- send us more Congressmen from Texas who
will do what the people want -- the people want a Balanced
Budget Amendment.

Government is too big and spends too much. The American
people know that the President should have what forty-three
governors have to control spending. They know I've called for
it, fought for it. That's why in the fall they'll be with us --
America wants the President to have the Line-Item-Veto.

~~Government is too big and spends too much.~~ The taxpayer
knows how the budget gets busted. It's an arrogant, permanent
liberal, Democratic-controlled Congress -- unaccountable to the
people. The American people are with us -- it's time to limit
terms for members of Congress.

Now, ~~there are others out there who say they are the leaders~~
of change. Maybe they can read polls -- but they haven't read

The Soviet "bear" might now be a creature of the past, but there are still plenty of wolves out there -- you know who they are. But as our actions in the Gulf proved, we will defend our interests -- we will keep the wolves at bay -- and we will never let aggression stand.

Yes, our successes abroad have laid the foundation for stepping up our attack on our domestic problems. And as I work to correct what's wrong, I will always remember the people don't work for the government, the government works for the people.

No, to set things on a new track right here at home -- we must start with a moral, even a spiritual revival across our nation, particularly when it comes to instilling values in our kids. So here is another big idea: fads may come and go -- but in the Bush Administration, the family will always be in fashion.

That's how we put first things first -- families united, fathers and mothers staying together, in spite of tough times, because they love their children and want them to grow up whole and strong. It all begins with the family. *and we must find ways to strengthen the American Family.*

Some of the ideas I've put forth for changing America unnerve those who cling to the old thinking of the status quo. Not everyone is ready for new ideas. So it will take time. And four years just haven't been enough to finish our mission.

On that one, give me a few more Phil Gramms -- a few more new thinkers in the Congress -- give me a new Congress, the kind my great predecessor, Ronald Reagan began with, and you just watch us get this country moving again.

Some people say, why can't you bring the same kind of purpose and success to the domestic scene as you did in Desert Shield and Desert Storm? ~~Fair question. And~~ the answer is I didn't have to get permission from some old thinker in the Congress to kick Saddam Hussein out of Kuwait.

And it's just plain irresponsible and out of touch with reality to suggest that the President should take a poll and get a tax increase before he leads the world against aggression. What the people want from their leaders is leadership and that's exactly what the American people got in Desert Storm.

Would I like to see our domestic agenda off and running? You bet. I understand the frustration that's out there -- same as you: family values under siege, second-rate schools, too much violence on too many streets. ~~It drives home the point that at this historic moment when political leadership is so necessary, shuffling these problems off to the same old thinking~~ Congressional subcommittee isn't going to work. For 35 out the last 35 years Democrats have controlled the House of Representatives. What do the people see as a result? -- a crooked post office and a lot of bounced checks. Let's change that. We must break this gridlock and recapture the trust of the American people. And the way to do that is to ~~fill~~ ^{Control} both Houses on Congress with Republicans that share our values.

We have much to do these next four years, but I know our strength is in our values, our determination, and our optimism about the future. There's a right way and a wrong way to set a

~~new course. The wrong way is to give up -- and I will not give up on America~~ ^{Another thing} ~~not new, not even.~~ These has been too much pessimism, too many people trying to get elected by saying how bad things are -- too many gloomy TV news stories about what's wrong with America. America will always be a rising nation -- and we can and will make this country better.

After a tough recession, confidence is returning to our economy. Some good fundamentals are in place: low interest rates, and low inflation. Stronger growth in the first quarter. The United States is still the largest and most productive economy in the world. Don't ever forget that. The biggest mission -- the biggest idea of this campaign is to accelerate economic growth to create hope and opportunity for everyone. And with our exports still climbing -- there's solid proof that Americans can outcompete anyone, anytime, anywhere in the world.

Look right down a Texas road for examples -- Texas beef on the market in Japan, Texas cotton ~~woven in~~ ^{to} Europe, Texas oil and gas technology in demand everywhere. I'm going to fight to keep that technology state of the art. To help keep our independent oil and gas producers competitive, I 'll keep pushing for a revised Alternative Minimum Tax. I'll keep pushing for cleaner fuels like natural gas. And I'll keep pushing to reduce our dangerous dependence on foreign oil, not only by conservation, but also by opening projects for domestic production like ANWR.

A rising nation educates her young people. Well, you wouldn't know it to read the papers, but all across America a


revolution in education -- we call it America 2000 -- to bring back excellence to our schools. Well, we were the ones to start this revolution -- we are the ones that are leading it -- we'll be the ones to complete it. And before we're done, whether it's public, private or religious, parents are going to have the right to choose their children's schools.

~~You wouldn't know it to watch the network news,~~ but there's another great and dynamic movement transforming our country. It is a volunteer movement of ordinary people solving problems right where they live -- millions of courageous people taking direct and consequential action on their own. This is how we help create whole and good communities. Lest anyone forget, under my Presidency this movement, this big idea, is a national crusade. The real heroes of America -- God bless them -- are the ones we call Points of Light.

Despite the odds, we've had some successes on Capitol Hill -- legislation like our Child Care Act -- which said parents raise kids, not government; the Clean Air Act -- linking a strong economy with a clean environment; the Americans with Disabilities Act -- guaranteeing the disabled their rightful place in the mainstream. And when it comes to stopping bad legislation with my veto pen, it's Bush 28, Congress 0.

So despite what you hear from the pundits, or the special interests, we are already setting a new course for America. But it is a very critical moment. To meet the tough issues of education, of crime, or the decline in the American family -- we

CAMP DAVID



FAX COMM # 301-271-1366

TO: MS. ROSE ZAMORA
FROM: CDR JOE CORRA
SUBJECT: VISIT REPORT

No. of Pages to follow: 6

Reply Requested (Y/N)?

COMMENTS:

If you don't receive all copies, call 301-271-1400.

22 June 1992

MEMORANDUM

From: Command Duty Officer
To: Distribution
Via: (1) Executive Officer
(2) Commanding Officer *[Signature]*

Subj: VISIT REPORT FOR 20 - 22 JUNE 1992

Encl: (1) Cabin assignments
(2) Halo manifests
(3) Arrival/departure access list

1. Enclosures (1) through (3) provide added details for the subject Presidential visit.

ARRIVALS/DEPARTURESSaturday, 20 June 1992

1324 - Paula Rendon and MSCM Orlando Frilles (WHSM) aboard. (C1)
1700 - CW2 Edmund Blackford (WHCA F/O) aboard. (C2)
2116 - NIGHTHAWK TWO aboard. (H1)
2110 - MARINE ONE aboard with the President, First Lady and Staff. (H2)
2230 - CW2 David Watson (WHCA F/O) ashore. (C2)

Sunday, 21 June 1992

0601 - Carpet vehicle #21 aboard with newspapers and two packages for the MILAIDE.
0607 - Carpet vehicle #21 ashore.
0708 - Chaplain Gomulka aboard. (P1)
0830 - Chaplain Gomulka ashore. (P1)
0927 - Doro, Ellie and Sam Leblond aboard. (P2)
1916 - Doro, Ellie and Sam Leblond ashore. (P2)

Monday, 22 June 1992

- 0505 - Carpet vehicle #19 aboard with newspapers.
- 0517 - Carpet vehicle #19 ashore.
- 0635 - MARINE ONE ashore with the President, First Lady and staff. (H3)
- 0637 - NIGHTHAWK TWO ashore. (H4)
- 0715 - Paula Rendon and MSCM Orlando Frilles (WHSM) ashore with staff luggage and Presidential movies. (C1)

GUEST ACTIVITIESAspen Movie Sunday - "The Player"

The staff/guests checked out 6 beta videos during the visit.

Wye Oak/Staff Pool

The First Lady used the Wye Oak lifecycle Sunday morning. The staff played wallyball Saturday evening and Sunday afternoon.

Jogging

The President jogged the nature trail on Sunday morning.

Driving Range

The President and First Lady used the driving range Sunday afternoon.

Golf Cart Rides

The President and First Lady toured Camp and perimeter road on several occasions during the visit.

Horseshoes

The President threw horseshoes on Sunday afternoon.

Aspen Lawn

The President golfed in the Aspen lawn on Sunday evening.

Bowling

Doro Leblond and family bowled on Sunday afternoon.

Chapel Services

Chaplain Gomulka conducted Catholic mass at 0730. Four staff members were in attendance. Chaplain Frusti conducted an interdenominational service at 0900 in the Chapel. The President, First Lady, staff and crew members and their dependents attended for a total of 73.

KUDOS

Navy - SH1(SW) SMITH, YN2 LAMB
Marines - LCPL MONTO, LCPL TEAGUE

Very respectfully,



C. N. DAWKINS
LCDR, CEC, USN

Distribution:

CO

XO

Dept Heads

Visit File

OOD Passdown Log

**VISIT PACKAGE
20-22 JUNE 1992**

CABIN ASSIGNMENTS

<u>CABIN</u>	<u>SATURDAY</u>	<u>SUNDAY</u>	<u>MONDAY</u>
<u>ASPEN</u>			
MSTR (K)	H2(2120) PRESIDENT BUSH.		H3(0635)
BR (2 T)	H2(2120) BARBARA BUSH.		H3(0635)

<u>BIRCH</u>			
MSTR (K)		P2(0927) DORO LEBLOND .P2(1916)	
BR (2 T)		P2(0927) ELLIE LEBLOND .P2(1916)	
		P2(0927) SAM LEBLOND .P2(1916)	

<u>DOGWOOD</u>			
MSTR (K)			
BR (2 T)			

<u>MAPLE</u>			
MSTR (K)			
BR (2 T)			

<u>RED OAK</u>			
MSTR (K)			
BR (2 T)			

<u>HAWTHORN</u>			
MSTR (K)A	H2(2120) COL LARRY MOHR.		H3(0635)
BR (2 T)B	H2(2120) CDR JAKE ROSS		H3(0635)

<u>SYCAMORE</u>			
MSTR (K)B	H2(2120) SEAN WALSH.		H3(0635)
BR (2 T)A	H1(2116) CAPT DEBORAH BEATTY		H4(0637)

<u>LINDEN</u>			
BR (2 T)	C1(1700) CW2 EDMUND BLACKFORD.		H4(0637)

<u>WALNUT</u>			
BR (2 T)	H2(2120) MAJ PAT O'DONOGUE		H3(0635)
	H2(2120) CAPT AL SCHROEDER		H3(0635)
BR (2 T)	H1(2116) CAPT JIM ROUSE.		H4(0637)
	H1(2116) CAPT PAUL BORROR.		H4(0637)

<u>ROSEBUD</u>			
MSTR (Q)			
BR (2 T)			

<u>WITCH HAZEL</u>			
BR (2 T)A	C1(1324) PAULA RENDON.		C1(0715)
BR (2 T)B	C1(1324) MSCM ORLANDO FRILLES		C1(0715)

<u>HEMLOCK</u>			
BR (1 Q)	H2(2120) TOM FARRELL		H3(0635)

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
08. Manifest	Helo Manifest (Staff/ Guests), Re: Camp David. (1 pp.)	n.d.	(b)(7)(c), (b)(7)(e), (b)(7)(f)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Office of the President
Series: Daily Files
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Saturday, June 20, 1992

Date Closed: 9/13/2019	OA/ID Number: 90690-004
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2009-0166-S[4]	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells

Deed of Gift Restrictions

C(1) Closed by Executive Order 13526, governing access to national security information
C(2) Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the information
C(3) Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift [formerly listed as only C]
PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
09. Manifest	POV (Staff/ Guests), Re: Camp David. (1 pp.)	n.d.	(b)(7)(c), (b)(7)(e), (b)(7)(f)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Office of the President
Series: Daily Files
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Saturday, June 20, 1992

Date Closed: 9/13/2019	OA/ID Number: 90690-004
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2009-0166-S[4]	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells

Deed of Gift Restrictions

C(1) Closed by Executive Order 13526, governing access to national security information
C(2) Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the information
C(3) Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift [formerly listed as only C]
PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]



Aboard Air Force One

June 20, 1992
(En route Washington, D.C.)

Dear Max:

Don Rhodes did, indeed, bring me up-to-date on your move to North Carolina. In fact, the day after you moved, I tried to call you at (919) 441-3598 -- no answer! Let me know if that is the wrong number. If so, please send it to Don Rhodes. He'll pass along any message.

I was glad to get the update on Pierce. Stay in touch with the Bushes. Someday, we may be able to pop in on you at Nags Head.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature, likely of Bill Clinton, is written in cursive script. It is positioned to the right of the typed name and address.

Mr. J. Maxwell Moore
P.O. Box 1568
Nags Head, North Carolina 27959

J. MAXWELL MOORE

400 MADISON STREET, #1203, ALEXA

J. MAXWELL MOORE
P.O. BOX 1568
NAGS HEAD, NC 27959

919 441 3598

Dear Mr. President and Bob:

That good-hearted Dr. Rhoda has helped
murmurs my forgetfulness about your
respective birthdays. This week by going
along my list wishes, he also asked about
former wife, Ricce. She, for your information,
is attending class 6 times a week in prepara-
tion for her California bar exam. I under-
stand that her MRI pictures have been sent back
to Dr. Koun of Geo. Wash. Hospital (also Ricce Attorneys
neurosurgeon) for review 18 months after the operation.
They expect no surprises. Good news.

My news is that I move to Nags Head, NC -
June 19th and will live in the cottage which
is rented out usually until the adjoining new
house is completed in 2 or 3 weeks. All told, 6 bed
rooms/5 baths. When can I expect you? Seriously!

I talked to Jack Guy last week. Our 70th birthday is
28 September, I'd hope to celebrate jointly, but he
says the grandchildren are already planning his.
I suggested we work on "75."

Hang in there against that Razorback and the
short fellow from Texas. You're gonna do it! The
cream rises to the top. Your friend.

10 June 92

Max

Presidential Phone Calls



Dictate

DATE: 6-66 TIME: •
Incoming/outgoing

WITH: Max Moore

SUBJECT: Just check on his
note

FOLLOW UP: _____

H. Ross Perot Daybook

Monday, June 15, 1992

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN 4/3

✓

Schedule

Today: No schedule available from published or electronic sources.

Tomorrow: No schedule available from published or electronic sources.

News

- "I tell you what I'll do. As soon as I hang up, I'll study it," said Perot to a group of Nebraska supporters when asked if he favors the Equal Rights Amendment. (AP, 6/15)
- Perot has scheduled a six-day, five city road trip starting this week. Perot will be in Sacramento, CA on June 18 and Irvine, CA, that afternoon. On June 19 he will be in Denver, on June 20 in Boston and on June 24 in Annapolis, MD. (The Washington Post, 6/13)
- ✓ ● Admiral Stockdale, Perot's interim choice for V.P. will be present at a Perot rally in Galesburg, IL on June 20.
- ✓ ● Ed Rollins has joined the *Today* show's "political insiders" commentary team. He joins Roger Ailes and Bob Squier every other Tuesday starting tomorrow. (The Washington Post, 6/15)
- ✓ ● Perot's appearance on the *Today* show last week averaged a 5.9 rating and a 24 percent audience share. Perot's rating was 44 percent higher than the 4.1/18 that Clinton had on Tuesday. In the second hour of his appearance, Perot had a 6.0/23. (The Washington Post, 6/15)
- ✓ ● Gerald M. Rafshoon, media advisor to former President Carter, has advised Perot on media strategy but does not plan to join the campaign full-time. (The New York Times, 6/14)
- "In essence, Mr. Perot is a two-issue candidate. Along with economic development, his emphasis is on reducing the influence of undefined 'special interests' and making the Government more responsive to popular will. He would curb lobbying and political action committees, make all tax increases subject to public approval and involve voters in critical decisions through the use of electronic town halls." (The New York Times, 6/14)
- Garrison Keillor: "Perot throws away the standard political text, he snaps, he rails, he hollers, and for the past month everybody has been talking about nothing but Perot. Journalists can cluck about the man all they want, but he is steaming ahead, stoked up

with righteous anger, a crusty little guy, brassy and irreverent and having a hell of a good time. He makes George Bush look like a goofball at the country club." (*The New York Times*, 6/14)

- "As with so many other issues, Mr. Perot says he's still formulating his trade policy. We hope he will recognize the vast benefits that the North American Free Trade Agreement will bring Americans. In the meantime, he reportedly hates to be called a protectionist. But if he hates to be called one, he'd better stop sounding like one. Part of being a real candidate is that people and markets take you seriously." (*The Wall Street Journal*, 6/15)
- Perot spokesman James Squires on the GOP's offensive toward Perot: "Their goal is to confuse and distort Perot's public image.... It's an effort to portray him as something he's not.... Mr. Perot does not have contempt for the Constitution, only for the quality of leadership." (*The Washington Post*, 6/15)
- William Safire: "[Perot] revealed his basic misconception of Social Security: 'Now the real test is who needs it and who doesn't need it.' In plain Texas talk, that espouses a means test -- which won't be pretty. If words have meaning, Perot treats Social Security insurance as a form of welfare: you should get it only if you need it." (*The New York Times*, 6/15)
- United Auto Workers union president, Owen Bieber: "What if Ross Perot were to win the White House? It's anybody's guess what he would do. However, the little we do know about H. Ross Perot is frightening." (*AP*, 6/14)
- Sen. Frank Lautenberg: "We can't afford to wait the length of time it takes to get into office to get acquainted.... I see him as an interesting phenomenon, but I don't know enough to make a judgement. I'd like to see him examined as thoroughly as Bill Clinton and George Bush have been examined." (*AP*, 6/14)
- Speaker of the House, Tom Foley: "Well, I assume that he could make a competent president. I'm always uncertain about how anyone who hasn't held political office would perform, particularly when thrust into the most important of all political offices, the presidency of the United States. But there isn't anything I've seen that would bar the thought that he could." (NBC's *Meet The Press*, 6/14)
- Perot, during a commercial break with CNBC's *Money Politics*, was caught on camera snapping at campaign aide Tom Luce. Upset that aides failed to provide him with a list of states in which he is on the November ballot, Perot snapped : "Get me the [a common vulgarity used to describe sex] list. Don't you understand? When I say I say I want something, I want it." (*The Dallas Morning News*, 6/12)

"Perot's opinions also underscore his evident distaste for some of the recent changes in American society. At one point five years ago, he told an audience in Cambridge, Mass., that much of the credit for Japan's industrial success is attributable to mothers who stay home with their children. Perot's published comments about Japan already seem to be giving Tokyo a bad case of the jitters." (*The Los Angeles Times*, 6/11)

- **Massachusetts Poll:** *The Boston Globe* conducted a poll of 400 registered voters between June 10-11:

Perot	35%
Bush	22%
Clinton	22%
Undec	18%

The poll was published yesterday and has a margin of error of +/-5% (*AP*, 6/14)

- **Nebraska Poll:** The Gallop Organization conducted a poll of 453 Nebraskans and 153 residents in western Iowa between June 9-11:

Bush	37%
Perot	34%
Clinton	11%
Undec.	11%

The telephone survey of residents, age 18 and over, has a margin of error of +/-4%. (*AP*, 6/14)

- In an interview for the June 20 issue of *TV Guide*, Perot had the following comments:

"Maybe I don't play it the way others do. If I'd had a bunch of handlers and everybody told me what to do, I could sit there and be like a properly trained poodle."

Referring to the Barbara Walters interview and the topic of hiring homosexuals: "I was asked if I would hire a Secretary of Treasury who was gay. I think I said, 'Probably not, because we would have major distractions.' My mind was on the topic that Barbara and I were just discussing, the Clarence Thomas-Anita Hill hearings where two people were just destroyed for partisan reasons. My thought was, would I put a person through that? That wasn't moralizing, it was hardheaded thinking. Now the real test is, have I ever had a policy in my business against hiring homosexuals? Nobody asked me that." (*AP*, 6/15)

- The Perot campaign in Portland, Oregon has raised about \$50,000. About \$5,000 a week continues to come in.... Supporters in Nebraska will turn in about 18,000 signatures to the secretary of state's office in Lincoln today. (*AP*, 6/15)

FROM
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Mr. Roone Arledge
President
ABC News Incorporated
Fifth Floor
47th West 66th Street
New York, New York 10023



THE PRESIDENT

June 20, 1992

Dear Roone,

Thanks for your May 14 letter, which I did see, and thanks for your June 9 letter. I am delighted with your progress and am glad you will be back in "full-time action before too long."

Bar is doing fine and I am doing okay, too. Except for this strange political year, life is treating the Bushes pretty darn well.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Geo Bush".

CC: (in & out)
✓ Rose Zamaria
(1 cc)
Marlin Fitzwater
(FYI)



Roone Arledge
President

Dear Mr. President:

I was very disappointed to learn that my letter mailed to you in May was never received.

I had written to tell you how deeply moved and very grateful I was for your very kind note concerning my surgery. It was typically generous of you to take time out from your busy day to write to me and I want you to know that I appreciate it.

I also learned, after the fact, that you had tried to reach me at Johns Hopkins for which I am even more grateful.

I seem to be progressing well and I hope to be back in full-time action before too long.

Please extend my best wishes to Barbara.

Kindest personal regards,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Roone", followed by a long horizontal line extending to the right.

The President
The White House
Washington, D.C.

June 9, 1992

Mr. President:

Did the subject of the
May 14 letter from
Roone Arledge come up
in conversation with
you? This letter was
received and copy is
attached.

RZ

ABC News 47 West 66 Street New York NY 10023 (212) 887 4000



Roone Arledge
President

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN

92 MAY 29 AM 8:32

as

Dear Mr. President:

I was deeply moved and very grateful for your very kind note concerning my recent surgery.

I seem to be progressing well and I hope to be back in action before too long.

It was typically generous of you to take time out from your busy day to write to me and I want you to know that I appreciate it.

Please extend my best wishes to Barbara.

Kindest personal regards,

Roone Arledge

The President
The White House
Washington, D.C.

May 14, 1992

FROM
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Mr. Joseph Kingsbury-Smith
The Hearst Foundation, Incorporated
Washington Headquarters
1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006



THE PRESIDENT

June 20, 1992

Dear Joe,

Thanks for your considerate letter of June 12, and
thanks for sending me Bill Hearst's column -- eminently
sensible as always.

Many warm regards to you in which Barbara joins me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Amy Bork".

✓ CC: (in & out)
Rose Zamaria
(1 cc)


THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN ^{4/20}

Rose,

Mrs. Bush put this "has the President
seen?" on here, so I am sending it along
in the event he has not.

Thank you,


susan

June 17, 1992

Marlin Fitzwater was
handed the original
incoming letter on
June 15th, and was
told no action is
required.

*The President had not
seen prior to 6/20.*

THE HEARST NEWSPAPERS
WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS
1701 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006
TELEPHONE (202) 298-6920

BB has seen

NATIONAL EDITOR
SENIOR WASHINGTON REPRESENTATIVE

VICE PRESIDENT AND DIRECTOR
THE HEARST CORPORATION

12th June, 1992

*has the President
seen?*

The President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500


Dear Mr. President:

Thank God that you and the First Lady got out of Panama safely. The risks you both take in the interests of our country and the world are above and beyond the call of duty.

Enclosed is the latest Bill Hearst's column I thought might interest you. Please do not respond. I do not wish to take up your time.

With prayerful hopes for the continued safety of you and the First Lady.

Respectfully,



Joseph Kingsbury-Smith

JKS/amr
Enclosure

cc: The First Lady ✓

EDITOR'S REPORT FOR SUNDAY PAPERS, JUNE 14, 1992
(WRH Suggested Head) NEW HISTORY-MAKING EVENTS

EDITOR'S REPORT
By William Randolph Hearst, Jr.
Editor-in-Chief, The Hearst Newspapers

NEW YORK -- While the American people are understandably focusing attention on the dramatic 3-way presidential race, history-making events are under way in Europe that could lead us to involvement in military conflicts in Yugoslavia.

Watching TV news programs and listening to radio reports as I sit in my wheelchair indoors and out during the day, even spending some time at my Hearst headquarters office, I feel we are moving slowly but steadily toward "a new world order" of security against aggression that President Bush envisioned in his speech to Congress in September 1990.

Long after we have recovered from the current economic problems and America is once again blessed with prosperity, historians will honor President Bush for making the world a safer place in which to live in peace.

The latest developments to stop, if necessary by military action, the barbaric killing of men, women and children in Bosnia and the Adriatic city of Dubrovnik, and the possible expansion of NATO's security zone to the Balkans and Eastern Europe are outlined in the following report to me from Joe Kingsbury-Smith, our national editor:

President Bush reiterated Thursday that he did not want the United States to be the world's policeman, but Deputy Secretary of State Ralph Johnson told the Senate Foreign Relations Committee that "while we have ruled out unilateral U.S. military action, we are prepared to cooperate with future multilateral efforts to keep the peace and preserve cease-fire agreements."

Other U.S. officials, according to the Washington Times, have raised the possibility of using United Nations troops to forcibly open the Sarajevo airport and escort emergency food supplies to the starving civilians in Sarajevo, the Bosnian capital.

Sen. Richard Lugar, R-Ind., former Senate Foreign Relations Committee chairman and a close associate of President Bush, said: "If the Yugoslav-Serbian government does not yield, it should face sufficient military force to ensure its certain and swift defeat."

On Friday the Serbs announced another cease-fire in Bosnia, set to begin this week, and said U.N. monitors could oversee the truce. But more than a dozen cease-fires there have failed in the past, and, since most U.N. peacekeeping forces had been pulled out of Bosnia because of the fierce fighting, it was unclear how quickly monitors could be redeployed.

While the president will not use U.S. force alone, State and Defense Department officials do not rule out the use of U.S. military planes jointly with other NATO aircraft to provide protective cover for U.N. convoys of food and medicine. The planes could be used to destroy Serbian artillery and rocket batteries on the hills surrounding the already devastated Sarajevo, which Serbian irregulars started besieging two months ago.

The fighting originally broke out in Bosnia after the infant republic's majority of Muslims and Croats voted for independence from Yugoslavia last February. Backed by the Serbian-led Yugoslav army, Serb militias seized two-thirds of Bosnia in fighting that killed nearly 6,000 people, caused a million civilians to flee their homes, and left the city facing starvation and disease.

Yugoslavia's brutal Serbian president, Slobodan Milosevic, wanted to retain under his tyrannical rule Muslim, Croat, Albanian and other ethnic groups who formed Yugoslavia following the collapse of the Austro-Hungarian empire at the end of World War I.

Under U.N. pressure, Milosevic signed a cease-fire agreement which was not been implemented. In fact, the Serbians intensified their attacks on Sarajevo. Milosevic denies any responsibility for cease-fire violations. This deception led President Bush to join the U.N. Security Council economic embargo against the former Yugoslavia. However, nobody believes the economic embargo will persuade Milosevic to recognize the independence of Bosnia and Croatia unless he faces the danger of joint U.S.-U.N. military intervention.

By the time your column is published in the Hearst Newspapers Sunday, the Serbs may have decided to abide by their new cease-fire pledge, re-open the Sarajevo airport for relief supplies and seek a negotiated settlement with the independent republics. And Milosevic -- who claims that 800,000 Serbs plus many Jews and gypsies were exterminated by the pro-Nazi Croatian government during World War II -- may have decided not to resume the siege of Croatia's beautiful walled city of Dubrovnik.

Such developments would be a great victory for President Bush and the U.N. Security Council. They would justify Bush's commitment to a new world order to deter aggression.

Senator Lugar has made a clear case for risking the possibility of involvement in a Balkan war:

"We must have the ability to make certain that nations do not believe they can solve ethnic quarrels by warfare. If we don't stop ethnic violence, we are likely to see it repeated elsewhere in the Balkans, and especially in some of the former republics of the Soviet Union. This is a time to draw the line.

"We have a leadership role in the world. As a member of the civilized world, we must take the position that aggression of this sort, this type of killing, is unacceptable. Our interests are peace and stability in Europe."

^---<

(ENDsm)

(629 words)

EDITORS REPORT FOR SUNDAY PAPERS, JUNE 7, 1992
(WRH Suggested Head) A BATTLE ROYAL

EDITOR'S REPORT

By William Randolph Hearst, Jr.
Editor-in-Chief, The Hearst Newspapers

NEW YORK -- Ross Perot's surprising decision to hire Machiavellian vote-getters, a Republican and a Democrat, to try to topple the two-party political system in America promises a three-way battle royal for the presidency.

Edward J. Rollins, whom the New York Times called a "conservative bomb thrower and master Washington spin doctor," was hired to concentrate on day-to-day presidential campaign operations, including media messages to win support for Perot.

Hamilton Jordan, a grass-roots organizer, helped Jimmy Carter win the presidency in 1976 and became White House chief of staff. But he failed to get Carter re-elected when Ronald Reagan won the presidency in 1980. Jordan had the reputation of being a good political strategist, but Bella Abzug, whom Jordan fired as chairperson of the White House Women's Advisory Committee, described him as "sneaking, sneering, ruthless and crude."

While the two shrewd political operatives will probably be criticized for conducting a negative campaign against both President Bush and Arkansas Gov. Bill Clinton, they are likely to keep Perot in the race right through the Nov. 3 election. He has mounted the strongest independent presidential campaign since Theodore Roosevelt ran on the so-called Bull Moose ticket in 1912 and lost to Woodrow Wilson.

President Bush refused to be drawn into any direct criticism of Perot at his White House press conference Thursday night, the first formal one he has held since April. He said he will not put on his fighting gloves against his two opponents until after the Democratic and Republican conventions are over. However, he has also said he will do whatever is necessary to win re-election.

The president is confident he can defeat Clinton. He is more concerned about Perot. Even if the Texas maverick doesn't win in November, he could throw selection of the president into the House of Representatives. That would result in the states having one vote each to elect whomever they wished among the three.

Therefore, the president and Gov. Clinton are likely to concentrate on Perot's integrity. Joe Kingsbury-Smith, our national editor, provides in the following report to me some of the political ammunition that will be used.

In October 1987, Perot wrote in the Washington Post that "we must cut spending and raise taxes to pay our bills. Let's make sure our leaders understand this must be done." On April 24, Perot told TV interviewer David Frost that it was up to the people whether or not to increase taxes. In a May 29 ABC interview with Barbara Walters, Perot said: "I'm absolutely against raising taxes." In a June 3 interview with NBC's Katie Couric, Perot declared: "I have said we will not raise taxes. It's like giving cocaine to an addict while you are trying to take them through detoxification."

During Perot's 1987 visit to Hanoi to try to find and, if possible, free the MIAs, he discussed business opportunities with top Vietnamese officials. In 1990 a Vietnamese foreign ministry official claimed he had designated Perot to act as a business agent to help procure American investments in Vietnam. Perot said he rejected the offer and said he never had any intention of investing in Vietnam. He said he

had engaged only in several "philosophical" discussions about business opportunities.

In fairness to this self-made billionaire who has turned the 1992 presidential campaign into one of the most dramatic in American political history, I must say he has won the support of many people for advocating higher educational standards for our children, health care reform and a quick and drastic end to the federal budget deficit.
(Endsm)



Aboard Air Force One

June 20, 1992
(En route Washington, D.C.)

Dear Mr. Garcia:

Thank you for the Monterey County Police Officers' Association belt buckle. I appreciate all that associations like yours are doing to provide the much needed community involvement by law enforcement.

Best wishes.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Bill Clinton".

Mr. Angel Garcia, Jr.
P.O. Box 125
Salinas, California 93902

CC:
~~Rose Zamaria~~
(1 cc)
Charles Free
(Gift Unit)

The President kept
silver buckle w/
police emblem on
it to take up to
Camp David.

*9 ave
bett buch*
Mr. Angel Garcia Jr.

(408) 758-5172

P.O. BOX 125
SALINAS, CA 93902

POOL REPORT # 4

June 20, 1992

(Universal City, California)

The President departed Newport Beach with Jack Flanagan, State Chairman of Bush-Quayle 92, in his limo and proceeded to Tustin Marine Corp Air Base for the flight North. However, the Universal City landing zone was fogged in and the flight had to be diverted to Burbank, where we landed a half hour behind schedule. Motorcaded without incident to the hotel.

Bill McAllister/Washington Post
Dorian Friedman/U.S. News and World Report

FROM
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Mr. Joseph Kingsbury-Smith
The Hearst Foundation, Incorporated
Washington Headquarters
1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006



THE PRESIDENT

June 20, 1992

Dear Joe,

Thanks for your considerate letter of June 12, and
thanks for sending me Bill Hearst's column -- eminently
sensible as always.

Many warm regards to you in which Barbara joins me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Bill Clinton".

CC: (in & out)
Rose Zamaria
(1 cc)

JAN 8

FROM
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

The Honorable Tommy G. Thompson
Governor
State of Wisconsin
115 East
State Capitol
Madison, Wisconsin 53702




THE PRESIDENT

6-26-92

Dear Tommy,
Great seeing you at "Choice"
meetings.
I'll be discussing the train
with Bob & Sam next week.
Now for Don's wedding —
All Best
A

JAN



OFFICIAL WHITE HOUSE PHOTOGRAPH 20 JUN 92 P032892-00 DV



OFFICIAL WHITE HOUSE PHOTOGRAPH 20 JUN 92 P032892-09 DV