

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):
2005-0332-F; 2005-0336-F

FOIA Number:
2005-0336-F

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential
Library Staff.**

Record Group/Collection: George H.W. Bush Presidential Records
Collection/Office of Origin: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: Organization Files - Government Organizations

OA/ID Number: 62083
Folder ID Number: 62083-009

Folder Title:
Boards - National Science Board [1991]

Stack:

Row:

Section:

Shelf:

Position:

0

0

0

0

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

January 7, 1991

Dear Mary:

Many thanks for sending me the prepublication copy of the NSB Report entitled **Science and Technology Integration in Europe and Influences in US-European Cooperation**. This is an excellent report and Jim Holderman and the members of the Committee deserve our congratulations for a thoughtful analysis. I found the report by Dick Bradshaw entitled **Evolving Structures and Policies of Science and Technology in Western Europe and Implications For United States-European Community S&T Relations** to be a very useful document as well.

We have been becoming more and more involved with the Europeans--much as anything because of the European Community Vice President for Science and Technology's enthusiasm for interactions with the United States. You may have met him, his name is Flippo Pandolfi and he is truly an enthusiastic internationalist. I expect that I will be meeting him at an OECD session in Europe later in the spring and he will be in Washington on frequent occasions.

I thought that you might find the enclosed report that was prepared by Ms. Viva Bartkus of some interest. She was one of my students at Yale, who at the end of her four year program, received both the bachelor's and master's degrees, together with a Rhodes scholarship and is now studying international economics at Oxford. She spent this past summer as a Fellow in OSTP and produced the enclosed document at my request. This is an OSTP internal working document and should not be circulated.

Prior to joining us in OSTP, she had traveled to Lithuania where her ancestral roots are, and had talked with both the Prime Minister and Deputy Minister, as well as the Foreign Minister and indeed expects to spend this coming summer working in the Prime Minister's office in Lithuania so that she has a relatively good background.

Turning to a totally different subject, namely that of vacancies on the National Science Board that are coming up in the future. I have been receiving an unusual number of nominations and we should, at your convenience, talk about the vacancies and the sorts of people that we would want to see replacing those members who are leaving.

With Howard Schneiderman's tragic death I have suggested to the President and to Chase Untermeyer that he be replaced by Dr. Ian Ross, the President of the Bell Telephone Laboratories who is well known to you and who is very much interested in serving on your Board.

Let us talk about these matters when you get a free moment. In the meantime, let me wish you a very happy, healthy and rewarding New Year.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "D. Allan Bromley", with a long, sweeping horizontal stroke extending to the right.

D. Allan Bromley
Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Enclosure

Dr. Mary L. Good
Chairman
National Science Board
1800 G Street, Northwest
Washington, D.C. 20550

"CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING"

TYPE: Action Item

DOCUMENT NUMBER: 9024427

FROM: Good, Mary: National Science Board

TO: Bromley

DATE OF
CORRESPONDENCE: 12/17/90

SUBJECT: Enclosed report "Science and Technology Integration
in Europe and Influences on US-European Cooperation"

ASSIGNED TO: Thomas Ratchford

ACTION REQUIRED: direct reply

SENDER'S DUE DATE:

OSTP DUE DATE:

12/30/90

DATE COMPLETED:

COPIES TO: D. Allan Bromley

WHITE HOUSE TRACKING #:

CONTACT PERSON:

REMARKS:

DATE RECEIVED: 12/21/90

FILE: INTERNATIONAL

NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20550

December 17, 1990

The Honorable D. Allan Bromley
Assistant to the President
for Science and Technology
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Allan:

The National Science Board recently issued the report, "Science and Technology Integration in Europe and Influences on U.S.-European Cooperation," a copy of which I have enclosed for your review. The report provides an assessment of changes in the policies, structures, and programs of European S&T brought about by the growing role of the European Community. It also explores the external relations dimension of Community S&T and offers recommendations for U.S. responses appropriate to the evolving new paradigm in Europe.

I commend the report to you and hope it may prove of some value as you undertake a dialogue with the E.C. Commission concerning the future of U.S. cooperation with Europe.

Sincerely yours,

Mary L. Good
Mary L. Good
Chairman

Enclosure

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 24, 1991

Dear Mary:

Reflecting his extraordinarily busy schedule for the next several months, the President has asked me to respond to your letter of June 27 in which you invite him to meet with a few Board members to discuss a number of concerns in greater detail.

He has asked me to convey to you his regret that he will be unable to join you for such a discussion and has asked me to meet with you as his representative. I should, of course, be most happy to do so, both because I know that the discussion would be extremely useful both to me and through me to the President and, because as an alumnus of the National Science Board, I would look forward to the opportunity of meeting with many old and some new friends.

If this arrangement is acceptable to you, perhaps we can have our secretaries work out a mutually convenient time.

With warmest best wishes,

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Dr. Mary L. Good
National Science Board
1800 G Street, Northwest
Washington, D.C. 20550

THE WHITE HOUSE
CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

INCOMING

DATE RECEIVED: JULY 01, 1991

NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: THE HONORABLE MARY L. GOOD

SUBJECT: REQUESTS MEETING WITH THE ENTIRE NATIONAL
SCIENCE BOARD TO DISCUSS RESEARCH AND
EDUCATION PROGRAMS

RECEIVED
JUL 02 REC'D
SCHEDULING
OFFICE

ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY	(STAFF NAME)	ACTION ACT CODE	DATE YY/MM/DD	TYPE RESP	C D	COMPLETED YY/MM/DD
KATHY SUPER		ORG	91/07/01			C 91/07/17
<i>Dr. Bromley</i>	REFERRAL NOTE:	A	91/07/17			/ /
	REFERRAL NOTE:		/ /			/ /
	REFERRAL NOTE:		/ /			/ /
	REFERRAL NOTE:		/ /			/ /
	REFERRAL NOTE:		/ /			/ /

COMMENTS: *Dr. Bromley's office to request on behalf of the President and meet w/ Science Board members*

ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENTS: MEDIA: L INDIVIDUAL CODES: _____

MI MAIL USER CODES: (A) _____ (B) _____ (C) _____

*ACTION CODES:	*DISPOSITION	*OUTGOING	*
*	*	*CORRESPONDENCE:	*
*A-APPROPRIATE ACTION	*A-ANSWERED	*TYPE RESP=INITIALS	*
*C-COMMENT/RECOM	*B-NON-SPEC-REFERRAL	*OF SIGNER	*
*D-DRAFT RESPONSE	*C-COMPLETED	*CODE = A	*
*F-FURNISH FACT SHEET	*S-SUSPENDED	*COMPLETED = DATE OF	*
I-INFO COPY/NO ACT NEC		*OUTGOING	*
*R-DIRECT REPLY W/COPY *			*
*S-FOR-SIGNATURE *			*
*X-INTERIM REPLY *			*

REFER QUESTIONS AND ROUTING UPDATES TO CENTRAL REFERENCE
(ROOM 75, OEOB) EXT-2590
KEEP THIS WORKSHEET ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL INCOMING
LETTER AT ALL TIMES AND SEND COMPLETED RECORD TO RECORDS
MANAGEMENT.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

☐ APPOINTMENT

☐ SCHEDULE

RESPONSE DUE DATE:

July 9, 1991

REQUEST FOR SCHEDULING RECOMMENDATION

MEMORANDUM FOR:

____ GOVERNOR SUNUNU
☒ ANDREW CARD, JR
____ ROGER PORTER
____ SIG ROHICH
____ SUSAN PORTER ROSE
☒ EDE HOLIDAY
____ CHASE UNTERMAYER
____ GREGG PETERSMEYER
____ DORRANCE SMITH

____ PHILLIP BRADY
☒ DAVID DEMAREST
____ MARLIN FITZWATER
____ FREDERICK MCCLURE
____ J. BONNIE NEWMAN
____ BRENT SCOWCROFT
____ C. BOYDEN GRAY
____ ED ROGERS
☒ Dr. Bromley

FROM:

KATHY L. SUPER
PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

Please provide your recommendation the following scheduling request:

EVENT: Meet with members of the National Science Board

DATE: Open

LOCATION: The White House

Additional information concerning this event is attached.

YOUR RECOMMENDATION:

Accept _____

Regret _____

Surrogate _____

Message _____

Priority _____

Video _____

Routine ~~7/7~~

Satellite _____

Teleconference _____

Written _____


If your commendation is to accept, please cite reasons below

7/2/91
) Note clear that this would be a useful allocation of the President's time. I will be happy to meet with the group - as an old member of the Board - if that is helpful.

PLEASE RETURN TO WINDY WHITE, ROOM 182, OEGB, BY THE RESPONSE DUE DATE ABOVE SO THAT YOUR COMMENTS MAY BE CONSIDERED AS WE PROCEED WITH THIS REQUEST.
THANK YOU

WJW

550877



NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD
1800 G Street, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20550

June 27, 1991

The President
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President:

On behalf of the Members of the National Science Board, the policy making body of the National Science Foundation, I want to express our appreciation for the strong and consistent support you have provided for the Nation's scientific and engineering research and education enterprise. The Administration's continuing commitment to double the budget of the National Science Foundation is but one example of that strong support. We applaud your efforts to invest adequately in our research and education enterprise so that we can improve the nation's economic competitiveness in today's global marketplace.

The Board is concerned that the Foundation's research and education programs are caught in the middle of the debate on conflicting priorities in the FY 1992 budget process. The high priority accorded basic science and engineering research and education activities must be sustained as the debate continues in Congress.

For this reason, on behalf of the entire National Science Board, I am requesting an opportunity for a few Board Members to meet with you so that we might discuss these concerns in greater detail.

I appreciate very much your consideration of this request.

Respectfully,

Mary L. Good
Chairman

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

☐ APPOINTMENT

☐ SCHEDULE

RESPONSE DUE DATE:

July 9, 1991

REQUEST FOR SCHEDULING RECOMMENDATION

MEMORANDUM FOR:

____ GOVERNOR SUNUNU
 X ____ ANDREW CARD, JR ✓
 ____ ROGER PORTER
 ____ SIG ROHICH
 ____ SUSAN PORTER ROSE
 X ____ EDE HOLIDAY
 ____ CHASE UNTERMAYER
 ____ GREGG PETERSMEYER
 ____ DORRANCE SMITH

____ PHILLIP BRADY
 X ____ DAVID DEMAREST
 ____ MARLIN FITZWATER
 ____ FREDERICK MCCLURE
 ____ J. BONNIE NEWMAN
 ____ BRENT SCOWCROFT
 ____ C. BOYDEN GRAY
 ____ ED ROGERS
 X ____ Dr. Bramley

FROM:

KATHY L. SUPER
 PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

Please provide your recommendation the following scheduling request:

EVENT: Meet with members of the National Science Board

DATE: Open

LOCATION: The White House

Additional information concerning this event is attached.

YOUR RECOMMENDATION:

Accept _____

Regret ✓

Surrogate

Priority _____

Routine _____

Message

Video _____

Satellite

Teleconference _____

Written _____

If your commendation is to accept, please cite reasons below

PLEASE RETURN TO WINDY WHITE, ROOM 182. OEOB, BY THE RESPONSE DUE DATE ABOVE SO THAT YOUR COMMENTS MAY BE CONSIDERED AS WE PROCEED WITH IS REQUEST.

THANK YOU

Sony

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

July 10, 1991

RECEIVED

JUL 17 1991

SCHEDULING
OFFICE

MEMORANDUM FOR KATHY SUPER

FROM: EDE HOLIDAY *EH*

SUBJECT: Meeting with Members of the National Science Board

The Office of Science and Technology Policy recommends that the NSB meet with Dr. Bromley instead of the President.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

☐ APPOINTMENT

☐ SCHEDULE

RESPONSE DUE DATE: July 9, 1991

REQUEST FOR SCHEDULING RECOMMENDATION

MEMORANDUM FOR:

____ GOVERNOR SUNUNU
____ ☒ ANDREW CARD, JR
____ ROGER PORTER
____ SIG ROHICH
____ SUSAN PORTER ROSE
____ ☒ EDE HOLIDAY
____ CHASE UNTERMAYER
____ GREGG PETERSMEYER
____ DORRANCE SMITH

____ PHILLIP BRADY
____ ☒ DAVID DEMAREST
____ MARLIN FITZWATER
____ FREDERICK MCCLURE
____ J. BONNIE NEWMAN
____ BRENT SCOWCROFT
____ C. BOYDEN GRAY
____ ED ROGERS
____ ☒ Dr. Bromley

FROM: KATHY L. SUPER
PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

Please provide your recommendation the following scheduling request:

EVENT: Meet with members of the National Science Board

DATE: Open

LOCATION: The White House

Additional information concerning this event is attached.

YOUR RECOMMENDATION:

Accept _____

Regret _____

Surrogate _____

Message _____

Priority _____

Video _____

Routine _____

Satellite _____

Teleconference _____

Written _____

If your commendation is to accept, please cite reasons below

PLEASE RETURN TO WINDY WHITE, ROOM 182. OEOB, BY THE RESPONSE DUE DATE ABOVE SO THAT
YOUR COMMENTS MAY BE CONSIDERED AS WE PROCEED WITH IS REQUEST.
THANK YOU

950877

Scheduling
open


NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD
1800 G Street, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20550

June 27, 1991

The President
The White House
Washington, DC 20500


Dear Mr. President:

On behalf of the Members of the National Science Board, the policy making body of the National Science Foundation, I want to express our appreciation for the strong and consistent support you have provided for the Nation's scientific and engineering research and education enterprise. The Administration's continuing commitment to double the budget of the National Science Foundation is but one example of that strong support. We applaud your efforts to invest adequately in our research and education enterprise so that we can improve the nation's economic competitiveness in today's global marketplace.

The Board is concerned that the Foundation's research and education programs are caught in the middle of the debate on conflicting priorities in the FY 1992 budget process. The high priority accorded basic science and engineering research and education activities must be sustained as the debate continues in Congress.

For this reason, on behalf of the entire National Science Board, I am requesting an opportunity for a few Board Members to meet with you so that we might discuss these concerns in greater detail.

I appreciate very much your consideration of this request.

Respectfully,

Mary L. Good
Chairman

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
01a. Memo	Allan Bromley to Connie Horner RE: National Science Board Nominations (2 pp.)	9/18/91	(b)(6)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: Alphabetical Subject Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Boards - National Science Board

Date Closed:	10/21/2009	OA/ID Number:	08716
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2005-0332-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:		Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

September 18, 1991

MEMORANDUM FOR CONNIE HORNER

FROM: D. ALLAN BROMLEY *Alan*
SUBJECT: National Science Board Nominations

You currently have eight vacancies on the Board as of May 1992; an additional one will be created when Dr. Mary Good, the current chairman, returns to join the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST). Still further there is, I understand, the possibility of yet another resignation.

In accordance with its founding legislation and past practice, the NSB has forwarded a list of nominees dated May 30, 1991.

From that list I have selected the following persons to nominate to the President for appointment:

1. F. Albert Cotton (reappointment)
Texas A&M University - Chemistry
2.  (b)(6)
3. John E. Hopcroft
Cornell University - Computer Science
4. 
5. James L. Powell (reappointment) *(President)*
Reed College - Geology
6. Frank H. T. Rhodes (reappointment) *(President)*
Cornell University - Earth Sciences
7. 

8.

[REDACTED]

9.

Richard N. Zare
Stanford University - Chemistry

(b)(6)

Should you require a tenth candidate I would nominate:

10.

[REDACTED]

Any of these candidates will, I believe, be worthy and effective additions to the National Science Board.

Council:
If you need any further
info on any of these folks I shall
be happy to provide it.
Anan



NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD

1800 G Street, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20550

May 30, 1991

Dr. D. Allan Bromley
Assistant to the President for Science
and Technology and
Director, Office of Science and
Technology Policy
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Allan:

Enclosed is the package to the President transmitting the Board's recommendations for membership on the National Science Board.

We hope that you will seriously consider the Board's recommendations, and ~~that we will~~ have new members in time for our annual meeting in May 1992. We have sent a copy of this package to Mr. Chase G. Untermeyer, Director of the White House Office of Presidential Personnel..

We would be pleased to provide any additional information you need, and are available for discussion.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Good
Chairman
National Science Board

Walter E. Massey
Director
National Science Foundation

Enclosure



NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD

1800 G Street, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20550

May 30, 1991

The President
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President:

In accordance with the provisions of the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended, the National Science Board is pleased to submit for your consideration candidates for nomination for membership on the National Science Board (Enclosure I).

The members of the Board are appointed by the President, with the advice and consent of the Senate. These Board candidates, eminent in their fields, are recommended to fill eight vacancies for six-year terms ending on May 10, 1998.

In view of the challenges to the Nation's scientific and technological competitiveness and the growing sophistication of forefront research and high technology engineering, the National Science Board will continue to require outstanding professional competence, breadth of vision, and good judgment on the part of its members. The linkage between fundamental scientific knowledge and its ultimate application is critical to our Nation's defense and the quality of life of our Nation's citizens. Choosing priorities and initiatives for Federal investment in research, as well as in science and engineering education, will require the thoughtful consideration and counsel of the leaders in these areas.

In accordance with the statutory requirements, the Board solicited recommendations from leading scientific, engineering, and educational organizations. More than 177 nominations were received from many sources, including the Congress, the scientific and academic communities, the general public, NSF staff and advisory bodies.

In arriving at its recommendations the Board took into account such factors as established records of distinguished service to the Nation; stature in the scientific, engineering, industrial, and educational communities; and demonstrated leadership. Although the first criterion was the excellence of the individual's record of achievement, consideration was also given to balance among professional fields, geographical distribution, academic administration, bench scientists, and industry; demographic factors, and background in science education. The Board also considered breadth of interest and knowledge.


The current members of the National Science Board and their terms of office are shown in Enclosure II. Section 4(d) of the Act states that: "Any person, other than the Director, who has been a member of the Board for twelve consecutive years shall thereafter be ineligible for appointment during the two year period following the expiration of such twelfth year." Drs. F. Albert Cotton, John C. Hancock, James L. Powell, and Frank H.T. Rhodes have indicated a willingness to be considered for reappointment. These individuals have contributed significantly to the Board's activities and are recommended for reappointments. (4)

Brief biographies of the 26 candidates recommended for appointment are in Enclosure III.


The National Science Board, in making these recommendations, notes that these are individuals who would add strength in many fields currently needing representation on the Board. They would bring to the Board experience in Government, industry, and other public and private educational institutions. They would also bring a breadth of understanding across many fields, and an appreciation for the working scientist and the need to improve science and engineering education. The nominees would represent a reasonable geographic distribution of the full Board.

The National Science Board would be pleased to assist the White House in any way it can and to discuss these recommendations with anyone on your staff whom you wish to designate. We hope the new appointees can be selected, cleared and confirmed by the Senate in time to participate in the Board's organizing meeting in May 1992.

Sincerely,



Mary L. Good
Chairman
National Science Board



Walter E. Massey
Director
National Science Foundation

Enclosures (3)

cc: Dr. D. Allan Bromley



NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD

1800 G Street, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20550

May 30, 1991

Mr. Chase G. Untermeyer
Assistant to the President and
Director, Office of Presidential Personnel
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Untermeyer:

The National Science Board has completed work on the task of providing the President with candidates for eight vacancies that will occur on the Board in May 1992. The results of this work have been sent to Dr. D. Allan Bromley, Assistant to the President for Science and Technology. A copy is enclosed for your information and help in expediting the appointments process.

It is the Board's hope that new members will be appointed and confirmed in time to participate in the Board's annual meeting in May 1992. This would require White House selection to be completed in September to allow time for FBI clearance and Congressional confirmation before May.

We are available for discussion, and the National Science Board Office would be pleased to provide any additional information (357-7512).

Sincerely,

Mary L. Good
Chairman
National Science Board

Walter E. Massey
Director
National Science Foundation

Enclosure

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
01b. List	Nominees to the National Science Board (3 pp.)	nd	(b)(6)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: Alphabetical Subject Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Boards - National Science Board

Date Closed:	10/21/2009	OA/ID Number:	08716
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2005-0332-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:		Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Sharon Doherty-Welch

ENCLOSURE I

NOMINEES TO THE NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD

NAME	TITLE INSTITUTION	FIELD OF EXPERTISE	HOME ADDRESS TELEPHONE
------	----------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

(b)(6)

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Cotton*	W.T. Doherty-Welch	Chemistry	Route 2, Box 285
F. Albert	Distinguished Prof of Chemistry & Dir Lab for Molecular Structure & Bonding		Bryan, TX 77803 (409) 589-2501
	Texas A&M Univ		

*Renomination

(b)(6)

Hancock* Retired Executive Vice Engineering 4550 Warwick Blvd
John C. President Kansas City, MO 64111
United Telecommunications, Inc. (816) 561-8865

Hopcroft Prof of Computer Sci Computer Science 123 Christopher Circle
John E. Cornell Univ Ithaca, NY 14805
(607) 257-0524

*Renomination

(b)(6)

Powell*
James L.

President
Reed College

Geology

6230 S.E. 36th Street
Portland, OR 97202
(503) 777-7574

Rhodes*
Frank H.T.

President
Cornell Univ

Geology

603 Cayuga Heights Road
Ithaca, NY 14805
(607) 257-7112

Zare
Richard N.

Prof of Chemistry
Stanford Univ

Chemistry

724 Santa Wyez
Stanford, CA 94305
(415) 328-0890

*Renomination

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

☐ APPOINTMENT

☐ SCHEDULE

RESPONSE DUE DATE:

July 9, 1991

REQUEST FOR SCHEDULING RECOMMENDATION

MEMORANDUM FOR:

____ GOVERNOR SUNUNU
 ____ ☒ ANDREW CARD, JR
 ____ ROGER PORTER
 ____ SIG ROHICH
 ____ SUSAN PORTER ROSE
 ____ ☒ EDE HOLIDAY
 ____ CHASE UNTERMAYER
 ____ GREGG PETERSMEYER
 ____ DORRANCE SMITH

____ PHILLIP BRADY
 ____ ☒ DAVID DEMAREST
 ____ MARLIN FITZWATER
 ____ FREDERICK MCCLURE
 ____ J. BONNIE NEWMAN
 ____ BRENT SCOWCROFT
 ____ C. BOYDEN GRAY
 ____ ED ROGERS
 ____ ☒ Dr. Bromley

FROM:

KATHY L. SUPER
 PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

Please provide your recommendation the following scheduling request:

EVENT: Meet with members of the National Science Board

DATE: Open

LOCATION: The White House

Additional information concerning this event is attached.

YOUR RECOMMENDATION:

Accept _____

Regret _____

Surrogate _____

Priority _____

Routine ☒

Message _____

Video _____

Satellite _____

Teleconference _____

Written _____

If your commendation is to accept, please cite reasons below

7/2/91
) Not clear that this would be a useful allocation of the President's time. I will be happy to meet with the group - as an old member of the Board - if that is helpful

PLEASE RETURN TO WINDY WHITE, ROOM 182, OEOB, BY THE RESPONSE DUE DATE ABOVE SO THAT YOUR COMMENTS MAY BE CONSIDERED AS WE PROCEED WITH THIS REQUEST.

THANK YOU

WJ

750877

Dekechuking

over

NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD
1800 G Street, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20550

June 27, 1991

The President
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President:

On behalf of the Members of the National Science Board, the policy making body of the National Science Foundation, I want to express our appreciation for the strong and consistent support you have provided for the Nation's scientific and engineering research and education enterprise. The Administration's continuing commitment to double the budget of the National Science Foundation is but one example of that strong support. We applaud your efforts to invest adequately in our research and education enterprise so that we can improve the nation's economic competitiveness in today's global marketplace.

The Board is concerned that the Foundation's research and education programs are caught in the middle of the debate on conflicting priorities in the FY 1992 budget process. The high priority accorded basic science and engineering research and education activities must be sustained as the debate continues in Congress.

For this reason, on behalf of the entire National Science Board, I am requesting an opportunity for a few Board Members to meet with you so that we might discuss these concerns in greater detail.

I appreciate very much your consideration of this request.

Respectfully,

Mary L. Good
Mary L. Good
Chairman