

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):
2005-0336-F

FOIA Number:
2005-0336-F

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential
Library Staff.**

Record Group/Collection: George H.W. Bush Presidential Records
Collection/Office of Origin: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files

OA/ID Number: 62064
Folder ID Number: 62064-007

Folder Title:
International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Stack:

Row:

Section:

Shelf:

Position:

0

0

0

0

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 3, 1992

George
Dear Chairman Brown:

It is a pleasure to accept your invitation to appear before the Committee on Education and Labor and the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, on February 27, 1992. The Committee on Education and Human Resources has accomplished a great deal over the last two years, and we look forward to informing the members of both Committees about these accomplishments and our plans for the future.

I look forward to seeing you on the 27th.

Sincerely,

Duan

D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

cc: The Honorable Robert Walker
Committee on Science, Space & Technology

The Honorable William Goodling
Committee on Education and Labor

The Honorable George E. Brown
Chairman
Committee on Science, Space and Technology
2300 Rayburn
Washington, DC 20515-0536

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

February 3, 1992

Dear Chairman Ford:

It is a pleasure to accept your invitation to appear before the Committee on Education and Labor and the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, on February 27, 1992. The Committee on Education and Human Resources has accomplished a great deal over the last two years, and we look forward to informing the members of both Committees about these accomplishments and our plans for the future.

I look forward to seeing you on the 27th.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "D. Allan Bromley", written in a cursive style.

D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

cc: The Honorable Robert Walker
Committee on Science, Space & Technology

The Honorable William Goodling
Committee on Education and Labor

The Honorable William D. Ford
Chairman
Committee on Education and Labor
2731 Rayburn
Washington, DC 20515-0529

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
01. Letter	To: Jin-Hyun Kim From: Allan Bromley Re: Science and Technology agreements (1 pp.)	2/5/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed: 5/4/2010	OA/ID Number: 62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

February 5, 1992


Dear Dr. Rotem:

I am taking this opportunity to follow-up on my fax of November 21 indicating Dr. Bromley's agreement in principal to attend the 20th anniversary meeting of the U.S.-Israel Binational Science Foundation. He has received a letter of invitation from former Science Minister Ne'eman and has enthusiastically accepted to participate in the 20th anniversary. He welcomes the invitation to make a keynote address at the meeting.

Subsequently, I have heard from Max Hellman that the meeting will be postponed by one week. During the week of June 28, Dr. Bromley is available to visit Jerusalem only on July 1 and 2. While he would like to stay for several days, his calendar unfortunately permits only a short visit. He therefore would like to limit his activities to Jerusalem. These and other details will be worked out through the Minister's office.

I would welcome an opportunity to meet with you when you visit Washington in March. Please keep me informed of your travel plans.

Sincerely yours,



J. Thomas Ratchford
Associate Director

Dr. Zeev Rotem
Executive director
U.S.-Israel Binational Science Foundation
P. O. Box 7877
Jerusalem 91070

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

January 31, 1992

Dear Yuval:

Many thanks for your letter of December 29. I am very much looking forward to attending the June 23rd festive meeting of the Binational US-Israel Science Foundation in Jerusalem celebrating its 20th anniversary. I very much appreciate your invitation to extend the visit for a few days but I have, unfortunately, no flexibility in my schedule for that particular period because of a series of Congressional hearings at which I am a primary witness.

I deeply regret this because I would very much like to take you up on your invitation. It has been far too long since I was last in Israel and I know that there would be an enormous amount of good science and technology that I would be delighted to have the opportunity to see.

With very deep regret then let me say that I simply cannot accept the invitation at this time but I hope that at some later time it might turn out to be possible. Let me also take this opportunity to wish you and your family a very happy, healthy and rewarding 1992. I shall look forward to seeing you in Jerusalem, if not before.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

The Honorable Yuval Ne'eman
Member of Keneset
Jerusalem, Israel

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

February 13, 1992

Dear Dr. Lee:

Thank you for your letter of January 28 inviting me to speak at the "International Symposium on Physics and High Technology" sponsored by the Korean Physical Society in Seoul this October. I am not able to say at this time whether or not I will be able to attend, but if you are able to hold open the invitation for a few months, I should be able to provide a response by midyear. I am very interested in the work of the Korean Physical Society and would feel it a great honor to participate in your 40th Anniversary celebration.

Thank you for the invitation. Please let me know if my suggestion is acceptable.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Dr. Choochon Lee
President
Korean Physical Society
635-4 Yuksam-dong
KangNam-ku, Seoul
135-703 Korea

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
02. Letter	To: Heinz Reisenhuber From: Allan Bromley Re: Science and Technology collaborative agreements (2 pp.)	2/26/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed:	5/4/2010	OA/ID Number:	62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:		Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

February 26, 1992

Dear Ambassador Ota:

Congratulations on your appointment as Japanese Ambassador to Saudi Arabia. I have no doubt that you will continue to be an effective representative of Japan in your new position.

It has been my pleasure to work with you these past two and a half years, and I am particularly thankful for all of your efforts as Co-Chairman of the US-Japan Joint Working Level Committee. I feel that this committee has been instrumental in expanding US-Japan cooperation in science and technology.

It is with warmest regards that I wish you every success in your new position.

Sincerely,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Ambassador Hiroshi Ota
Ministry of Foreign Affairs
2-2-1 Kasumigaseki
Chiyoda-Ku
Tokyo, 100
Japan

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
03. Letter	To: Walter Massey From: Allan Bromley Re: OECD S&T Ministerial (2 pp.)	3/3/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed:	5/4/2010	OA/ID Number:	62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:		Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 5, 1992

Dear Mr. de Cock:

Thank you for sending me the summary of the report on your visit and the Interim Review of Activities which Stichting Toekomstbeeld der Techniek developed. I am pleased that you found your trip to the U.S.A. and Canada useful, and that you were able to meet with Bill Phillips while you were in Washington.

Although the Office of Science and Technology Policy has no reports which relate directly to technology forecasting and assessment, I am forwarding you a copy of the Science and Technology Report and Outlook-- 1985-1988.

I wish you the best of luck in your efforts.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Enclosure

Mr. H.G. de Cock
Director
Stichting Toekomstbeeld der Techniek
Prinsessegracht 23
P.O. Box 30424
2500 GK The Hague
The Netherlands

Logged

"Document Control"

TYPE: ACTION
ORIGINATOR: 02

STATUS I

DOCUMENT NUMBER: 9200273
DIRECTORATE STATUS

FROM: de COCK, H.G.: NETHERLANDS STUDY CENTER FOR TECHNOLOGY TRENDS

TO: DR. D.A. BROMLEY

DATE OF
CORRESPONDENCE: 01/09/92

SUBJECT: HE IS PROVIDING DR. BROMLEY WITH A COPY OF HIS
REPORT ON HIS TRIP TO THE U.S. AND OF THEIR INTERIM
REVIEW OF ACTIVITIES. HE REQUESTS ANY PERIODICALS OR
JOURNALS ON ANY RELEVANT OSTP ACTIVITY.

DIRECTORATE
ASSIGNED: INTERNATIONAL/POLICY

STAFF
ASSIGNED: *SBN*

ACTION
REQUIRED: FOR DAB'S SIGNATURE

STAFF
ACTION: *7*

OSTP DUE DATE: 02/11/92

SENDER'S DUE DATE:

STAFF DUE DATE *2/10/92*

DATE COMPLETED:

DATE COMPLETED/DEPT: *3/5/92*

COPIES TO: D. Allan Bromley
INDUSTRIAL

WHITE HOUSE TRACKING #:

CONTACT PERSON:
PHONE:


EXT:

REMARKS:

OSTP RECEIVED: 01/27/92
FILE: INTERNATIONAL

DEPT RECEIVED:

CENTRAL FILES:



stichting toekomstbeeld der techniek

netherlands study centre for technology trends (stt)

Dr. Allan Bromley
Office of Science Technology Policy
Director
Executive Office of the President
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506
USA

MAIL ROOM

prinsessegracht 23
's-gravenhage

postadres:
postbus 30424
2500 gk 's-gravenhage

bank 22.58.21.141
postgiro 1609900
telefoon (070) 3919900
doorkiezen:
(070) 3919856

Ref: 25003/HdC/TvB

January 9th, 1992

Dear Dr. Bromley,

Herewith I send you a summary of the report on my visit to the USA and Canada during last September.

I found this trip very interesting and useful. It helped me considerably in developing my views on the role and policy of STT (The Netherlands Study Centre for Technology Trends).

Once more I want to thank you for the opportunity you gave me to discuss the issues of technology forecasting and assessment and for all information you provided me with.

One of the immediate results of this visit was that STT felt the need to make some information on its activities available in English. Therefore we translated our latest issue of our Interim Review of Activities in that language. I enclose a copy for you. It will give you an impression of the studies we have in hand at the moment.

As a matter of fact I met with Mr. William D. Phillips. As I understand that he left shortly afterwards I send this letter to you.

I hope that we may keep in touch and I would welcome any periodical or any other relevant information on your activities in this field, which might become available. If there is anything we can do for you in terms of providing information and/or establishing contacts, please don't hesitate to contact me.

With kind regards,

H.G. de Cock
director.



REPORT

Visit to the USA and Canada
September 1991

Subject: Technological Forecasting and Assessment

by: H.G. de Cock, director of the Netherlands Study Centre for Technology
Trends (STT)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction
2. Summary
3. Main points of the individual talks (Dutch version only)
 - 3.1 Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Technology Analysis,
Roger Lewis
 - 3.2 Office of Technology Assessment (OTA), Vary T. Coates, Alan Crane
 - 3.3 Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP), William D. Phillips
 - 3.4 World Watch Institute (WW), Peter Weber
 - 3.5 Office of Health Technology Assessment (OHTA), Thomas V. Holohan
 - 3.6 George Mason University, Public Policy, Prof. Don Kash
 - 3.7 National Academy of Engineering (NAE), Robert M. White
 - 3.8 Joseph Coates
 - 3.9 American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), Richard
W. Getzinger
 - 3.10 Carnegie Commission on Science, Technology and Government, Rodney
W. Nichols
 - 3.11 Columbia University, School of International and Public Affairs,
Prof. Richard Nelson
 - 3.12 Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Center for Science and Technology
Policy, Prof. Herbert I. Fushfeld
 - 3.13 Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Center for Technology, Policy
and Industrial Development, Nicolas A. Ashford, Ass. Professor
 - 3.14 Harvard, J.F. Kennedy School of Government,
Prof. Lewis M. Branscomb
 - 3.15 Science Council of Canada, Paul Dufour
 - 3.16 RAND Corporation, John Van Oudenaren
 - 3.17 University of California, Walter A. Haas School of Business,
David C. Mowery, Ass. Professor
 - 3.18 Stanford University, Economic Division, Prof. Nathan Rosenberg
 - 3.19 Foresight Institute, K. Eric Drexler
4. Documentation (Dutch version only)
nrs 1 t/m 93

INTRODUCTION

The objective of the visit was to study the process of deployment of technology in society.

The reason was the wish to verify the policy and the working methods of STT in the light of recent developments.

Special attention, therefore, was directed at the role of the different players in the domain, reaching from technological forecasting through technology policy.

The institutions and persons visited belong to the following categories:

- public authorities, executive (DOE, OSTP, OHTA, Science Council of Canada)
- public authorities, legislation (OTA)
- scientific societies and organisations (NAE, AAAS, Carnegie Commission)
- Academia (Professors Ashford, Branscomb, Brooks, Ehrenfeld, Fushfeld, Hattis, Kash, Mowery, Nelson, Rosenberg)
- research (World Watch, RAND, Foresight Institute) and
- consultancy (Coates).

So most of them are in the public domain; industry was not directly included.

Most visits were short - between one and two hours - and discussions were limited to the issues raised by STT; this report therefore, does not present a complete description of the organisations visited.

I want to thank my hosts, who were willing to give some of their precious time to me and who were so generous in providing me with information. I really enjoyed the open and often challenging discussions. This trip has given me the right kind of input to project the path forward for STT.

I also express my thanks towards the scientific staff of the Netherlands Embassy in Washington, especially Stella Moerland who gave invaluable help in planning this trip and Erik van de Linde who lended assistance as regards content and who accompanied me during the visits in Washington.

SUMMARY

Technology is a key issue in the United States today. For an extended period of time defence and space, but also agriculture and health, supported by a strong economy, served as main sources of new technology. But the economy is waning and technology is not flowing so generously anymore.

As long as technology more or less was seen as an independent, external phenomenon, holding promises for the future, public interest could be satisfied mainly by forecasts.

With the much more vital role technology plays today in many respects however, the involvement of society in technological matters has increased considerably. 'Forecasting' has given way to 'technology assessment'. That

includes not only technological aspects, but economical, social and political ones as well; and it is not restricted to providing information but it also aims at developing the public opinion and at decision making. Whereas technical analyses mainly deal with facts, the widened discussions of today also involve opinions and interests with the related emotions.

A route-map of this process does not exist.

At first sight it seems that there are quite some overlaps, but on closer examination it appears that differences in views and/or targetgroups explain most of the duplications.

An important distinction is that between initiators and commentators, as exists for instance between executive and legislative bodies or between academia and scientific societies.

The general impression is that one has a clearer view of ones sources than of ones objectives.

Strikingly everybody knows everybody and one is aware of each others opinions, activities and results. The discussion is open. There is a considerable personal overlap between the different organisations and committees, even to the extent of a certain saturation. As a result the popular 'peer-review' system is declining.

A certain uneasiness at the present process of formulating the science and technology policies has led to the setting up of the Carnegie Commission on Science, Technology and Government.

Its task is to investigate how that process can be improved upon.

In Canada the Science Council organises a yearly National Advisory Forum for Science and Technology to coordinate the programmes and activities of all bodies involved in these issues at national, federal and state level.

In the US a technology policy starts to take shape that stands midway between the traditional 'laissez-faire' and an emerging interventionism. Increasingly the impotence to transform the abundant technological knowledge into competitive products and processes, is seen as the main cause for the backlog of American industry on the international scene. And the blame for that primarily is laid on management that - as itself says - fails in directing and organising the innovation process.

As the most difficult aspect of that process is seen the interdisciplinary character of new developments. Universities take that very seriously indeed and try to adapt their curricula accordingly.

Over the entire spectrum the universities impressed me as being the most innovative actors. Although they no longer view society as something one could construct, they certainly develop new concepts and think in terms of a broad

interdisciplinary approach. Most 'agencies' on the other hand, are oriented towards 'state-of-the-art'.

Not surprisingly therefore, SPRU and MERIT were recommended to me as valuable contacts for STT.

The only glimpse of the future I got, was at the Foresight Institute: nanotechnology will certainly not become a reality before 2020.

J.F. Coates also looks into the future, but via the mirror of forecasts since 1970.

Of all institutions I visited, NAE showed most resemblance with STT, that is to say for the studies NAE undertakes at its own responsibility (15%).

In general I got very positive reactions on the formula of STT. I had the publications on Man and Information Technology: towards friendlier systems (38) and on New Applications of Materials (43) with me to show.

If there had been an STT in the USA, NAE and OTA would have been different.

During the different discussions I received quite a diversity of recommendations as regards STT:

- focus on industry
- build a relation with parliament
- seek contacts with innovative entities (like SPRU or MERIT)
- bring advanced knowledge to the Netherlands
- but above all: cherish your own strength (sic)
- add a second stage to the STT studies, dealing with aspects of implementation; involve experienced managers for that stage (Board members and/or members of Steering Committees)
- form a clear picture of your 'constituency'
- publish in English, be it only summaries
- form a network of international relations as a frame of reference for STT's work.

Openings for the exchange of opinions and experiences were given by DOE, World Watch, NAE, J.F. Kennedyschool (as part of a Policy Analysis Exercise), RAND (on an individual basis).

Reports of interest to STT, in view of its recent and present studies, are in particular:

- OTA on Agricultural Commodities (OTA-F-476)
- OTA on Energy Technology Choices (OTA-E-493)
- RAND Bibliography on Energy 1980-1990
- RAND Eurocenter Status Report
- Foresight Nanotechnology Summary.

Reports of interest to technology policy are:

- US Technology Policy (26 September 1990)
- Technology Policy: What should it be? by S.J. Kline and D.E. Kash, 1991
- AAAS report XVI, R&D Fiscal Year 1992
- Midpoint report from the Carnegie Commission on Science, Technology and Government, 1990
- The US as a Partner in Scientific and Technological Cooperation. Some perspectives from across the Atlantic, 1991
- Towards a US Technology Policy, by Lewis Branscomb in Issues in Science and Technology, Vol VII nr 4, 1991
- Science and Technology and the Opportunity, Strategic Plan of the Science Council of Canada, May 1991
- The Consortium on Competitiveness and Cooperation, CCC newsletter nr 2, 1990
- Critical Issues in Science Policy Research, by Nathan Rosenberg, paper presented at 25th anniversary of SPRU, July 1991.

Reports of interest for environmental issues:

- Different World Watch publications
- Technology and Environment, NAE 25
- Total Quality Environmental Management Workshop (GEMI), 1990
- Technology Assessment and Environmental Impact Analysis, by Harvey Brooks, Jan. 1983
- Water 2020. Sustainable Use for Water in the 21st Century, Science Council of Canada.

-.-.-.-.-

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

February 28, 1992

Dear Dr. Bickart:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the role of the states with respect to our colleges and universities. Your views are especially timely since the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology, which I chair, is currently discussing the overall health of our colleges and universities.

I share your general concern and will bring it the attention of the Council. I appreciate receiving your views on this subject and welcome any further input you may have.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "D. Allan Bromley", written in a cursive style.

D. Allan Bromley
Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Dr. Theodore A. Bickart
Dean of Engineering
Michigan State University
300 Engineering Building
East Lansing, Michigan 48824-1226

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 2, 1992

Dear Dr. Matera:

I was pleased to receive your letter of invitation to the Second Workshop for the Development of an Inter-American Institute for Global Change Research to be held in Mar del Plata, Argentina. It is very gratifying to see that the regional initiative has progressed so far and that the countries of the region remain dedicated to the establishment and operation of the Institute.

I appreciate your gracious offer to join the President of Argentina in opening the Workshop. Although I would consider it a privilege and honor to address this distinguished group, I regret that prior commitments will prevent me from leaving Washington at the time of the Workshop. Please be assured that the Workshop has my full support and that I am looking forward to the results of the meeting.

As you know, next month our two governments will convene the Joint Commission of the U.S.-Argentine S&T Agreement. We look forward to a productive meeting that will reinvigorate U.S.-Argentine cooperation in science and technology.

Thank you for your efforts on both the development of the Institute and expanded bilateral cooperation.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Professor Raul F. Matera
Secretary for Science and Technology
Buenos Aires, Argentina

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 2, 1992

Elaine
Dear Ms. Chao:

Thank you for your recent letter outlining recent activities of the Peace Corps. I am extremely gratified that your programs are on the upswing. It is vitally important for the future of our country that Americans increase their awareness of the rest of the world and work with people in a variety of cultural settings.

It is my hope that among your younger volunteers are future scientists and engineers whose Peace Corps experience will serve them well throughout their careers. It is no less important that Americans who are already established in scientific and technical professions participate actively in your programs at some stage in their careers.

Please accept my very best wishes for continued success in your excellent stewardship of the Peace Corps.

Sincerely yours,

Allan
D. Allan Bromley
Director

Ms. Elaine L. Chao
Director
Peace Corps
1990 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20526

*Hope that you are enjoying
your new position & looking
forward to you here!
DAB*

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
04. Memorandum	To: Carla Hills From: Allan Bromley Re: US-Indian Science and Technology Agreement (2 pp.)	3/18/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed:	5/4/2010	OA/ID Number:	62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:		Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 19, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR SHERRIE S. ROLLINS

FROM: D. ALLAN BROMLEY *DB*

SUBJECT: COMMUNITY LEARNING AND INFORMATION NETWORK

I understand that you have been approached by the Community Learning and Information Network seeking the President's endorsement for their proposal (summary attached).

Technology has special importance for education, especially in mathematics and science. It is central to two of the Presidential Initiatives in the FY 1993 Budget:

- o Grand Challenges 1993: High Performance Computing and Communications.
- o By the Year 2000: First in the World.

Copies of the reports on these initiatives are attached.

A major objective of the America 2000 strategy is to establish national electronic networks that link all American schools with other sites where learning occurs. By the Year 2000: First in the World, notes Administration plans to "...hold a major conference to review the merits of existing and proposed educational technologies." This is an important step because the educational electronics network challenge is, most likely, predominantly a software problem, not a hardware one.





The attached Community Learning and Information Network document suggests endorsement of the proposal by FCCSET. To my knowledge, as FCCSET Chairman, this is not the case. There has been a briefing on the network concept to a FCCSET/CEHR working group, but it would be premature to make commitments to a specific effort such as the one proposed. I feel this could be very damaging to the ultimate success of the FCCSET/CEHR initiative. I know that David Kearns and other FCCSET/CEHR members share this view.

It would be a mistake to suggest that the President take any action that could be construed as endorsing the proposal at this time.

Attachments

COMMUNITY LEARNING NETWORK

A Mobilization Plan for the Implementation of a National Education Technology & Information Delivery System



Executive Summary

PURPOSE. To provide information concerning a mobilization effort designed to use technology to spark and accelerate the implementation of the President's education strategy -- **AMERICA 2000** and support a common educational vision among the 50 states.

BACKGROUND. Based upon goals set forth in the Presidential Report *America 2000*, The U.S. Chamber of Commerce, the Corporation for Public Broadcasting, and the FCCSET Committee on Education and Human Resources are developing The Community Learning Network -- a national learning technology and information delivery system that will interconnect the nation's schools and will be financed through "shared usage" by the public and private sectors. This project has positive budgetary implications and will have the support of education leaders.

Federal, state and local governments, along with business and military organizations have large numbers of personnel throughout the country that could receive training and professional education through The Community Learning Network when not being used by the nation's schools.


By delivering training to the work site, these organizations can save millions of related travel dollars. For example, with less than 1% of the federal government's multi-billion dollar training-related travel and per diem budgets, 120 network sites could become operational, serving all federal agencies and the nation's educational institutions as well.

The goal for the year 2000 will be to have 50 million American manufactured high performance computers in 110,000 schools tied into the network. The Community Learning Network would reach every city, town and locale in America using high performance systems to bring information and communications tools to enhance education for students, teachers and adult learners alike. The Japanese have committed 250 billion dollars to a lesser program.

The U.S. Chamber and its local members are in a position to involve a substantial customer base to use The Community Learning Network delivery system for their training needs, ensuring its economic viability.




Organizing the vast wealth of America's information into a multimedia, digitized learning network will bring advanced technology into the learning process.



The open systems design will integrate existing networks avoiding duplication of effort. Schools can use the computers and earth stations they already own while being enhanced by The Community Learning Network.

The integrated information system is composed of a variety of technologies that include E-mail, computer-assisted programming, interactive audio/audio-graphic technologies, interactive video programming, and both live two-way and one-way interactive video technologies.



BENEFITS. The Community Learning Network --

- Pays for itself -- concept of "Shared Usage"
- Empowers educators through technology to utilize new approaches to individualized learning
- Stimulates community involvement in education and encourages community economic development
- Revitalizes workforce retraining activities
- Strengthens national security -- improved accessibility and quality of training for Reserves / National Guard
- Focuses U.S. high technology development

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 20, 1992

Dear Dr. Abel:

This is in response to your letter of February 20, 1992, concerning the workshop and reports on coastal ocean space utilization. You are indeed to be congratulated on the opportune time you held the collaborative workshop involving Argentina and the United States immediately after President Menem's visit to this country.

In your letter you asked for suggestions as to where the reports may be sent and with whom to hold additional discussions. Without having seen the agenda of the workshop or the contents of the report it is not possible to provide specific ideas concerning this topic. However, you did mention that aquaculture technology was one area of interest. The Federal agency that has the lead in aquaculture is the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). NOAA chairs an interagency committee on aquaculture and would have the information on the interests of the different agencies in this area. Also an interagency committee on the coastal zone whose chairman is John Knauss, the NOAA Administrator, may have the broader perspective you require.

I hope this provides you with sufficient leads to follow up on your area of interest in the coastal zone. Best wishes on a successful workshop in the fall.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Dr. Robert B. Abel
New Jersey Marine Science Consortium
Building 22
Fort Hancock, New Jersey 07732

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 25, 1992

Dear Mr. Secretary-General:

I want to take this opportunity to express my gratitude for your efforts and those of the OECD secretariat to ensure a very productive and worthwhile Science and Technology Ministerial. I was impressed by the excellent preparation, clear and responsible leadership, and first class organizational skills, all of which paved the way for a most successful event. The favorable outcome of the meeting was in no small part due to the impressive intellectual talent and organization skills of Robert Chabbal, Tanaka and Michael Osborne. They deserve a great deal of praise.

I believe that the Ministerial laid down a marker on the important issues of the 1990s. The meeting provided the opportunity to explore the issues and find effective ways to address them. The Committee on Scientific and Technological Policy and the OECD Secretariat now have the important task of seeing the Ministerial recommendations through to fruition. I can assure you that the U.S. government will be very supportive of these efforts.

Should your travel plans include a visit to the United States, I would welcome an opportunity to meet with you again and to discuss follow-up to the Ministerial. Thank you again for your support.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

His Excellency Jean-Claude Paye
Secretary General of the OECD
2, rue André-Pascal
75775, Paris
France

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 25, 1992

Dear Deborah:

I want to take this opportunity to thank you for your participation in the OECD science and technology ministerial. I believe the meeting concluded very successfully, and I am pleased with the unanimity of support for the U.S. initiatives on megaprojects and cooperation with Eastern Europe and Russia. The support of the Department of Commerce, and your counsel in particular, was instrumental to the success of this event.

While the OECD is not an operational organization, I believe that the Ministerial laid down a marker on the important issues of the 1990s. The meeting provided the opportunity to explore those issues and find ways to address them. The Committee on Scientific and Technological Policy now has the important task of seeing the Ministerial recommendations through to fruition. I hope I can continue to count on your support as the United States Government participates in these efforts.

Thank you again for joining me on the U.S. delegation to Paris. I look forward to working with you on the important next steps.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

The Honorable Deborah Wince-Smith
Assistant Secretary
Technology Administration
Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20230

Attachment: Ministerial Communique

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 25, 1992

Dear Hubert:

I want to take this opportunity to thank you for your excellent leadership of the OECD Committee on Scientific and Technological Policy Ministerial-level meeting. Your smooth handling of the various views on each of the topics and your ability to bring the discussions to successful conclusion were admirable and appreciated. I believe the meeting was extremely beneficial in terms of developing programs and activities which will benefit the world scientific community. Your leadership during the preparatory stages of the meeting was instrumental to its success.

Let me also thank you for hosting numerous social events during the Ministerial. I enjoyed the opportunity to discuss some of the issues at a more personal level during these events, and they were indeed important to the successful outcome.

Thank you also for taking time to meet with me and my colleagues in your office. I think it was helpful to share views in advance of the Ministerial meeting. I also appreciate your efforts to look into the LIGO and intellectual property rights issue.

I look forward to seeing you in England in May. By the way, I visited Leeds Castle with Bill last week, and it is an ideal setting for the next Carnegie Group meeting.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

His Excellency Hubert Curien
Minister for Science and Technology
24 rue des Fosses Saint-Jacques
75005, Paris
France

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 25, 1992

Dear John:

I want to take this opportunity to thank you for your participation in the OECD science and technology ministerial. I believe the meeting concluded very successfully, and I am pleased with the unanimity of support for the U.S. initiatives on cooperation in megaprojects and with Eastern Europe and Russia. Your counsel, in particular, was instrumental to the success of this event.

While the OECD is not an operational organization, I believe that the Ministerial laid down a marker on the important issues of the 1990s. The meeting provided the opportunity to explore those issues and find ways to address them. The Committee on Scientific and Technological Policy now has the important task of seeing the Ministerial recommendations through to fruition. I know I can continue to count on your support as the United States participates in these efforts.

Thank you again for joining me on the U.S. delegation to Paris. I look forward to working with you on the important next steps.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

The Honorable John P. McTague
Vice President, Technical Affairs
Ford Motor Company
The American Road
Dearborn, MI 48121-1899

Attachment: Ministerial Communique

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 25, 1992

Dear Alan 

I want to take this opportunity to express my heartfelt appreciation for your outstanding support for the OECD S&T Ministerial. Your efforts supporting the U.S. initiatives in megascience cooperation and with Eastern and Central Europe were truly instrumental to the success of the meeting. I realize that you put a great deal of personal time and effort into this Ministerial, and I want you to know it was both recognized and appreciated.

Thank you also for hosting so many social events at your home. These lunches, dinners, and even breakfast, were useful in advancing our interests with small groups of friends and colleagues from the other delegations. I was particularly delighted that we could meet with our Russian colleagues in a more informal setting. Let me also add that the food was delicious and service impeccable, adding to truly delightful occasions.

I credit you and your staff, in particular Dan Dolan who provided excellent guidance and counsel both before and during the meeting, for much of the success of the Ministerial. Now we must keep the momentum and begin the work which will implement the Ministerial recommendations. I look forward to your continued support in these efforts.

Please give me a call when you are next in Washington. I would welcome an opportunity to meet with you and to hear about OECD developments.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

The Honorable Alan Larson
U.S. Ambassador
OECD
19 Rue de Franqueville
75016, Paris
France

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 25, 1992

Dear Ambassador Curley:

I want to take this opportunity to thank you for hosting a most interesting, and may I add delicious, luncheon during my recent visit to Paris. The distinguished group of guests you gathered provided a unique glance at the upper echelon of the French scientific community. I thoroughly enjoyed myself, as did my colleagues on the American delegation.

I know that your responsibilities are enormous, so I appreciate your interest in advancing bilateral science and technology relations between France and the United States. You have a real asset in Michael Michaud who does an outstanding job of representing the United States in this area.

Please let me know when you will be in the United States next so that we might meet again at that time.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "D. Allan Bromley". The signature is stylized with a large, sweeping "D" and a long, trailing flourish.

D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

The Honorable Walter P. Curley
U.S. Ambassador to France
American Embassy
2 Ave, Gabriel
75382, Paris
France

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 25, 1992

Dear Bill:

I want to take this opportunity to extend my thanks for the outstanding preparation on your part for the OECD Ministerial. The background paper that you and Ms. Bond produced, as well as your input to the preparatory meetings and my briefing material was instrumental to the success of the Ministerial. I am very pleased with the outcome of the meetings.

I believe that the Ministerial laid down a marker on the important issues of the 1990s. The meeting provided the opportunity to explore these issues and find ways to address them. The Committee on Scientific and Technological Policy now has the important task of seeing the Ministerial recommendations through to fruition. I hope I can count on your continued support as the United States Government participates in these efforts.

Thank you again for your efforts. Tom Ratchford and I look forward to working with you on the important next steps.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology Policy

Dr. William Blanpied
National Science Foundation
1800 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20550

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 25, 1992

Dear Fred:

I want to take this opportunity to thank you for your participation and preparation for the OECD science and technology ministerial. I believe the meeting concluded very successfully, and I am pleased with the unanimity of support for the U.S. initiatives on megaprojects and cooperation with Eastern Europe and Russia. The support of the National Science Foundation and your counsel in particular, was instrumental to the success of this event.

While the OECD is not an operational organization, I believe that the Ministerial laid down a marker on the important issues of the 1990s. The meeting provided the opportunity to explore those issues and find ways to address them. The Committee on Scientific and Technological Policy now has the important task of seeing the Ministerial recommendations through to fruition. I hope I can continue to count on your support as the United States Government participates in these efforts. Your financial support has already made a significant contribution to the success of the Russian initiative.

Thank you again for joining me on the U.S. delegation to Paris. I look forward to working with you on the important next steps.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

The Honorable Frederick Bernthal
Deputy Director
National Science Foundation
1800 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20550

Attachment: Ministerial Communique

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 25, 1992

Dear Dan:

I want to take this opportunity to thank you for all your efforts leading up to and during the recent S&T Ministerial. I am well aware that it was in no small part through your efforts that the Ministerial was a great success. I believe we have made real progress in defining the issues of the 1990's and finding ways to deal with them.

I know that much of the follow-up work will fall to you, and I want to thank you in advance for ensuring that the forum and the efforts in Eastern and Central Europe are implemented rapidly and in the U.S. interests. These programs will be important to ensuring stability in the international megascience arena.

Thank you again for your efforts, and please keep Tom Ratchford and me informed of follow-up.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Mr. Daniel Dolan
Science Counselor
19 Rue de Franqueville
75016, Paris
France

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 25, 1992

Dear John:

I want to take this opportunity to thank you for your participation in and preparation for the OECD science and technology ministerial. I believe the meeting concluded very successfully, and I am pleased with the unanimity of support for the U.S. initiatives on cooperation in megaprojects and with Eastern Europe and Russia. Your support and advancement of the U.S. positions at the preceding committee meetings was instrumental to the success of this event.

While we recognize that the OECD is not an operational organization, I believe the Ministerial laid down a marker on the important issues of the 1990s. The meeting provided the opportunity to explore those issues and find ways to address them. The Committee on Scientific and Technological Policy now has the important task of seeing the Ministerial recommendations through to fruition. I know I can continue to count on your support in the implementation phase of these efforts.

Thank you again for joining me on the U.S. delegation to Paris. I look forward to working with you on the important next steps.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Dr. John Boright
U.S. Department of State
O.I.E.S. Bureau
2201 C Street, NW
Washington, DC 20520

Attachment: Ministerial Communiqué

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 25, 1992

Dear Peggy:

I want to take this opportunity to thank you for your support of the preparations for the OECD science and technology ministerial. I believe the meeting concluded very successfully, and I am pleased with the unanimity of support for the U.S. initiatives on cooperation in megaprojects and with Eastern Europe and Russia. The support of the National Aeronautics and Space Agency was instrumental to the success of this event.

While the OECD is not an operational organization, I believe that the Ministerial laid down a marker on the important issues of the 1990s. The meeting provided the opportunity to explore those issues and find ways to address them. The Committee on Scientific and Technological Policy now has the important task of seeing the Ministerial recommendations through to fruition. I hope I can continue to count on your support as the United States Government participates in these efforts. NASA financial support has already made a significant contribution to the success of the megaprojects forum.

Thank you again for supporting the U.S. efforts to Paris. I look forward to working with you on the important next steps.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Dr. Margaret Finarelli
Associate Administrator
Policy, Coordination and International Relations
NASA
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20546

Attachment: Ministerial Communique

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 25, 1992

Dear Jim:

I want to take this opportunity to thank you for your participation in the OECD science and technology ministerial. I believe the meeting concluded very successfully, and I am pleased with the unanimity of support for the U.S. initiatives on megaprojects and cooperation with Eastern Europe and Russia. The support of the Department of Energy, and your counsel in particular, was instrumental to the success of this event.

While the OECD is not an operational organization, I believe that the Ministerial laid down a marker on the important issues of the 1990s. The meeting provided the opportunity to explore those issues and find ways to address them. The Committee on Scientific and Technological Policy now has the important task of seeing the Ministerial recommendations through to fruition. I hope I can continue to count on your support as the United States Government participates in these efforts. The Department of Energy's financial support has already made a significant contribution to the success of the megaprojects forum.

Thank you again for joining me on the U.S. delegation to Paris. I look forward to working with you on the important next steps.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Dr. James Decker
Deputy Director
Energy Research
Department of Energy
1000 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20585

Attachment: Ministerial Communique

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 25, 1992

François
Dear Dr. Kourilsky:

I want to take this opportunity to thank you for taking the time to meet with me during my trip to Paris. Your thorough explanation of the activities of the C.N.R.S. helped to illustrate the areas where we can expand the bilateral activities of our two organizations.

Thank you again for your time, and I look forward to further interaction with you and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

His Excellency Francois Kourilsky
Director-General
Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique
15, quai Anatole France
Paris, 757000
France

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 24, 1992


Dear Dr. Brademas:

Thank you for your letter of February 4 concerning "The Magic School Bus" program. The booklet you enclosed on "The Magic School Bus: Inside the Human Body" is entertaining and informative. I can see why the National Science Foundation has supported this study so strongly over the last two years.

You asked where the FCCSET Committee on Education and Human Resources (CEHR) stands on funding science education programs through television. CEHR does not fund any programs, and thus will not publish guidelines on educational science television. Programs are funded on a competitive basis by Federal agencies that are members of CEHR. Funding in this area is primarily by the National Science Foundation, but other agencies may support projects also if they fit within the mission of the agency.

Should Ms. Marcie Setlow and others associated with "The Magic School Bus" like to meet with members of my staff to discuss this program, I would suggest calling my Associate Director responsible for Mathematics and Science Education, Dr. Thomas Ratchford. He can be reached at (202) 456-2894 and will be happy to put them in touch with the appropriate individuals on his staff.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Dr. John Brademas
New York University
11 West 42nd Street, Suite 400
New York, New York 10036

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 31, 1992


Dear Mr. Kamen:

Thank you for your letter of February 25 following up my visit to Manchester for the inaugural "Maize Craze."

I greatly enjoyed participating in the event. Clearly, the national competition in creative engineering that teams high school students with experienced engineers from corporations and universities is a powerful idea. The success of the endeavor, together with the marvelous enthusiasm of the participants, attests to the fact that the American potential can be fulfilled through imaginative motivation of our young people to pursue scientific and technical careers. U. S. FIRST is setting a fine example of an innovative initiative to win young students over to science and mathematics.

As for funding for staffing and operations of U. S. FIRST, I should like to reiterate my earlier suggestion that you stay away from Federal support and, instead, utilize corporate or foundation sources. They offer greater potential for U. S. FIRST, and the great progress you have already made should assure success in the funding area as well.

We, too, are eager to set the date for the White House visit of your "Maize Craze" winners in response to President Bush's invitation. Dr. Ratchford will contact you with regard to the arrangements.

The efforts of U. S. FIRST on behalf of mathematics and science education in America are very impressive. Best wishes.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Mr. Dean Kamen, Founder
U. S. FIRST
340 Commercial Street
Manchester, New Hampshire 03101

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 31, 1992


Dear Professors McKenzie and Luna,

Thank you very much for your kind invitation to speak at the San Diego Mesa College Study Abroad Program. I appreciate the importance of these types of programs.

The theme of emerging European Community from the American perspective is a very pertinent one and I applaud your efforts, and the efforts of your college, to make students aware of this important region. Unfortunately, I must decline due to prior engagements.

Thank you again for your invitation. Please accept my best wishes for a successful program.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Allan Bromley". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "D. Allan" and the last name "Bromley" clearly distinguishable.

D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Professor Joe McKenzie
Assistant Professor Carl Luna
San Diego Mesa College
7250 Mesa College Drive
San Diego, CA 92111-4998

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

April 2, 1992

Dear Heinz:

I am happy to nominate the persons listed on the attached sheet for service in what I would, as you know, prefer to refer to as the German-US Council. All are outstanding individuals.

I share your feeling that this Council can be effective in a number of ways in improving German-US understanding, interactions and cooperation.

I shall forward a copy of our preferred GATT language in the near future and would hope that we could settle the IDR issue quickly so that it does not interfere with our strengthening cooperation.

It was good to see you in Paris and I look forward to our Carnegie Group meeting in Leeds Castle in May. I visited London and Leeds with Bill Stewart after the OECD Ministerial in Paris. Leeds Castle is a remarkable place and entirely suited to a Carnegie Group meeting.

With warmest best wishes,

Sincerely,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Attachment

His Excellency Heinz Riesenhuber
Minister for Research and Technology
Heinmannstrasse 2
5300 Bonn, Germany

GERMAN-U.S. COUNCIL NOMINATIONS

Dr. Hanna H. Gray (proposed Chair)

Historian

President

University of Chicago

Administration 502

5801 Ellis Avenue

Chicago, IL 60637

Tel: 312/702-8001

Fax: 312/702-0809

Dr. Henry H. Barschall

Physicist

Professor Emeritus

Department of Physics

University of Wisconsin

Madison, WI 53706

Tel: 608/262-9569

Fax: 608/262-3598

Dr. William H. Danforth

Physician

Chancellor

Washington University

1 Brookings Drive

St. Louis, MO 63130

Tel: 314/935-5100

Fax: 314/935-5188

Dr. Frank Press
President
National Academy of Sciences
2101 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20418

Earth Scientist

Tel: 202/334-2100
Fax: 202/334-1684

Dr. Kenneth Prewitt
Senior Vice President
The Rockefeller Foundation
1133 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

Social Scientist

Tel: 212/852-8360
Fax: 212/764-3468

Dr. Harold Shapiro
President
Princeton University
One Nassau Hall
Princeton, NJ 08544

Economist

Tel: 609/258-6100
Fax: 609/258-1294

P

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

March 31, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR BOB HUTCHINGS

FROM:

TOM RATCHFORD

SUBJECT:

LETTER TO HEINZ RIESENHUBER
CONCERNING THE US-GERMAN
ACADEMY

Attached you will find, as we discussed, a draft letter from Dr. Bromley to Minister Riesenhuber concerning Chancellor Kohl's proposed US-German Academy. Please review the draft in the light of the Chancellor's recent conversation with the President.

Dr. Bromley returns to the office tomorrow, and would like to send his letter to Minister Riesenhuber then. Please call later today with your comments, if at all possible.

Thanks for your help.

Allan Bromley -
Hutchings cleared
the draft 4/1/92. He
expressed interest in
the GATT letter!
SR
4/1/92

told Peter

DRAFT

March 31, 1992

Dear Heinz:

I am happy to suggest the persons listed on the attached sheet for service in what I would, as you know, prefer to refer to as the German-US Council. All are outstanding individuals.

I share your feeling that this Council can be effective in a number of ways in improving German-US understanding, interactions and cooperation.

I shall address the GATT issue in a separate letter in the near future. As for the IPR issue, it is my hope that we could settle it quickly so that it does not interfere with strengthening our cooperation in science and technology.

It was good to see you in Paris, and I look forward to our Carnegie Group meeting in Leeds Castle in May. I visited London and Leeds with Bill Stewart after the OECD Ministerial in Paris. Leeds Castle is a remarkable place and entirely suited to a Carnegie Group meeting.

With warmest wishes,

Sincerely yours,

D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Attachment

His Excellency Heinz Risenhuber
Minister for Research and Technology
Heinmannstrasse 2
5300 Bonn, Germany

*Please type for
signature.*

GERMAN-U.S. COUNCIL NOMINATIONS

Dr. Hanna H. Gray (proposed chair)

Historian

President

University of Chicago

Administration 502

5801 Ellis Ave.

Chicago, IL 60637

Tel: 312/702-8001

Fax: 312/702-0809

Dr. Henry H. Barschall

Physicist

Professor Emeritus

Department of Physics

University of Wisconsin

Madison, WI 53706

Tel: 608/262-9569

Fax: 608-262-3598

Dr. Thomas Everhart

Electrical Engineer

President

California Institute of Technology

Office of the President 204.31

1201 East California Boulevard

Pasadena, CA 91125

Tel: 818/356-6301

Fax: 818/449-9374

Dr. William H. Danforth

Physician

Chancellor

Washington University

1 Brookings Drive

St. Louis, MO 63130

Tel: 314/935-5100

Fax: 314/935-5188

Dr. Frank Press
President
National Academy of Sciences
2101 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20418

Earth Scientist

Tel: 202/334-2100
Fax: 202/334-1684

Dr. Kenneth Prewitt
Senior Vice President
The Rockefeller Foundation
1133 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

Social Scientist

Tel: 212/852-8360
Fax: 212/764-3468

Dr. Harold Shapiro
President
Princeton University
One Nassau Hall
Princeton, NJ 08544-0015

Economist

Tel: 609/258-6100
Fax: 609/258-1294

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 6, 1992

Burke
Dear Dr. Burke:

Thank you for your letter of March 11, 1992, advising the President on ways to provide meaningful support to scientists in the republics of the former Soviet Union. He has asked me to respond to the points you raised.

I was, of course, aware that the National Science Board had given approval for NSF to provide such support. As you indicated in your letter, this indeed is a part of the Administration's plans to address the evolving situation in those republics.

Within the Office of Science and Technology Policy, we are examining a number of initiatives. You may be aware of the conference at the National Academy of Sciences on March 3, 1992. That conference included participation from the private sector, academia, and government and examined the needs of the basic sciences in the former Soviet Union. Their report, dated March 13, 1992, proposed a number of excellent initiatives. Some of those are being implemented already as part of the Administration's plans.

As you may have read recently, the Administration has announced a broad plan to offer support to the peoples of the former Soviet Union. I am glad to report that the bipartisan support you identified in your letter has materialized, and hope it will coalesce behind this plan.

Thank you for sharing your perspective with the President, Walter Massey, and me.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Dr. Bernard F. Burke
Department of Physics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

logged

'DOCTRACK.BP COVER' Line 48. [B] Non-numeric data when numeric required. Zero
"Document Control"

TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL PRIORITY DOCUMENT NUMBER: 9200988
ORIGINATOR: 02 STATUS I DIRECTORATE STATUS

FROM: BURKE, Bernard F.: MIT

TO: DR. D.A. BROMLEY

DATE OF
CORRESPONDENCE: 03/11/92

SUBJECT: REPORTS THAT THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION
APPROVED TO LAY OUT A PLAN FOR PROVIDING U.S.
SUPPORT TO SCIENTIFIC COLLEAGUES IN THE FORMER
SOVIET UNION.

DIRECTORATE STAFF
ASSIGNED: INTERNATIONAL/POLICY ASSIGNED: JF6

ACTION STAFF
REQUIRED: FOR DAB'S SIGNATURE ACTION: →

SENDER'S DUE DATE:
OSTP DUE DATE: 03/31/92 STAFF DUE DATE 3/30/92
DATE COMPLETED: DATE COMPLETED/DEPT:

COPIES TO: D. Allan Bromley

WHITE HOUSE TRACKING #: CONTACT PERSON:
REMARKS: PHONE: EXT:

OSTP RECEIVED: 03/27/92 DEPT RECEIVED:
FILE: P-EOP-PRESIDENTIAL PRIORITY
CENTRAL FILES:

316853

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

March 11, 1992


OSTP
President George Bush
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear President Bush:

I write as one of your appointees to the National Science Board. I participated in the recent National Science Board meeting that gave the National Science Foundation our approval to lay out a plan for providing US support to our scientific colleagues in the former Soviet Union. I look forward to hearing the Foundation's plans at the upcoming Board meeting.

This initiative could well be part of a response to the challenge issued by former President Nixon earlier this week. Support for meaningful help must have a bipartisan basis in the present climate, and I trust that you will be consulting with key members of Congress on ways of implementing a truly significant plan.

Sincerely,



Bernard F. Burke
Member, National Science Board

BFB/wh

cc: Dr. Allan Bromley, OSTP
Dr. Walter Massey, NSF

0988A
THE WHITE HOUSE OFFICE

REFERRAL

RECEIVED

MARCH 26 1992
04

TO: OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY

MAIL ROOM

ACTION REQUESTED:

DIRECT REPLY, FURNISH INFO COPY

DESCRIPTION OF INCOMING:

ID: 316853

MEDIA: LETTER, DATED MARCH 11, 1992

TO: PRESIDENT BUSH

FROM: THE HONORABLE BERNARD F. BURKE
MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF
TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

CAMBRIDGE MA 02139

SUBJECT: REPORTS THAT THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION
APPROVED TO LAY OUT A PLAN FOR PROVIDING U.S.
SUPPORT TO SCIENTIFIC COLLEAGUES IN THE
FORMER SOVIET UNION

PROMPT ACTION IS ESSENTIAL -- IF REQUIRED ACTION HAS NOT BEEN
TAKEN WITHIN 9 WORKING DAYS OF RECEIPT, PLEASE TELEPHONE THE
UNDERSIGNED AT 456-7486.

RETURN CORRESPONDENCE, WORKSHEET AND COPY OF RESPONSE
(OR DRAFT) TO:

AGENCY LIAISON, ROOM 91, THE WHITE HOUSE, 20500

SALLY KELLEY
DIRECTOR OF AGENCY LIAISON
PRESIDENTIAL CORRESPONDENCE

THE WHITE HOUSE
CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

INCOMING

DATE RECEIVED: MARCH 23, 1992

NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: THE HONORABLE BERNARD F. BURKE

SUBJECT: REPORTS THAT THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION
APPROVED TO LAY OUT A PLAN FOR PROVIDING U.S.
SUPPORT TO SCIENTIFIC COLLEAGUES IN THE
FORMER SOVIET UNION

ACTION

DISPOSITION

ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY	(STAFF NAME)	ACT CODE	DATE YY/MM/DD	TYPE RESP	C D	COMPLETED YY/MM/DD
✓ OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY		RL	92/03/26			
REFERRAL NOTE:						
REFERRAL NOTE:						
REFERRAL NOTE:						
REFERRAL NOTE:						
REFERRAL NOTE:						

COMMENTS: WRITER IS ALSO A MEMBER (APPOINTEE) OF THE
NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD

ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENTS: MEDIA:L INDIVIDUAL CODES: 1140 4660

MI MAIL USER CODES: (A) (B) (C)

*ACTION CODES:	*DISPOSITION	*OUTGOING	*
*	*	*CORRESPONDENCE:	*
*A-APPROPRIATE ACTION	*A-ANSWERED	*TYPE RESP=INITIALS	*
*C-COMMENT/RECOM	*B-NON-SPEC-REFERRAL	* OF SIGNER	*
*D-DRAFT RESPONSE	*C-COMPLETED	* CODE = A	*
*F-FURNISH FACT SHEET	*S-SUSPENDED	*COMPLETED = DATE OF	*
I-INFO COPY/NO ACT NEC		* OUTGOING	*
*R-DIRECT REPLY W/COPY *			*
*S-FOR-SIGNATURE *			*
*X-INTERIM REPLY *			*

REFER QUESTIONS AND ROUTING UPDATES TO CENTRAL REFERENCE
(ROOM 75, OEOB) EXT-2590
KEEP THIS WORKSHEET ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL INCOMING
LETTER AT ALL TIMES AND SEND COMPLETED RECORD TO RECORDS
MANAGEMENT.

ORIGINATOR: O'Neil/Kosell PHONE 7396

EXTERNAL COORDINATION: _____

ACTION REQUESTED: Call Leroy for pick-up.

[illegible]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
05. Letter	To: William Winegard From: Allan Bromley Re: Joint Consultative Mechanism (2 pp.)	4/14/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed: 5/4/2010	OA/ID Number: 62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

April 14, 1992

Dear Dr. Fenstermacher:

Thank you for your letter of March 26, 1992 regarding my appearance on March 2 before the House Committee on Science and Technology along with Lamar Alexander, Secretary of Education; Richard Truly, NASA Administrator; and Walter Massey, Director of the National Science Foundation.

Your points regarding the strengths of Drew University and similar institutions in the undergraduate education of scientists are well taken. I recognize that studies of baccalaureate origins confirm the significance of small liberal arts colleges, like Drew University, in the production of doctoral scientists. I was also impressed by your point that small liberal arts colleges provide excellent models for prospective science teachers.

Let me assure you that I support the full range of educational opportunities available to future scientists, and recognize the critical importance of liberal arts colleges such as Drew.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Allan Bromley", with a stylized flourish at the end.

D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Dr. Robert L. Fenstermacher
Chair and Professor of Physics
Drew University
College of Liberal Arts
Madison, New Jersey 07940-4037

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
06. Letter	To: Heinrich Pfeiffer From: Allan Bromley Re: Jan Keppler [personal information redacted] (1 pp.)	4/15/92	(b)(6)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed: 5/4/2010	OA/ID Number: 62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 17, 1992

Dear Buff:

I am pleased to inform you that the Title V Report, "Science, Technology and American Diplomacy," was sent by the President to Congress on March 17, 1992. (Press release enclosed).

I want to take this opportunity to commend you and your staff for an outstanding job. John Boright provided great leadership and focus as Chairman of the Title V Subcommittee of the Committee on International Science, Engineering and Technology. In addition, Thomas Longo, Sandra Pitts-Malone, and Stephen Kennedy also deserve special praise.

I hope the Congress will share my assessment that the report continues to improve each year and look forward to continue working together to maintain that trend.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Enclosure

The Honorable Curtis Bohlen
Assistant Secretary for Oceans and
International Environmental and Scientific Affairs
U.S. Department of State
Washington, D.C. 20520

Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release

March 24, 1992

TEXT OF A LETTER FROM
THE PRESIDENT TO THE SPEAKER OF
THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND
THE CHAIRMEN OF THE COMMITTEES ON
FOREIGN RELATIONS AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

March 24, 1992

Dear Mr. Speaker: (Dear Mr. Chairman:)

In accordance with Title V of the Foreign Relations Act of Fiscal Year 1979, as amended (Public Law 95-426; 22 U.S.C. 2656c(b)), I am pleased to transmit the annual report on international activities in science and technology for fiscal year 1991.

Fiscal year 1991 saw a great many changes in the world, many of them demonstrating the close connections between science and technology and foreign policy. This report highlights the science and technology activities of agencies and departments of the Federal Government that related to our foreign policy objectives; responded to transnational challenges (such as narcotics and environmental degradation) affecting the United States; and enhanced, through international cooperation, the science and technology capability and economic competitiveness of the United States. The extent and depth of international relationships in science and technology demonstrate the significant role that science and technology play in U.S. foreign policy.

This year's report follows a format similar to last year's. It highlights the unique role of science and technology in foreign policy, focusing on five topical areas: basic science; health and life sciences; energy, environment, and economics; emerging technologies; and agriculture and natural resources. It further explores the science and technology interface with foreign policy by summarizing our cooperation in these five areas with 21 countries, the European Community, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, and NATO. These discussions, along with the attached data base on international science and technology interactions by agency and country, provide a comprehensive review of the interaction between science and technology and foreign policy in the United States.

Sincerely,

GEORGE BUSH

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 17, 1992

Harold
Dear ~~Dr.~~ Brown:

Thank you for your letter of March 4, 1992. I appreciate your ongoing effort to keep me informed of National Research Council (NRC) activities related to Japanese science and technology.

I would enjoy hearing further about the proposed workshops between the New Energy Development Organization of MITI and the NRC, after you learn more about the NEDO interests.

Best wishes.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

The Honorable Harold Brown
Chairman, Committee on Japan
Office of Japan Affairs
National Research Council
2101 Constitution Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20418

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 17, 1992

Dear Dr. Shimada:


Thank you for your letter on the progress your organization has made.

I appreciate your dedication to help foster United States and Japanese relationships. Fortunately, under the U.S.-Japan Science and Technology Umbrella agreement, we are making progress in improving communications. We have established a "liaison group" on geosciences which is chaired on the US side by Dr. Dallas Peck, Director of the US Geological Survey. This group has already held a very successful joint workshop on global change. In addition, the Joint High Level Advisory Panel (JHLAP), drawn from high-level individuals in the private sector, serves as a conduit for advice on Japan exchange between the private sector.

While communications and relationships can always be improved, I am satisfied for the moment with our current arrangements.

Thank you, however, for bringing your concern to my attention.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Dr. T. Shimada
President, AAI Inc.
P.O. Box 4201
Frederick, Maryland 21701

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 23, 1992


Dear Dr. Wood:

Thank you for sending me a copy of the booklet on Careers that Count that was published by the Association for Women in Mathematics. It provides an excellent example of the many types of careers available to mathematicians. I was especially pleased to read the successful and interesting accounts of the many women in the field.

The booklet should be a great encouragement for students to enter mathematics because it shows the many opportunities and interesting career possibilities available to someone with a mathematics background. You mention in your letter that you are distributing this booklet to many organizations. There are probably very few places I could suggest that you haven't already covered. Clearly, this booklet will serve as an excellent example for groups in other fields of science and engineering.

Congratulations on an outstanding information booklet.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "D. Allan Bromley", written in a cursive style.

D. Allan Bromley
Assistant to the President for
Science and Technology

Dr. Carol Wood, President
Association for Women in Mathematics
Box 178
Wellesley College
Wellesley, Massachusetts 02181

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

April 27, 1992

Dear Mr. Peters:

Thank you for your letter of March 24, 1992. I am sorry that my planned speech at the Forum for World Affairs had to be postponed, but you will be happy to know it has been rescheduled for June 9, 1992. Nonetheless, I am happy to provide comments on your proposed "American Technology Development Bank."


The U.S. Government devotes about \$75 billion annually to research and development through our universities, national laboratories, and through industry. We have also initiated several programs to help us advance the rate of technological progress, such as initiatives in high performance computing and communications, and 21st-century manufacturing. As with federally-supported basic research, the Government has a role in supporting the development of crucial generic technologies which are sufficiently removed from the marketplace that it is impossible to predict where, when, or to whom its benefits will flow. The intent is to bring these technologies closer to the marketplace, and to let industry take over at that point. A related development is the establishment of a Critical Technologies Institute, which will undertake studies and analyses of technological issues considered essential to the United States' long-term security and economic prosperity.

As for your proposal, I am concerned about the high level of Federal commitment in funds that it requires. In these times of tight budgetary constraints, which I am sure you understand, we must carefully examine each and every proposed outlay.

Second, to cover loans which have defaulted, you propose that an "equity interest" in the ownership of the new product serve as compensation. This is an interesting proposal, but would need careful examination.

I have forwarded your proposal and my reply to Dr. Robert M. White, Undersecretary for Technology Administration, Department of Commerce, for a more detailed review by his staff.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

cc: Dr. Robert M. White

Mr. Jeffrey Peters
The U.S.-Mexican Development Corporation
730 Fifth Avenue, Ninth Floor
New York, New York 10019-4105

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

April 27, 1992

Bob
Dear Dr. White:

Thank you for your letter concerning the International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (ICGEB). We at OSTP are interested in the work of the ICGEB and would appreciate being kept informed of their developments, but see no need for the U.S. to become a member at this time.

I hope that this information is useful as you formulate a response to Mr. Burrill.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

The Honorable Robert M. White
Under Secretary for Technology
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

April 28, 1992

Jan
Dear Secretary Watkins:

Thank you for your letter of January 16 regarding the need for Administration-wide principles for international science and technology (S&T) collaboration. I am pleased to learn of efforts within your own department to address issues related to international agreements. It is my firm belief that improvements to agency procedures, operations, and goals must come from within.

I have been considering your suggestion for a White House-initiated study and strategy for handling of international S&T efforts. What you have suggested is consistent with my efforts to create, within the FCCSET Committee on International Science, Engineering and Technology (CISSET), an Ad Hoc Working Group to look at the need for and possible development of principles and guidelines to guide international S&T activities of the technical agencies. My staff is coordinating these efforts with the staff of the National Security Council and the Department of State.

Thank you for bringing this important issue to our attention and I look forward to working with you to bring about real and substantial improvements to our international S&T endeavors.

Sincerely,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

The Honorable James D. Watkins, USN (Retired)
The Secretary of Energy
Washington, DC 20585

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

May 4, 1992


Dear Ms. Marton-Lefèvre:

Thank you very much for your recent letter concerning the International Social Science Council (ISSC) and ICSU. Both in general and in the specific case of environmental research it is extremely important to increase interactions and cooperation between natural and social scientists.

I appreciate your efforts on behalf of ICSU to build bridges with the international social science communities. I know that a number of interested parties in the United States are working to strengthen the ISSC and foster effective ICSU-ISSC cooperation. Those activities should contribute significantly to bringing social and natural scientists closer together internationally in order to address common scientific problems.

Best wishes for your continued success.

Sincerely yours,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Ms. Julia Marton-Lefèvre
Executive Director
International Council of Scientific Unions
52, boulevard de Montmorency
F-75016 Paris
France

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
07. Letter	To: William Stewart From: Allan Bromley Re: 5/22 meeting (1 pp.)	5/6/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records

Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)

Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files

Subseries: International Files

WHORM Cat.:

File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed:	5/4/2010	OA/ID Number:	62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:		Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
08. Letter	To: Kanzo Tanigawa From: Allan Bromley Re: Meeting (1 pp.)	5/8/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed: 5/4/2010	OA/ID Number: 62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

May 18, 1992


Dear Sir John:

Thank you for your letter of April 23. It was good to hear from you again. I am happy to see that you were pleased by my remarks concerning your recent biography of Michael Faraday.

I hope that you will understand when I say that I must unfortunately decline permission to use my quote. I am advised by counsel that the Executive Office Standards of Conduct proscribe the use of my government position for "commercial purposes," such as commenting on your manuscript.

Nonetheless, I wish you and the Royal Institution the greatest success in the sale of your book. Best wishes.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "D. Allan Bromley", written in a cursive style.

D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Sir John Meurig Thomas, F.R.S.
Fullerian Professor of Chemistry
The Royal Institution of Great Britain
21 Albemarle Street
London W1X 4BS
England

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
09. Letter	To: William Winegard From: Allan Bromley Re: Joint consultations on science and technology (2 pp.)	5/30/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed:	5/4/2010	OA/ID Number:	62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:		Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
10. Letter	To: Heinz Riesenhuber From: Allan Bromley Re: Science and technology agreements (2 pp.)	6/8/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed: 5/4/2010	OA/ID Number: 62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
11. Memorandum	To: Distribution list From: Allan Bromley Re: Renewal of US-Japan S&T Agreement (1 pp.)	6/22/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed: 5/4/2010	OA/ID Number: 62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
12. Memorandum	To: Distribution list From: Allan Bromley Re: Renewal of US-Japan S&T Agreement (1 pp.)	6/22/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed:	5/4/2010	OA/ID Number:	62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:		Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
13. Letter	To: William Winegard From: Allan Bromley Re: Joint consultative mechanism (1 pp.)	6/22/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed: 5/4/2010	OA/ID Number: 62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
14. Letter	To: John Boright From: Allan Bromley Re: US-Canada Joint Consultative Mechanism (1 pp.)	6/22/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed:	5/4/2010	OA/ID Number:	62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:		Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
15. Letter	To: Deborah Wince-Smith From: Allan Bromley Re: US-Canada Joint Consultative Mechanism (1 pp.)	6/22/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed: 5/4/2010	OA/ID Number: 62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
16. Letter	To: James Decker From: Allan Bromley Re: US-Canada Joint Consultative Mechanism (1 pp.)	6/22/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records

Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)

Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files

Subseries: International Files

WHORM Cat.:

File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed: 5/4/2010 **OA/ID Number:** 62064-007

FOIA/SYS Case #: 2005-0336-F

Re-review Case #:

P-2/P-5 Review Case #:

AR Case #:

AR Disposition:

AR Disposition Date:

Appeal Case #:

Appeal Disposition:

Disposition Date:

MR Case #:

MR Disposition:

MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
17. Letter	To: Margaret Finarelli From: Allan Bromley Re: US-Canada Joint Consultative Mechanism (1 pp.)	6/25/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed:	5/4/2010	OA/ID Number:	62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:		Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
18. Letter	To: Filippo Pandolfi From: Allan Bromley Re: US-EC Joint Consultative Group and Science & Technology (3 pp.)	6/25/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed: 5/4/2010	OA/ID Number: 62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

July 10, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR U.S.-EC JCG CONTACT POINTS

FROM: JEFF SCHWEITZER 
SUBJECT: U.S.-EC JOINT CONSULTATIVE GROUP: DR. BROMLEY'S
LETTER TO VICE PRESIDENT PANDOLFI

Attached for your information is the letter from Dr. Bromley to Vice President Pandolfi, suggesting October 20, 1992, as the date for the next U.S.-EC JCG, along with a proposed agenda.

U.S.-EC JCG Contact Points

Bill Blanpied, NSF
Jennifer Bond, NSF
John Boright, OES/S
Lou Brown, NSF
Carol Eberhard, OES/NTS
Nico Haberman, NSF
Martin Prochnik, OES/SCT
Vito Stagliano, DOE
Martha Steinbock, USDA

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
19. Letter	To: Ambassador Bartholomew From: Allan Bromley Re: Ciset Study on International Science and Technology (1 pp.)	6/26/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed:	5/4/2010	OA/ID Number:	62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:		Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
20. Letter	To: John Boright From: Allan Bromley Re: Renewal of US-Japan S&T Agreement (1 pp.)	6/30/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records

Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)

Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files

Subseries: International Files

WHORM Cat.:

File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed: 5/4/2010 **OA/ID Number:** 62064-007

FOIA/SYS Case #: 2005-0336-F

Re-review Case #:

P-2/P-5 Review Case #:

AR Case #:

AR Disposition:

AR Disposition Date:

Appeal Case #:

Appeal Disposition:

Disposition Date:

MR Case #:

MR Disposition:

MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
21. Letter	To: Guillermo Soberon From: Allan Bromley Re: Mexico-US S&T Cooperation (2 pp.)	7/6/92	(b)(1)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Science and Technology Policy, Office of (OSTP)
Series: Bromley, D. Allan, Files
Subseries: International Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: International Chronology, White House: Chronological File [1 of 2] [1992]

Date Closed:	5/4/2010	OA/ID Number:	62064-007
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2005-0336-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:		Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 3, 1992

Dear Dr. Jensen

Thank you for your letter of July 13, 1992, concerning your travel to Poland. I appreciate receiving the summary describing the Utah Engineering Experiment Station and efforts to establish a similar organization at the Technical University of Gdansk in Poland.

International cooperation is critical to the success and strengthening of science and technology, in the United States and abroad. Central and Eastern Europe present particularly significant opportunities to tap the rich potential of such cooperation. Both government and non-government sectors are important to this effort, and this is particularly true in Central and Eastern Europe as countries of the region move to market economies. I am encouraged to see the University of Utah and other American universities working to expand joint activities with institutions in the region.

Prior to your visit to Poland, you may be interested in learning more about the U.S.-Polish Joint Fund managed by the U.S. Department of State. I suggest you call Mr. Thomas Hutson, Acting Program Officer, Cooperative Science and Technology Programs in the State Department, who will be able to provide you with information on the Fund and other relevant U.S. government activities in Poland. You may also wish to contact the U.S. Science Counselor in Warsaw, Mr. Coleman Nee. Mr. Hutson can be reached at (202) 647-2958, and can provide you with Mr. Nee's phone number and address.

Again, thank you for your letter. I wish you and the University of Utah the best of luck during your visit to Poland.

Sincerely,



D. Allan Bromley
The Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology

Dr. Gordon F. Jensen
Director
Utah Engineering Experiment Station
104 Energy Minerals Research Office
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

cc: Thomas Hutson