

# Discussion Topics: Draft Commentary on Discovery of Modern Communications and Collaboration Platforms

*The Sedona Conference*

Copyright 2024. All rights reserved.



## List of questions for Sedona Conference Working Group – Communication and Collaboration Platforms

- 1) How in depth should we discuss the potential scope of the amount of ESI generated by these tools e.g. A member talked about how one can have company-wide channels that have both business (relevant) communications mixed with personal (non-relevant communications).
- 2) Discussion of unitization of information on collaboration platforms, can be unitized (e.g. 24 hour period chunks or by breaks), what is the best way to present the concept. Should unitization have its own section with pros and cons on the different ways. Would that kind of breakdown be appropriate for a commentary *[POTENTIAL PRACTICE POINTER 3: Though there is rarely a perfect solution it is key to openly discuss and determine the most effective way to unitize collaboration chat data be it in 24 hour period chunks, by breaks (e.g. 4 hours, 8 hours) in a chat or other measures that suit the potentially relevant dataset.*
- 3) Should the issue of whether a custodian is an active or passive participant in a channel be explored further or not at all?
- 4) Is a discussion of leveraging tools such as TAR or search terms or even generative AI (on a collected dataset or even to help to determine which custodians to collect) appropriate for a Commentary?
- 5) Should there be increased discussion of the consideration of personal and sensitive info that is produced, as generally is the case for non-responsive family members in traditional data. So, is it a consideration to not produce the same types of information in collaboration platforms, and if not, why?