

3.0 CORRECTIONS TO THE SEIS

During the preparation of the FEIS, a small number of errors were identified within the SEIS. Corrections to these errors are described below.

- The Table of Contents in the SEIS included a List of Appendices (page vii) which was inaccurate. The correct Appendix list is as follows:

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Gamesa 2.0-2.5 MW Brochure
Appendix B	Guidelines for Agricultural Mitigation for Wind Power Projects
Appendix C	Agency Correspondence
Appendix D	Preliminary Geotechnical Report
Appendix E	Preliminary Blasting Plan
Appendix F	Revised Construction SPCC Plan for Marble River Project
Appendix G	Wetland Delineation Report
Appendix H	Marble River Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
Appendix I	Rare Plant Survey Memo
Appendix J	Breeding Bird Survey
Appendix K	Jericho Rise Acoustic and Mist-Net Bat Survey Report
Appendix L	Invasive Species Control Plan
Appendix M	Supplemental Visual Impact Assessment
Appendix N	Shadow Flicker Report
Appendix O	Historic Resources Survey Plan
Appendix P	Complaint Resolution Procedure
Appendix Q	Phase IB Archaeology Survey Plan
Appendix R	Environmental Sound Survey and Noise Impact Assessment
Appendix S	Transportation Route Sheet
Appendix T	Communication Studies

- Page 2 of the SEIS states that a total of up to 44 wind turbine sites were assessed in the SEIS. In fact, 43 total sites wind turbine sites were assessed in the SEIS.
- Section 1.5.6 of the SEIS references the description of equipment and features proposed for the substation in the DEIS. The statement indirectly refers to Exhibit 1.5.6 of the DEIS, which shows that National Grid owns the substation. The SEIS should have corrected Exhibit 1.5.6, to show that the substation owners are New York State Electric and Gas (NYSEG) and New York Power Authority (NYPA).
- Section 1.5.9 states that the O&M facility proposed for the Project was as described in the DEIS. In fact, no on-site O&M facility is proposed for this Project.

- Section 2.3, pages 51 and 55 of the SEIS state that further review of the acoustic data “could not confirm” the presence of eastern small-footed bat. This should be revised to say that the analysis “could not confirm or refute” the presence of this species.
- The footnote to Table 38 in Section 2.9.2 of the SEIS states “Earnings and Output values are in 2015 dollars” This should be revised to state “Earnings and Output values are in millions of 2015 dollars.”
- The second paragraph of SEIS Section 2.13.2.2.3 states that the permanent impacts to agricultural land that would result from the operation of the proposed 37-turbine Project would include the permanent conversion of approximately 50 acres of productive agricultural land to non-agricultural use for Project facilities, such as access roads and turbines. The impact stated here actually applies to all permanent vegetation loss, not just that of active agriculture. It should be revised to read “would include the permanent conversion of approximately 28 acres of productive agricultural land to non-agricultural land.” For the same reason, the second sentence in this paragraph should be revised to read “Construction and operation of the Project at the six alternate turbine locations would result in up to approximately 8 acres of conversion of agricultural lands to Project facilities.”