JULY1997)

related translational issues has shown that the ability to give an honest reply to the respondents have been able to personally experience the dramatic significance of the prophetic text itself. That, inevitably, is the task of the translator! divine challenge depends to an appreciable extent on the degree to which indeed. I hope that the preceding survey of several key rhetorical techniques and though its relevant implications for those who answer honestly, are considerable

ANDREAS J. KÖSTENBERGER

:

The said

#### "WE PLEAD ON CHRIST'S BEHALF: 'BE RECONCILED TO GOD'"

Seminary, Wake Forest, North Carolina. The author is Associate Professor of New Testament at the Southeastern Baptist Theological

## Correcting the Common Mistranslation of 2 Cor 5.20

suggests that Paul is exhorting his immediate audience, the Corinthians, to be reconciled to God. Such an exhortation, however, appears to be problematic since, whatever the many flaws in the Corinthian church, Paul generally addresses its members as believers (compare the opening in 1 Cor 1.2-9; the address as "brothers," adelphot, in 1 Cor 1.10,26,2.1,3.1; 4.6, 10.1; 12.1, 14.6, 20, 15.1, 31, urge the Corinthians to be reconciled to God, since the term "reconciliation" usually refers to a person's conversion, cosmic reconciliation of all things to God, this; "We beg you on behalf of Christ, be reconciled to God (NASB)." This Virtually all English translations render 2 Cor 5.20 by supplying a direct object for 50, 58; 16.15; 2 Cor 1.8; 8.1; 13.11; and the father/child relationship referred to in the main verb in the first part of the sentence, rendering the verse something like 11,15; 2 Cor 5.18-19; Eph 2.16; Col 1.20, 22; but compare 1 Cor 7.11).2 or the salvation-historical ramifications of Christ's work (compare Rom 5.10-11) 1 Cor 4.14-15; but compare 2 Cor 13.5). Thus it seems unlikely that Paul would

v 18). As ministers of reconciliation, the apostles also have been given a message ministry. The immediate context speaks of the apostiles' effort to "persuade people" and message. At least since 2.14, Paul has elaborated on the nature of his apostolic reconciled to God.' "In context, this appears to refer to Paul's apostolic ministry should probably be rendered as follows: "We appeal on Christ's behalf, 'Be "ministry of reconciliation" (the responsibility to work for the conversion of others; reconciled the apostles to himself (they were converted); and he gave them the (5.11), clearly a reference to evangelistic preaching (compare also v 17). God The original reads: deometha hyper Christon, katallagete to theo The passage

offer of reconciliation in Christ in general. Not until 6.1 does Paul specifically return to address the Corinthians themselves. Since this interpretation seems to to the Corinthians, but to be descriptive of Raul's apostolic proclamation of God's  $God^{n_{2}}(v|20)$ ). In this context, then, Paull's exhortation appears to be addressed, not of reconciliation, the plea to be directed toward all unbelievers; "Be reconciled to Corinthian church? As the contract of the second original, thus supporting the notion that Paul is exhorting here members of the translations virtually, without exception supply an object not found in the Greek account better for the flow of Paul's argument in 5.14-21, why do English Telling of the laws of the day St. 1244 1 1 1

set forth for such a procedure in order to determine whether the verse's usual rendering in English translations is accurate or whether it should be corrected. of proof upon those who would want to supply a direct object when such is absent while taking the genitive in 2 Cor. 8.4 and Gal 4:12. This appears to shift the burden references, deomai is used absolutely in Rom 1.10; 2 Cor 10.2; and 1 Thes 3.10 however, is not necessarily used with a direct object. In the remaining five Pauline verb, deometha; absolutely in English ("we appeal"). The Greek werb itself, in the original. The following discussion will seek to investigate the various reasons The reason for this procedure may be the awkwardness of rendering the main

### **Survey of Commentators**

consideration to be an "aside" by which the author addresses his readers directly, addressed to the Colinthian community."1: Martin considers the phrase under Bultmann maintains that Paul's word of reconciliation is "altogether appropriately as referring to Paul's apostolic proclamation in general to unbelievers (compare effort to justify theologically a reading that is better taken more straightforwardly turning "the traditional teaching in a new direction."2 Martin views Paul here as A survey of commentators reveals a considerable diversity of viewpoints Cor 5.18-19). To the shift and the same Corinthian malcontents. 73. This interpretation, however, appears to represent an holding out "the offer of what reconciliation should mean in the situation of the 

or Paul's entreaty to the unregenerate at Corinth. Bruce goes one step further, "be reconciled to God? may either be a summary of the message of reconciliation stands in marked contrast to 6:1 where, in contrast to 5.20, the object of Paul's entreaty (the Corinthians) is made explicit. 6 those to whom he and his colleagues preach the gospel."5 As Bruce observes, this Corinthian readers, who are presumed to have been reconciled (compare v.18), but acknowledging; "No object is expressed in the original with we beseech, although English style expects one; the supplied you should not be taken to mean Paul's Harris notes the absence of any object in the original, stating that the phrase

Volume (1976) 729.

<sup>1</sup> Emphasis added. See the appendix for a survey of English translations of 2 Cor 5.20. Each of the thirteen translations surveyed supplies the personal pronoun not found in the original Greek. The NKIV, but remarkably not the NASB, indicates the absence of the pronoun in the original by indicizing it. It should be noted that this problem may, at least in part, be particular to the anglo-American world, since German translations, for example, habitually render the passage along the lines of "Wir biften an Christi Statt" (Einheitsübersetzung, with the control of the contr "We plead on Christ's behalf"), thus rendering the Greek original without supplying a direct object for "we

discussion below. 2 On efforts to construe Paul's terminology here as an application of kerygmatic teaching, see the

<sup>1</sup> Rudolf Bultmann, The Second Letter to the Corinthians, trans. Roy A. Hatrisville, Minneapolis: Augsburg 1985, 164, Similarly, C.K. Barrett, A Commentary on the Second Epistle to the Corinthians, New York: Harper & Row 1973, 178.

Ralph P. Martin, 2 Corinthians, WBC 40, Milton Keynes, UK: Word 1991 [1986], 155.

Murray J. Harris, 2 Corinthians, EBC-10, ed. Frank Gaebelein, Grand Rapids: Zondervan 1981, 354. F.F. Bruce, I and 2 Corinthians, London: Oliphants 1971, 210. Compare already Martin; 2 Corinthians; cf also John Reumann, "Reconciliation," IDB Supplementary Ibid., 211.

JULY1997)

"WE PLEAD ON CHRIST'S BEHALF..."

that, in the section from 2 Cor 5.14 to 21, personal pronouns denoting a direct 6.1, 13, and 17 are they commanded to do certain things. 5.21 does not contain a single injunction directed toward the Corinthians. Only in the direct dialogue with the Corinthians from 5.13.2 Also, the section from 3.1 to address to the Corinthians are completely absent. Not until 6.1 does Paul resume a Several factors fayor Bruce's interpretation. To begin with, it should be noted

"allow himself to be reconciled to God" by accepting the reconciliation provided for him by God. In other words, Paul's command here is not "be reconciled to one as a deponent since there is no indication that katallasso is ever used as a deponent however, to render katallagete ("be reconciled") as a genuine passive rather than exhortation would be consistent with Paul's general purpose for writing 2 believers to "live as reconciled people."3 According to this understanding, such an exhortation to the Corinthians to be reconciled to God as an injunction for those another" but "be reconciled to God." in the adrist. The context, too, supports the notion that, while God actively Corinthians in promoting interpersonal reconciliation. 4 It seems preferable, provides reconciliation through Christ, the human being is merely enjoined to Reference has already been made to attempts at interpreting Paul's apparent

#### Conclusion

out a priori, even though this may appear to make for an awkward English use of deomai in 2. Cor 5.20 is certainly possible and should therefore not be ruled appears to be a genuine passive. Rather, Paul's message exhorts people (unbelievers) to receive what God has provided reconciliation in Christ Fifth, if 5.14-21, and the sustained emphasis on the apostolic ministry in general in 2 Cor deals with the apostolic ministry and message of reconciliation in general. Third, translation: Second, the context strongly favors the absolute rendering, since it The major findings of this article may be summarized as follows. First, an absolute would have addressed the Corinthians in this way. Despite their divisiveness and conversion (as it usually does in the New Testament), it seems unlikely that Paul then the command "be reconciled to God", most naturally refers to initial unconvincing in light of the fact that the grammatical form of the imperative remterpret katallagete in terms of believers. living "reconciled lives" are 3.5, further caution against supplying a pronoun in v. 20. Fourth, attempts to the absence of pronouns referring to the Corinthians in the entire section 2 Cor 我 法教育的教育者 人名西西 人名 人名 人名 人名 人名

in terms of experiencing a Christian conversion. unbelieving segment in the Corinthian church that needed to be reconciled to God Moreover, there is no indication in the context that Paul here singles out an immaturity, he generally considers them elsewhere in the epistle to be believers The stage of the

delete the personal pronoun "you" that is usually supplied after "we urge" or "we reconciled to God." English translations should accordingly be corrected and describes the general nature of his apostolic message of reconciliation. To 5.20 as directly addressed to Paul's Corinthian audience. Rather, Paul here plead."The statement should rather be rendered; "We plead on Christ's behalf, 'Be paraphrase the verse; "We, the apostles, plead [with our respective audiences]; Be In light of these observations, there appears to be no good reason to view 2 Cor .5.

#### APPENDIX

### Major English Translations of 2 Cor 5.20

		-	٠.		•	٠									
	REB	GNB	NCV		TIN	CEV	NEB	NKJV	KJV	NRSV	RSV	VIN	NASB	Version	Engusn
H	"we implofe vou in Christ's name"	"on Christ's behalf, we beg you"	"We speak for Christ when we beg you"	with you? A second of the seco	"We urge you, as though Christ himself were here plea	"We speak for Christ and sincerely ask you"	"in Christ's name, we implore you"	"we implore you on Christ's behalf"	"we pray you in Christ's stead"	"we entreat you on behalf of Christ"	"We beseech you on behalf of Christ"	"We implore you on Christ's behalf"	"we beg you on behalf of Christ, be reconciled to God"	- Translation,	English & The Late of the Late

.. .. . .

"We implore you, in Christ's name? (See Section 1995) to the section of the secti

# Should Old Testament "Cush" be rendered "Africa"?

Stavanger, Norway. The author is Associate Professor of Old Testament at the School of Mission and Theology in Carlotte Contract . . .

names. John A. Thompson simply answers: "Well-known places should usually be A question eventually facing any Bible translator is how to render geographical approach creates new problems. What is a well-known place, and what is a modern given their modern names, not their Hebrew or Greek names." However, this name? Geographical names do not live their lives in a vacuum. On the contrary,

commentator has failed to make an impact on the vast majority of English Bible translations, hence the need to further substantiate the necessity to establish the rendering argued for below as the standard for future 1 It should be pointed out that while the interpretation argued here essentially concurs with Bruce's, this

<sup>2</sup> Marshall's solution, while ingenious, appears forced. Acknowledging that "the language which Paul is using sounds much more like a description of the gospel message proclaimed to the non-Christian," he concludes that 'Faul is here making use of the language of eyangelism to call members of the church to welcome him [i.e. Paul] back personally as their spiritual father." Compare I.H. Marshall, "The Meaning of Reconciliation," in Unity and Diversity in New Testament Tracelogy. Essays in Honor of George Eldon Ladd,

ed Robert A. Guelich, Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans 1978, 129.

3 Compare already Martin, 2 Corinthians; cf also John Reumann, "Reconciliation," IDB Supplementary

Würzburg: Echter 1983, 193 4 Compare Hans-Jürgen Findeis, Versöhnung-Apostolat-Kirche: Eine exegetisch-theologische und rezeptionsgeschichtliche Studie zu den Versöhnungsaussagen des Neuen Testaments (2Kor, Röm, Kol, Eph),

Compare Marshall, "The Meaning of Reconciliation," 122-124; also BAG 414.

J.A. Thompson, "Bible geographics and atlases and their use in translating", The Bible Translator 32 (1981) 431-437, here 431.