

This is a timing test of 2 methods of computing large Fibonacci numbers.

The first definition is the expected recursive definition.

```
fibStep[{fn1_, fn2_}] := {fn2, fn1 + fn2}
fib1[n_] := Nest[fibStep, {0, 1}, n - 1][[2]]
```

First let's check it for the 10th entry.

```
fib1[10]
55
```

Now let's do this for the 50,000th entry and then the 300,000th.

```
Timing[N[fib1[50 000]]]
{0.109 Second, 1.077773489307297 × 1010449}
Timing[N[fib1[300 000]]]
{1.938 Second, 8.761732532916346 × 1062695}
```

Here is an alternate definition using the method of raising a suitably defined matrix to the power desired.

```
fib2[n_] := ({0, 1} . MatrixPower[{{0 1}, {1 1}}, n - 1])[2]
?MatrixPower
```

MatrixPower[mat, n] gives the nth matrix power of mat. More...

```
fib2[11] (* check for sanity *)
89
```

Now let's immediately try the 300,000th..

```
Timing[N[fib2[300 000]]]
{0.047 Second, 8.761732532916346 × 1062695}
```

The resulting time is shorter than the time taken to compute the 50,000th using the other method. Finally let's look at the 20th (again for sanity).

```
fib1[20]
6765
fib2[20]
6765
```

```
MatrixPower[ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , 20] // MatrixForm
```

```
 $\begin{pmatrix} 4181 & 6765 \\ 6765 & 10946 \end{pmatrix}$ 
```

Note that these Fibonacci computation methods are well documented in, for instance more than one book by Roman Maeder as well as a book by Kevin Coombes.