SOLUTIONS TO TEXT PROBLEMS – Chapter 3:

Quick Quizzes

The answers to the Quick Quizzes can also be found near the end of the textbook.

1. Figure 1 shows Robinson Crusoe’s production possibilities frontier for gathering coconuts and catching fish. If Crusoe lives by himself, this frontier limits his consumption of coconuts and fish, but if he can trade with natives on the island, he will possibly be able to consume at a point outside his production possibilities frontier.
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2. Crusoe’s opportunity cost of catching one fish is 10 coconuts, since he can gather 10 coconuts in the same amount of time it takes to catch one fish. Friday’s opportunity cost of catching one fish is 15 coconuts, since he can gather 30 coconuts in the same amount of time it takes to catch two fish. Friday has an absolute advantage in catching fish, since he can catch two per hour, while Crusoe can catch only one per hour. But Crusoe has a comparative advantage in catching fish, since his opportunity cost of catching a fish is less than Friday’s.

3. If the world’s fastest typist happens to be trained in brain surgery, he should hire a secretary because the secretary will give up less for each hour spent typing. Although the brain surgeon has an absolute advantage in typing, the secretary has a comparative advantage in typing because of the lower opportunity cost of typing.

Questions for Review

1. Absolute advantage reflects a comparison of the productivity of one person, firm, or nation to that of another, while comparative advantage is based on the relative opportunity costs of the persons, firms, or nations. While a person, firm, or nation may have an absolute advantage in producing every good, they cannot have a comparative advantage in every good.

2. Many examples are possible. Suppose, for example, that Roger can prepare a meal of hot dogs and macaroni in just ten minutes, while it takes Anita 20 minutes. Also suppose that Roger can do all the laundry in three hours, while it takes Anita four hours. Roger has an absolute advantage in both cooking and doing the laundry, but Anita has a comparative advantage in
doing the laundry. For Anita, the opportunity cost of doing the laundry is 12 meals; for Roger, it is 18 meals.

3. Comparative advantage is more important for trade than absolute advantage. In the example in problem 2, Anita and Roger will complete their chores more quickly if Anita does at least some of the laundry and Roger cooks the meals for both, because Anita has a comparative advantage in doing the laundry, while Roger has a comparative advantage in cooking.

4. A nation will export goods for which it has a comparative advantage because it has a smaller opportunity cost of producing those goods. As a result, citizens of all nations are able to consume quantities of goods that are outside their production possibilities frontiers.

5. Economists oppose policies that restrict trade among nations because trade allows all countries to achieve greater prosperity by allowing them to receive the gains from comparative advantage. Restrictions on trade hurt all countries.

Problems and Applications

1. a. See Figure 2. If Maria spends all five hours studying economics, she can read 100 pages, so that is the vertical intercept of the production possibilities frontier. If she spends all five hours studying sociology, she can read 250 pages, so that is the horizontal intercept. The opportunity costs are constant, so the production possibilities frontier is a straight line.
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b. It takes Maria two hours to read 100 pages of sociology. In that time, she could read 40 pages of economics. So the opportunity cost of 100 pages of sociology is 40 pages of economics.
2. a. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workers needed to make:</th>
<th>One Car</th>
<th>One Ton of Grain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. See Figure 3. With 100 million workers and four cars per worker, if either economy were devoted completely to cars, it could make 400 million cars. Because a U.S. worker can produce 10 tons of grain, if the United States produced only grain it would produce 1,000 million tons. Because a Japanese worker can produce 5 tons of grain, if Japan produced only grain it would produce 500 million tons. These are the intercepts of the production possibilities frontiers shown in the figure. Note that because the trade-off between cars and grain is constant for both countries, the production possibilities frontiers are straight lines.

![Figure 3](image)

Figure 3

c. Because a U.S. worker produces either four cars or ten tons of grain, the opportunity cost of one car is two and one-half tons of grain, which is ten divided by four. Because a Japanese worker produces either four cars or five tons of grain, the opportunity cost of one car is one and one-fourth tons of grain, which is five divided by four. Similarly, the U.S. opportunity cost of one ton of grain is 2/5 car (4 divided by 10) and the Japanese opportunity cost of one ton of grain is 4/5 car (4 divided by 5). This results in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity Cost of:</th>
<th>One Car (in terms of tons of grain given up)</th>
<th>One Ton of Grain (in terms of cars given up)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>2/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1 1/4</td>
<td>4/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
d. Neither country has an absolute advantage in producing cars, because they are equally productive (the same output per worker); the United States has an absolute advantage in producing grain, because it is more productive (greater output per worker).

e. Japan has a comparative advantage in producing cars, because it has a lower opportunity cost in terms of grain given up. The United States has a comparative advantage in producing grain, because it has a lower opportunity cost in terms of cars given up.

f. With half the workers in each country producing each of the goods, the United States would produce 200 million cars (50 million workers times 4 cars each) and 500 million tons of grain (50 million workers times 10 tons each). Japan would produce 200 million cars (50 million workers times 4 cars each) and 250 million tons of grain (50 million workers times 5 tons each).

g. From any situation with no trade, in which each country is producing some cars and some grain, suppose the United States changed one worker from producing cars to producing grain. That worker would produce four fewer cars and ten additional tons of grain. Then suppose the United States offers to trade seven tons of grain to Japan for four cars. The United States will do this because it values four cars at ten tons of grain, so it will be better off if the trade goes through. Suppose Japan changes one worker from producing grain to producing cars. That worker would produce four more cars and five fewer tons of grain. Japan will take the trade because it values four cars at five tons of grain, so it will be better off. With the trade and the change of one worker in both the United States and Japan, each country gets the same amount of cars as before and both get additional tons of grain (three for the United States and two for Japan). Thus, by trading and changing their production, both countries are better off.

3. a. Pat's opportunity cost of making a pizza is one-half gallon of root beer, because she could brew one-half gallon in the time (two hours) it takes her to make a pizza. Pat has an absolute advantage in making pizza because she can make one in two hours, while it takes Kris four hours. Kris' opportunity cost of making a pizza is two-thirds gallon of root beer, because she could brew two-thirds of a gallon in the time (four hours) it takes her to make a pizza. Because Pat's opportunity cost of making pizza is less than Kris', Pat has a comparative advantage in making pizza.

b. Because Pat has a comparative advantage in making pizza, she will make pizza and exchange it for root beer that Kris makes.

c. The highest price of pizza in terms of root beer that will make both roommates better off is two-thirds of a gallon of root beer. If the price were higher than that, then Kris would prefer making her own pizza (at an opportunity cost of two-thirds of a gallon of root beer) rather than trading for pizza that Pat makes. The lowest price of pizza in terms of root beer that will make both roommates better off is one-half gallon of root beer. If the price were lower than that, then Pat would prefer making her own root beer (she can make one-half gallon of root beer instead of making a pizza) rather than trading for root beer that Kris makes.

4. a. Because a Canadian worker can make either two cars a year or 30 bushels of wheat, the opportunity cost of a car is 15 bushels of wheat. Similarly, the opportunity cost of a bushel of wheat is 1/15 of a car. The opportunity costs are the reciprocals of each other.
b. See Figure 4. If all ten million workers produce two cars each, they produce a total of 20 million cars, which is the vertical intercept of the production possibilities frontier. If all ten million workers produce 30 bushels of wheat each, they produce a total of 300 million bushels, which is the horizontal intercept of the production possibilities frontier. Because the trade-off between cars and wheat is always the same, the production possibilities frontier is a straight line.

If Canada chooses to consume ten million cars, it will need five million workers devoted to car production. That leaves five million workers to produce wheat, who will produce a total of 150 million bushels (five million workers times 30 bushels per worker). This is shown as point A on Figure 4.

c. If the United States buys 10 million cars from Canada and Canada continues to consume 10 million cars, then Canada will need to produce a total of 20 million cars. So Canada will be producing at the vertical intercept of the production possibilities frontier. However, if Canada gets 20 bushels of wheat per car, it will be able to consume 200 million bushels of wheat, along with the 10 million cars. This is shown as point B in the figure. Canada should accept the deal because it gets the same number of cars and 50 million more bushels of wheat.
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5. a. English workers have an absolute advantage over Scottish workers in producing scones, because English workers produce more scones per hour (50 vs. 40). Scottish workers have an absolute advantage over English workers in producing sweaters, because Scottish workers produce more sweaters per hour (2 vs. 1). Comparative advantage runs the same way. English workers, who have an opportunity cost of 1/50 sweater per scone (1 sweater per hour divided by 50 scones per hour), have a comparative advantage in scone production over Scottish workers, who have an opportunity cost of 1/20 sweater per scone (2 sweaters per hour divided by 40 scones per hour). Scottish workers, who have an opportunity cost of 20 scones per sweater (40 scones per hour divided by 2 sweaters per hour), have a comparative advantage in sweater production over English workers, who have an opportunity cost of 50 scones per sweater (50 scones per hour divided by 1 sweater per hour).
b. If England and Scotland decide to trade, Scotland will produce sweaters and trade them for scones produced in England. A trade with a price between 20 and 50 scones per sweater will benefit both countries, as they will be getting the traded good at a lower price than their opportunity cost of producing the good in their own country.

c. Even if a Scottish worker produced just one sweater per hour, the countries would still gain from trade, because Scotland would still have a comparative advantage in producing sweaters. Its opportunity cost for sweaters would be higher than before (40 scones per sweater, instead of 20 scones per sweater before). But there are still gains from trade because England has a higher opportunity cost (50 scones per sweater).

6. a. With no trade, one pair of white socks trades for one pair of red socks in Boston, because productivity is the same for the two types of socks. The price in Chicago is two pairs of red socks per pair of white socks.

b. Boston has an absolute advantage in the production of both types of socks, because a worker in Boston produces more (three pairs of socks per hour) than a worker in Chicago (two pairs of red socks per hour or one pair of white socks per hour).

Chicago has a comparative advantage in producing red socks, because the opportunity cost of producing a pair of red socks in Chicago is one-half pair of white socks, while the opportunity cost of producing a pair of red socks in Boston is one pair of white socks. Boston has a comparative advantage in producing white socks, because the opportunity cost of producing a pair of white socks in Boston is one pair of red socks, while the opportunity cost of producing a pair of white socks in Chicago is two pairs of red socks.

c. If they trade socks, Boston will produce white socks for export, because it has the comparative advantage in white socks, while Chicago produces red socks for export, which is Chicago's comparative advantage.

d. Trade can occur at any price between one and two pairs of red socks per pair of white socks. At a price lower than one pair of red socks per pair of white socks, Boston will choose to produce its own red socks (at a cost of one pair of red socks per pair of white socks) instead of buying them from Chicago. At a price higher than two pairs of red socks per pair of white socks, Chicago will choose to produce its own white socks (at a cost of two pairs of red socks per pair of white socks) instead of buying them from Boston.
7. a. The production possibilities frontiers for the two countries are shown in Figure 5. If, without trade, a U.S. worker spends half of his time producing each good, the United States will have 50 shirts and 10 computers. If, without trade, a worker in China spends half of his time producing each good, China will have 50 shirts and 5 computers.
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b. For the United States, the opportunity cost of one computer is five shirts, while the opportunity cost of one shirt is 1/5 computer. For China, the opportunity cost of one computer is ten shirts, while the opportunity cost of one shirt is 1/10 computer. Therefore, the United States has a comparative advantage in the production of computers and China has a comparative advantage in the production of shirts.

China would export shirts. The price of a shirt will fall between 1/5 and 1/10 of a computer. An example would be a price of 1/8 computer. In other words, China could export eight shirts and receive one computer in return. Both countries would benefit from trade. China would specialize in shirts (producing 100) and export eight. This would leave them with 92 shirts. In return, they would get one computer. The combination of 92 shirts and 1 computer was not available to China before trade. The United States could specialize in computers (producing 20) and export one computer to China in exchange for eight shirts. The United States would end up with 19 computers and 8 shirts, a combination that was impossible without trade.

c. The price of a computer would fall between five and ten shirts. If the price was below five, the United States would not be willing to export computers because the opportunity cost of a shirt for the United States is 1/5 computer. If the price was greater than ten shirts, China would not be willing to import computers because (for China) the opportunity cost of a computer is ten shirts.

d. Once the productivity is the same in the two countries, the benefits of trade disappear. Trade is beneficial because it allows countries to exploit their comparative advantage. If China and the United States have exactly the same opportunity cost of producing shirts and computers, there will be no more gains from trade available.

8. a. True; two countries can achieve gains from trade even if one of the countries has an absolute advantage in the production of all goods. All that is necessary is that each country have a comparative advantage in some good.
b. False; it is not true that some people have a comparative advantage in everything they do. In fact, no one can have a comparative advantage in everything. Comparative advantage reflects the opportunity cost of one good or activity in terms of another. If you have a comparative advantage in one thing, you must have a comparative disadvantage in the other thing.

c. False; it is not true that if a trade is good for one person, it cannot be good for the other one. Trades can and do benefit both sides—especially trades based on comparative advantage. If both sides did not benefit, trades would never occur.

d. False; trade that makes the country better off can harm certain individuals in the country. For example, suppose a country has a comparative advantage in producing wheat and a comparative disadvantage in producing cars. Exporting wheat and importing cars will benefit the nation as a whole, as it will be able to consume more of all goods. However, the introduction of trade will likely be harmful to domestic auto workers and manufacturers.

9. This pattern of trade is consistent with the principle of comparative advantage. If the United States exports corn and aircraft, it must have a comparative advantage in the production of these goods. Because it imports oil and clothing, the United States must have a comparative disadvantage in the production of these items.