Radionuclide Therapy of HER2-Expressing Human Xenografts Using Affibody-Based Peptide Nucleic Acid–Mediated Pretargeting: In Vivo Proof of Principle
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Affibody molecules are small proteins engineered using a nonantibody scaffold. Radiolabeled Affibody molecules are excellent imaging probes, but their application to radionuclide therapy has been prevented by high renal reabsorption. The aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that Affibody-based peptide nucleic acid (PNA)–mediated pretargeted therapy of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-expressing cancer extends survival without accompanying renal toxicity. Methods: A HER2-targeting Affibody molecule ligated with an AGTCGTGATGTAGTC PNA hybridization probe (ZHER2:342-SR-HP1) was used as the primary pretargeting agent. A complementary AGTCGTGATGTAGTC PNA conjugated to the chelator DOTA and labeled with the radionuclide $^{177}$Lu (177Lu-HP2) was used as the secondary agent. The influence of different factors on pretargeting was investigated. Experimental radionuclide therapy in mice bearing SKOV-3 xenografts was performed in 6 cycles separated by 7 d. Results: Optimal tumor targeting was achieved when 16 MBq/3.5 μg (0.65 nmol) of 177Lu-HP2 was injected 16 h after injection of 100 μg (7.7 nmol) of ZHER2:342-SR-HP1. The calculated absorbed dose to tumors was 1,075 mGy/MBq, whereas the absorbed dose to kidneys was 206 mGy/MBq and the absorbed dose to blood (surrogate of bone marrow) was 4 mGy/MBq. Survival of mice was significantly longer ($P < 0.05$) in the treatment group (66 d) than in the control groups treated with the same amount of ZHER2:342-SR-HP1 only (37 d), the same amount and activity of $^{177}$Lu-HP2 only (32 d), or phosphate-buffered saline (37 d). Conclusion: The studied pretargeting system can deliver an absorbed dose to tumors appreciably exceeding absorbed doses to critical organs, making Affibody-based PNA–mediated pretargeted radionuclide therapy highly attractive.

Key Words: pretargeting; PNA; affibody molecules; radionuclide therapy; HER2

DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.118.208348

T he concept of pretargeted radionuclide therapy has evolved since late 1980s in response to the limited success of radioimmunotherapy (1). Radiolabeled monoclonal antibodies brought about an impressive improvement in survival for hematologic malignancies but failed to deliver therapeutically meaningful absorbed doses to solid tumors without unacceptably high absorbed doses to radiosensitive tissues (2). The major problems, that is, the long residence time of antibodies in blood and their inefficient penetration into tumors, are associated with the bulkiness of intact immunoglobulins.

In pretargeting, the process of molecular recognition of tumor-associated antigens is separated from delivery of radionuclide. In a first phase, a primary agent (e.g., an antibody conjugated with a recognition tag) binds to cancer cells, and nonbound primary agent is then cleared from blood. A small radiolabeled secondary agent with high affinity to the recognition tag on the primary agent is injected afterward. The small size should ensure rapid penetration into tumors and efficient renal clearance of free radioconjugate (3). Several approaches to pretargeting are under evaluation (4).

For a long time, antibodies were considered to be the only class of proteins providing specific high-affinity binding. However, nonimmunoglobulin engineered scaffold proteins (ESPs) have appeared as a strong complement to or even substitute for antibodies in treatments requiring molecular recognition (5). ESP contains a robust scaffold part, which supports variable amino acids. The presence of variable amino acids permits development of extensive combinatorial libraries enabling selection of binders with high specificity to a designated target. The scaffold part reduces entropic penalty and provides high affinities. Importantly, ESPs can be much smaller than antibodies, therefore solving issues of extravasation, homogeneous distribution inside tumors, and clearance of unbound targeting agent from blood (5). ESPs, unlike antibodies, can be produced in prokaryotes, increasing their yield appreciably and reducing production costs—a major advantage for clinical translation (6). Several ESPs, such as Affibody (Affibody AB) molecules, fibronectins, DARPinS (Molecular Partners), and knottins, have demonstrated promising features as targeting probes for radionuclide molecular imaging (6). However, application of many of these ESPs for radionuclide therapy has been complicated by the high renal reabsorption of proteins after...
glomerular filtration (7–10). We hypothesized that pretargeting using a secondary hapten with low renal reabsorption might result in safe ESP-based radionuclide therapy. To test this hypothesis, we used a human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)–targeting Affibody molecule as a model.

The scaffold of Affibody molecules is based on the 58-amino-acid domain B of protein A (11). Affibody molecules that bind with high affinity to several cancer-associated targets have been selected (12). HER2 is overexpressed in about 20% of breast and 15% of gastric cancer and is a predictive biomarker for response to treatment with the HER2-specific monoclonal antibody trastuzumab (13,14). The anti-HER2 Affibody molecule ABY-025 demonstrated efficient and specific imaging of HER2-expressing breast cancer metastases in clinical studies (15,16). Importantly, both preclinical and clinical studies have demonstrated that Affibody molecules lack toxicity or immunogenicity. However, renal uptake of radiometal-labeled Affibody molecules was clearly higher than tumor uptake, preventing their safe application for radionuclide therapy (7,15,16). Renal reabsorption of Affibody molecules is megalin-independent (17). Conventional methods of reducing renal uptake of radioproteins, such as cojunction or preinjection of cationic amino acids or succinylated gelatin (Gelofusine; Hausmann Laboratories Ltd.), are inefficient for Affibody molecules (17).

Hybridization of complementary peptide nucleic acids (PNA) was selected as one of the approaches to Affibody-based pretargeting. PNA is a nucleic acid analog capable of Watson–Crick base pairing—compatible way by solid-phase peptide synthesis. PNA is based on an N-(2-aminoethyl)glycine pseudopeptide chain (Fig. 1A) instead of a sugar-phosphate backbone, and because of the backbone substitution it is degraded by neither nucleases nor peptidases. PNAs are nontoxic, nonimmunogenic, and capable of hybridization with complementary PNAs in vivo (19–21). We have developed the complementary PNA hybridization probes HP1 and HP2, conjugated with the chelator DOTA for radiometal labeling, and established a methodology for site-specific sortase A–catalyzed ligation of HP1 to the HER2-targeting Affibody molecule ZHER2:342–SR-HPin forming the ZHER2:342–SR-HP1 Affibody-PNA chimera (Figs. 1B and 1C) (22). ZHER2:342–SR-HP1 binds to HER2-expressing cells specifically with an affinity of 6 ± 2 pM, and radiolabeled HP2 binds to ZHER2:342–SR-HP1–pretreated cells with an affinity of about 300 pM (23). HER2-specific accumulation of 111In-ZHER2:342–SR-HP1 and pretargeting-dependent uptake of 111In-HP2 in HER2-expressing xenografts have also been demonstrated (23). Importantly, we found that tumor uptake of 111In-HP2 was twice the renal uptake after pretargeting. Although this difference was insufficient for tumor treatment without damaging the kidneys, the renal uptake was already reduced 20-fold compared with nonpretargeted radiometal-labeled Affibody molecules, suggesting that a further optimized pretargeting approach might lead to safe and effective Affibody-based radionuclide therapy. Moreover, efficient labeling of HP2 with the therapeutic radionuclide 177Lu has been established (24).

The aim of this study was to evaluate factors influencing Affibody-based 177Lu-HP2 pretargeting and to test the hypothesis that Affibody-based pretargeted therapy can prevent renal toxicity and improve survival in mice bearing HER2-expressing xenografts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Targeting Probes

ZHER2:342–SR-HP1 and HP2 were produced and purified as described earlier (22,24). Briefly, the PNA hybridization probes, HP1 and HP2, were created using manual Fmoc-protected solid-phase synthesis on a Rink-Amide ChemMatrix resin (Biotage) with commercially available building blocks. HP1 was covalently and site-specifically ligated to an anti-HER2 Affibody using an enzymatic, sortase A–mediated ligation. The Affibody construct, ZHER2:342–SR-HPin, was expressed in Escherichia coli and purified using standard protocols for His6-tagged proteins before ligation. The targeting agent ZHER2:342–SR-HP1 and the secondary agent HP2 were purified on a reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography column using a water/acetonitrile gradient with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid. The final purity of ZHER2:342–SR-HP1 and HP2 was at least 95% as judged by analytic reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography.

For labeling, a solution of HP2 in 1 M ascorbic acid, pH 5.5 (0.5 μg/μL), was mixed with 177Lu-chloride (4.8 MBq/μg of HP2). The mixture was incubated at 95°C for 60 min, and radiochemical purity was measured using radio–instant-thin layer chromatography eluted with 0.2 M citric acid, pH 2.0. The purity of ZHER2:342–SR-HP1 was over 95%. The radiochemical purity of 177Lu-HP2 was over 98%, and the specific activity was 24.7 GBq/μmol.

Optimization of Pretargeting

Animal experiments were performed in accordance with the national legislation for work with laboratory animals. Approval was granted by the Ethical Committee for Animal Research in Uppsala. The animals were euthanized using xylazine/ketamine anesthesia.

For biodistribution studies, BALB/C nu/nu mice were subcutaneously implanted with 10⁷ HER2-expressing SKOV-3 cells. Experiments were performed 2–3 wk after implantation of tumors. We evaluated the influence of coinjection of Gelofusine, the molar ratio of primary and secondary probes, the time between injection of primary and secondary probes, and the possibility of increasing the delivery of 177Lu to tumors. Gelofusine is a plasma expander that reduces the renal reabsorption of many radioproteins (25). It was found that coinjection of Gelofusine reduces renal uptake of 177Lu-HP2 (24). Five mice per data point were used. The animals were injected with a predetermined amount of ZHER2:342–SR-HP1 in the tail vein. After a preset time, each mouse was injected
intravenously with 120 kBq of $^{177}$Lu-HP2. The injected HP2 mass was adjusted to the predetermined amount for each group by adding unlabeled HP2 (Table 1). The animals were euthanized 1 h after injection of $^{177}$Lu-HP2, the organs were excised and weighed, and their radioactivity was measured using an automated γ-spectrometer (PerkinElmer).

### Biodistribution and Dosimetry

To evaluate dosimetry, each mouse in groups of 5 was injected with 100 μg (7.6 nmol) of ZHER2:342-SR-HP1, followed 16 h later by 3 μg (120 kBq) of $^{177}$Lu-HP2. Biodistribution was measured at 0.3, 1, 4, 24, 48, 144, and 216 h after $^{177}$Lu-HP2 injection, as described above. To evaluate the dose to the renal cortex, the kidneys of 2 mice were excised 1 h after injection of $^{177}$Lu-HP2 (3 μg, 1 MBq) and 20-μm cryosections were prepared. The distribution of radioactivity in the sections was measured by digital autoradiography using a Cyclone Storage Phosphor System (PerkinElmer) (Supplemental Fig. 1; supplemental materials are available at http://jnm.snmjournals.org). Specific S factors for radioactivity uptake in the renal cortex were determined with a small-scale radiation transport calculation in Monte Carlo N-Particle code, version 6. The cortex was modeled as a 1-mm-thick rim inside a 4.8 × 5.7 × 9.8 mm ellipsoid. Absorbed doses were calculated using the RADAR realistic mouse phantom (26). Absorbed doses to tumors were calculated with the spheric node S values (27) without considering the contribution to the tumor-absorbed dose from activity in the mouse. The absorbed dose to spleen can well be considered representative for calculating the absorbed dose from activity in the mouse to a tumor in the abdomen. When we perform these calculations, the absorbed cross-dose to the spleen from other organs is on the order of 8.5 mGy, which would increase the absorbed dose to tumors by 0.05%. Considering all uncertainties in the absorbed dose calculations, this percentage can be considered completely negligible. Tumor volumes were taken from data on SKOV-3 xenograft growth in untreated control mice from previous studies (Supplemental Fig. 2).

### Experimental Therapy

To evaluate pretargeted therapy, $10^7$ SKOV-3 cells per mouse were implanted subcutaneously in the abdomen. At least twice a week, the mice were weighed and visually inspected, and tumors were measured using electronic calipers. Tumor volumes (mm$^3$) were calculated as $[\text{length (mm)}] \times [\text{width (mm)}]^2 \times 0.5$. The animals were euthanized when tumors reached a size of 1,000 mm$^3$ or became ulcerated, or if an animal’s weight dropped by more than 10% during 1 wk or by more than 15% since the study began. After euthanasia, tumors and kidneys were excised for subsequent histologic evaluation.

### Table 1

Parameters Evaluated During Optimization of Pretargeting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injected mass of ZHER2:342-SR-HP1</th>
<th>Injected mass of $^{177}$Lu-HP2</th>
<th>Time between injection of ZHER2:342-SR-HP1 and $^{177}$Lu-HP2 (h)</th>
<th>Coinjection of Gelofusine with $^{177}$Lu-HP2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>μg</td>
<td>nmol</td>
<td>μg</td>
<td>nmol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 2. Factors influencing pretargeting. All biodistribution measurements were performed 1 h after injection of $^{177}$Lu-HP2. (A) Gelofusine effect on renal uptake. Mice were injected with 100 μg of ZHER2:342-SR-HP1, and 4 h later 1 μg of $^{177}$Lu-HP2 was injected. In 1 group, 4 mg of Gelofusine were co-injected with $^{177}$Lu-HP2. (B) Influence of $^{177}$Lu-HP2/ZHP1 molar ratio. Mice were injected with 50 or 100 μg of ZHER2:342-SR-HP1, and 4 h later 1 μg of $^{177}$Lu-HP2 was injected. (C) Influence of time between injections of primary and secondary agents. Mice were injected with 1 μg of $^{177}$Lu-HP2 at 4, 8, or 16 h after injection of 50 μg of ZHER2:342-SR-HP1. (D) Increasing quantity of injected $^{177}$Lu-HP2. Mice were injected with 2 and 3 μg of $^{177}$Lu-HP2 16 h after injection of 100 μg of ZHER2:342-SR-HP1. %IA = percentage injected activity.
Treatment started 14 d after tumor implantation, when the average tumor volume was 83 \pm 43 \text{ mm}^3. The mice were randomly divided into 4 groups of 10 animals each. Those in the treatment group were injected with 100 \mu g (7.6 nmol) of ZHER2:342-SR-HP1, followed 16 h later by 3.5 \mu g (0.65 nmol)/16 MBq of 177Lu-HP2 in a 100-\mu L solution containing 2\% bovine serum albumin and 4 mg of Gelofusine. Control group 1 received vehicle alone. To evaluate the effect of nontargeted radiolabeled secondary agent, a control group 3 was injected with 177Lu-HP2 (3.5 \mu g/16 MBq) only. In total, this procedure was repeated 6 times at 7-d intervals. The total injected radioactivity was 96 MBq per mouse.

To confirm tumor targeting, SPECT/CT imaging was performed. Five mice were imaged 30 h after injection of 16 MBq of 177Lu-HP2. Imaging was performed using a nanoScan SC device (Mediso Medical Imaging Systems) under sevoflurane anesthesia. CT acquisitions were performed using a CT energy peak of 50 keV, 670 \mu A, 480 projections, and a 2.3-min acquisition time. SPECT helical scans were acquired with the following parameters: a 177Lu energy window (50.49–61.71, 101.61–124.19, and 187.56–229.24 keV), 110 projections, a 256 \times 256 matrix, and a 20-min acquisition time. CT raw files were reconstructed using Nuclene software (version 2.03; Mediso Medical Imaging Systems). SPECT raw data were reconstructed using Tera-Tomo (Mediso Medical Imaging Systems) 3-dimensional SPECT.

**Histologic and Immunohistochemical Evaluation**

The xenografts and kidneys from each mouse in the therapy experiment were formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded using standard procedures. For histologic evaluation, 4-\mu m sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. To evaluate HER2 expression after therapy, an immunohistochemical evaluation was performed using HercepTest Assay (Dako).

**Statistical Analysis**

Prism software (GraphPad Software, Inc.) was used for statistical analysis. Biodistribution data were analyzed using a 2-tailed unpaired t test to find significant differences (P < 0.05) when 2 groups were compared. When 3 or more groups were compared, a 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni testing for multiple comparisons was applied. Survival data were analyzed using Prism software.

### RESULTS

#### Factors Influencing Pretargeting

The results of the experiments elucidating factors influencing pretargeting are presented in Fig. 2. The dosing and timing used in pretargeting of 111In-HP2 (Fig. 2A) (23) resulted in higher renal uptake than tumor uptake. Coinjection of Gelofusine with 177Lu-HP2 did not decrease renal uptake. A doubling of the 177Lu-HP2–to–ZHER2:342-SR-HP1 molar ratio by decreasing the injected ZHER2:342-SR-HP1 mass significantly reduced (P < 0.05) retention of activity in blood and uptake in lung, liver, and, most importantly, kidneys (Fig. 2B), whereas tumor uptake of 177Lu-HP2 tended to increase. Increasing the interval between injection of ZHER2:342-SR-HP1 and injection of 177Lu-HP2 from 4 to 8 h significantly reduced (P < 0.05) retention of activity in blood and uptake in lung, liver, spleen, and kidneys (Fig. 2C). Renal uptake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>0.3 h</th>
<th>1 h</th>
<th>4 h</th>
<th>24 h</th>
<th>48 h</th>
<th>144 h</th>
<th>216 h</th>
<th>Estimated mean absorbed dose (mGy/MBq)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.019 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.001</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.02</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.01</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salivary gland</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.024 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.033 ± 0.006</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.007</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large intestine</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>12 ± 2</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>3 ± 1</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>205.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney cortex*</td>
<td>267</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor</td>
<td>15 ± 3</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>17 ± 3</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
<td>12 ± 1</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1,075.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.019 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Estimation based on autoradiography data.

Uptake is average percentage injected activity per gram ± SD (n = 5).
was significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) after 16 h than after 8 h. There was no significant difference between tumor uptake when the interval from the $Z_{HER2:342-SR-HP1}$ injection to the $^{177}$Lu-HP2 injection was 4, 8, or 16 h (Fig. 2C). Upscaling experiments (Fig. 2D) showed no significant changes in the biodistribution of $^{177}$Lu-HP2 when the injected mass of both $Z_{HER2:342-SR-HP1}$ and $^{177}$Lu-HP2 was doubled. Further, a 1.5-fold increase in the $^{177}$Lu-HP2 mass did not significantly change tumor or renal uptake but enabled an increase in the radioactivity delivered to tumors.

Tumor uptake of $^{177}$Lu-HP2 with no preinjection of $Z_{HER2:342-SR-HP1}$ was $0.2\% \pm 0.1\%$ of the injected activity per gram, which is significantly lower ($P < 5 \times 10^{-5}$) than after injection of any tested mass of $Z_{HER2:342-SR-HP1}$ at any tested time point. This finding suggests that tumor uptake of $^{177}$Lu-HP2 depends on Affibody-directed PNA-mediated pretargeting.

**Biodistribution and Dosimetry**

Biodistribution and dosimetry data are presented in Table 2. The data demonstrate rapid clearance of $^{177}$Lu-HP2 from most tissues; for example, clearance from blood had a 13-min (range, 10–17 min) half-life. The only tissues with prominent uptake were kidneys and tumors. However, tumor uptake was already 4-fold higher than renal uptake at 1 h after injection, as 84% of renal uptake cleared with a 15-min half-life whereas the tumor clearance half-life was 63 h. The time-integrated activity coefficient per gram of tissue was higher for tumors than for kidneys, blood, and bone, at 5.2-, 254- and 147-fold, respectively. Accordingly, the calculated mean absorbed dose to tumors was appreciably higher than that to any normal organ or tissue (Table 2).

**Experimental Therapy**

Imaging performed during experimental therapy (Fig. 3) demonstrated a high accumulation of $^{177}$Lu in tumors. The radioactivity concentration in kidneys was about 5-fold lower than in tumors, and the concentrations in other tissues were much lower.

Survival data are presented in Figure 4A. Pretargeted therapy clearly improved survival in mice bearing HER2-expressing xenografts. Median survival in the control groups was 32, 37, and 37 d for treatment with $^{177}$Lu-HP2 alone, $Z_{HER2:342-SR-HP1}$ alone, and phosphate-buffered saline, respectively. There was no significant difference in median survival among these groups. Median survival in the group receiving 16 MBq/3.5 μg of $^{177}$Lu-HP2 16 h after 100 μg (7.6 nmol) of $Z_{HER2:342-SR-HP1}$ was 66 d, which was significantly longer ($P < 0.005$) than in any of the control groups. In this group, an animal with a 157-mm$^3$ tumor at the start of therapy showed complete remission at day 61, which lasted until the end of the study (day 95). A detailed investigation of the body of this mouse did not reveal any visceral residual tumor or metastases. The absorbed dose to tumor was 102 Gy.

The pretargeted therapy was well tolerated. The appearance of the skin, fat pads, and eyes did not differ between treated and untreated mice, and there was no behavior indicating pain or suffering. The average animal weight was comparable to that of mice injected with phosphate-buffered saline (Fig. 4B).

**Histologic and Immunohistochemical Evaluation**

Evaluation of kidneys did not indicate any toxic impact by the treatment. The renal parenchymal structures, including glomeruli, tubuli, and vessels, were well preserved (Fig. 5). Histologic analysis of the xenografts showed poorly differentiated cancer with a solid morphology and variable areas of necrosis. Comparison of all 4 treatment groups did not reveal any difference in histologic growth pattern or degree of necrosis (Fig. 5). Immunohistochemical analysis of tumors demonstrated moderate to strong membrane staining for HER2, and the staining pattern revealed no difference in HER2 expression among the 4 treatment arms.

**DISCUSSION**

Pretargeting strategies were initially created to overcome limitations associated with the bulkiness of immunoglobulin-based targeting vectors (1). We (23,28) and others (29) proposed the use of pretargeting to compensate for undesirable high renal reabsorption of small targeting proteins. This study demonstrated that pretargeting can be efficient in reducing renal uptake: under optimized conditions, renal uptake of $^{177}$Lu-HP2 was $4\% \pm 1\%$ of the injected activity per gram at 4 h after injection. This uptake is 70-fold lower than that of $^{177}$Lu-labeled parental Affibody molecules (30).

However, our study highlights some pitfalls in developing pretargeted therapies. For example, renal uptake was higher than...
tumor uptake (Fig. 2A) when we applied the same dosing and timing using $^{177}$Lu-HP2, which provided higher uptake of $^{111}$In-HP2 in tumors than in kidneys (24). This finding was surprising since the data for $^{177}$Lu-HP2 alone (24) demonstrated that renal uptake of $^{177}$Lu-HP2 was not higher than that of $^{111}$In-HP2. Furthermore, Gelofusine was not efficient for reducing renal uptake in pretargeting settings (Fig. 2A) but was efficient for $^{177}$Lu-HP2 alone (24). On the other hand, Gelofusine does not reduce renal uptake of Affibody molecules (17). Thus, $^{177}$Lu-HP2 was most likely taken up by kidneys as an adduct with $Z_{\text{HER2:342}}$-SR-HP1 formed by interaction with residual primary agent in blood. The reduction in renal uptake after an increase in the $^{177}$Lu-HP2/$Z_{\text{HER2:342}}$-SR-HP1 molar ratio confirmed this possibility. The most efficient way to reduce renal uptake was to increase the time between injection of the primary and secondary probes, permitting sufficient clearance of $Z_{\text{HER2:342}}$-SR-HP1 (Fig. 2C). Under optimized conditions, the PNA-mediated pretargeting provided more than a 5-fold higher absorbed dose to tumors than to kidneys. Earlier, we evaluated another approach to Affibody-based pretargeted therapy, the use of a bioorthogonal reaction between trans-cyclooctene and tetrazine (28). In that case, the tumor-absorbed dose exceeded the kidney-absorbed dose by approximately 1.4-fold under optimized conditions. Apparently, the use of PNA–PNA interaction provides much more favorable dosimetry for Affibody-based pretargeting and is preferable.

The efficacy of pretargeted therapy was shown in this study by a significant extension of survival. No pathologic changes in kidneys were observed (Fig. 5). This finding agrees with the current practice of clinical radionuclide therapy, which is given with palliative intent. An increase in absorbed dose rate should increase the efficacy of tumor treatment, as might be achieved by the use of α-emitting $^{225}$Ac or no-carrier-added $^{177}$Lu.

Our approach can easily be applied to other ESPs. The use of an optimized protocol (24) should provide efficient ligation of hybridization probes to any ESP containing an LPXTG tag, potentially enabling development of pretargeted radionuclide therapy based on ESPs having high renal reabsorption, such as fibronectin domains. In all cases, site-specific coupling of HP1 would provide well-defined homogeneous constructs with reproducible biodistribution.

### CONCLUSION

Optimization of the timing and dose of injection of Affibody molecules containing a PNA-based hybridization probe and a $^{177}$Lu-labeled complementary probe enabled delivery of a tumor-absorbed dose that exceeded the dose absorbed by kidneys. Affibody-based PNA-mediated pretargeted radionuclide therapy significantly increased survival in mice bearing human HER2-expressing cancer xenografts.

### DISCLOSURE

This research was financially supported by grants from the Swedish Cancer Society, the Swedish Research Council, the ProNova VINN Excellence Centre for Protein Technology, and the Swedish Agency for Innovation, Vinnova. No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

### REFERENCES


Radionuclide Therapy of HER2-Expressing Human Xenografts Using Affibody-Based Peptide Nucleic Acid Mediated Pretargeting: In Vivo Proof of Principle

Kristina Westerlund, Mohamed Altai, Bogdan Mitran, Mark Konijnenberg, Maryam Oroujeni, Christina Atterby, Marion de Jong, Anna Orlova, Johanna Mattsson, Patrick Micke, Amelie Eriksson Karlström and Vladimir Tolmachev

Published online: February 9, 2018.
Doi: 10.2967/jnumed.118.208348

This article and updated information are available at:
http://jnm.snmjournals.org/content/59/7/1092

Information about reproducing figures, tables, or other portions of this article can be found online at:
http://jnm.snmjournals.org/site/misc/permission.xhtml

Information about subscriptions to JNM can be found at:
http://jnm.snmjournals.org/site/subscriptions/online.xhtml