The Global Burden of Low Back Pain

1. Low back pain is a common global problem

Global Burden of Disease studies have defined low back pain (LBP) as “pain in the area on the posterior aspect of the body from the lower margin of the twelfth ribs to the lower gluteal folds with or without pain referred into one or both lower limbs that lasts for at least one day”[1].

Low back pain is a common global problem. The point prevalence of low back pain (LBP) in 2017 was estimated to be about 7.5% of the global population, or around 577.0 million people [2].

LBP has been the leading cause of years lived with disability (YLDs) since 1990 [2] and remains a significant global public health concern.

2. Low back pain is not usually associated with a specific identifiable pathoanatomical cause.

85-95% of people presenting to primary care providers do not have a specific identifiable pathoanatomical origin for their pain [3].

The proportion of people presenting to primary care with a specific identifiable cause of LBP is estimated to be 0-7-4.5% with osteoporotic vertebral fractures, 5% with inflammatory spondyloarthopathies*, 0.0-0.7% with malignancy, and 0.01% with infections [3].

3. Low back pain is the leading cause of global disability.

The global burden of disability associated with LBP has been increasing since 1990.

Disability associated with LBP increased in all age groups between 1990 and 2019 and was greatest in the 50-54 age group in 2019. Approximately 70% of years lost through disability were in working aged people (20-65 years) [5].

4. The number of people with low back pain is increasing as the global population increases and ages.

There have been increases in both the number of people living with LBP and the prevalence of LBP in all age groups from 1990 to 2017. Although the prevalence of LBP increases with increasing age until 80-89 years, the greatest number of people with LBP globally are currently in the 50-54 year age group [5].

The overall increase in the burden of LBP is likely to be driven by ageing and an increasing population, however there may be other contributing factors [2].
5. Low back pain does not always result in disability

It is estimated that fewer than 1 in 3 people living with chronic LBP have associated substantial restriction of participation in work, social activities, and self-care activities for 6 months or more (high-impact LBP) [6, 7, 8].

Although less than 28% of people with LBP have severe disability, they account for 77% of all disability caused by low back pain [9].

6. A biopsychosocial framework improves the understanding and management of LBP.

Despite evidence that biological, psychological and social factors influence LBP and associated disability, the global burden of LBP is increasing. Further research is needed to determine whether the biopsychosocial approach, its application, or both require modifying [10].

The management of LBP includes consideration of surgical, interventional, pharmacological, physical, psychological, educational and supported self-management modalities.

The management of LBP should involve the integration of the best available evidence, clinician expertise, patient values and expectations, and community resources.

7. Costs associated with low back pain are associated with health care utilization and loss of work productivity

Studies in European countries indicate the total costs associated with low back pain varies between 0.1-2% of gross domestic product [11, 12]. The costs associated with low back pain in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) are largely unknown. The costs associated with loss of productivity are likely to be substantial [13] given the overall prevalence of chronic low back pain in LMICs is estimated to be around 52% in workers [14, 15]

Over 80% of the total costs attributable to LBP are due to indirect costs such as loss of productivity and disability payments in countries that have functioning social welfare systems [16, 17].

Non-adherence to LBP treatment guidelines is possibly associated with increased direct healthcare costs. Patients who obtain early imaging or surgery for LBP without exhausting conservative therapies account for a disproportionate amount of total costs associated with LBP [18].

8. Factors associated with high impact low back pain

There are many factors associated with LBP and disability, including biological, psychological, social and societal factors. These factors seem to be important in low- and high-income societies [19].

Factors that are consistently reported to be associated with disability and high societal costs of chronic LBP include older age, poor general health, increased psychological or psychosocial stress, worse baseline functional disability, sciatica, and the presence of compensation [20]. Social determinants of
health with moderate to large effects on poor LBP disability outcomes include “socioeconomic deprivation,” low income, unemployment, and occupational factors (manual lifting, working overtime, and lack of supporting staff) [21].

9. Public education and low back pain

Public health strategies may be important in bridging the gap between research findings and public perceptions and expectations regarding the nature and management of back pain [22] and can be successfully aimed at primary school children and their parents [23, 24].

Public mass media campaigns have resulted in modest changes in both short- and long-term societal beliefs regarding LBP [25] but may have little sustained impact on health care utilization or disability outcomes [26]. The impact of campaigns may depend on cultural and contextual factors, as well as ongoing exposure (“top-up” campaigns) [27]. Campaigns should be developed in partnership with people living with LBP [22].

10. Models of care and low back pain

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines high-quality care as “care that is safe, effective, people-centred, timely, efficient, equitable and integrated”. The aim is to maximize health outcomes, prevent disability, and reduce costs [28]. The Global Spinal Care Initiative developed a model of care, based on WHO principles, that aims to transform spine care globally, particularly in LMICs [29].

LBP-specific strategies have been proposed to meet these goals, including stepped care guidelines which direct increases in intensity of treatments if initial treatments fail, and stratified care guidelines which direct the intensity of initial treatments depending on predicted outcomes. Both models can improve health and cost outcomes, particularly in primary care, but their success may depend on cross-cultural differences in implementation and adherence, and their ability to adapt to people with differing LBP disability trajectories [30, 31, 32, 33].

It has been suggested however that a more global approach be used to managing the burden of LBP, particularly in LMICs, by integrating the management of chronic conditions within processes to improve overall health care, rather than duplicating efforts and wasting limited resources by developing approaches based on individual conditions [35, 36].

11. Social determinants of health, intersectoral collaboration and low back pain.

Low back pain is a “wicked” problem, i.e. socially complex, multi-causal with many interdependencies, with no clear solution and beyond the responsibility of any one organization or government department [37]. Understanding and dealing with interactions between chronic pain and social determinants of health involves considering sectors beyond the domain of the health sector, such as the education, employment, youth and aged services, indigenous affairs, environment and finance sectors.
The WHO Health in All Policies approach may facilitate intersectoral engagement and co-operation in the development of policy aimed at addressing the global burden of LBP [38]. Whether LBP is best dealt with by specific public health policies, within frameworks of national pain strategies, or a combination of both is yet to be determined.
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