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Introduction

(Sw) Project Management: Common Problems (Req’s)

What the customer asked for
What the project manager understood
How analysts projected it
What programmers did
What consultant said it was needed

How the project was documented
What was effectively installed
What the customer had to pay
How maintenance was run
What the customer would have needed
Introduction

(Sw) Project Management: Common Problems (Staffing)
Introduction

Agility: Background

• “Old” paradigms to be overcome during the ’90s
  ✓ Shorter time-to-market
  ✓ More compressed project schedules
  ✓ Requirements more and more unstable
  ✓ **Heavyweight** vs **lightweight** (or **agile**) methods/approaches

• “Agile” Methods (AM) as the new paradigm for Sw Development
  ✓ A more flexible answer to manage projects
  ✓ Common characteristics and ways to express an iterative-incremental SLC
  ✓ Plenty of methods between mid ’90 and the start of Y2K, grouped under the [Agile Alliance](#) umbrella
    - XP, SCRUM, FDD, DSDM, Crystal, ...
Introduction

Agility: Definition & Research Issue

- Agility does not mean “quicker”, “faster” but...

Q: ...but what about estimation practices in Agile Methods?
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**Agile Methodologies (AM)**

**A Musical Analogy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Symphonic Orchestra</strong></th>
<th><strong>Jazz Group</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heavyweight</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Lightweight-Agile</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project</strong></td>
<td>• Each music session is a replay of a “production series”</td>
<td>• Each music session is a sort of “prototype”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Process</strong></td>
<td>• Structured process in a rigid manner (following the music partition ‘as is’ in the music book)</td>
<td>• Dynamic and adaptive process (<em>no music book</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Team</strong></td>
<td>• Many people well synchronized with actions</td>
<td>• Tight-knit team with few (<em>and skillful</em>) musicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output</strong></td>
<td>• Stable and predictable outcomes</td>
<td>• Improvised and variable, but staying with established beats</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agile Methodologies (AM)
The Agile Manifesto (2001)

“We are uncovering better ways of developing software by doing it and helping others do it. Through this work we have come to value:

**Individuals and interactions** over processes and tools

**Working software** over comprehensive documentation

**Customer collaboration** over contract negotiation

**Responding to change** over following a plan

That is, while there is value in the items on the right, we value the items on the left more”

Source: www.agilemanifesto.org
Agile Methodologies (AM)

Agile and SLC Phases Coverage

Adaptive software development
Agile modeling
Crystal family of methodologies
Dynamic systems development method
Extreme Programming
Feature-driven development
Open source software
Pragmatic programming
Rational unified process
Scrum

Concept creation Requirements specification Design Code Unit test Integration test System test Acceptance test System in use

## Agile Methodologies (AM)

### Agile and SPI Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ML</th>
<th>Sw-CMM KPA</th>
<th>Rating Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>XP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RM - Requirements management</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPP - Software project planning</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPTO - Software project tracking and oversight</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SSM - Software subcontract management</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SQA - Software quality assurance</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SCM - Software configuration management</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>OPF - Organization process focus</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>OPD - Organization process definition</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TP - Training Program</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ISM - Integrated software management</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SPE - Software product engineering</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IC - Intergroup co-ordination</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PR - Peer reviews</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>QPM – Quantitative Project Management</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SQM – Software Quality Management</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DP – Defect Prevention</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TCM – Technology Change Management</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PCM – Process Change Management</td>
<td>☁</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:** ☁ Partially addressed; ☀ Largely addressed; ☹ Not addressed
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Estimation Issues in AM

XP – eXtreme Programming

- **(Functional) Requirement = User Stories (US)**
  - Non-technical Language
  - Focus only on the Functional Side of Requirements
  - US is not a Use Case (UC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>US Title</th>
<th>Implementation Priority</th>
<th>Relative Productivity/Estimation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title: Waiting State</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AccTest: checkOptions0</td>
<td>Priority: 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Story Points: 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the Coffee Maker is not in use it waits for user input. There are six different options of user input: 1) add recipe, 2) delete a recipe, 3) edit a recipe, 4) add inventory, 5) check inventory, and 6) purchase beverage.

**Source:** [open.ncsu.edu/se/tutorials/coffee_maker/](open.ncsu.edu/se/tutorials/coffee_maker/).
Estimation Issues in AM

XP – eXtreme Programming

- **Relative Productivity / Estimation concepts:**
  - **Story Point** → a (variable) no. of days needed to realize the US
  - **Ideal Time** → “the time without interruption where you can concentrate on your work and feel fully productive”
  - **Velocity** → “to measure the project velocity you simply count up how many user stories or how many programming tasks were finished during the iteration. Total up the estimates that these stories or tasks receive”
  - **Load Factor** → “The load factor equals actual calendar days to complete a task divided by the developer's estimated "ideal" days to do it”

- **Planning Game**

  ![Planning Game Diagram]

**Summarizing…:**

- XP estimates by experience/analogy, estimating the effort needed mainly based on the request of series of functionalities
From ASD to APM
- SCRUM is an Agile Project Management (APM) method
- SCRUM is a general-purpose APM, not only for software projects!

The Process

SCRUM

Estimation Issues in AM
Estimation Issues in AM

SCRUM

- Planning / Monitoring / Estimation concepts:
  - Backlog → two levels: product (project) & Sprint (30-days iteration)
  - Features → User Req’s to be allocated and worked
  - Micro-Planning → within each Sprint, a WBS with detailed task
  - Daily Meeting → the (daily) progress meeting for the project (risk mgmt)
  - Burndown chart → a graph “burning” the total hours of a Sprint
  - NB: no sizing measure!
**Estimation Issues in AM**

**SCRUM**

**Summarizing...:**

- SCRUM estimates by experience/analogy, estimating directly the effort (in man-days or man-hours) for tracking both product and Sprints using the *burndown chart* that is, a graph showing how much time remains to complete the feature/tasks planned in such iteration, describing the project “velocity” the team is running.
Estimation Issues in AM
Crystal Family

- **Crystal is a family of ASD**
  - Two criteria: Size of the Project & Criticality of the System

![Crystal Family Diagram]

- Criticality of the system
  - Life (L)
  - Essential money (E)
  - Discretionary money (D)
  - Comfort (C)

- Size of the project
  - Clear
  - Yellow
  - Orange
  - Red

# people involved
Planning / Monitoring / Estimation concepts:

- **Blitz Planning** (technique #3) → the Crystal equivalent of XP User Stories, called **Blitz Planning Cards**
- **Delphi Analysis** (technique #4) → asd
- **Burn Charts** (technique #9) → both *burn-up* (as in EVMS) and *burn-down* (as in Scrum)
- **Ideal Days** → estimated as in XP

Summarizing...:

- Crystal estimates by *experience/analogy*, estimating directly the effort (in ideal days to be refined into Estimated Elapsed Days) using Delphi analysis by some experts in the domain on the basis of counting content elements of such specific task (i.e. number of screens, business classes, ...).
- The project progress is tracked, as in Scrum, with “Burn” Charts
Estimation Issues in AM
FDD – Feature Driven Development

- From ASD to APM
  - Structured into a series of processes (current version: v1.3)
  - Process #2: Build the (functional-business) features list
  - Process #3: Plan by Feature

- The Overall Process

```
Develop an Overall Model → Build a Features List → Plan By Feature → Design By Feature → Build By Feature
```
Estimation Issues in AM

FDD – Feature Driven Development

- **Planning / Monitoring / Estimation concepts:**
  - Feature → User Requirement
  - Feature Duration →
    - no longer than 2 weeks each to be completed
    - If longer, to be split into more granular ones
  - Plan by Feature → Process #3: it includes completion dates for each planned feature (month/year), properly assigned to team members

**Summarizing...:**

- FDD estimates by experience/analogy, estimating directly the effort (in man-days or man-hours) for tracking planned features
Estimation Issues in AM
DSDM – Dynamic Systems Development Method

- **SLC Characteristics**
  - Three Main Stages (Pre-Project; Project; Post-Project)
  - Several Iterations within the project lifecycle
  - Prototype-based method

- **The Process**
Estimation Issues in AM
DSDM – Dynamic Systems Development Method

- **Planning / Monitoring / Estimation concepts:**
  - NFR ➔ DSDM takes care also of Non-Functional Req’s
  - Four major (estimation) phases *(Pre-Project, Planning at Project Start, (re)Planning during the Project lifetime, Planning at the increment end)*
  - Usage of **Contingencies**
  - Two estimation approaches:
    - Top-Down: based on business requirements, an early estimate is derived from high-level requirements, from a very high-level view on the project (i.e. estimation by analogy).
    - Bottom-up: based on tangible systems, figuring out for low-level components, take time to be prepared, need sufficiently detailed information, provide a good basis for planning the project (i.e. Function Point Analysis, Estimating from System Components, Collaborative Estimating).

**Summarizing...:**

- DSDM estimates by experience/analogy, estimating directly the effort (in man-days or man-hours) for tracking planned features **but** taking care of NFR and data gathering *(“it is essential to collect metrics from projects so that they can be validated and refined for use in estimating future projects, i.e. to provide continuous improvement of the estimating process”)*
Estimation Issues in AM
Other Studies - Proposals

• **The Funnel of Increasing Accuracy (R.Thomsett)**
  - “Radical” Project Management approach
  - WBS-based, through wide-band Delphi estimates and Sensitivity Analysis (best, likely, worst cases)
  - Estimation “adjusted” according to quality’s requirements

---

**Summarizing...**:

• Radical PM estimates always by experience/analogy, estimating directly the effort (in man-days or man-hours) **but** takes care of quality requirements as further filter towards the final estimated value
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Discussion Estimation Practices in AM
Main (missing) points identified

1 NFR: Non-Functional Requirements
- the focus of AM on evaluating features, user stories, or more general high-level user requirements mainly from a functional viewpoint does not include the non-functional perspective of the projects.
- explicitly, only few methods such as DSDM propose in their practices to consider them for estimation and planning purposes.

2 Sizing Units
- general AM approach to estimation experienced-based, mainly founded on functional analysis and not supported by historical data.
- effort and schedule are the time-related expressions corresponding to “how much” work will be performed; they do not mention the functional requirements measurement with a recognized quantitative measurement method. In this AM approach to estimation, size is only considered as “implicit” in the estimators’ minds.
- Story Points and Velocity concepts represent a “delivery rate” based only on the experience and knowledge of such particular team, applicable with success in small and stable teams. But it is much more challenging in larger organizations where the composition of a project team is not necessarily as stable over time.
Discussion Estimation Practices in AM
Main (missing) points emerged

3 Historical Data
✓ the practice to collect data from projects is not required by most AM (except for DSDM).

4 Standards
✓ each methodology and each team applying a certain AM uses its own definitions;
✓ adequate measurements require to be based on standards and require inputs with a validated, quality level.
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Conclusions

• **Agile Methods (AM)** represent interesting solutions for projects with unstable requirements, iterative SLC, short-term milestones and small teams.

• Only in the last five years, attention was shifted from ASD to APM (*Agile Project Management*). Through this interest, planning and scheduling practices have been tailored to agile methodologies, but with much less attention to the estimation process.

• AM still being a young approach to software development, much work remains to be done to improve the way AM manage estimates, including tailoring relevant practices from well-established and proven “heavyweight” methodologies.

• Next steps will include analyses of the impact on size, effort and productivity of non-functional requirements in agile projects to improve estimates right from the Requirement Elicitation phase using, for example, a new User Story structure called **US²** (2nd generation of User Stories).

**Coby’s Observation:**

*Math is a fact, but problem data quite often are not “facts”*
Q & A
Grazie! Thank you!

luigi.buglione@computer.org, alain.abran@etsmtl.ca