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1. Introduction

What are the five global brands with the most significant impact? Most of us would probably name well-established brands such as Coca-Cola and McDonald’s. Broadchannel Readers’ Choice December 2004 Interbrand Survey listed the pan-Arab news network Al Jazeera as the fifth most influential global brand, just after Apple, Google, IKEA, and Starbucks.

Al Jazeera exemplifies the growing international attention the Middle East TV industry is receiving and underscores its particularly buoyant state. With already more than 150 free-to-air-TV (FTV) channels available to most households via direct-to-the-home (DTH) technology, industry leaders such as MBC, LBC, Rotana, Al Jazeera, and Saudi TV are committing substantial investments to create a strong portfolio of channels and secure attractive programming rights.

At the same time, the Middle East pay-TV (PTV) industry has harnessed lower attention despite its vibrant growth during the past 4 years. Showtime, ART, and Orbit, the region’s three PTV operators, have made considerable investments to improve the quality of their value proposition and to strengthen their marketing and sales efforts.

High-profile initial public offering (IPO) plans for Al Jazeera, Rotana, and Showtime will also continue to fuel interest and change in this dynamic industry.

From our perspective, the FTV and PTV sectors are coming to a crossroad, with potentially drastic changes ahead. The combination of massive investments, oversupply of FTV channels, greater financial transparency and objectivity imposed by shareholders and capital market authorities post-IPO, and discontinuities in technology and regulations will most likely drive fundamental changes in the industry’s landscape and economics.

In this strategic review, Booz Allen Hamilton provides its perspective on the current structure and growth outlook of the FTV and PTV sectors in the Middle East — with a specific emphasis on the latter. This review is focused on the pan-Arab region, specifically on its core markets, here defined as Egypt, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), Kuwait, and the United Arab Emirates (UAE).

The insights from this document draw on Booz Allen’s extensive consulting experience in the TV industry in the Middle East and, more generally speaking, globally. Booz Allen has also conducted a series of interviews with selected executives of the TV industry in the region. Quantitative and qualitative analyses also relied on information from a TNS PTV market sizing primary research, Arab Advisor Group research papers, PARC/MAC ratings, and advertising spending surveys, to name a few.

This report is based on fieldwork completed in August 2005.
The Middle East audio-visual market, with over 190 million inhabitants, is particularly large and attractive for broadcasters and advertisers. Strong population increase coupled with continued economic development provides robust growth perspectives. In addition, demand for and consumption of TV broadcasting is significantly stronger than in most developed countries.

Despite attractive fundamentals, demand for audio-visual content is however increasingly challenging to address for broadcasters and advertisers. First, demand is significantly less homogeneous than a common language, culture and religion may suggest. TV consumption behaviors and preferences actually present significant differences across traditional demographic segments, countries and ethnic origins. Second, TV preferences are increasingly discerning and rapidly evolving — driven by the young and affluent now reaching adulthood.

To address this attractive yet challenging consumer TV demand, the Middle East FTV sector has been literally burgeoning. DTH households, which constitute the bulk of the population, now benefit from an overwhelming line-up of over 150 channels. Recently, FTV broadcasters have committed significant investments to reinforce and modernize the quality of TV programming. From our perspective, the FTV sector’s buoyancy is however unsustainable over the longer term. Irrational ad sales practices and an evident over-supply of TV channels are resulting in most TV channels being subsidized by their owners.

In this context, PTV has attracted lower attention, despite its strong subscriber growth over the last four years — reaching an average of 40% per annum. PTV penetration however still stands at a modest 5%, but with marked differences between countries such as Egypt (3%) or the UAE (29%). Against this relatively small market of around 1,020 K subscribers, the sector is, by international standards, relatively fragmented with three operators — ART, Showtime and Orbit. Addressing specific customer segments, each of these operators has adopted distinct market positioning. ART, for example, is strong on Arabic movies and sports content, whilst Showtime is the uncontested leader in Western movies and fiction.

PTV’s longer-term growth perspectives will be driven by attractive fundamentals — strong socio-economic growth coupled with a young population coming to adulthood, with increasingly discerning requirements for audio-visual content. In addition, PTV operators have strong growth potential in relatively untapped countries such as KSA or middle to low socio-economic segments (C and D) — which are typically the heart of PTV subscribers in developed markets.

Despite this strong growth potential, PTV’s development presents significant uncertainties, shaped by the evolution of the FTV sector. Specifically, PTV’s growth depends directly on the uncertain rationalization of the FTV sector in the short-term and on PTV operators’ ability to further strengthen their premium content programming. Whilst risks of increased FTV exuberance remain, we believe that rationalization will prevail. Emerging signs, such as transfers of FTV premium content to PTV (e.g., Al Jazeera’s premium sports) and a growing emphasis on economic performance by FTV broadcasters, in part driven by planned IPOs, are encouraging.
The Middle East is among the most dynamic developing regions of the world. Its mostly oil and gas–fuelled economies have allowed significant progress during the past decades which, combined with rapid population growth, have created an increasingly attractive market for advertisers and broadcasters. Looking forward, the demand for entertainment in the region will remain strong and focused on TV. Nevertheless, having been shaped by the family environment for generations, consumer preferences are now rapidly evolving and fragmenting, creating in the process new challenges as well as opportunities for broadcasters.

The Middle East offers a particularly large and fast-growing market

The Middle East is a particularly important market given its sheer size and growth prospects. With its 190 million inhabitants and 36 million households in 2005(1), the Middle East corresponds roughly to the size of Western Europe.

Looking forward, Booz Allen believes that the Middle East offers particularly attractive socioeconomic fundamentals for broadcasters and advertisers. In Egypt, the KSA, Kuwait, and the UAE, the population is growing fast by international standards, with a 2.3% household annual growth expected during the next 5 years, which provides a solid basis for further economic growth. Rising oil prices and increasing diversification of revenue sources have been fuelling real GDP growth of approximately 1.6% p.a. in the past 5 years and approximately 2.1% in the past year. Long-term real GDP growth is expected to reach 2.9% with, of course, some risks stemming from potential oil price declines or political tensions.

The Middle East is experiencing fundamental changes in the socioeconomic structure of its population. Strong economic growth is gradually favoring the advent of a larger middle class — with, however, still significant differences across countries. That will create a market of approximately 13 million households with medium to high income levels (socioeconomic segments ABC) in Egypt, the KSA, Kuwait, and the UAE by 2015. In parallel, the numbers of young adults in these populations will grow and, by 2015, the share of the population over 25

---

(1): Bahrain, Egypt, Jordan, KSA, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Qatar, Sudan, Syria, UAE, and Yemen
could reach 65% in the UAE, 63% in Kuwait, 51% in Egypt, and 49% in the KSA, driven mainly by the transition of today’s young teenagers to adulthood.

Furthermore, the region exhibits a common language and relatively compatible cultures and buying behaviors. It offers a particularly large and promising market for broadcasters and advertisers. The region presents, however, relatively heterogeneous populations in each country, particularly in Kuwait and the UAE, in which a large share of the population is composed of Arab, Asian, or Western expatriates.

---

**Exhibit 2: Estimates of Populations Structures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/B/C Households (&lt;i&gt;n&lt;/i&gt; in Thousands)</th>
<th>Number of A/B/C Households (&lt;i&gt;n&lt;/i&gt; = 300)</th>
<th>ABC Share of Total Households</th>
<th>Total Population (in Thousands)</th>
<th>Total Population GDP / Capita (U.S.$)</th>
<th>Total Population PPP GDP / Capita (U.S.$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>8,927</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>1,048</td>
<td>5,546</td>
<td>4,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSA</td>
<td>2,528</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
<td>9,441</td>
<td>12,848</td>
<td>11,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE</td>
<td>1,089</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
<td>21,300</td>
<td>22,813</td>
<td>22,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>77.0%</td>
<td>22,416</td>
<td>17,738</td>
<td>14,552</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 3: Population Breakdown by Origin (in %)**

- **Egypt** (2000): 99% Egyptians, 1% Asians
- **KSA** (2005): 73% Egyptians, 15% Asians, 12% Expatriates
- **Kuwait** (2005): 41% Egyptians, 19% Asians, 38% Expatriates
- **UAE** (2005): 30% Egyptians, 52% Asians, 15% Expatriates

Source: Euromonitor, UAE Ministry of Planning, Booz Allen Hamilton Analysis
Infotainment consumption is high and skewed towards TV

Leisure and entertainment consumption in the Middle East is high; and associated expenditures account for nearly 4% of the PPP GDP per capita in Egypt, 5% in Kuwait, 7% in the KSA, and 10% in the UAE. This entertainment consumption is particularly skewed towards audiovisual content — for both cultural and structural reasons. A lifestyle favoring close family ties combined with harsh weather conditions reduces the emphasis on outdoor leisure activities. A culture of oral communication with relatively low propensity for reading also favors audiovisual entertainment.

In fact, the Middle East offers particularly strong penetration of audiovisual equipment. Multichannel FTV — mainly through satellite distribution — already reaches approximately 90% of households in the KSA, the UAE, and Kuwait and 35% in Egypt. The penetration of equipment in TV, DVD/VHS, or video games in the GCC is in line with Western Europe and U.S. levels — with a considerable share of households in the region with two or more TV sets.
Pivotal to family life, TV consumption is traditionally strong and concentrated

As the centerpiece of family life and entertainment, TV consumption in the region is indeed substantially higher than in other parts of the world, especially in the KSA and Kuwait. With lower Internet and, more important, lower broadband penetration, TV consumption in the region has faced substantially lower cannibalization from online use than in the United States or Europe. Looking forward, TV cannibalization from broadband use is expected to be modest, given the low penetration rates expected for broadband in the Middle East in the near term.

Middle Eastern TV viewership preferences are fragmented and evolving towards more progressive content

General-interest channels have traditionally and quite successfully focused on targeting family audiences. Cases in point are pan-Arab channels such as MBC and LBC and local terrestrial channels such as Channel 1 and 2 in Egypt, whose programming combines a wide range of popular genres including movies, series, game, and variety shows in addition to news. Although local programming genres such as Arabic series and local news remain widely popular, viewers in the region are increasingly drawn to foreign programming, such as sitcoms and Western movies. Given this straddled pattern of viewership, adopted Western formats that combine Western programming styles with local content elements are immensely popular. “Who Wants to Be a Millionaire” on MBC, “Star Academy” on LBC, and “Pop Idol” on Future TV all generated record viewership across the region. Equally successful are the Western-inspired programs such as “Kalam el Nawaem” and “123 Cook”. The scarcity of high-quality local content is further strengthening the popularity of Western and Western-inspired content and shaping the long-term viewership preferences in the region.

The region’s high TV consumption is particularly concentrated on specific periods. Daily viewership is concentrated during an extended prime time, which lasts from 6:00 pm until midnight and is later and longer than in Europe, where typically prime time starts at 6:00 pm and ends at 10:00 pm. TV viewership also peaks during the Holy month of Ramadan, when family and social life intensifies in the evenings after the break of the fast. Families and friends gather around TV to watch a variety of highly popular Arabic series and game shows. As a result, Ramadan captures a particularly significant share of annual advertising revenues.

(2): Based on the U.S. talk show, “The Views” and the U.K. cooking show, “Ready Steady Cook”, respectively

---

Exhibit 6: TV Consumption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSA - mid 2005</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait - mid 2005</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt - 2004</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE - mid 2005</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Household with broadband access: 83 Min +61% Household with narrowband access: 85 Min +144%

Impact on Time Dedicated to TV (Min/Day/Household Indexed on Average Households, U.S., 2002)

Household with broadband access: 83 Min -12% Household with narrowband access: 51 Min -9%

All households: 100 Min

(1) Data are for people over 10 in Finland, 12 in Austria, Denmark and Norway, 13 in the Netherlands, 14 in Germany, 15 in France, Italy, the KSA, the UAE, Kuwait and Egypt, 16 in UK and Italy, 18 in the UAS and 20 in Turkey
Source: Stat Ipso, IP Deutschland, Mediametrie, Booz Allen Hamilton Analysis
Given these general trends, TV preferences differ significantly across traditional demographic segments (children, teenagers, housewives younger than 50, men, the elderly). Men, for example, typically are more interested in news, sports, and political talk shows, whereas women prefer fashion, social talk shows, and religious programs. Younger viewers express clear preferences for music, series, and movies.

TV preferences of the affluent young audiences are also characterized by a rising affinity for progressive programming and underscore the Middle Eastern viewers’ growing preference for Western-type content. Middle Eastern music video channels such as Rotana Clips and Melody Hits almost instantly generated significant ratings following their launch by offering progressive music programming. Viewers of the relatively liberal LBC in the KSA are indeed concentrated in the 20 to 35 age group, whereas interest in the more traditional Saudi Channel 1 tends to increase with age. The same trend is consistently observed across the Middle East to varying degrees.

Exhibit 8: Films, Series and News Are the Preferred Type of Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Share of Total Rating Points by Type of Program (KSA and Pan-Arab, 2004)²</th>
<th>Share of Advertising Spend by Type of Program (KSA and Pan-Arab, 2004)²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serials</td>
<td>Variety - Ent²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety - Ent²</td>
<td>Serials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movies</td>
<td>News</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>News</td>
<td>Movies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural</td>
<td>Sports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports</td>
<td>Variety - Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety - Social</td>
<td>Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>Religious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious</td>
<td>Lifestyle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Includes Abu Dhabi TV, Al Arabiya, Al Jazeera, Dream TV, Dream 2, Dubai TV, ESC, Future TV, LBC, Mazzika, MBC, MBC2, Mehwar, Melody Hits, Rotana, Saudi TV1 and 2, Saudi TV3 Sports, Sudan Satellite, Syria Satellite
(2) Varieties – Entertainment stands for Comedies, Local Programs and Varieties

Source: STARCOM, MAC TV Research, PARC, Booz Allen Hamilton Analysis
Middle East teenagers and young adults are therefore growing up with higher exposure to Western content, a wider variety of channels, and access to more progressive TV content than their parents. Consequently, their appetite for higher quality and in particular, Western modern content, is greater. Similarly to their European counterparts, this younger segment of viewers is also particularly prone to interactive services developed around TV. In fact, the 2005 Middle East market for SMS2TV chat services is estimated at about U.S.$80 million, with youth accounting for more than 60%. It is no surprise that today more than 30 different channels in the Middle East offer a constant stream of SMS2TV, interactive services, and mobile personalization downloads, and future technology progress will continue to support that.

This young generation of viewers is also driving a more individual consumption of TV, particularly in higher socioeconomic classes in which multi-TV equipment is extensive. With today’s younger generation of viewers accounting for a growing share of the population and progressively forming the nucleus of future households, its TV preferences are shaping future consumer demand.

**Consumer TV expectations and use patterns are heterogeneous across countries**

Beyond the typical TV viewership differences across demographics, the Middle East is relatively heterogeneous in TV use preferences across countries — with nuances that are particularly important for broadcasters and advertisers to acknowledge. A segmentation of TV viewers from recent primary market research conducted by TNS and presented hereafter can be drawn to highlight national specificities.

In the KSA, TV is still the centerpiece of family entertainment with consequently higher preferences for general-entertainment Arabic programs and general-interest channels. In fact, the KSA market is composed mostly of ‘usual TV users’ and
'TV addicts' whose preferences are skewed towards these formats, with a strong interest also for movies and music for the latter. Given that bias towards general-entertainment content and the size of the KSA market, the vast majority of general-interest pan-Arab FTV channels are targeted at it. The terrestrial TV offering in the KSA still has a strong following among older and more traditional segments, but has lost significant share of young viewers to general-interest pan-Arab FTV competitors.
In the UAE, TV preferences are more fragmented and liberal because of its more heterogeneous population — with sizable segments of high-income Western, Asian, and subcontinent expatriates. Fragmentation of demand is further accentuated by the more individual TV use patterns of the expatriate segments. Compared with the KSA, a higher share of the population expresses sophisticated TV use patterns in the UAE. ‘TV addicts’ are less numerous and viewers are more interested in selected high-quality content — for example, action movies and extreme sports. In Kuwait, the same phenomenon is observed, but across a wider span of income segments, increasing the propensity to subscribe to PTV.

Egypt presents a more homogeneous population and stands apart from the higher-income Gulf countries from both economic development and cultural perspectives. Lower satellite penetration combined with an extensive government-owned terrestrial TV offering, which enjoys a captive supply of the latest Arabic series from the government-controlled studios, contributes to a fairly homogenous demand landscape. TV preferences are naturally focused on the locally produced and terrestrially distributed Arabic general family entertainment, for example, series or varieties. However, Egyptian TV viewers are relatively more liberal and prefer more music and movie genres compared with the KSA and nonexpatriates in the UAE and Kuwait. That suggests significant latent demand for more progressive TV content in Egypt, currently suppressed by the unaffordability of multichannel FTV and PTV and the scarcity of targeted progressive content.

Overall, the Middle East offers a large and growing market for media players and advertisers. But, beyond the language and relative cultural homogeneity, regional TV demand is far more fragmented across segments and countries than initially meets the eye. Additionally, TV preferences are changing rapidly — with increasing sophistication due to the new consumption habits of the younger generations.
In response to the growing yet fragmented demand for audiovisual content, the Middle East TV industry has invested aggressively to develop an extensive programming lineup. Oversupply, nontransparent commercial practices, and until now limited economic rationality are, however, casting dark clouds over the financial sustainability of most FTV networks. Booz Allen believes that the TV industry is now at a crossroads — with substantial discontinuities ahead.

FTV programming is burgeoning — both in quantity and quality

During the past decade, the number of TV channels in the Middle East has exploded — from 18 channels in 1993 to more than 150 at present. Most TV households today, with the exception of Egypt to some extent, can access a particularly comprehensive lineup of both generalist and thematic channels at no running cost, through the simple acquisition of a satellite dish.

Beyond the quantitative growth in TV offerings, FTV broadcasters have committed significant investments to improve programming quality and innovation. The first major salvo in the quest for consumer eyeballs probably started with news and other formats covering sociopolitical topics. Following the path of Al Jazeera, other prominent players such as Al Arabiya and Abu Dhabi TV created a credible and attractive newscast. For example, Abu Dhabi TV’s ratings peaked as viewers felt that its newscast excelled in covering the Iraq war. Following the introduction of news channels, three strategic plays have emerged among FTV players.

On one front, leading FTV networks such as MBC or Al Jazeera are developing an extensive lineup of thematic channels to capitalize on the transition from family to individual TV viewership. For example, MBC, an emerging leader in the Middle East FTV industry, traditionally relied on its flagship generalist channel to provide entertainment programs for the entire Arab family. The channel is particularly strong in the KSA, where its progressive programming and cultural fit appeals to the general public. During the past 2 years, MBC complemented its general entertainment channel with a Western movie channel (MBC2), a kids’ channel (MBC3), a news channel (Al Arabiya), and a series/fiction channel (MBC4). With significant investments to secure attractive catalog movies, MBC2 has gained strong ratings of nearly 10% in less than 2 years.

### Exhibit 13: Evolution of the Number of FTV Channels in the Middle East (2003-2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Interest</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>News</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others (^1)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Others include Education, Religious, Science, Shopping, Tourism, Women and Financial/Business Channels

Source: Corporate websites, Arab Advisors Group, Booz Allen Hamilton Analysis
Another illustrative case is Al Jazeera, which has succeeded in becoming the global Arabic-speaking leader in the news format. Its noncensored viewpoints and provocative broadcasts have made the channel largely popular among audiences who have grown tired of TV censorship in the Middle East and what is perceived as biased Western media. However, the popularity among audiences has yielded uneven appreciation amongst governments and advertisers regionally and globally. This has hindered its potential to attract significant advertising revenues. Al Jazeera is diversifying its revenue base by introducing a sports, an English news, and a kids’ channel as well as launching a subscription SMS news alert service and an online bilingual Web site. More recently, Al Jazeera announced it would introduce two sports channels on PTV.

On another front, players such as Rotana and LBC are following a content-play to secure exclusive must-see content for younger audiences whether it is locally produced movies and music as in the case of Rotana or adapted foreign reality show formats as in the case of LBC.

A third and more recent strategic play has been for thematic channels to diversify their revenue streams by tapping into the large regional market for mobile ring tones and logos, SMS2TV chat, and interactive TV voting.

Overall, FTV networks’ initiatives have been largely to the benefit of viewers. Middle East viewers now enjoy an FTV lineup that at least matches if not considerably surpasses those in Western countries.
FTV networks are fragmented regionally — but somewhat concentrated at the country level

Viewership by channel is unsurprisingly fragmented overall, but especially so in the bottom third of the market in which tens of FTV channels battle for fewer rating points. Compared with the UK and French markets, which count a total of five FTV channels each, the Middle East FTV market is massively fragmented.

The difference in ratings data across different countries reflects the heterogeneous demand canvas for TV in each market driven by sociodemographic structures and diverging attitudes towards TV outlined in the previous section.

The KSA family TV viewing habits and conservative attitudes towards TV are clearly manifested in the dominant ratings performance of general-interest channels such as MBC and Dubai TV. The improved ratings performance of movie channels such as Rotana and MBC 2 reflects the gradual trend towards more thematic viewing habits in the KSA. In addition, the equally strong ratings performance of LBC and Rotana Clips signals the growth of more progressive TV viewer segments. Notwithstanding those factors, the featuring of Saudi TV and Al Majd TV in the top 10 channels in the KSA confirms the persistence of conservative viewing preference among Saudi families. Overall, mainstream Saudi viewers appear to prefer moderate FTV channels with smaller yet sizable segments preferring more progressive programming.

Viewership in both the UAE and Kuwait is more fragmented, which confirms the occurrence of larger segments of more...
sophisticated TV viewers in both countries. With the exception of Dubai TV and Abu Dhabi TV, which are somewhat focused on the UAE, local terrestrial channels are notably absent from the top 10 channels most watched by audiences in these markets.

In contrast, ratings performance in Egypt is highly concentrated and dominated by the local Egyptian Radio and Television Union (ERTU) terrestrial channels. That can be explained partly by the relatively low satellite penetration in Egypt and partly by the local terrestrial channels’ access to captive production of new Arabic series and variety programs from the government-controlled studios. The dominance of local terrestrial channels in Egypt is periodically reasserted when leading FTV channels such as MBC regularly choose to co-broadcast some of their hit programs, such as “Who Wants To Be A Millionaire”, on ERTU 1.

Despite the flurry of players operating in the Middle East and the fragmentation of audiences, leading TV groups, such as MBC, Al Jazeera, LBC, and Abu Dhabi TV, have attracted increasingly concentrated ratings and advertising revenues into their respective channel portfolios.

---

**Exhibit 16: FTV Market Shares by Channel (2004; in % of TRP)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel 2</th>
<th>Channel 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dream TV</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBC Channel 1</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nile TV</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBC2 (Ch 2)</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART Movies</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBC</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Arabiya</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotana</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubai EDTV Sat</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudiar Ch 1</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Arabiya</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Jazeera Sat</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBC2</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBC</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 17: Market Positioning of Selected Middle East FTV Channels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Interest</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Liberal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saudi TV</td>
<td>ERTU 1 (Egypt)</td>
<td>LBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBC</td>
<td>Dubai TV</td>
<td>Future TV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abu Dhabi TV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>News / Political</th>
<th>Conservative</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Liberal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al Arabiya</td>
<td></td>
<td>Al Hurra</td>
<td>Al Jazeera</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thematic / Entertainment</th>
<th>Conservative</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Liberal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al Jazeera Sports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacetoons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBC3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Booz Allen Hamilton Analysis
Intense competition amongst FTV networks has, however, mitigated the development of the market

During the past 5 years, the FTV sector has grown rapidly and attracted increasing attention from advertisers. Ad revenues have grown by an estimated annual average of 20% on a net basis between 1999 and 2004. This impressive growth was driven largely by economic deregulation in key sectors in the Middle East, most notably in telecommunications, financial services, insurance, real estate, tourism, and entertainment, creating new categories of advertisers in the process.

The Middle East advertising market remains, however, largely underdeveloped by international standards. FTV networks still fail to capture a significant share of total advertising spending and their relatively low cost per mille illustrates their inability to exercise significant pricing yield over advertisers.

Note: (1) Based on rate cards, Gulf countries’ CPM remain low even after adjustments for GDP/Capita, CPM are averaged cost per thousand across the day
The constrained development of TV advertising spending results from, among other things, strong competition from print media. Indeed, TV advertising remains largely skewed towards multinationals targeting the entire Middle East. Conversely, local advertisers have limited presence on TV. Print is indeed a more competitive medium for local advertisers — with more focused reach, lower resulting cost per mille, and cheaper advertising material production costs.

In addition, intense competition amongst FTV networks for advertising revenues has led to irrational and nontransparent commercial practices. In the absence of a common and reliable rating measurement methodology, advertisers lack the critical tool to make efficient media buying decisions. Inflationary rate cards and growing advertising inventory have led to increasing price discounts and, more generally speaking, diluted the attractiveness of TV as a medium of choice for advertisers.
In its current state, the Middle East FTV industry structure may not be viable in the medium to long term

Oversupply and sometimes irrational commercial practices, most notably in advertising sales and programming costs, are negatively affecting the economics of the Middle East TV broadcasting industry. The probable scenario is that only a handful of leading FTV broadcasters are marginally profitable at present, whilst thematic channels are loss making for the most part.

In the absence of commercial media regulators, the FTV industry in the Middle East has recently resorted to pooling advertising sales through common agents in an attempt to reverse the trend of declining advertising yields, with some successes. Nevertheless, with more than 155 FTV channels in the Middle East against 5 to a maximum of 30 in Western countries, the clear oversupply in the region can hardly be compensated by efforts to stimulate advertising growth. Whether commercial networks will rationalize their investments behind loss-making channels remains highly uncertain in the short term.

FTV broadcasters face uncertain perspectives of profitable growth looking forward

From our perspective, FTV broadcasters benefit from particularly strong top-line growth potential looking forward. Continued economic development, an increasing base of pan-Arab advertisers, and continued deregulation should spur 6% net advertising market growth p.a. during the next 5 years.

In addition, emerging signs of increased transparency and economic rationality provide room for renewed optimism. For instance, IPO plans by Al Jazeera or Rotana underscore the industry’s stronger emphasis on sustainable economics. In fact, most large FTV networks have recently conducted extensive cost reduction efforts. Abu Dhabi TV, for example, has recently conducted an intensive rationalization of its in-house production resources. Egypt TV, as another illustrative case, has discontinued operations of one of the satellite channels. Overall, in 2005, irrational ad sales inventory management and pricing discounts practices have been reported to come under increasing control. Looking forward, the announced launch of a pan-Arab TV ratings measurement system will further contribute to creating greater market transparency.
Despite these positive growth perspectives, significant risks and discontinuities remain. The encouraging signs of industry rationalization are still in flux — with irrational moves for leadership, potentially driven by political agendas. Furthermore, increasing regulation, in an attempt to control the content of FTV better, or government-driven introduction of digital terrestrial television (DTT) could significantly reshape the current structure of the FTV industry.

As a result, we believe that the Middle East FTV sector is now truly at a crossroads — articulated along three alternative scenarios.

1. **Gradual consolidation and rationalization of the FTV industry.** Increased emphasis on financial profitability will reduce the level of irrational competition amongst broadcasters for content acquisition and challenge the current lineup of TV channels. The number of FTV channels in this scenario is expected to diminish significantly, particularly in the smaller thematic channels. These channels would therefore need to consider transferring to PTV or discontinue operations altogether. Premium content, which by international experience cannot usually be financed by advertising alone, could gradually migrate to PTV platforms.

2. **Intensifying competition for eyeballs and advertising revenues.** The pendulum between economic rationality and an irrational war for eyeballs is still swinging wildly. Whilst emerging signs suggest greater economic rationality, recent battles for programming rights and continued investments in new TV formats create significant risks that intensive competitive behaviors would continue to prevail in the near term. Under this scenario, the top eight or so FTV channels will continue to record marginal returns, while the rest of the market will remain practically subsidized by the owners.

3. **‘Nationalization’ of FTV broadcasting.** Given the strong installed base of satellite receivers, the introduction of new broadcasting technologies such as DTT will be challenging. However, strong government-backed introduction of DTT in an attempt to gain greater control over content could lead to a reduction in the number of FTV channels available — provided DTT replaces DTH to a significant extent.
5. Emerging Pay-TV Sector

The Middle East PTV industry is fragmented — hosting three operators with distinct positioning

Exhibit 24: PTV Industry Structure

Overview of Pay TV Operators in the Middle East

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market Players</th>
<th>Ownership</th>
<th>Headquarters</th>
<th>Reach/Satellite</th>
<th>Service/ Content Offering</th>
<th>Subscribers/ ARPU/ Revenues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td>Dolat Albaraka Group</td>
<td>Headquarters in Saudi Arabia Technical office in the Middle East City</td>
<td>Middle-East North-Africa Europe Broadcasts through Anadost and NileSat Broadcasts through Cable in the UAE and Qatar</td>
<td>Operates multiple bouquet 27 TV Channels, 32 radio channels, 35 TV Pay and sports content</td>
<td>666K Subscribers ARPU US$ 40 Revenues: ~US$ 75 MM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Showtime</td>
<td>Vapro (25% Kva (25%)</td>
<td>Moved from London to Dubai Media City</td>
<td>Middle-East North-Africa Broadcasts through NileSat only Broadcasts through Cable in the UAE and Qatar</td>
<td>33 TV Channels, 20 Radio Channels, PPV Strong Western content Sports content</td>
<td>175K Subscribers ARPU US$ 50 Revenues: ~US$ 100 MM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit</td>
<td>South Meraas Group</td>
<td>Barzani</td>
<td>Middle-East North-Africa Europe Broadcasts through Anadost and NileSat Broadcasts through Cable in the UAE and Qatar</td>
<td>37 TV Channels, 20 Radio Channels, PPV Mix of Arabic and Western content Sports content</td>
<td>150K Subscribers ARPU US$ 30 Revenues: ~US$ 35 MM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Separate bouquet / content offering
(2) Indicative 2005 Estimates; ARPU excluding advertising and VAS, only for UAE, KSA, Kuwait and Egypt

Source: Corporate websites, press releases, TNS, Informa, Booz Allen Hamilton Analysis

Exhibit 25: PTV Operator Market Shares by Country and Segment

Market Share by Country (2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Subscribers (in '000)</th>
<th>KSA</th>
<th>UAE</th>
<th>Kuwait</th>
<th>Egypt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Showtime</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Market Share by SES (2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Subscribers (in '000)</th>
<th>Arabs A/B</th>
<th>Arabs C</th>
<th>Arabs D/E</th>
<th>Westerners</th>
<th>Asians</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Showtime</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TNS, Market expert interviews, Booz Allen Hamilton Analysis
Since the mid-1990s, three PTV operators have been present in the Middle East. Orbit and Showtime introduced the first PTV bouquets in the region, before ART switched from broadcasting on FTV to become a PTV operator. The regional PTV market is still at an early stage of development compared with the typically more mature and concentrated European markets.

In regard to revenue, Showtime is estimated to be the overall market leader as it yields significantly higher ARPU (Average Revenue per User) levels, according to primary market research conducted by TNS. Each of the three PTV operators in the region has adopted a distinctive market positioning, resulting in substantial variance in their market shares across countries and/or viewer segments. For example, ART is particularly strong in the KSA and Egypt and among Asian expatriates, whereas Showtime is the uncontested leader in Kuwait and more generally speaking with higher income households.

**Showtime** defines its positioning as “See it first, see it all!” Showtime’s bouquet consists of mostly English channels and is particularly strong in Western movies, kids’ channels, and fiction. To deliver the best Western content, Showtime has secured exclusive deals with Sony, Paramount, DreamWorks, Disney and Universal Studios for the first pay-TV movie window. Showtime’s lineup of sports or Arabic movie/fiction channels is relatively weak in comparison with ART and Orbit. Overall, Showtime has gained the greatest market traction amongst Western viewers and Arab upper classes open to Western culture, particularly in Kuwait and the UAE.

**ART** positions itself as an Arabic bouquet with particularly strong positions in Arabic movies and sports programming. In sports, ART has secured a leading position by acquiring the rights of the prominent international and regional soccer championships — for example, the European Football Champions’ League, the World Cup, and the Arab Champions’ League. ART offers separate bouquets that target Westerners, Arabs, and Asians, with differentiated pricing. Although the Arabic bouquet “Al Awael” has relatively low package prices, the Asian bouquet “Pehla” is offered at a high premium. ART has also been able to penetrate lower-income viewer segments by offering introductory prices that are as low as U.S.$5 per month.

**Orbit**’s position is perhaps less clear than its competitors and aims at “providing a vast selection of entertainment and information in English and Arabic, to an audience that looks for greater programming diversity”(3). Orbit offers a balanced lineup of English and Arabic movies and entertainment channels but has overall less attractive content than its competitors. Orbit is now believed to be investing in improving the quality of its programming by securing rights for recent Arabic movies and Western movies and airing country-specific talk shows such as “Cairo Today”, “Beirut Eyes”, and “Live from Riyadh”. In addition, Orbit developed the “Pinoys” package for the Asian expatriate community.

---

(3): Source: Orbit’s Corporate Web site
The pay-TV market has grown vibrantly during the past 4 years as a result of considerable improvements to programming and marketing of the KSA, Kuwait, the UAE, and Egypt. PTV subscribers in these four countries grew from an estimated 340,000 to an estimated 1,020,000 subscribers between 2002 and 2005 — an impressive compounded annual growth rate superior to 44%. The 1,020,000 estimated subscriber mark reached in 2005 was the result of sustained efforts by the three PTV operators to enhance their value proposition and bring new viewers into the market.
category. To appeal to a broader audience, PTV operators have invested aggressively in their content, implemented a better segmentation of their packages, increased their efforts in customer acquisition, and offered better pricing to potential subscribers.

The main growth driver has been the push to acquire more differentiated content. Showtime, Orbit, and ART have invested considerably in securing exclusive rights for premium content. In movies, Showtime has signed exclusive first PTV window with most U.S. majors — providing the operator an uncontested leadership in this format. In sports, ART was one of the creators of the Arab Football Champions’ League in an attempt to create local premium sports content — though it was forced to air it on FTV.

With an improved lineup of programming, PTV operators have also improved the segmentation of their bouquets to address a larger array of customer segments. For example, Orbit and ART have recently introduced bouquets specifically targeting the Asian community. To address the fragmentation of rights across PTV operators, ART and Showtime have increasingly cooperated to bundle their bouquets — leveraging their respective strengths in Arabic movies and sports on the one hand and Western premium movies on the other.

Additionally, PTV operators have considerably intensified their customer acquisition efforts using multiple strategies, for example:

- Investing in brand-building and value proposition communication to underscore the differentiation versus FTV service offerings
- Leveraging emerging cable-based distribution platforms owned by the incumbent telecommunications operators in the UAE and Qatar
- Introducing set-top box and satellite dish subsidies to reduce barriers to entry for new customers
- Extending the reach and capabilities of retail distribution networks

**PTV market development remains uneven — with high penetration levels in the UAE and Kuwait, whilst the Saudi and Egyptian markets remain relatively untapped**

With an estimated 1,020 K subscribers in the KSA, Kuwait, the UAE, and Egypt, and an overall penetration of 4.9%, the PTV market is still underpenetrated on the aggregate. The low penetration of PTV in the highly populated countries of Egypt and the KSA overshadows the relatively high penetration rates in the UAE and Kuwait. The variances in PTV penetration rates across countries reflect the underlying differences in the socioeconomic construct and TV preferences of each market.

Penetration rates in Kuwait and the UAE are significant with 13% to nearly 30% respectively, driven mostly by the relatively high contribution of middle- and upper-class expatriates to the demographic construct. Typically, Westerners and Asians are the most captive subscribers for PTV because they view the medium as a conduit for entertainment as well as a means to stay connected with their cultural affinities. That is particularly relevant with Westerners, who have both the income and the affinity for Western premium movies and international sports available on most PTV platforms.
Both Kuwait and the UAE countries benefit from lower piracy rates and higher disposable income levels than other countries in the region. However, and more fundamentally, the limited offering of local terrestrial channels and the lack of FTV channels targeted at Kuwait and the UAE have created an opportunity for PTV providers to capture these relatively underserved markets. In doing so, PTV operators have reshaped TV viewers’ preferences and increased their addressable market by nurturing the “high-revenue intensive TV users” and “sophisticated TV users” segments as previously illustrated in Chapter 3 of the report. In addition to the natural growth rates for the expatriate and Class A/B segments, there are good growth prospects for PTV among the C/D/E classes in the UAE and Kuwait. Typically, lower income classes in Western countries are more likely to subscribe to PTV to compensate for the unavailability or unaffordability of higher ticket entertainment options, such as travel, arts, and fine cuisine.

KSA’s substantially lower penetration rate of 6% results from a lower share of upper and middle-class households and, more significantly, greater concerns over the liberal nature of PTV content. Such concerns, together with the abundance of good quality TV content targeted at the Saudi viewer by pan-Arab FTV channels and terrestrial Saudi TV, are diminishing the perceived value of the PTV offering. Although PTV subscriptions are priced at nearly constant prices across the UAE, Kuwait, and the KSA, PTV is less affordable in Saudi given lower income levels across all classes. Overall, the KSA appears to have a strong potential for future PTV penetration across all classes if the issues with PTV perception, differential value proposition versus FTV, and affordability are addressed.

Egypt exhibits a markedly low penetration rate of 3.1%, driven mostly by unfavorable socioeconomic factors and a lack of adequate PTV offer customization to date to attract nonsubscribers. Somewhat similar to the KSA, in Egypt the propensity to subscribe to PTV is low due to the strong terrestrial TV offering in the key content areas of Arabic series and variety shows. In addition, systematic piracy in Egypt is undermining penetration of paid-for PTV, while propagating a culture of reluctance to pay for TV content in an already price sensitive market.

For Egypt and the KSA, the natural resistance to the more liberal and to some extent Western content would ease off, especially among the A/B classes, as it was the case for new FTV channels through DTH technology in the late 1990s — which have now become mainstream communication media for most families in the region.
PTV faces a handful of surmountable challenges — paramount is to widen the value gap between PTV and FTV

Beyond socioeconomic factors and country specifics, the future development of PTV hinges on addressing a handful of key, but surmountable challenges.

As observed in the earlier chapters, FTV channels have engaged in a marked and sometimes irrational investment spree to enhance the quality and diversity of their programs, which has partly impaired the development of the PTV market. In fact, lessons learned from international markets suggest that the development of PTV platforms tends to be slower when the FTV segment is well developed and represents a potent content competitor.

As the examples of Canal+ and BskyB suggest, strong PTV penetration relies mainly on the availability and exclusive ownership of strong premium formats — mainly in sports, movies, fiction/series, and adult entertainment. Except in Western movies and to a limited extent sports, the Middle East lacks truly premium content, specifically:

- Beyond premium international sports, local sports such as football remains a limited driver for PTV growth. Although local sports leagues, most notably in football, are gaining increasing popularity, political and social barriers are preventing exclusive airing of major events on PTV platforms.

- Fresh blockbuster Arabic movies are very popular but come in at low yearly outputs, estimated at five to eight films per annum(4).

- Typically, local fiction/series are one-offs and sold to multiple channels simultaneously to cover the large production costs.

- Broadcast of adult entertainment is unacceptable in the Middle East.

In Western movies specifically, PTV in the region has a clear edge over FTV. To illustrate, Showtime’s Movie Channel shows the latest blockbuster movies, whereas MBC2 offers hit movies that were released in theaters at least 3 to 4 years ago. This edge is, again, relative to the importance that subscribers attach to the ‘freshness’ effect of these programs.

(4): Total Arabic movie yearly output ranges from 20 to 40 movies per annum
In sports, PTV has a definite edge over FTV for international football events, but comparable content for local high-profile sports events. ART in particular broadcasts games from the European and Arab Champion’s League as well as the English Premier League. Although Al Jazeera has increased FTV competition for PTV, its premium sports broadcasting would soon emblematically transfer to PTV.

The differentiation of PTV versus FTV offerings is equally dependant on PTV’s ability to create comprehensive yet differentiated bouquets by country and segment. Although some progress has been made on this front, gaps still persist. ART has established itself as the leader in sports and Arabic entertainment; however, its Western content is weak. Showtime, on the other hand, offers the best in Western programming but is not prominently present in Arabic movies.
and sports content. In short, subscribers cannot at this stage benefit from a truly holistic premium offering across all key content genres. That is why it is estimated that as much as 10% of PTV viewers in the region have subscribed to multiple bouquets from different PTV operators. That is high compared with international benchmarks and results from Showtime and ART’s shared platform technology to enable multi-subscriptions.

Furthermore, PTV operators have so far kept their programs, content, and prices similar across the four different countries. A better segmentation per target country could become a differentiation factor when compared with FTV. For example, PTV operators could provide packages that appeal to more liberal audiences such as in the UAE and Kuwait and could at the same time offer bouquets that are more attractive to more conservative audiences such as in the KSA.

### Exhibit 33: Movie Channels Programming Grid Comparison (Week of August 13th, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movie Channel</th>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
<th>Friday</th>
<th>Saturday</th>
<th>Sunday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Source: Corporate websites, Booz Allen Hamilton Analysis

### Exhibit 34: Comparison of Sports Content PTV vs. FTV (Non-Exhaustive)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Events</th>
<th>ART</th>
<th>Orbit</th>
<th>Showtime</th>
<th>Al Jazeera Sports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Events</td>
<td>Arab Champion’s League</td>
<td>King’s Cup (Saudi Arabia)</td>
<td>GCC Soccer World Cup Qualifiers</td>
<td>GCC Local Sports Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Events</td>
<td>UEFA Champion’s League</td>
<td>UEFA Cup</td>
<td>European Cup Rugby</td>
<td>Italian Serie A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Confederation Cup</td>
<td>English Premier League</td>
<td>IAF Tennis</td>
<td>European Soccer Championship (Euro)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bundesliga (German)</td>
<td>Major League Soccer (U.S)</td>
<td>Euro Tour Golf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soccer World Cup</td>
<td>French League</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NBA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>NFL</td>
<td>US College Basketball</td>
<td>NBA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rugby 6-nations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Corporate websites, Booz Allen Hamilton Analysis

Relative Content Quality: Weak: Weak, Strong: Strong
From our perspective, pricing remains a significant subscription barrier for PTV in the region. Prices have been reduced significantly during the past 4 years for ART and Orbit. For instance, Orbit is reported to have reduced its prices by more than 50% since 2001, and ART has introduced low-price introductory packages at U.S.$5. Also, PTV operators have started to exercise price discrimination as was seen in Egypt, where Showtime introduced a 25% reduction on its packages. However, PTV prices as a percentage of average income remain high, especially in countries such as Egypt and the KSA.

Addressing the ensuing challenges would yield sizable benefits to the PTV sector

With an overall market size of roughly U.S.$220 million and given the challenges outlined in the previous section, the Middle East market remains underdeveloped and somewhat subscale. Addressing the ensuing challenges would pay dividends on two fronts.

First, it would allow PTV operators to raise their monthly average ARPs from the current modest level of U.S.$18-20 towards the U.S.$40-60 level for leading platforms such as BSkyB and Canal+. These PTV operators command such a high ARPU because their content relative to their respective FTV competitors is much higher than in the Middle East.

Second, it would allow PTV to penetrate the sizable untapped segments of the market identified earlier in this section. Driving higher penetration rates in populous countries such as the KSA and Egypt would enable the PTV industry in the region to reach the minimum required scale to diversify its revenue base beyond subscription fees. The three PTV operators in the region still rely mostly on subscription fees, which account for more than 95% of revenues. In contrast, BSkyB, Canal+, or HBO generate on average 15% of their revenues from ancillary revenues such as advertising, VAS, and PPV.

Driving further profitable growth will require the creation of a virtuous cycle, in which sustained efforts to improve the relative value proposition to FTV and more segmented offer bundling initiatives drive increased penetration and ARPU. The generated funds would allow PTV to finance more differentiated bouquets and intensive subscriber acquisition programs that would ultimately drive more penetration and ARPU.

### Exhibit 35: Comparison of PTV Operators’ Bouquets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bouquet</th>
<th>Price per Bouquet (in U.S.$)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ART – Al Awael</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Bouquet Al Awael is geared towards the Arabic speaking audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- ART offers a 2 channel packages which are dedicated to the Arab Football</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Champion’s League</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART Firstnet</td>
<td>Basic 16, AC 16, Movies 33</td>
<td>- Firstnet Bouquet targets the audience interested in western content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART Pehla</td>
<td>Ratnamala Basic 15, Silver 15, Gold 38</td>
<td>- The Pehla Bouquet is dedicated to Asian viewers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The Ratnamala package focuses on Asian channels only and aims to provide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a cheaper entry package for Asian speakers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The Basic, Silver and Gold packages offer Asian as well as English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit</td>
<td>Kids 18, Alpha 16, Pinoy 20, Prime 35, Maha 41</td>
<td>- Orbit’s segments its viewers by language:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Prime contains Western channels only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Alfa contains Arabic channels only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Mega contains both Western and Arabic channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- In its quest to capture additional niche viewers, Orbit has created a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>new bouquet: Pinoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Pinoy is geared towards the Philippine audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The current package offers 2 Philippine channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Showtime</td>
<td>Family 35, Sports 35, Movies 53</td>
<td>- Showtime segments its audience by lifestyle:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Movies Plus Package is geared towards movie lovers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Sports Plus package targets Sports fans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Family Plus package is best suited for families</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Excluding Egypt

Source: Corporate websites, Arab Advisor Group, Booz Allen Hamilton Analysis
Exhibit 36: Benchmarks of ARPU Breakdown and Growth

ARPU and Breakdown of Revenues for Selected PTV Operators(1) (2004; in U.S.$)

ARPU vs. Penetration Rate for Selected Countries (U.S.$/Month)

(1) Most of HBO non-subscription revenues are revenues from licensing of original programming.

Source: Informa Media Group; Merrill Lynch; Media Merchant Bank; EIU; WEFA; Arab Advisor Group; Zenith Media, Booz Allen Hamilton Analysis.
The growth perspectives of the PTV sector will be driven largely by the evolution of FTV — which remains largely in flux. We have developed three sets of dynamics to explore the prospects of FTV and its implications for PTV in the Middle East.

**Dynamics 1: Intensive FTV/PTV competition**

FTV networks aggressively accelerate current efforts to develop comprehensive lineups of channels and gain access to content of increasing quality. Although FTV networks would only deepen their losses in that situation, PTV operators would also be negatively affected.

**Dynamics 2: Rationalization of the FTV sector**

With a stronger emphasis on financial profitability, FTV networks display greater commercial rationality. In this situation, FTV networks would rationalize their lineup of TV channels, discontinue underperforming ones, and curb investments for premium content. PTV would naturally benefit from reduced FTV competition, but not from availability of additional premium content.

**Dynamics 3: Increased premium content availability on PTV platforms**

Building on a rationalized FTV sector, PTV operators extend the scope of premium content available on their platforms. The improvement in premium content could stem equally from near-premium FTV channels transferring to PTV distribution or aggressive PTV investments to create or acquire premium content.

The Middle East PTV industry could evolve along one or a combination of the aforementioned dynamics over time — which we have articulated into three scenarios. The base case scenario assumes that the FTV industry quickly rationalizes and that PTV operators extend the breadth of premium content. The best case scenario assumes that PTV operators invest more massively into developing premium local content on their platforms. This is coupled with a greater acceptance from traditional viewers of PTV’s more liberal content. In the worst case scenario, irrational competitive behaviors drive continued confrontation between FTV and PTV players. Destroying significant value for all broadcasters, this initial confrontation would gradually need to be rationalized.

Naturally, these scenarios have marked differences for the growth perspectives of the PTV industry. In the following pages, we further elaborate on each scenario’s triggers, quantitative and qualitative impact on the audiovisual industry, and overall likelihood.

**Base Case Scenario — Rationalization of FTV creates improved growth prospects for PTV**

In this scenario, stronger emphasis on financial profitability leads FTV networks to rationalize their channel lineups and control programming costs in the short term. Increasing availability of premium content on PTV platforms would enable breakthrough market development for PTV. This competitive situation would be triggered by the deliberate transfer of FTV channels with premium content to PTV bouquets — as illustrated recently by Al Jazeera’s premium sports channels — and, conversely, transfer of non premium content to FTV. Building on an improved content value...
proposition relative to FTV, PTV operators benefit in this scenario from additional and important growth stimulants:

- Growing interest and willingness / ability to pay for premium and Western content — driven by the ongoing socio-economic changes in Middle East societies

- Increasing share of multi-dwelling units where PTV is included de facto in the standard monthly rent

- Growing multi-subscriptions across PTV operators

Specifically, PTV operators’ most significant growth opportunities reside in developing underpenetrated markets such as the KSA or Egypt and, across countries, socioeconomic classes C/D. Achieving growth in these segments would, however, require continuous efforts to educate the market about PTV and developing more targeted and adapted offers in terms of pricing and content.

Additionally, PTV will benefit from increasing ARPU despite penetration of lower SES segments — driven by:

- Growing share of value-added services — from nearly 0% to 15%

- Greater purchasing power and inflation

- Stronger pricing power resulting from increased differentiation to FTV

In this scenario, the PTV sector revenues would sustain strong growth rates of 20% p.a. to reach U.S.$1.4 billion in 2015. Beyond the mechanical growth driven by socioeconomic development (+6%), increased penetration of underdeveloped markets offers PTV operators
additional avenues of growth. Mitigated by relatively significant programming and SACs (Subscriber Acquisition Costs) cost inflation, revenue growth would contribute to significantly improve the profitability of the industry as a whole.

PTV operators, however, face lingering challenges under this scenario. First, continued industry fragmentation reduces each PTV operator’s ability to develop a holistic value proposition covering all existing premium formats. Second, the relative scarcity of local premium formats available and enduring piracy partly mitigate growth prospects in Egypt and the KSA.

**Best Case Scenario — Strong revenue growth potential for PTV, but with significant financial risks**

The best case scenario assumes incremental growth perspectives from a combination of stronger investments into producing local premium content and stronger penetration in the KSA and Egypt. In an attempt to better address local needs, PTV operators invest to create and secure new premium local formats, for example:

- Secure exclusive broadcasting rights for high-profile local sports events through negotiations with sports leagues, such as the Arab Football Champions’ League, or investments in specific teams, such as Al Ahli in Egypt or Al Itihad in the KSA
- Invest in co-productions to raise the output of premium Arabic movies to levels significant enough to justify airing premium Arabic movie channels with sufficient amounts of fresh content
- Produce or commission Arabic high-profile fiction/variety programs with a substantial buzz factor — for example, HBO’s original programming such as ‘Sex and the City’ or ‘The Sopranos’ and Canal+’s ‘Nulle Part Ailleurs’ talk show

As a result of stronger local premium content, PTV operators can drive additional market penetration in Middle East markets where interest for Western content is more moderate — namely the KSA and Egypt.

If successfully executed, this strategy could generate accelerated growth for the PTV industry. Achieving this market potential would, however, require significant lead times, given the inherent inertia from incumbent long-term broadcasting contracts or from the cultural barriers for FTV networks to transfer premium content on PTV.

The obvious challenge in the economic success of such a breakthrough strategy resides in ultimately reaching profitability. In short, such an initiative is risky, and its success rests on tight assumptions — namely, revenue growth is significant enough, broadcasting rights inflation remains moderate with limited battles between PTV operators and FTV networks for exclusivity. From our perspective, such a breakthrough strategy could be profitable if the PTV industry further consolidates.

Beyond the economic risks, this strategy could potentially face regulatory and political impediments. Exclusive airing of high-profile local sports events on PTV could in particular face a number of hurdles.

This scenario is best illustrated by the examples of players such as BSkyB or Canal+ — which have all achieved breakthrough growth, driving PTV penetration over 40%, by aggressively investing in content, reinforcing the segmentation of their packages, and strengthening their subscriber acquisition efforts. BSkyB, for example, secured exclusive rights for the English Premiere Football league and movies as a starting point. With its improved value proposition, it completely revamped the packaging and pricing of its bouquets to better cater to different segments and also encourage customers to gradually migrate from lower- to higher-value packages.

In summary, massive investment in content is particularly risky for PTV operators in the Middle East, but if successfully implemented, that would enable the industry to achieve additional growth. The likelihood of this scenario depends on the financial ability and strategic ambition of a single PTV operator to grow and consolidate the market.
Worst Case Scenario — Intense FTV/PTV competition drives unsustainable value destruction for all

In this scenario, PTV operators face increased and more aggressive competition from FTV networks. FTV networks, in an attempt to secure market leadership, aggressively increase investment to broaden their lineup of channels and reinforce the overall quality of their content offering. For example:

- Continued investments in premium sports
- Launches of additional movie channels with recent Arabic and Western blockbusters
- Acquisition of high-profile fiction or variety formats.

Under this scenario, we expect some PTV operators to restructure their bouquets and resort in part or in total to FTV broadcasting, in an attempt to capture greater revenues through advertising. This will exercise additional pressure on the remaining PTV operators.

In the short term, aggressive competition from FTV would negatively affect the performance of PTV operators. The content value proposition of PTV operators versus FTV is expected to weaken, thus accelerating subscriber churn and eroding prices. With relatively fixed programming costs, PTV operators are expected to face increasing financial difficulties, potentially forcing some players to withdraw from the market.

This scenario would also accentuate the heavy losses of the FTV industry. In the long run, this situation cannot be sustainable. We expect a rationalization of the FTV sector similar to our base-case scenario to occur — but over a longer time horizon.

In summary, this scenario would adversely affect PTV operators in the short term but only moderately curb their long-term growth potential as the FTV industry naturally refocuses on economic fundamentals.

From our perspective, this scenario is relatively unlikely because it would adversely affect the whole audiovisual broadcasting industry.

Conclusion

Booz Allen Hamilton’s overall view on the future of PTV in the Middle East is positive. Strong socioeconomic development coupled with continued efforts by PTV operators to improve their value proposition, particularly in underdeveloped markets, should fuel healthy growth. In addition, the expected rationalization of the FTV industry will gradually improve the attractiveness of PTV penetration packages. Achieving step-change PTV penetration levels would, however, require considerable investments in premium content — but with significant risks of economic failure. From our perspective, the industry’s exposure to downside risks such as aggressive investments in content from FTV is real but remains overall limited.

(5): Acquisition of PTV-grade movie rights not feasible short-term
Booz Allen believes that Middle East PTV operators continue to entertain sizable growth opportunities and can surpass the market’s growth potential illustrated in our base case. Notwithstanding a breakthrough strategy focusing on intensive content investment, PTV operators would, from our perspective, need to deploy a comprehensive set of initiatives that we detail further in this section.

### Drive growth in underpenetrated segments and countries

Beyond investments in content, Booz Allen believes that PTV operators can achieve significant growth by improving their value proposition — particularly to drive growth in underpenetrated countries and customer segments.

Although PTV penetration is relatively high in the UAE and Kuwait, it still has significant growth potential in the lower socioeconomic segments of the population (C and D in particular). PTV also has considerable growth potential in underdeveloped countries such as the KSA and Egypt. Low PTV development in these countries presents common root causes, such as lower income levels and massive piracy in Egypt. Penetration rates are low across all socioeconomic segments — even in Segments A/B.

Achieving growth in these segments and countries will require adopting differentiated pricing strategies, curbing piracy and, critically, recognizing the fundamentally different needs in regard to content across segments and countries.

PTV operators have started to offer lower price levels in Egypt in recognition of the country’s significantly lower purchasing power. Beyond that effort, PTV operators could also consider providing significantly cheaper and scaled down bouquets for these geographies and for socioeconomic segments C/D/E customers in more developed markets, such as Kuwait and the UAE. Introducing innovative pricing models such as prepaid cards could also address customers’ unwillingness to commit to a recurring subscription.

Efforts to lower barriers to entry for customers would only partially pay off unless the PTV industry is able to curb piracy — particularly in Egypt and the broader Levant region. Mitigating piracy is a particularly challenging and long effort, requiring significant investments to upgrade set-top boxes and access cards across all customers.

Beyond prices and piracy, we believe that PTV’s low development in these segments and countries also stems from insufficient customization of bouquets to cater to specific local needs. In the KSA, for example, concerns over the liberal nature of PTV content would call for creating “safe” bouquets with content in line with more traditional customers’ expectations. In Egypt, extensive preference for Arabic language and local content would require more targeted bouquets.

### Reinforce customer acquisition efforts

During the 2001–2003 period, PTV operators considerably reinforced customer acquisition efforts by extending the reach of retail channels, introducing set-top box subsidies, and increasing advertising and...
promotion spending. In the past 2 years, customer acquisition efforts appear to have diminished, probably in an attempt to control costs better.

From our experience of other markets, continuous promotional efforts are crucial to drive subscriber acquisitions. PTV operators would therefore need to re-energize their advertising and promotion efforts, particularly in underdeveloped countries. PTV operators have substantially increased the reach of their retail distribution channels — particularly in the largest urban areas of the KSA, the UAE, and Kuwait. Selectively advancing the reach of distribution in undercovered geographies — for example, in Egypt and small/mid-sized cities in the UAE and the KSA — could be instrumental in further driving growth.

**Develop ancillary revenues**

Middle East PTV operators’ revenue base stems almost exclusively from subscription fees. Contribution from ancillary sources of revenue such as advertising, pay per view, or other value-added services is still largely negligible at this stage. Although achieving more than 20% of revenues from ancillary services (like BSkyB or HBO) seems very challenging in the near term, driving growth of ancillary services could still yield incremental revenues of 5% to 10% in the medium term.

PTV operators’ strongest growth opportunity resides in further stimulating ad sales, particularly by reaching beyond spot ad sales. More innovative advertising models, such as marketing partnerships and program sponsorships, are probably more adapted to PTV’s type of audience and programming. PPV, which typically accounts for 5% of total revenues for leading PTV platforms globally, could also stimulate ARPU growth.

**Leverage new distribution platforms**

PTV development rests essentially on DTH at present — with limited penetration of alternative technologies such as CATV, DSL, FTTH, or DTT\(^{(6)}\). With an increasing share of the population housed in large multidwelling units in the UAE, Qatar, and Kuwait, in particular, alternative distribution platforms such as CATV offer a cost competitive solution to DTH with enhanced functionalities such as interactivity and Internet access.

CATV is gaining increasing importance for PTV operators — in particular in the UAE and Qatar where incumbent telecom operators, Etisalat and Qtel, respectively, have adopted CATV deployment plans. Other countries such as Kuwait and the KSA are also likely to invest in new transmission technologies such as CATV or even xDSL and FTTH.

### Exhibit 41: Ancillary Revenues Potential and Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ancillary Revenue</th>
<th>Description/Examples</th>
<th>Potential in the Middle East</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Advertising       | • Spot advertising sales  
                    • Marketing partnerships/program sponsorship | ☀ | • Strong development potential due to the particularly attractive demographics of PTV subscribers |
| Value Added/Interactive Services | • PPV  
                    • Gambling  
                    • SMS/audiotel interactivity with programs | ☂ | • Limited potential in gambling which is unacceptable in most Middle East markets  
                    • SMS/audiotel interactivity is more likely given the emerging interest in variety/reality TV programming. However, their potential on PTV is more modest given programming and audience characteristics  
                    • Relatively strong potential in PPV — which has only recently been introduced |
| Licensing         | • Licensing of radio or TV broadcasting rights | ☂ | • Limited amount of original programming |
| Merchandising/Other | • Music records (e.g., from shows such as Star Academy)  
                      • Videos of high profile programs  
                      • Memorabilia/merchandised products | ☂ | • Limited amount of original programming |

\(^{(6)}\): CATV = Cable TV, FTTH = Fiber-to-the-Home, DTT = Digital Terrestrial Television
These technologies are not merely a substitute for DTH but also offer PTV operators further distribution leverage to stimulate additional market growth. Leveraging telecom operators’ deep customer relationships and extensive distribution channel reach adds a powerful customer acquisition engine to the market. In addition, CATV enables a new distribution and sales model in driving customer acquisitions through MDU landlords as opposed to individual households.

More fundamentally, advanced technologies such as CATV, xDSL, or FTTH, with far broader functionalities than DTH broadcasting, have the potential to reshape the PTV business model. These technologies enable the introduction of video on demand (VOD), which offers far greater functionalities to PPV services and a good complement to premium movie channels. Namely, VOD could offer the most recent movies, a broader catalog of movies, and advanced movie search/selection functionalities. With the combination of Internet access, these technologies also enable innovative pricing models, for example, a-la-carte channel subscription, daily or monthly subscriptions to packages, or even customer-defined bundles.

**Drive PTV Industry Consolidation**

With three PTV operators, the Middle East PTV industry is still fragmented. That outlook is, from our perspective, not sustainable and is actually one of the root causes for the underperformance of the industry — both from a market development and a cost perspective.

Fragmented premium rights across three PTV bouquets reduce the compelling nature of a given platform’s value proposition. For example, the absence of a bouquet combining ART’s premium sports and Showtime’s premium Western movies could be a major deterrent to creating a truly “mouth-watering” offer. However, ART and Showtime’s common technology platform mitigates this issue.

On the cost side, the coexistence of three PTV operators also reduces scale effects and, more important, significantly drives programming cost inflation as a result of competition for exclusivity.

**Conclusion**

In summary, a combination of favorable socioeconomic development, a likely rationalization of FTV, and ongoing improvements to the PTV value proposition and customer acquisition efforts provides the PTV industry with a particularly strong platform to achieve further growth profitably.
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