1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4	: 04-CR-860 (SJF)
5	-against- : United States Courthouse Central Islip, New York
6	MICHAEL McGOWAN, : July 20, 2006
7	Defendant.
8	TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING BEFORE THE HONORABLE SANDRA J. FEUERSTEIN
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
11	APPEARANCES:
12 13	For the Government: ROSLYNN R. MAUSKOPF UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
14	BY: ALLEN L. BODE, AUSA 610 Federal Plaza Central Islip, New York 11722-4454
15	
16	For the Defendant: JOEL R. WEISS, ESQ.
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Official Court Reporter: Stephanie Picozzi, RPR Ph. (631) 712-6104 100 Federal Plaza Fax (631) 712-6121 Central Islip, New York 11722
24 25	Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography. Transcript produced by CAT.

1 THE CLERK: United States against Michael 2 McGowan. Allen Bode for the government. 3 MR. BODE: 4 MR. WEISS: Joel R. Weiss for the defendant. 5 MR. BODE: With the Court's permission, my 6 intern is here. 7 MS. TESTA: Andrea Testa from Probation. 8 THE COURT: As an initial matter I accepted the 9 guilty plea offered before Judge Wexler. 10 By order dated July 14, defense counsel and the government were notified that I am considering a sentence 11 12 outside the guideline range. 13 Counsel, Mr. Weiss, is your client prepared to 14 proceed with sentencing at this time? 15 Yes, we are, your Honor. MR. WEISS: 16 THE COURT: Mr. McGowan, you read the 17 presentence report? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Have you gone over the report with 20 Mr. Weiss? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Is there any dispute over the 23 guideline calculations which have been set forth in the 24 Presentence Report and its addendum? 25 MR. WEISS: No, your Honor. We have written

1 specifically to the Court we do not dispute the guideline 2 calculation and I reaffirm we do not dispute it and we do 3 not controvert the initial report and addendums 4 specifically and explicitly. 5 THE COURT: I presume you have no objections either? 6 7 MR. BODE: I have no objections, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Then is it agreed that the total 9 offense level is 29 with a criminal history category of I? 10 MR. WEISS: Your Honor, I don't have the report. 11 What I know is that the language there I understood to be 12 If that correlates, then we do not is 87 to 108 months. 13 dispute that's the range and that's the score. 14 You agree as well, Mr. Bode? THE COURT: 15 MR. BODE: Yes, Judge. And specifically on page 16 1 of the third addendum it sets that forth, I agree. 17 THE COURT: Anything else in dispute? 18 MR. WEISS: There are things I want to say but 19 nothing in dispute. 20 THE COURT: Of course. 21 Mr. Bode, anything in dispute? 22 MR. BODE: No, Judge. Before we get to any statement 23 THE COURT: 24 regarding the sentencing, I believe that we should have

the hearing on anything that might be taken into

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

consideration for the Fatico hearing that I advised you of in the order.

MR. BODE: Yes, Judge. The government is prepared -- I will let Mr. Weiss address this as well but the government is prepared to --

> THE COURT: I will let Mr. Weiss.

MR. BODE: Thank you, Judge. I'm sorry. The government is prepared to play the tapes. There are transcripts attached to the government's letter. I don't have the agent who prepared them, he is in Texas, I couldn't get him on this notice, however, I can play them myself.

MR. WEISS: Your Honor, my position is I'm candidly a little puzzled and I don't downplay that the Court can order whatever hearing the Court wants to order but your Honor is in a position wherein we do not dispute the second addendum, the third addendum. We have not answered or disputed Mr. Bode's submission of the transcripts.

Your Honor asked last week whether or not we dispute the authenticity of the tapes. They are Mr. McGowan and have not been altered. We do not dispute the authenticity and controvert the addendums or the tape which is why we have acquiesced in the guideline score which takes full account of a five-point upward adjustment for a pattern of sexual conduct.

And parenthetically, the tapes and affidavit accompanying them that is before the Court and part of the record minimally make out that adjustment, that is, that adjustment requires two sexual acts. The affidavit makes out I believe three. But we are not contesting the adjustment.

In that light, if what your Honor means by a Fatico hearing, we discussed and/or argued where the Court should go, whether the Court should upwardly depart for what reason or not, I would like to do that. If your Honor is seeking a hearing wherein we need to play tapes or admit transcripts, I'm not objecting to the transcript before the Court and part of the court file.

THE COURT: I understand. I feel, however, it is my obligation to establish that these tapes are accurate, that they are authentic when they were created because they are going to be a part of the sentence that I impose and, therefore, I think that is my obligation. So while I appreciate what you have stated, I think that we have to go forward so I can make an assessment.

MR. WEISS: In going forward, let me be clear we are stipulating to their authenticity, origin and my client is the speaker.

THE COURT: And all the statements there within?

MR. WEISS: Yes.

made?

THE COURT: I appreciate that but I think it's something that I have to consider because a sentence is a very important thing and everything that goes into it must be carefully considered.

MR. BODE: Let me say, Judge, for the record, the two transcripts attached, since I don't have an agent here, he is in Texas, I don't have him to testify, they were made at the Queens treatment facility, Queens private facility, which is in the recording at the beginning of the tapes. I don't have the exact dates, however, I can say based upon the agent's investigation of the defendants when he went into that facility --

THE COURT: Excuse me. If there are going to be any discussions from anyone in the back of the courtroom, please take it outside, the acoustics in this room are terrible.

MR. BODE: So what I was saying, Judge, I can state that these two tapes were made after the defendant's plea in the Queens facility, however, I don't have the exact dates they were made. Should that be required, I would have to bring the agent in for that. But since the defense isn't disputing the accuracy of the tapes...

THE COURT: Do you know when the tapes were

1 MR. WEISS: I do not. I was confronted with them post plea and pre sentence. 2 THE COURT: 3 That's a pretty big span. 4 The plea was taken when, Mr. Bode? 5 MR. BODE: July 11 of last year, Judge. 6 information from the agent, the defendant was transferred 7 into that Queens facility after that date, after the plea. 8 There are many, many tapes but there were two 9 that the government found persuasive to the point where we 10 needed to have them transcribed and thought they were 11 important for sentencing. There were a number of other 12 tapes and they had tapes from the Nassau County facility. 13 THE COURT: We are talking about these tapes. 14 Were they made before December 31 of '05? 15 MR. BODE: I can't say for certain. Prior to 16 the day of the search in the Queens facility. 17 Hold on one minute. 18 THE COURT: I will hold. 19 (Pause) MR. BODE: 20 The defendant was transferred into 21 that Queens facility on August 5, 2005 so a little less 22 than a month after his guilty plea. I subpoenaed these 23 tapes from the Queens facility on February 22. 24 THE COURT: Of '06?

MR. BODE: '06. I was notified on February 15,

25

1 '06 this defendant sent a letter to the boy in Texas and 2 within that week we got the subpoena to the jail for jail 3 calls. 4 5 tapes followed the letters? 6 MR. BODE: 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 came to light. 15 THE COURT: 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: So they followed the letters?

No, the tapes followed the discovery of the letters. Once we discovered the letters -- in short, the defendant was speaking with the boy and he sent letters to the boy. In fact, on the tapes he refers to sending letters to the boy. The boy -- either the boy or the boy came forward for the mother or the mother discovered the letter. The mother took the boy and letters to the police in Corpus Christi and that's how it

I am aware of that. So would you both agree than these calls took place between August of '05 and February of '06?

MR. WEISS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Bode.

MR. BODE: So that being said, Judge, that's the best I can give you without getting the agent from Texas regarding the time frame. In any event, it's post plea.

That being said, I will play the tapes for your Honor.

MR. WEISS: May I have a moment to speak with

1	Mr. Bode?
2	THE COURT: Absolutely.
3	(Pause)
4	MR. BODE: Judge, I leave it up to your Honor's
5	determination.
6	THE COURT: Thank you.
7	MR. BODE: There is media here. I have spoken
8	with the gentleman from the media regarding he has
9	indicated he is not going to, of course, put any names of
10	boys mentioned in the tapes into the record but I think it
11	might be good practice for us, your Honor, to play these
12	in camera for your Honor as opposed to the general public
13	since this is a boy being
14	THE COURT: Minor. Absolutely.
15	MR. WEISS: I would join in that request.
16	THE COURT: Two, perhaps three, am I correct,
17	involved?
18	MR. BODE: At least two boys speaking.
19	MR. WEISS: Speaking, not involved sexually.
20	THE COURT: There is reference to a third.
21	MR. BODE: Reference to three different boys.
22	MR. WEISS: And their sexual conduct together.
23	MR. BODE: Maybe I can bring the tapes into the
24	jury room.
25	THE COURT: Or we can clear the courtroom.

MR. BODE: 1 If we clear the courtroom, there is a 2 danger of reversible error. I have to get permission from the Justice Department. 3 THE COURT: We don't want that. 4 5 MR. WEISS: We consent to that and join in 6 requesting that. 7 I assume the defendant is coming along; he needs 8 to. 9 (Whereupon, the following occurred in the jury 10 room.) 11 MR. BODE: I'm starting with the transcript 12 which starts -- labeled page 1 in the government -- of the 13 two transcripts here. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Weiss, you and your client may 15 be seated. Everybody may be seated. I actually prefer to 16 stand but you can sit. 17 (Tape played) 18 (Tape stopped) 19 MR. BODE: For the record, I don't have a way of skipping to specific portions, I would have to find it so 20 21 I will play it from the beginning so it can be followed by 22 counsel. 23 (Tape played) 24 (Tape stopped) 25 MR. BODE: I'm going to stop and skip to page 6

	11
1	in the transcript.
2	(Tape played)
3	(Tape stopped)
4	MR. BODE: That was page 4. Let me skip
5	further.
6	(Tape played)
7	(Tape stopped)
8	MR. BODE: I'm going to try to skip forward, try
9	to skip to 7 if I can.
10	(Tape played)
11	(Tape stopped)
12	MR. BODE: It's still page 6. Let's go further.
13	(Tape played)
14	(Tape stopped)
15	MR. BODE: I'm going to try to skip to page 9.
16	We are on page 8.
17	(Tape played)
18	(Tape stopped)
19	MR. BODE: I'm going to try to skip two pages to
20	page 11.
21	(Tape played)
22	(Tape stopped)
23	MR. BODE: That's page 10. I will try to go one
24	more.
25	(Tape played)

1	(Tape stopped)
2	THE COURT: Mr. Bode, I think we are almost at
3	the end?
4	MR. BODE: We are on page 12 of the first
5	transcript. The first transcript ends on page 18.
6	I'm going to try to skip to page 14 of this
7	first transcript.
8	I'm going to skip to page 15 or 16.
9	(Tape played)
10	(Tape stopped)
11	MR. BODE: With the Court's permission, I will
12	skip to the next tape now. I'm going to skip to page 31
13	on the top right. For the record, it's page 11 we are on.
14	THE COURT: Of the second transcript.
15	MR. BODE: Of the second transcript. It's
16	numbered page 29.
17	(Tape played)
18	(Tape stopped)
19	THE COURT: Mr. Bode, please stop for a second.
20	Unless there is a particular point that you
21	something in particular you want me to hear.
22	MR. BODE: There was, Judge, on page 11 in the
23	transcript.
24	THE COURT: That's where we are now.
25	MR. BODE: I will skip the next page.

1 (Tape played) 2 (Tape stopped) MR. BODE: Now I'm going to skip over page 12 to 3 13 and it's also numbered page 31 on the top right corner. 4 5 (Tape played) 6 (Tape stopped) 7 MR. BODE: Let me skip a little further. 8 try and skip to the bottom of page 14 which is the top 9 right on my page 32. 10 (Tape played) 11 (Tape stopped) 12 THE COURT: Mr. Bode, I think that's adequate. 13 (Whereupon, the following occurred in open 14 court.) 15 THE CLERK: Second call McGowan. Back on the 16 record in open court. 17 THE COURT: Based upon the evidentiary hearing 18 which was held in camera this morning, I find that the 19 government has proven by a preponderance of the evidence 20 that the defendant while incarcerated after his plea of 21 guilty made phone calls to a minor child in Texas and that 22 these phone calls were explicit and sexual in nature. 23 as well, that the government has proven by a preponderance 24 of the evidence that the defendant engaged in the conduct

outlined in addendum two which was referred to in those

25

telephone calls which we heard this morning.

Now, all of that being said, I will first hear from defense counsel on the issue of sentence, then the government and Mr. McGowan will have an opportunity to make a statement.

MR. WEISS: Thank you, your Honor.

There are a few different things I would like to address. First, I would like to address your Honor's order in regard to what amounts to essentially a sua sponte upward departure consideration. And the short order relates to two different items for an upward departure, the second addendum conduct and third addendum conduct.

Starting with the second addendum conduct, I would urge upon your Honor that the federal sentencing guidelines take full account of that contact, we haven't controverted it. The Court made the ruling.

Specifically, the guidelines have a five-point upward adjustment for sexual conduct such is satisfied by two sexual acts not related to the underlying crime, the allegations of three specific sexual acts. There is a five-point upward adjustment for that. What that has done in substance is taken the top of the sentencing range and changed it from 57 months to 108 months. That is taking it from a hair less than five years to nine years and I

• •

suggest to your Honor not defending that kind of conduct, no one could but what I'm suggesting to your Honor is the appropriate measure of the conduct.

And the Sentencing Commission and the Courts have been utterly clear that an upward adjustment is intended for conduct not taken into account appropriately by the guidelines and however angry or revolted one might be appropriately, however one might want to factor it in, the guidelines take an account of that conduct.

Now, putting that aside, there is another point that I made briefly --

THE COURT: We are in agreement the guidelines are advisory only?

MR. WEISS: We are in agreement the guidelines are advisory. But I suggest the guidelines have an important place in the schemata of sentencing and you don't need me to tell you that the Supreme Court said it and the Second Circuit court says it and the guidelines say it but in this case what is important is the following: A civilized, enlightened individual, you, me or Mr. Bode or anyone in this courtroom, could be angered and revolted by this kind of conduct which is before the Court, all of the conduct. The underlying crime, the conduct being considered for upward adjustment is the conduct being considered for upward departures and I think

that the role the guidelines play in situations like this is to give us a measure of what has been considered to be an appropriate upward range for this kind of thing so as to kind of fuel a rationality in a situation where we could have an visceral reaction.

THE COURT: Speak for yourself.

MR. WEISS: I am. I think I'm speaking for the human condition. Let's say I'm speaking for myself.

I think the guidelines play an important and appropriate role in measuring something rationally that might impact us in other ways as humans.

The third addendum is making contact post plea. Your Honor has taken the position that is an appropriate subject for the consideration of an upward departure. I can't disagree. You're obviously entitle to do that.

I will argue in a moment there are counter veiling considerations that balance the scales here. But I think your Honor has taken a course by cutting your order by this hearing wherein you are suggesting very strongly that you are giving serious consideration at least to an upward departure and I understand that and want to address it.

One is what might be an appropriate measure upward and the other is what might be some counter veiling considerations and here are the things I want to impress

_

upon the Court. First of all, the contact by telephone was wrongful conduct inarguable by my client and he doesn't argue otherwise, inarguable by me.

Having said that, the contacts by words alone, my client was incarcerated, he was going to be incarcerated for a long time, he is going to be incarcerated for a long time. It was the kind of contact that could be shut off readily. I'm not arguing about the victim, it's not in person in your face immediate conduct or conduct that could occur in the near future under any circumstances.

Second, when we came here last week I addressed your Honor on Mr. McGowan's letter and my letter. Michael McGowan admits he is sick and "sick" is an easy label, sounds like somebody should get treated. He will get punished and very substantially. But what I want to suggest is and I think Mr. Bode knows this from his experience at this point in handling sex crimes, there seem to be two categories of people falling into this rubric, one is members of NAMBLA who I prosecuted.

THE COURT: Members of?

MR. WEISS: North American Man Boy Lovers

Association, who take the philosophical position the conduct isn't wrong and never admit a wrong, I'm sick, mea culpa. Mr. McGowan may be very late but at least now

takes that posture, what he has done is wrong, he is sick and asking your Honor when your Honor sentences him to designate him to a facility that treats sex offenders and will ask for FMC Devons in Massachusetts and Butner in North Carolina which treats sex offenders. But he is taking the position I have a serious problem, I'm sick, I have done something very wrong, I admit it and want treatment. I think that should be taken into account.

In terms of his dangerousness, as a general category under 3553(a), there are two hedges against that. One is a substantial sentence which your Honor is certainly going to mete out and the guidelines allow for up to nine years without a departure. Number 2, his request for prison treatment. And I'm recommending to your Honor you recommend that to the Bureau of Prisons. Number 3 is that supervised release can be extended for many years up to life as a hammer over an individual's head saying you better be rehabilitated because you can go back for a long time.

In terms of dealing with dangerousness, there are those hedges. 3553(a) dictates to a Court that a Court should mete out the shortest imprisonment sentence that it can to address the entire situation and I suggest to your Honor the guidelines provide enough.

Here are what the counter veiling considerations

are, I have put them in my letter and like to reiterate them because this is my chance to speak.

First of all, the things I have already said -THE COURT: Excuse me. Would you like water?
MR. WEISS: No. That's kind of you. If you
can't understand me, tell me.

The first aspect of sentencing, treatment in sentencing, long supervised release, conditions and undoubtedly the category as a sex offender. The second is I have argued to your Honor it's easy to pass it by particularly if we are revolted by this kind of crime but if we are revolted or not, the prison population is a pretty low common denominator of society and doesn't act generally in a noble, principled, civilized manner and Mr. McGowan lives with that. He has the double stigma in the federal system. And told me the results from pretty much day one, not something he is making up for a letter at the time of sentencing, he told me about it week by week and he has put it into his letter.

He has generally been in solitary for 23 hours a day. He has no contact with family during visits. He is generally denied going outside. There are relentless insults and slanders from other inmates as well as officers, numerous threats against his well-being, life and son's and wife's lives, threats to rape and murder and

commit drive-by shootings against his family, spray through the food slot with unknown liquids, various items thrown at me in my cell through my food slot.

THE COURT: Is it his son?

MR. WEISS: Stepson.

Inmates paraded by myself by officers parading by my cell and spitting at me, et cetera. He has informed me of these kinds of things throughout his incarceration.

When a Court gets a sentencing letter from a defendant looking for a result, an interested party if ever there was one, it's a temptation. Is this letter fiction or partly fiction? We know it isn't because as a matter of common sense, if you put a former federal law enforcement officer on child sex charges into a prison that is what happens.

What is my point? My point isn't your Honor should cry for him. If your Honor is looking for substantial punishment, the quality of his incarceration is abysmal and the quality of his incarceration is below what incarceration is for the average criminal defendant. I suggest to you were that this can be put in the scale and should be a hedge against the impulse to impose an off-the-chart sentence, every day involves threats.

So I suggest to your Honor based on all of the above that the sentencing range of 87 to 108 months is an

adequate range to impose a very significant punishment against this individual and long-term supervised release is enough of a hedge in terms of dangerousness and I thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Weiss.

Mr. Bode.

MR. BODE: Yes, your Honor.

I would note first at the outset in light of the defendant's conduct in jail and the allegation which is undisputed by the child who came forward regarding the molestation incidents previously, the government is not bound by the plea agreement and that's why we agreed on the guidelines. The government refused to give the defendant that third point for acceptance.

And also I would point out on the tape when the defendant talks about just how people are out to get him, it's inconsistent with his accepting responsibility.

That being said, I will not request a specific sentence, however, I would like to discuss some of the 3553(a) factors I feel are important for the Court to consider.

Based upon I think a fair reading of the letter of this boy who was molested by Mr. McGowan and the tapes which we all listened to, the defendant is a predatory child molester. Reading through the victim's statement as

set forth in the PSR, the defendant used common grooming techniques to approach this boy. He targeted this boy under the age of 12 which is consistent with the child pornography found in his computer in terms of his sexual preference, a boy with a single mother.

The defendant, as the boy states in the bottom of the second page or first page of the addendum, the defendant took his mother out a few times. I would submit based upon the child pornography recovered, defendant is not interested in females. The only interest he had in the boy's mother was to get to this boy.

His child pornography wasn't generic, it was little boys. There is a classic start to the abuse where the defendant barges in on the boy while he is changing to go swimming. It's a classic predator technique. I will speak in a moment about the defendant's law enforcement history and counsel in his previous letter had stated that in fact that was a counter veiling factor. I would say why your Honor shouldn't punish the defendant more severe or -- the letter asked for a downward departure. Since he hasn't addressed that now, I won't address it.

He used the fact he was an Air Marshal to further his abuse of this boy. He stated at one of the incidents of abuse the defendant told him he was at the hotel because he was an Air Marshal. Now, that was the

second incident the boy recounts. So I will speak about that in a moment but another classic thing he did is using authority which is a classic predator technique. As the boy recounts in the letter on page 2 of the second addendum, the defendant showed him pictures on floppy disk of child pornography and took pictures of the boy while he was naked. So he is using child pornography as a lure which is another classic predator technique.

And I submit all those things should be taken into account. This wasn't a one-time thing, it was a pattern as to this boy.

And although as counsel notes there is a five-point guideline upward adjustment, the guidelines don't take into account the fact that the defendant used his position as an Air Marshal to try and do this. The guidelines don't take into account the fact he used child pornography to lure the boy. And the guidelines don't take into account to really compound the abuse of this boy. While he is in jail awaiting sentencing, after he has supposedly accepted responsibility for his actions, he continues to victimize this boy by the sexually explicit letters and phone calls.

When we listened to the calls this morning, you can hear the uncomfortable pauses from this boy and how the defendant is trying to drag details out from this boy.

The defendant in the transcript in these calls -- the boy is now 14 -- he is discussing oral sex with the boy, asking this boy to take pictures of him with another boy and he says: You know which kind of pictures I mean; I think we all know what he meant by that.

He acknowledges his abuse with the boy's penis size and: You probably enjoyed being with me more than the other boy. That post plea conduct is important to the tactics of this defendant and not taken into account in the guidelines. And even mover outrageously, the defendant -- along with the letter he sends to the boy which is recounted in the addendum -- he sends a letter to the boy's mother at the same time asking for a character reference from her at the same time he is talking sexually explicitly with her son. It's outrageous behavior on his part.

Regarding the defendant's claims of his prison treatment, I note two things. It's defendant's unsubstantiated statements -- actually two other things. One, the defendant has requested and it's my understanding from the Bureau of Prisons he will receive treatment in the Bureau of Prisons so he will be in a population of inmates like himself. And regarding the defendant's law enforcement history, I submit that that is an aggravating factor which calls for a more serious sentence than a

shorter sentence. He used his position to try and get him in close to this boy as a predator would. And he also has noted in the I believe first addendum, he was also creating false CIA and FBI identifications.

I would also note the Air Marshals had already begun proceedings to fire him before this arrest. He was not a model law enforcement agent. Although the New Jersey gun charge, the road rage incident, has not been adjudicated and is not proper for you to consider in the criminal history, I think it is in terms of his law enforcement history. The Air Marshals had already started actions to fire him because of that incident as well as other factors.

When the postal inspectors initially went in to the defendant's residence, he was -- when he was committing the crime, he was planning his defense and using law enforcement; he had a folder set up for operation predator; operation predator being a legitimate operation targeting child predators and the number for the United States Attorney's office in Denver where the undercover was working out of. He was trying to use the fact that he was an Air Marshal and supposedly conducting an investigation on his own to try and defend himself; that defense obviously didn't work.

He had child pornography on his computer before

the undercover sent him anything. But the fact that he was already using his position to try and defend himself in case this was an undercover agent I think shows how his law enforcement history is an aggravating factor and he should be held to a higher degree not lesser because of his law enforcement history.

Next, Judge, as to protection of the public under 3553(a)(2), I won't state what sentence I think your Honor should impose. I will, however, ask the that public be protected by a substantial sentence. The fact he couldn't control himself while in jail awaiting sentence shows his lack of control and recklessness, that's makes him dangerous out on the street.

I would recommend that any period of incarceration be followed by lifetime supervised release, I think it's appropriate and pursuant to the guidelines recommend a life term of supervised release under 5(d)(1).2(c) and the Second Circuit recently upheld lifetime supervised release in cases where there is an abuse history.

As conditions of that lifetime supervised release, the government would request no unsupervised contact with minors, sex offender registration as a condition of supervised release and monitoring of the defendant's computer and internet use by the Probation

Department and otherwise just the standard conditions of probation, search conditions.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. McGowan, do you wish to address the Court prior to your sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

I understand my actions have caused a lot of the harm and pain. I never intended for any harm to come from my actions.

THE COURT: Beg your pardon?

THE DEFENDANT: I never intended to harm anyone.

I apologize profusely, mortified, embarrassed. And I'm really very remorseful.

I could never -- I will never get over it and I understand it's probably going to be the same for everybody else involved.

Your Honor, I have had a very rough time, excruciating time, over the last two years during my incarceration. I ask, beg, for the lightest sentence you can give me, your Honor.

I want to get help. I want to change things. I want things -- I'm sure -- I'm certain at this point nothing like this will ever happen again in my life. I want to get help to make sure it doesn't, to make everybody else sure it won't as well.

1 2 3

But your Honor, I beg of you for my family more for me the lightest sentence you can give me. I'm willing to do anything and abide by whatever regulations you impose on me; more than willing.

Once again, I'm very, very sorry for everything that has happened. I understand now that my actions have caused harm and pain. I don't know what else to say besides I am very sorry.

THE COURT: As we have noted the guidelines calculation is 87 to 108 months. And in light of the Booker decision and recent Second Circuit case in United States v. Saleofski (ph), although I am required to consult and I certainly have consulted the guidelines, they are only advisory.

I am required as both counsel have indicated to consult with Section 3553(a) in fashioning the sentence.

And in light of the considerations which are listed there, I find a non-guideline sentence to be appropriate in this case.

The reprehensible crimes which you have committed warrant, I believe, a message which will send a message of deterrence to others which is also one of the considerations in 3553 as well as the deterrence of any further conduct from you. All indications are that you are a serious threat to any community, the children of any

community to which you would be released.

••

Taking all of the things that were discussed this morning in camera and all of the statements that were made this morning, considering the likelihood of rehabilitation, deterrence, punishment, all the things in 3553 and particularly the protection of the community, I find that a sentence which is substantially higher than that outlined in the guidelines is appropriate.

Therefore Mr. McGowan, I sentence you to the custody of the Attorney General for a period of 240 months, the maximum provided by the statute to which you have pleaded guilty to be followed by lifetime supervised release, subject to the following special conditions: An order of forfeiture as specified in the indictment and plea agreement, compliance with the forfeiture order, participation in a mental health treatment program which may include treatment for sexual disorders with a treatment provider selected by the Probation Department. You shall contribute to the cost of any services rendered by a co-payment as approved by the Probation Department.

And as part of a treatment program for sexual disorders, you shall participate in a polygraph examination or examinations to obtain any information necessary for risk management and correctional treatment.

You are not to use a computer to access

4

1

5 6

8

7

9 10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

pornographic web sites including web sites depicting images of nude adults or minors or communicate with any individual or group which promotes the sexual abuse of children.

You should cooperate with the United States Probation Department monitoring and compliance with this condition and cooperation shall include but not be limited to identifying any computers to which you may have access, allowing the installation of monitoring software and permitting random inspections of computer hard drives and related computer peripherals such as disks or CDs and contribute to the cost of that as well. You are not to view, access, possess and or download any images of nude minors and this includes but is not limited to nudest web sites.

You shall participate in substance abuse treatment with any provider selected by Probation and it may include inpatient and or outpatient treatment. shall abstain from the use of any illegal substance and or alcohol and contribute again to the cost of any services by a co-payment or full payment to be determined by the Probation Department based upon your ability to pay and the availability of third-party co-payment.

There is a \$100 special assessment. And you are not permitted to possess any type of a firearm.

1 MR. BODE: If I might, I would also ask as part 2 of supervised release, no unsupervised contact with 3 minors. I would ask for a search condition by the 4 Probation Department to allow for the monitoring and search of the defendant's computer equipment to ensure the 5 6 pornography conditions you indicated. 7 THE COURT: I believe I outlined that in the 8 conditions. 9 MR. BODE: I apologize, your Honor. Probation 10 agrees you did do that. What I'm asking, the search 11 condition on his car and residence so they be able to look 12 in his car and residence to find any computer equipment or 13 things such as that, anything prohibited. 14 MR. WEISS: We do not object. 15 THE COURT: That will be a special condition as 16 well. 17 MR. WEISS: We have an additional request. 18 MR. BODE: Your Honor needs to rule on my 19 request for no unsupervised contact with minors. 20 THE COURT: Do you wish to address that? 21 MR. WEISS: Your Honor, he said the words 22 outside my own family. He has a stepson 15 or 16. 23 he to visit them in a prison setting --24 MR. BODE: That would be supervised. 25 talking about supervised release after the sentence.

THE COURT: Why not make it specific that supervision by a parent of any minor, no contact with any minor.

MR. WEISS: My client asks that you recommend he be designated to FMC Devons, a medical/psychiatric treatment facility in the prison system that treats sex offenders.

THE COURT: Do you wish to address that?

MR. BODE: I know there are other BOP facilities as well, specifically FMC Butner. I agree with the recommendation that he receive that treatment. I leave it up to the BOP where specifically that would be. I thought Devons was for females, I may be incorrect.

THE COURT: In any event, I leave it to the judgment of the Bureau of Prisons as to where to incarcerate and the treatment that will be appropriate.

Any registrations that have to take place upon his release are to comply with state laws regarding registration of sex offenders, must be complied with as a condition of the release.

Anything further?

MR. WEISS: No. Thank you.

MR. BODE: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: You have your right to appeal, Mr.

Weiss, the sentence.

1 MR. BODE: I wanted to make sure Mr. Weiss would 2 speak with the defendant regarding that today and should 3 the defendant wish to file a notice of appeal, Mr. Weiss 4 would take care of that. 5 MR. WEISS: We have spoken about it among other 6 The defendant actually has a pro se notice of 7 appeal form in the event that he decides to go that route 8 on his own unrelated to me and the Second Circuit will 9 deal with me as they decide to. 10 MR. BODE: The government moves to dismiss the 11 open counts, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: I presume you join in that? 13 MR. WEISS: Of course. 14 THE COURT: That's granted. 15 May I have a moment? MR. WEISS: 16 THE COURT: Of course. 17 (Pause) 18 MR. WEISS: Thank you, your Honor. 19 (The matter was concluded.) 20 21 22 23 24 25