

# **A SUMMARY OF ARTEFACTUAL FIELD EXPERIMENTS ON FIELDEXPERIMENTS.COM IN 2025:**

## **THE WHO'S, WHAT'S, WHERE'S, AND WHEN'S**

John A. List<sup>1</sup>

January 2026

In 2019, I put together a summary of data from my field experiments website that pertained to artefactual field experiments. Several people have asked me if I have an update. In this document I update all figures and numbers to show the details for the year 2025. I also include the description from the 2019 paper below. The definition of artefactual field experiments comes originally from Harrison and List (2004) and is advanced in List (2006; 2024, 2026).

-----

The last several January's I have provided some data on all field experiments that were published on a website that I started nearly 25 years ago. Back then, I was trying to provide a service in the spirit of what Charlie Holt did for laboratory experiments. Charlie's site compelled me to create my own bibliographical site <http://www.fieldexperiments.com>, which lists publications and discussion papers in experimental economics that make use of the "field" in some manner. The site remains quite active, with an open source that allows scholars to post their own work, and to download hundreds of field experimental papers.

In my own work I have reserved the term "field experiment" for those cases where I observed subjects in their naturally occurring environments (see, e.g., Czibor et al. 2019; List, 2024; List, 2026). I explicitly, therefore, discriminated between explorations in this environment and laboratory studies that used non-standard subject pools (see, e.g., Andersen et al. (2018) or Cappelen et al. (2022)). In a JEL paper (Harrison and List, 2004), such important differences are accounted for via qualifiers

I followed this nomenclature on the site by placing studies into three groups: 1. Artefactual field experiments, which are the same as conventional lab experiments but with a non-standard subject pool (i.e., non-students). Running Peruvian borrowers through lab games (Karlan, 2005 AER) would be an example of an artefactual field experiment. 2. Framed field experiments, which are identical to artefactual field experiments but with field context in either the commodity, task, or information set that the subjects use. An example would be work that elicits valuations for public goods that occur naturally in the environment of the subjects (see some of Peter Bohm's work). 3.

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Economics, University of Chicago; NBER. Thanks to Franco "Keto" Albino for pulling the data and putting the information together for this summary.


Natural field experiments, which are identical to framed field experiments except that the subjects do not know that they are participants in an experiment. An example could be found among the recent surge in fundraising experiments (see, e.g., List and Lucking-Reiley, 2002, JPE; List, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2024, 2025).

Of course, not all studies will fit neatly into one of these three categories. I hope, however, that the categories provide a useful way to think about the factors outside of a traditional laboratory experiment that are potentially important.

Over the years, I have increasingly received questions about which papers are included on the site and their impact. Instead of sending out several emails per week answering such questions, in January I decided to put together a brief account of the studies and their impact. I focused on all field experimental studies and after making public that working paper I received several emails asking me to break down the areas even further in separate notes. This note describes one such breakdown of artefactual field experiments. I use RePEc's data to provide a few (incomplete) glimpses of AFE's impact.


Figure 1 summarizes all of the artefactual field experimental (AFE) papers published from 1990 to 2025 on my website (see, e.g., Alevy et al., 2007; 2011; Al-Ubaydli et al., 2015; 2017; 2019; 2020; 2021). The growth that one would expect is evident, but the heterogeneity from year to year was unexpected. This gives an indication that I am only partly capturing this market on my website, and one should be cautious when interpreting much from these data.

**Figure 1: Time-Series of AFE papers published annually on fieldexperiments.com**




Next, I provide a rough glimpse of the AFE impact. In Figure 2, I provide a time-series of RePEc's impact factor scores from 1990-2025. The impact factor is computed as the number of citations in to articles published in y-1 until y-5 divided number of articles published in y-1 until y-5 in series. Observations are annual. An interesting trend here is that the impact factor of AFEs increases steadily over time starting in 2000. This is a good sign for the field experimental market for this type of approach (see also, List, 2022; List 2024; List 2025, and Al-Ubaydli et al., 2018,2019,2020 2021 for more on scaling).

**Figure 2: Time-Series of RePEc's impact factor for NFE's, which is the number of citations in to articles published in y-1 until y-5 divided number of articles published in y-1 until y-5 in series. Observations are annual for all NFEs on fieldexperiments.com**




To complement the impact factor summary, I create two “usage” summaries in Figures 3 and 4. Here, I provide a time-Series of the number of abstract views and downloads taken from RePEc. Observations are yearly for all AFEs on fieldexperiments.com. Here the data suggest a steady number of downloads, with a blip in 2017. This blip was caused by one study that add a large number of downloads due to Benjamin et al. (2017).

**Figure 3. Time-series of the number of abstract views from RePEc. Observations are annual for all artefactual field experiments on fieldexperiments.com.**




**Figure 4: Time-series of downloads from RePEc. Observations are annual for all artefactual field experiments on fieldexperiments.com.**




In Figure 5 I present the annual number of unique authors of artefactual field experimental papers. In Figure 6, I provide information on the cumulative number of unique authors of artefactual field experimental papers. From the graph we can see that the growth of the number of authors entering the field, that started in the early 2000s, has not come to a stop yet. Both figures highlight the breadth of AFEs in the experimental literature, with more than 660 different authors now having published an AFE on the site.

**Figure 5: Time-series of the unique number of authors of AFE papers. Observations are annual for all AFEs on fieldexperiments.com**



**Figure 6: Time-Series of the cumulative number of unique authors for field experimental papers published for artefactual field experiments. Observations are annual for all artefactual field experiments on fieldexperiments.com.**



Finally, in the references section, I provide a sampling of the papers that have been posted on fieldexperiments.com to answer the query which papers are published on the site (see, e.g., List, 2001; 2002; 2003; 2004; 2005; 2006; 2007; 2020,2021,2022, 2023, 2024, 2026).

In closing, thanks for using the site and if you should have any comments or questions please let me know.

## References

Al-Ubaydli, Omar and John A. List, "How Natural Field Experiments Have Enhanced Our Understanding of Unemployment," *Nature and Human Behavior*, (2019), 3(1), pp. 33-39.

Al-Ubaydli, Omar, and John A. List. "Do natural field experiments afford researchers more or less control than laboratory experiments?." *American Economic Review* 105, no. 5 (2015): 462-66.

Al-Ubaydli, Omar, and John A. List. "Field experiments in markets." In *Handbook of Economic Field Experiments*, vol. 1, pp. 271-307. North-Holland, 2017.

Al-Ubaydli, Omar, John A. List, and Dana L. Suskind. "What can we learn from experiments? Understanding the threats to the scalability of experimental results." *American Economic Review* 107, no. 5 (2017): 282-86.

Alevy, J. E., C. E. Craig Landry, and J. List. *Field Experiments on Anchoring of Economic Valuations*. University of Alaska Anchorage, Department of Economics. No. 2011-02. Working Paper, 2011.

Alevy, Jonathan E., Michael S. Haigh, and John A. List. "Information cascades: Evidence from a field experiment with financial market professionals." *The Journal of Finance* 62, no. 1 (2007): 151-180.

Andersen, Steffen, Seda Ertac, Uri Gneezy, John A. List, and Sandra Maximiano. "On the cultural basis of gender differences in negotiation," *Experimental Economics*, (2018), 21(4), pp. 757-778.

Benjamin, Daniel J., James O. Berger, Magnus Johannesson, Brian A. Nosek, E-J. Wagenmakers, Richard Berk, Kenneth A. Bollen et al. "Redefine statistical significance." *Nature Human Behaviour* 2, no. 1 (2018): 6.

Cappelen, Alexander, Bertil Tungodden, John A. List, & Anya Samek, "The Effect of Early Education on Social Preferences," *Journal of Political Economy*, forthcoming.

Czibor, Eszter, David Jimenez-Gomez, & John A. List, "The Dozen Things Experimental Economists Should Do (More Of)," *Southern Economic Journal*, (2019), 86(2), pp. 371-432.

Harrison, Glenn W., and John A. List. "Field experiments." *Journal of Economic literature* 42, no. 4 (2004): 1009-1055.

Karlan, Dean S. "Using experimental economics to measure social capital and predict financial decisions." *American Economic Review* 95, no. 5 (2005): 1688-1699.

List, John A. "Optimally Generate Policy-Based Evidence Before Scaling," *Nature*,

(2024), 626(7999), pp. 491–499

List, John A, “A Course in Experimental Economics,” University of Chicago Press (2024).

List, John A, “Experimental Economics: Theory and Practice,” University of Chicago Press (2026).

List, John A. "The Economics of Scaling Early Childhood Programs: Lessons from the Chicago School," *Journal of Political Economy*, (2026), 134(1), pp. 1–48.

List, John A. "Friend or foe? A natural experiment of the prisoner's dilemma." *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 88, no. 3 (2006): 463-471.

List, John A. "Optimally Generate Policy-Based Evidence Before Scaling," *Nature*, (2024), 626(7999), pp. 491–499

List, John A., and David Lucking-Reiley. "The effects of seed money and refunds on charitable giving: Experimental evidence from a university capital campaign." *Journal of political Economy* 110, no. 1 (2002): 215-233.

### **Select Studies on Fieldexperiments.com**

Al-Ubaydli, Omar & John List & Claire Mackevicius & Min Sok Lee & Dana Suskind, 2019. "How Can Experiments Play a Greater Role in Public Policy? 12 Proposals from an Economic Model of Scaling," Artefactual Field Experiments 00679, The Field Experiments Website.

Al-Ubaydli, Omar, and John List. How natural field experiments have enhanced our understanding of unemployment. No. 00649. The Field Experiments Website, 2019.

Andreoni, James, and John List. Experimental approaches to public economics: guest editors' introduction. The Field Experiments Website, 2005.

Andreoni, James, Michael Kuhn, John A. List, Anya Samek, and Charles Sprengere. Field experiments on the development of time preferences. No. 00615. The Field Experiments Website, 2017.

Bai, Jie. Melons as Lemons: Asymmetric Information, Consumer Learning and Seller Reputation. No. 00540. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Banerjee, Abhijit, Esther Duflo, and Leigh Linden. Computer-assisted learning: Evidence from a randomized experiment. No. 00295. The Field Experiments Website, 2011.

Benedikt, Herrmann, and Simon Gächter. The limits of self-governance in the presence of spite: Experimental evidence from urban and rural Russia. No. 00048. The Field Experiments Website, 2006.

Bosch-Domènech, Antoni, Rosemarie Nagel, and Juan Vicente Sánchez-Andrés. Social capabilities preserved in Alzheimer patients. No. 00012. The Field Experiments Website, 2005.

Bovi, Maurizio. Shocks and the expectations formation process. A tale of two expectations. No. 00390. The Field Experiments Website, 2014.

Brookshire, David, Donald Coursey, and Howard Kunreuther. Compensation schemes for negative externalities: A field experiment. The Field Experiments Website, 1991.

Bruno Frey & Stephan Meier, 2004. "In a field experiment," Natural Field Experiments 00243, The Field Experiments Website.

Buck, Steven, and Carlos A. Alpízar. The role of trust in access to bank loans: Results from field experiments in the ecuadorian amazon. No. 0015. The Field Experiments Website, 2006.

Cardenas, Juan-Camilo. Bringing the lab to the field: more than changing subjects. No. 00024. The Field Experiments Website, 2004.

Cardenas, Juan-Camilo. Metodos experimentales y participativos para el analisis de la accion colectiva y la cooperaci\_n en el uso de recursos naturales por parte de comunidades rurales. No. 00021. The Field Experiments Website, 2003.

Cardenas, Juan-Camilo. Social preferences among the sanquianga (colombia) people. No. 00022. The Field Experiments Website, 2004.

Carpenter, Jeffrey, and Juan Camilo Cardenas. Using cross-cultural experiments to understand the dynamics of a global commons. No. 28. The Field Experiments Website, 2002.

Carranza, Eliana, and Robyn Meeks. Shedding Light: Understanding Energy Efficiency and Electricity Reliability in Developing Countries. No. 00569. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Cassar, Alessandra, Lucas Crowley, and Bruce Wydick. The Effect of Social Capital on Group Loan Repayment: Evidence from Artefactual Field Experiments. No. 00036. The Field Experiments Website, 2005.

Charness, Gary & John List & Aldo Rustichini & Anya Samek & Jeroen van de Ven, 2020. "Theory of Mind among Disadvantaged Children: Evidence from a Field Experiment," Framed Field Experiments 00686, The Field Experiments Website.

Chirico, Michael, Robert Inman, Charles Loeffler, John MacDonald, and Holger Sieg. Deterring Delinquency: A Field Experiment in Improving Tax Compliance Behavior. No.

00543. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Condra, Luke N., Mohammad Isaqzadeh, and Sera Linardi. Imagined vs. Actual “Others” An Experiment on Interethnic Giving in Afghanistan. No. 00546. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Crepon, Bruno, and Julie Pernaudet. The Role of Subjective Perceptions in Health Decisions: A Field Experiment among Disadvantaged Youth. No. 00558. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Croson, Rachel, Americus Reed, and Jen Shang. We give more: The impact of identity and the mere information effect on donation behavior. No. 00324. The Field Experiments Website, 2007.

Czibor, Eszter, David Jimenez-Gomez, and John List. The Dozen Things Experimental Economists Should Do (More of). No. 00648. The Field Experiments Website, 2019.

Derksen, Laura, Adamson Muula, and Joep van Oosterhout. Love in the Time of HIV: Testing as a Signal of Risk. No. 00550. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Drydakis, Nick. Labour discrimination as a symptom of hiv. No. 00232. The Field Experiments Website, 2010.

Eliot Abrams & Jonathan Libgober & John List, 2020. "Research Registries: Facts, Myths, and Possible Improvements," Artefactual Field Experiments 00703, The Field Experiments Website.

Engle-Warnick, Jim, Javier Escobal, and Sonia Laszlo. Risk preference, ambiguity aversion and technology choice: Experimental and survey evidence from rural peru. The Field Experiments Website, 2006.

Farrell, Anne M., Susan D. Krusche, and Karen L. Sedatole. Archival and Experimental Evidence on Employees' Subjective Valuations of Stock Options. No. 00114. The Field Experiments Website, 2007.

Ferraro, Paul. Know thyself: incompetence and overconfidence. No. 00148. The Field Experiments Website, 2005.

Flory, Jeffrey, Andreas Leibbrandt, and John List. Using Behavioral Economics to Curb Workplace Misbehaviors: Evidence from a Natural Field Experiment. No. 00617. The Field Experiments Website, 2017.

Fox, John, and Jayson Lusk. Value elicitation in laboratory and retail environments. No. 00185. The Field Experiments Website, 2003.

Friedrichs, Ryan. Mobilizing 18-35-year-old voters: An analysis of the michigan democratic party's 2002 youth coordinated campaign. No. 00244. The Field Experiments Website, 2003.

Gao, Guodong, Tianshu Sun, and Ginger Zhe Jin. Mobile Messaging for Offline Social Interactions: A Large Field Experiment. No. 00571. The Field Experiments Website, 2015.

Gerber, Alan, Donald Green, and David Nickerson. The challenge of bringing voter mobilization to scale: An evaluation of youth vote 2002 phone banking campaigns. The Field Experiments Website, 2003.

Giaccherini, Matilde & David Herberich & David Jimenez-Gomez & John List & Giovanni Ponti & Michael Price, 2020. "Are Economics and Psychology Complements in Household Technology Diffusion? Evidence from a Natural Field Experiment," Natural Field Experiments 00713, The Field Experiments Website.

Gneezy, Uri, Andreas Leibbrandt, and John List. THE RISE AND FALL OF COMPETITIVENESS: Experimental Evidence from Individualistic and Collectivistic Societies. No. 00579. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Grosskopf, Brit, and Graeme Pearce. Do you mind me paying less? Measuring Other-Regarding Preferences in the Market for Taxis. No. 00556. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Halperin, Basil, Benjamin Ho, John List, and Ian Muir. Toward an understanding of the economics of apologies: evidence from a large-scale natural field experiment. No. 00644. The Field Experiments Website, 2018.

Harrison, Glenn W., and John A. List. Common Value Auctions With Proprietary Information: A Field Experiment. No. 00153. The Field Experiments Website, 2005.

Holz, Justin & John List & Joaquin Zentner & Marvin Cardoza & Alejandro Zentner, 2020. "The \$100 Million Nudge: Increasing Tax Compliance of Businesses and the Self-Employed using a Natural Field Experiment," Natural Field Experiments 00712, The Field Experiments Website.

Hultkrantz, Lars, Gunnar Lindberg, Jan-Eric Nilsson, and Fridtjof Thomas. Pay-as-you-speed: Two field experiments on controlling adverse selection and moral hazard in traffic insurance. No. 00170. The Field Experiments Website, 2005.

Hur, Inkyoung, Sung-Hee Kim, Anya Samek, and Ji Soo Yi. An Experimental Study of Decision Process with Interactive Technology. No. 00427. The Field Experiments Website, 2015.

Jeffrey Flory, Andreas Leibbrandt and John List. "Wage Contracts and Workplace

Misbehaviors," Natural Field Experiments 00583, The Field Experiments Website 2016.

John List and Michael Price "Using Field Experiments in Environmental and Resource Economics," Artefactual Field Experiments 00447, The Field Experiments Website. 2013

Kariv, Shachar, Daniel Lee, John List, and Michael Price. The Richness of Giving: Charity Selection and Charitable Gifts in a Large Field Experiment. No. 00559. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Kling, Catherine, John List, and Jinhua Zhao. No More Despairing over Disparities: A Dynamic Explanation of the Willingness to Pay and Willingness to Accept Disparity. No. 00466. The Field Experiments Website, 2013.

Knockaert, Jasper, Stefanie Peer, and Erik Verhoef. Identification of self-selection biases in field experiments using stated preference experiments. No. 00568. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Linardi, Sera, and Nita Rudra. Globalization and Redistribution Towards the Poor in Developing Countries: Experimental Evidence from India. No. 00399. The Field Experiments Website, 2015.

List, John A, 2020. "Experimental tests of the endowment effect and the Coase theorem," Natural Field Experiments 00687, The Field Experiments Website.

List, John A., 2020. "Non est Disputandum de Generalizability? A Glimpse into The External Validity Trial," Artefactual Field Experiments 00711, The Field Experiments Website.

List, John, 2019. "A Summary Of Papers On Fieldexperiments.Com: All Field Experiments Posted," Artefactual Field Experiments 00650, The Field Experiments Website.

List, John, 2019. "Summary Data of Natural Field Experiments Published on fieldexperiments.com," Natural Field Experiments 00677, The Field Experiments Website.

List, John, 2023. "A Summary Of Papers On Fieldexperiments.Com: All Field Experiments Posted," Artefactual Field Experiments 00650, The Field Experiments Website.

List, John. Introduction to field experiments in economics. No. 00087. The Field Experiments Website, 2009.

Livingston, Jeffrey A. The effect of id verification in online auctions: Evidence from a field experiment. No. 00273. The Field Experiments Website, 2010.

Lusher, Lester. College Better: Parimutuel Betting Markets as a Commitment Device and Monetary Incentive. No. 00561. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Lyons, Elizabeth. The Impact of Job-Specific Training on Short-Term Worker Performance: Evidence from a Field Experiment. No. 00572. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Martin, Richard, and John Randal. Matching and money manipulation in a natural field experiment. No. 00307. The Field Experiments Website, 2005.

Mary Kay Gugerty and Michael Kremer. "The rockefeller effect," Natural Field Experiments 00263, The Field Experiments Website. 2004

Mukherjee, Shagata, and Michael Price. Gender, Group and Moral Hazard in Microfinance: Evidence from Matrilineal and Patrilineal Societies in India. No. 00554. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Nudging Medical Providers to Adopt and Sustain Better Quality Care Practices. No. 00537. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Omar Al-Ubaydli & John List, 2015. "Control in Experiments: A Simple Model," Artefactual Field Experiments 00397, The Field Experiments Website.

Ong, David, and Mengxia Zhang. Choice averse behavior and sampling risk: a field experiment with actual shoppers. No. 00547. The Field Experiments Website, 2016.

Tracer, David. Market integration, reciprocity and fairness in rural papua new guinea: Results from a two-village ultimatum game study. No. 00112. The Field Experiments Website, 2004.