



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division**

_____)
KEVIN AND MUGE CODY,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
MANTECH INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

No. 1:16-cv-132 (AJT/JFA)

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

We, the members of the jury, unanimously find and agree as follows:

As to plaintiff Kevin Cody:

Question No. 1

Has plaintiff Kevin Cody proven by a preponderance of the evidence that his filing of this law suit was a contributing factor to ManTech’s decision to terminate his employment?

Answer: ✓ Yes
 No

If you answer “No,” skip questions No. 2 and No. 3. If you answer “Yes,” then you must proceed to answer question No. 2.

Question No. 2

Has ManTech proven by clear and convincing evidence that it would have terminated plaintiff Kevin Cody’s employment even if he had not filed this law suit?

Answer: Yes
 ✓ No

If you answer “Yes,” skip question No. 3. If you answer “No,” then you must proceed to answer question No. 3.

Question No. 3

State the amount of damages that you award to Kevin Cody to compensate him for his emotional distress:

\$ 500,000

As to plaintiff Muge Cody:

Question No. 4

Has plaintiff Muge Cody proven by a preponderance of the evidence that her filing of this law suit was a contributing factor to ManTech's decision to terminate her employment?

Answer: Yes

No

If you answer "No," skip all remaining questions. If you answer "Yes," then you must proceed to answer question No. 5.

Question No. 5

Has ManTech proven by clear and convincing evidence that it would have terminated plaintiff Muge Cody's employment even if she had not filed this law suit?

Answer: Yes

No

If you answer "Yes," skip question No. 6. If you answer "No," then you must proceed to answer question No. 6.

Question No. 6

State the amount of damages that you award to Muge Cody to compensate her for her emotional distress:

\$ 300,000

redacted