

- [about B & C](#)
- [attorneys](#)
- [practice areas](#)
- [landmark cases](#)
- [B & C in the news](#)
- [recent cases](#)
- [web resources](#)
- [contact information](#)

Antitrust and Unfair Competition

Flagship Theaters v. Century Theaters, et al.

B&C is defending Century Theaters, Inc. and Cinemark USA, Inc. in an alleged [antitrust lawsuit](#) filed by a competitor movie theater in the Palm Springs area. The lawsuit purports to challenge preferential distribution of first run motion pictures by co-defendants Universal Film Exchange and Sony Pictures Releasing to Century's The River 15 theater complex in Rancho Mirage. Century and Cinemark vigorously deny the allegations and assert that its conduct is lawful under the antitrust laws. The case is pending in Los Angeles Superior Court.

Korea Supply Co. v. Lockheed Martin Corp., et al.

B&C represented plaintiff Korea Supply Company in highly publicized litigation against Lockheed Martin. Plaintiff represented one of two manufacturers designated by the Government of the Republic of Korea to bid on a radar contract. Plaintiff alleged that its client, MacDonald Dettwiler, failed to win the contract, despite a lower bid and superior equipment, because Lockheed Martin, by and through its agent, engaged in bribery and sexual favors with Korean Government officials. As a result, plaintiff alleged that it was denied a multimillion dollar commission. B&C litigated the case up to the California Supreme Court and, among other things, successfully established the standard for bringing a claim for tortious interference with prospective economic advantage under California law. *Korea Supply Co. v. Lockheed Martin Corp., et al.*, 29 Cal. 4th 1134 (2003). After seven years of litigation, including depositions around the world, and a three month trial in Los Angeles Superior Court, the parties settled the case. The terms of the settlement are confidential.

Health Temp v. Arizona Hospital And Healthcare Association, et al.

B&C represented an agency which is the largest provider of local temporary nurses to hospitals and other institutions within the State of Arizona. Health Temp filed an antitrust lawsuit in federal court in Phoenix alleging that an association and its approximately 50 member hospitals entered into an agreement to unlawfully fix the hourly rates to be paid to temporary healthcare agencies. After discovery was concluded, the case was settled on confidential terms.

Natural Gas.

B&C prosecuted a California Cartwright Antitrust Act case on behalf of some large companies and governmental agencies, including the County of Los Angeles. The complaint alleged that two energy providers conspired to restrict the flow of natural gas into the State of California. The case settled on a confidential basis

Quake Global v. Orbcom Inc.

B&C represent ed Quake Global, Inc., a San Diego based designer and manufacturer of satellite modems in litigation , and arbitration involving antitrust and breach of contract claims against Orbcomm, a satellite communications services provider. B&C recently concluded a successful renegotiation of Quake's contract with ORBCOMM, the owner of the satellite network.

M-I, LLC v. Halliburton Energy Services, Inc.

B&C is defending Halliburton Energy Services, Inc. in federal court. The plaintiff alleges that Halliburton violated [antitrust](#) and other laws when it obtained certain patents concerning oil drilling fluids and prosecuted a case for patent