Christianity Vindicated,

IN THE

ADMIRABLE SPEECH

OF

THE HON. THO. ERSKINE,

IN THE

TRIAL OF J. WILLIAMS,

FOR PUBLISHING

PAINE's " Age of Reason."

24TH JUNE, 1797.

FROM THE TWELFTH LONDON EDITION.

PHILADELPHIA:

PRINTED BY J. CAREY, No. 83, N. SECOND-STREET, FOR G. DOUGLAS, No. 2, SOUTH THIRD-STREET.

*** Often has the Public had occasion to applaud Mr. Erskine's efforts in the cause of LIBERTY and PAINE, when Paine was the friend of Liberty and Good Order—now that he has become the enemy of Revelation, and has tarnished his same by an infamous apostacy, Mr. Erskine is no longer his advocate, and brings his unrival'd talents in support of CHRISTIANITY—The sincere Religionist, the pure Moralist, and the good Citizen, will rejoice to see this great Orator siding with the cause of RELIGION, and, with all the powers of a deep judgment, a refined imagination, and an irresistible eloquence, successfully stemming the mischievous torrent of Error, Impiety, and Insidelity.

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

This is the first of the same of the same

COURT OF KING'S BENCH,

WESTMINSTER, JULY 24, 1797.

BEFORE LORD KENYON AND A SPECIAL JURY,

The KING v. WILLIAMS,

FOR PUBLISHING PAINE'S AGE OF REASON.

(ON THE PART OF THE PROSECUTION.)

MR. ERSKINE'S SPEECH.

" CENTLEMEN OF THE JURY,

"HE charge of Blasphemy, which is put upon the record against the printer of this publication, is not an accusation of the servants of the Crown,* but comes before you sanctioned by the oaths of a Grand Jury of the Country. It stood for trial upon a former day; but it happening, as it frequently does, without any imputation to the Gentlemen named in the pannel, that a sufficient number did not appear to constitute a full Special Jury, I thought it my duty to withdraw the cause from trial, till I could have the opportunity which is now open to me of addressing myself to you, who were originally appointed to try it. I pursued this course, however, from no jealousy of the Common Juries, appointed by the laws for the ordinary service of the Court, fince my whole life has been one continued experience of their virtues; but because I thought it of great importance, that those, who were to decide upon a cause so very momentous to the public, should have the highest possible qualifications for the decision. That they should not only be men capable, from their education, of forming an enlightened judgment, but that their fituations should be such as to bring them within the full view of their enlightened Country, to which, in character and in estimation, they were in their own turns to be responsible.

e Not

^{*} The profecution was promoted by the "Society for the discouraging of Vice, and the promoting of Virtue"—A similar institution has lately been set on foot in Dublin.—Quere, Would such a Society be useful in Philadelphia?——AM. EDITOR.

Not having the honour, Gentlemen, to be fworn for the King, as one of his Counsel, it has fallen much oftener to my lot to defend indictments for libels, than to affift in the profecution of them. But I feel no embarrassment from that recollection; since I shall not be found to-day to express a sentiment, or to utter an expression, inconsistent with those invaluable principles for which I have uniformly contended in the defence of others. Nothing that I have ever said, either professionally or personally, for the Liberty of the Press, do I mean to deny, to contradict, or counteract. On the contrary, I defire to preface the discourse I have to make to you with reminding you, that it is your most solemn duty to take care it suffers no injury in your hands. A free and unlicensed press (in the just and legal sense of the expression) has led to all the bleffings, both of Religion and Government, which Great Britain, or any part of the world, at this moment enjoys, and is calculated still farther to advance mankind to higher degrees of civilization and happiness. But this freedom, like every other, must be limited to be enjoyed, and, like every human advantage, may be

defeated by its abufe.

"Gentlemen, the defendant stands indicted for having published this book, which I have only read from the obligations of professional duty, and which I rose, from the reading of, with astonishment and difgust. Standing here, with all the privileges belonging to the highest Council for the Crown, I shall be entitled to reply to any defence that shall be made for the publication. I shall wait with patience till I hear it. Indeed, if I were to anticipate the defence which I hear and read of, it would be defaming, by anticipation, the learned Counsel who is to make it. For, if I am to collect it, even from a formal notice given to the profecutors in the course of the proceedings, I have to expect, that, instead of a defence conducted according to the rules and principles of English law and justice, the foundation of all our laws, and the sanctions of all our justice, are to be struck at and infulted-What is the force of that jurisdiction which enables the Court to sit in judgment?—What but the Oath which his Lordship, as well as yourselves, have sworn upon the Gospel to fulfil. Yet in the King's Court, where his Majesty is himself also sworn to administer the justice of England, in the King's Court, who receives his high authority under a solemn Oath to maintain the Christian Religion, as it is promulgated by God in the Holy Scriptures, I am nevertheless, called upon, as Counsel for the prosecution, to produce a certain book described in the indicament to be the Holy Bible. No man deserves to be upon the Rolls of the Court, who dares, as an Attorney, to put his name to fuch a notice. It is an infult to the authority and dignity of the Court of which he is an officer; fince it feems to call in queftion the very foundations of its jurisdiction. If this is to be the spirit and temper of the defence; if, as I collect from that array of books which are spread upon the benches behind me, this publication is to be vindicated by an attack of all the truths which the Christian Religion promulgates to mankind, let it be remembered that such an argument was neither fuggested nor justified by any thing said by me on the part of the profecution. In this stage of the proceedings, I shall call call for reverence to the sacred Scriptures, not from their merits, unbounded as they are, but from their authority in a Christian Country; not from the obligations of Conscience, but from the rules of Law. For my own part, Gentlemen, I have been ever deeply devoted to the truths of Christianity, and my firm belief in the Holy Gospel is by no means owing to the prejudices of education (though I was religiously educated by the best of parents), but arises from the fullest and most continued reflections of my riper years and understanding. It forms at this moment, the great consolation of a life, which, as a shadow, must pass away; and, without it, indeed, I should consider my long course of health and prosperity (perhaps too long and uninterrupted to be good for any man) only as the dutt which the wind scatters, and rather as a snare than as a bleffing. Much, however, as I wish to support the authority of Scripture, from a reasoned consideration of it, I shall repress that subject for the present. But, if the desence shall be as I have suspected, to bring them at all into argument or question, I shall then fulfil a duty which I owe not only to the Court, as Counsel for the Profecution, but to the Public, to state what I feel and know concerning the evidences of that Religion which is reviled without being examined, and denied without being understood.

"I am well aware that by the communications of a Free Press, all the errors of mankind, from age to age, have been diffipated and dispelled, and I recollect that the world, under the banners of reformed Christianity, has struggled through persecution to the noble eminence on which it stands at this moment, shedding the bleffings of Humanity and Science upon the nations of the earth. It may be asked, by what means the Reformation would have been effected, if the books of the Reformers had been suppressed, and the errors of condemned and exploded superstitions had been supported as unquestionable by the State, founded upon those very superstitions formerly, as it is at present upon the doctrines of the Established Church? Or how, upon such principles, any reformation, civil or religious, can in future be effected?__ The folution is easy--Let us examine what are the genuine principles of the Liberty of the Press, as they regard writings upon general subjects, unconnected with the personal reputations of private men, which are wholly foreign to the present inquiry. They are full of simplicity, and are brought as near perfection, by the Law of England, as perhaps

is consistent with any of the frail institutions of mankind.

"Although every community must establish supreme authorities, founded upon fixed principles, and must give high powers to Magistrates to administer laws for the preservation of the Government itself, and for the security of those who are to be protected by it; yet, as infallibility and perfection belong neither to human establishments, nor to human individuals, it ought to be the policy of all free establishments, as it is most peculiarly the principle of our own Constitution, to permit the most unbounded freedom of discussion, even by detecting errors in the Constitution or Administration of the very Government itself, so as that decorum is observed, which every State must exact from its subjects, and which imposes no restraint upon any intellectual composition, fairly, honestly, and decently, addressed to the consciences

and understandings of men. Upon this principle, I have an unquest tionable right (a right which the best subjects have exercised) to examine the principles and structure of the Constitution, and, by fair manly reasoning, to question the practice of its administrators. I have a right to confider and to point out errors in the one or in the other; and not merely to reason upon their existence, but to consider the means of their reformation. By fuch free, well-intentioned, modelt, and dignified communication of fentiments and opinions, all nations have been gradually improved, and milder laws and pure religions have been established. The same principles, which vindicate civil contentions honestly directed, extend their protection to the sharpest controversies on religious faiths. This rational and legal course of improvement was recognized and ratified by Lord Kenyon, as the Law of England, in a late trial at Guildhall, when he looked back with gratitude to the labours of the Reformers, as the fountains of our religious emancipation, and of the civil bleffings that followed in their train. The English Constitution, indeed, does not stop short in the toleration of religious apinions, but liberally extends it to practice. It permits every man, EVEN PUBLICLY, to worship God according to his own conscience, though in marked distent from the national Establishment, so as he professes the general Faith, which is the fanction of all our moral duties, and the only pledge of our submission to the system which constitutes a State. Is not this system of freedom of controverly, and freedom of worship, sufficient for all the purposes of human happiness and improvement? - And will it be necessary for either, that the Law should hold out indemnity to those who wholly abjure and revile the Government of their Country, or the Religion on which it relts for its foundation?

"I expect to hear, in answer to what I am now saying, much that will offend me. My learned Friend, from the difficulties of his lituation, which I know, from experience, how to feel for very fincerely, may be driven to advance propositions which it may be my duty with. much freedom, to reply to; and the Law will fanction that freedom. But will not the ends of Justice be completely answered by that right, to point out the errors of his discourse in terms that are decent, and calculated to expose its defects; or will any argument suffer, or will public justice be impeded, because neither private honour and justice, nor public decorum, would endure my telling my very learned Friend, that he was a fool, a liar, and a scoundrel, in the face of the Court, because I differed from him in argument or opinion? This is just the distinction between a book of free legal controversy, and the book which I am arraigning before you. Every man has a legal right to investigate, with modesty and decency, controversal points of the Christian religion; but, no man, confiftently with a law, which only exists under its fanctions, has a right not only broadly to deny its very existence, but to pour forth a shocking and insulting invective, which the lowest establishments, in the gradations of civil authority, ought not to be pe mitted to suffer, and which soon would be borne down by infolence and disobedience, if they did.

"The same principle pervades the whole system of the Law, not

merely in its abstract theory, but in its daily and most applauded practice.-The intercourse between the sexes, and which, properly regulated, not only continues, but humanizes and adorns our natures, is the foundation of all the thousand romances, plays and novels, which are in the hands of every body. Some of them lead to the confirmation of every virtuous principle; others, though with the fame profession, address the imagination in a manner to lead the passions into dangerous excesses. But, though the Law does not nicely discriminate the various shades which distinguish these works from one another, fo as that it suffers many to pass, through its liberal spirit, that upon principle might be suppressed, would it or does it tolerate, or does any decent man contend that it ought to pals by unpunished, libels of the most shameless obscenity, manifestly pointed to debauch innocence, and to blaft and poison the morals of the rising generation?-This is only another illustration to demonstrate the obvious distinction between the works of an author, who fairly exercises the powers of his mind, in investigating doctrinal points in the religion of any country, and him who attacks the rational existence of every religion, and brands with abfurdity and folly the state which fanctions, and the obedient tools who cherish, the delusion. But this publication appears to me to be as mischievous and cruel in its probable effects, as it is manifestly illegal in its principles; because it strikes at the best, sometimes, alas! the only refuge, and consolation amidst the distresses and afflictions of the world. The poor and humble, whom it affects to pity, may be stabbed to the heart by it. They have more occasion for firm hopes beyond the grave, than those who have greater comforts to render life delightful. I can conceive a distressed, but virtuous, man, surrounded by children, looking up to him for bread when he has none to give them, finking under the last day's labour, and unequal to the next, yet still looking up with confidence to the hour when all tears shall be wiped from the eyes of affliction, bearing the burden laid upon him by a mysterious Providence which he adores, and looking forward with exultation to the revealed promifes of his Creator, when he shall be greater than the greatest, and happier than the happiest of mankind. What a change in fuch a mind might be wrought by fich a merciles publication?-Gentlemen, whether these remarks are the over-charged declamations of an accusing Counsel, or the just reflections of a man anxious for the public freedom, which is best secured by the morals of a nation, will be best settled by an appeal to the passages in the work, that are selected by the indictment for your consideration and judgment. You are at liberty to connect them with every context and sequel, and to bestow upon them the mildest interpretation-- [Here Mr. Erskine read and commented upon several of the selected passages, and then proceeded as follows:

Gentlemen, it would be useless and disgusting to enumerate the other passages within the scope of the indictment. How any man can rationally vindicate the publication of such a book, in a country where the Christian Religion is the very soundation of the law of the land, I am totally at a loss to conceive, and have no ideas for the discussion of the law is a tribunal, whose whole jurisdiction is sounded upon the so-

lemn belief and practice of what is denied as falsehood, and reprobated as impiety, to deal with fuch an anomolous defence? Upon what principle is it even offered to the Court, whose authority is contemned and mocked at? If the Religion, proposed to be called in question, is not previously adopted in belief, and folemnly acted upon, what authority has the Court to pass any judgment at all of acquittal or condemnation? Why am I now, or upon any other occasion, to submit to your Lordship's authority? Why am I now, or at any time, to address twelve of my equals, as I am now addressing you, with reverence and submission? Under what fanction are the witnesses to give their evidence, without which there can be no trial? Under what obligations can I call upon you, the jury, representing your country, to administer justice?-Surely upon no other than that you are fworn to administer it under the oaths you have taken. The whole judicial fabric, from the King's fovereign authority to the lowest office of magistracy, has no other foundation. The whole is built, both in form and substance, upon the same oath of every one of its ministers, to do justice, " As Gon SHALL HELP THEM HEREAFTER."--What God? and what hereafter? That God, undoubtedly, who has commanded Kings to rule, and judges to decree with juffice; who has faid to witneffes, not by the voice of Nature, but in revealed Commandments, " THOU SHALT NOT BEAR FALSE TESTIMONY AGAINST THY NEIGHBOUR;" and who has enforced obedience to them by the revelation of the unutterable bleffings which shall attend their observances, and the awful punishments which shall await upon their transgressions.

"But it seems this course of reason, and the time and the person are at last arrived, that are to diffipate the errors which have overspread the past generations of ignorance!-The believers in Christianity are many, but it belongs to the few that are wife to correct their credulity! Belief is an act of reason; and superior reason may therefore dictate to the weak .- In running the mind along the long lift of fincere and devout Christians, I cannot help lamenting that Newton had not lived to this day, to have had his shallowness filled up with this new flood of light .- But the subject is too awful for irony. I will speak plainly and directly. Newton was a Christian!-Newton, whose mind burst forth from the fetters cast by nature upon our finite conceptions:-Newton, whose science was truth, and the foundation of whose knowledge of it was philosophy. Not those visionary and arrogant assumptions which too often usurp its name, but philosophy resting upon the basis of mathematics, which, like figures, cannot lie.-Newton, who carried the line and rule to the utmost barriers of creation, and explored the principles by which, no doubt, all created matter is held together and exists. But this extraordinary man, in the mighty reach of his mind, overlooked, perhaps, the errors, which a minuter investigation of the created things on this earth might have taught him, of the essence of his Creator. What shall then be said of the great Mr. Boyle, who looked into the organic structure of all matter, even to the brute inanimate fubstances which the foot treads on. Such a man may be supposed to have been equally qualified with Mr. Paine, to " look through Nature, up to Nature's God." Yet the

the refult of all bis contemplation was the most confirmed and devout belief in all which the other holds in contempt as despicable and drivelling superstition.—But this error, might, perhaps, arise from a want of due attention to the foundations of human judgment, and the structure of that understanding which God has given us for the investigation of truth.—Let that question be answered by Mr. Locke, who was to the highest pitch of devotion and adoration a Christian. Mr. Locke, whose office was to detect the errors of thinking, by going up to the sountains of thought, and to direct into the proper track of reasoning the devious mind of man, by shewing him its whole proce's, from the first perceptions of sense, to the last conclusions of ratiocination; putting a rein besides upon false opinion, by practical

rules for the conduct of human judgment.

"But these men were only deep thinkers, and lived in their closets, unaccustomed to the traffic of the world, and to the laws which practically regulate mankind. -- Gentlemen, in the place where you now fit to administer the justice of this great country, above a century ago the never-to-be-forgotten Sir Mathew Hale prefided, whose faith in Christianity is an exalted commentary upon its truth and reason, and whose life was a glorious example of its fruits in man; administering human justice with a wisdom and purity drawn from the pure fountain of the Christian dispensation, which has been, and will be, in all ages, a subject of the highest reverence and admiration. But it is faid by Mr. Paine, that the Christian fable is but the tale of the more ancient superstitions of the world, and may be eafily detected by a proper understanding of the mythologies of the Heathens. Did Mitton understand those mythologies?-Was he less versed than Mr. Paine in the superstitions of the world?-No: they were the subject of his immortal song; and though shut out from all recurrence to them, he poured them forth from the stores of a memory rich with all that man ever knew, and laid them in their order as the illustration of that real and exalted faith, the unquestionable fource of that fervid genius, which cast a fort of shade upon all the other works of man-

> He pass'd the bounds of flaming space, Where Angels tremble while they gaze; He saw, till, blasted with excess of light, He clos'd his eyes in endless night!

But it was the light of the Bodr only that was extinguished; "the celestial light shone inward," and enabled him to "justify the ways of God to man." The result of his thinking was nevertheless not the same as the author's. The mysterious incarnation of our Blessed Saviour (which this work blasphemes in words so wholly unsit for the mouth of a Christian, or for the ear of a Court of Justice, that I dare not and will not give them utterance), Milton made the grand conclusion of Paradise Lost, the rest of his sinished labours, and the ultimate hope, expectation, and glory of the world:

A Virgin is his mother; but his fire The power of the Most High: he shall ascend The thrune hereditary, and bound his reign With earth's wide bounds, his glory with the Heav'ns.

"The immortal poet having thus put into the mouth of the Angel the prophecy of man's redemption, follows it with that solemn and beautiful admonition, addressed in the Poem to our great First Parent, but intended as an address to his posterity through all generations:

This having learn'd, thou hast attain'd the sum Of wisdom: hope no higher, though all the stars Thou knew'st by name, and all th' ethereal pow'rs, All secrets of the deep, all Nature's works, Or works of God in Heav'n, air, earth, or sea, And all the riches of this world enjoy'st, And all the rule one empire; only add Deeds to thy knowledge answerable, add faith, Add virtue, patience, temperance, add love, By name to come call'd Charity, the soul Of all the rest: then wilt thou not be loth To leave this Paradise, but shalt possess. A Paradise within thee happier far.

Thus you find all that is great, or wife, or splendid, or illustrious, amongst created beings, all the minds gifted beyond ordinary nature, if not inspired by its Universal Author for the advancement and dignity of the world, though divided by distant ages, and by the clashing opinions distinguishing them from one another, yet joining, as it were, in one sublime chorus to celebrate the truths of Christianity, and laying upon its holy alters the never-fading offerings of their immortal wisdom.

"Against all this concurring testimony, we find suddenly, from Mr. Paine, that the Bible teaches nothing but "lies, obscenity, cruelty, and injustice." Had he ever read Our Saviour's Sermon on the Mount?—Against all this concurring testimony, we find suddenly, from this publication, that the Bible teaches nothing but lies, obscenity, cruelty, and injustice!—Had the author or publisher ever read the Sermon of Christ upon the Mount, in which the great principles of our faith and duty are summed up?—Let us all but read and practice it, and lies, obscenity, cruelty, and injustice, and all human wickedness, would be banished from the world.

"Gentlemen, there is but one confideration more, which I cannot possibly omit, because I confess it affects me very deeply. Mr. Paine has written largely on public Liberty and Government; and his last performance has, on that account, been more widely circulated, and principally among those who attached themselves from principle to

his former works. This circumstance renders a public attack upon all nevealed Religion, from such a writer, infinitely more dangerous. The religious and moral sense of the people of Great-Britain, is the great anchor which alone can hold the veffel of the State amidst the storms which agitate the world; and if I could believe, for a moment, that the mass of the people were to be debauched from the principles of Religion, which forms the true basis of that humanity, charity, and benevolence, that has been fo long the national characteristic, instead of mixing myself, as I sometimes have done, in political reformations, I would rather retire to the uttermost corners of the earth to avoid their agitation; and would bear not only the imperfections and abuses complained of in our own wife establishment, but even the worst government that ever existed in the world, rather than go to the work of reformation with a multitude set free from all the charities of Christianity, who had no sense of God's existence but from Mr. Paine's Observation of Nature, which the mass of mankind have no leisure to contemplate; nor any belief of future rewards and punishments to animate the good in the glorious pursuit of human happiness, nor to deter the wicked from destroying it even in its birth. But I know the people of England better. They are a religious people; and, with the bleffing of God, as far as it is in my power, I will lend my aid to keep them fo. I have no objections to the freest and most extended discussions upon doctrinal points of the Christian Religion; and, though the law of England does not permit it, I do not dread the reasoned arguments of Deilts against the existence of Christianity itself, because, as was faid by its Divine Author, if it is of God, it will stand. An intellectual book, however erroneous, addressed to the intellectual world upon so profound and complicated a subject, can never work the mischief which this indictment is calculated to reprefs. Such works will only employ the minds of men enlightened by study to a deeper investigation of a subject well worthy of their deepest and continued contemplation. The powers of the mind are given for human improvement in the progress of human existence. The changes produced by fuch reciprocations of lights and intelligences are certain in their progressions, and make their way imperceptibly, as conviction comes upon the world, by the final and irrefillible power of truth. If Christianity be founded in falsehood, let us become Deifts in this manner, and I am contented. But this book hath no fuch object and no fuch capacity; it prefents no arguments to the wife and enlightened. On the contrary, it treats the faith and opinions of the wifest with the most shocking contempt, and stirs up men without the advantages of learning or sober thinking to a total disbelief of every thing hitherto held facred, and, consequently, to a rejection of all the laws and ordinances of the State, which stand only upon the assumption of their truth.

"Gentlemen, I cannot conclude without expressing the deepest regret at all attacks upon the Christian Religion by authors who profess to promote the civil liberties of the world. For, under what other aufpices than Christianity have the lost and subverted liberties of mankind in former ages been re-afferted?—By what zeal, but the warm zeal of devout Christians, have English liberties been redeemed and conse-

crated?—Under what other fanctions, even in our own days, have liberty and happiness been extending and spreading to the uttermost corners of the earth?—What work of civilization, what commonwealth of greatness, has this bald religion of Nature ever established?—We see, on the contrary, the nations that have no other light than that of Nature to direct them sunk in barbarism or slaves to arbitrary Governments; whilst, since the Christian æra, the great career of the world has been slowly, but clearly, advancing lighter at every step, from the awful prophecies of the Gospel, and leading, I trust, in the end, to universal and eternal happiness. Each generation of mankind can see but a few revolving links of this mighty and mysterious chain; but, by doing our several duties in our allotted stations, we are sure that we are fulfilling the purposes of our existence—you, I trust, will fulfil yours this day!"

A Mr. Fleming, one of the Clerks of the Bank, was the only witness called on the part of the profecution. He said he purchased the book in question of the Defendant, at his shop, on the 7th of February

last.

The Notice, which Mr. Erskine mentioned in his speech was here read, and Mr. John Martin, the Attorney for the Defendant, readily admitted that he had sent it those who were concerned for the prosecution.

Mr Kyd made a very ingenious speech for the Desendant. He said he would endeavour to discharge the duty which he owed to his client, in a manner that was confiftent with the dignity of that. Court, and with that decency and solemnity which he felt belonged to the subject. The question was, Whether the Author, when he wrote this book, felt as he wrote, and expressed himself as he felt?—He humbly submitted, that the inferences, which Mr. Paine had drawn from the premises, were fuch as he might have drawn with a fair and honest intention. Whether those inferences were just or not was a totally different question. But, if his Lordship and the Gentlemen of the Jury could discover no wicked or malicious intent, they would not punish a man for a mere error in judgment. If the Jury could collect no wicked intention in the author from reading the whole of this performance, he contended he was completely protected under the right which he and every other man had to exercise the powers of his mind in discussing any controverfial points of religion. Supposing then the book had been written innocently, he might infer, as a general proposition, that it was also published with an innocent intention. At the same time he admitted, that what was fo written might be published from a malicions motive, for which the publisher would be amenable to the laws of his country. The learned Counfel next felected several passages from this performance, to shew, that the Author felt the most profound reverence and veneration for the Supreme Being, and denied the truth of Revelation only because he could not reconcile it to the character and attributes of the Deity. It was stated in that publication, that the law of Nature was engraven on every man's heart, and that he might clearly collect the knowledge of that duty which he owed to his Creator from a contemplation of his works. Mr. Kyd next endeavoured to justify the charges

charges made upon the Bible by the Author by a variety of passages which he selected, but which at the desire of his Lordship and the Jury, he did not read, but only referred to them, and contended, that if these passages were found in any other book they would be considered as indecent and immoral. He appealed to the writings of Dr. Lardner, Dr. Bently, and other eminent Divines, in support of the right of free discussion upon all subjects of a controversial nature. He then spoke in severe terms of this prosecution, which, he said, would never have been instituted had it not been for Bishop Watson's Apology, which had been very widely circulated, and had excited a curiofity to read the book to which it was an answer; and to gratify that public curiofity it was, that this book, which he believed had been first published at Paris, was afterwards published in this country. Mr. Kyd insisted, at great length, upon the freedom of inquiry and a free press, and gave the Reformation and Revolution as two instances of the inestimable bleffings which had resulted from them to this country.

Mr. Erskine made a most eloquent reply. He said, he was bound in respect to his Learned Friend, as a member of a most honourable profession, to suppose that he was placed in a very irksome situation, to be called on for a defence so exceedingly difficult to make, and so extremely delicate to manage, without violating that common decency that was due to a Court of Justice. He could not therefore help considering him as entitled to a considerable degree of indulgence. Mr. Erskine here adverted to several of the passages selected from the Old 'l'estament by Mr. Kyd, and explained the reason of their introduction into the facred Writings. The history of man, he faid, was the history of man's vices and passions, which could not be censured without adverting to their existence; and many of the instances that had been referred to were recorded as memorable warnings and examples for the instruction of mankind. Mr. E. next entered most forcibly and deeply into the evidences of Christianity, particularly those that were founded on that stupendous scheme of Prophecy, which formed one of the most unanswerable arguments for the truth of the Christian religion. "It was not," he said, "the purpose of God to destroy free agency by overpowering the human mind with the irrefistible light and conviction of Revelation, but to leave men to collect its truths, as they were gradually illustrated in the accomplishment of the divine promises of the Gospel." Bred, as he was, to the consideration of evidence, he declared he confidered the prophecy concerning the deftruction of the Jewish nation, if there was nothing else to support Christianity, absolutely irresistible. The division of the Jews into tribes, to preferve the genealogy of Christ; the distinction of the tribe of Judah, from which he was to come; the loss of that distinction when that end was accomplished; the predicted departure of the sceptre from Israel; the destruction of the Temple of Jerusalem, which imperial munificence in vain attempted to rebuild to difgrace the prophecy; the dispersion of this nation over the face of the whole earth; the spreading of the Gospel throughout the world; the persecutions of its true Ministers; and the foretold superstitions which for ages had defiled its worship; these were topics upon which Mr. Erskine expatiated with great eloquence, and produced a most powerful effect upon every part of the audience. He concluded with a vindication of the authors of this prosecution. He said, they were men of the highest character and greatest consideration in the country. Many of them were charged with the offices of Religion; others of them were clothed with the robes of Magistracy: most of them were men of deep learning and thinking; and all of them justly entitled to the thanks of the public for their noble exertions in the cause of religion and virtue.

LORD KENYON'S CHARGE.

" GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY,

"Being now in possession of all the facts of this case, and convinced, in my own mind, what conclusion ought to be drawn from them, I am not fure that it is necessary to say any thing at all to you upon the subject. Gentlemen, before you proceed to decide on the merits of this or any other cause, it is proper to see whether the parties litigating stand in a fair light before you. I was extremely hurt when the learned Counsel for the Defendant thought fit to state to you, with very considerable emphasis, and a very determined tone of voice, that this was a scandalous prosecution. I cannot help wishing that sentence had not been uttered. Who commenced this profecution I certainly know not. But from what fell from the very learned Counsel who has just sat down, I am inclined to suppose it proceeded from a Society of Gentlemen, instituted for the most important of all purposes, for preferving the morals of the people; a fociety composed of Clergymen and Laymen of the most respectable character in the kingdom, who, feeling how the country is overrun with profligacy and wickedness, which boldly raife their heads in defiance of the laws of the land, were determined to fee whether, in the first place, by admonition and advice, they could not stop the torrent of vice and immorality; and, secondly, if that should fail, to try what could be done by punishment. If people with the very best intentions, carry on prosecutions that are oppressive, the end may not always perhaps fanctify the means. But the manner in which this profecution has been conducted is certainly not oppressive; for, instead of proceeding in the more expensive mode, the prosecutors went before a Grand Jury of the country; and it was necessary to obtain the opinion of that Grand Jury before the party could be put in process.—Gentlemen, we sit here in Christian assembly to administer the law of the land; and I am to take my knowledge of what the law is from that which has been fanctioned by a great variety of legal decisions. I am bound to state to you what my predecessors in Mr. Wollaston's Case (2 Strange, 834) stated half a century ago in this Court, of which I am an humble member, namely, that the Christian religion is part of the law of the land. Christianity, from its earliest institution, met with its opposers. Its professors were very soon called upon

to publish their apologies for the doctrines they had embraced. In what manner they did that, and whether they had the advantage of their adversaries, or funk under the superiority of their arguments, mankind for near two thousand years have had an opportunity of judging. They have seen what Julian, Justin Martyr, and other Apologists, have written, and have been of opinion the argument was in favour of those very publications. The world has been lately favoured with another apology from a most learned and respectable Prelate, who calls his work, " An Apology for the Christian Religion." I shall not decide between the merits of the one and the other. The publications themfelves are in the hands of the world; and I fincerely wish, in the concluding language of the work to which I have just referred (I do not affect to use the very words), I fincerely wish that the author of the work in question may become a partaker of that fath in revealed Religion which he has so grossly defamed, and may be enabled to make his peace with God for that disorder which he has endeavoured to the utmost of his power to introduce into society. We have heard to-day, that the light of Nature and the contemplation of the works of Creation are sufficient, without any other revelation of the Divine Will. Socrates, Plato, Xenophon, Tully, each of them in their turns, professed they wanted other lights; and, knowing and confessing that God was good, they took it for granted the time would come when he would impart a farther revelation of his will to mankind. Though they walked, as it were, through a cloud darkly, they hoped their posterity would almost see God face to face. This condition of mankind has met with reprehension to-day. But I shall not pursue this argument. Fully impressed with the great truths of Religion, which, thank God, I was taught in my early years to believe, and of which the hour of reflection and inquiry, inflead of producing any doubt, has fully confirmed me in. I expected the learned Counsel for the Defendant would have differed the case of the Publisher from that of the Author of this work; that he would have endeavoured to convince you, that, whatever guilt might belong to the Author, nothing was imputable to the Publisher. He has however, to my utter surprise, exactly reversed the case. He tells you it was originally published at Paris in 1794; that the feelings of the author's friends were wounded by this work, which I call a nefarious publication, and that it was in a great measure forgotten; and you are now called upon to judge of the merits or demerits of the Publisher, who has brought forth a still-born work, forgotten by every body, till he ventured, in defiance of the verdicts of mankind on the author's political works, to fend it forth among the inhabitants of this country. Unless it was for the most malignant purposes, I cannot conceive how it was published. It is however for you to judge of it, and to do justice between the Public and the Defendant."