The study listed may include approved and non-approved uses, formulations or treatment regimens. The results reported in any single study may not reflect the overall results obtained on studies of a product. Before prescribing any product mentioned in this Register, healthcare professionals should consult prescribing information for the product approved in their country.

Study No.: SB207499/121

**Title:** A Randomized, 24-week, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled, Parallel-group Study to Evaluate the Efficacy, Safety and Tolerability of cilomilast (15mg BID) in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD).

**Rationale:** This study was designed to further evaluate the efficacy and safety of cilomilast versus placebo in subjects with COPD.

Phase: II/III

Study Period: 07 January 2003 to 30 November 2004

**Study Design:** A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre study

Centres: 22 centres in China

**Indication: COPD** 

**Treatment:** Double-blind study medication was dispensed as one tablet of either cilomilast (15mg) or matched placebo taken immediately after breakfast and after the evening meal.

**Objectives:** The primary objective of the study was to demonstrate the clinical efficacy of cilomilast (15mg BID) versus placebo in subjects with COPD by change from baseline to endpoint in forced expiratory volume in one second ( $FEV_1$ ) at trough drug levels.

**Primary Outcome/Efficacy Variable:** The primary efficacy endpoint in this study was the change from baseline to Endpoint in trough pre-bronchodilator FEV<sub>1</sub>.

**Secondary Outcome/Efficacy Variables:** Secondary efficacy endpoints included time to first Level 2 or Level 3 COPD exacerbation, defined as acute worsening of COPD that requires additional treatment (e.g. short course of oral steroids, antibiotics, etc) or hospital outpatient visit (Level 2), or requires admission to the hospital for treatment (Level 3), change from baseline to endpoint in residual volume (RV) and functional residual capacity (FRC) performed by body box plethysmography, and change from baseline in total score of the St. George's R espiratory Questionnare (SGRQ).

**Statistical Methods:** The planned sample size was 900 subjects in a ratio of 2:1 for (cilomilast:placebo) to obtain 840 subjects with both baseline and at least one post-baseline  $FEV_1$ . The sample size calculation was based on the two-sided t-test to achieve an overall power of 90% at type I error of 0.05 for change from baseline averaged over 24 weeks in  $FEV_1$ . This study was designed to detect a 50mL difference in  $FEV_1$  (assuming a standard deviation of 210mL).

The primary endpoint was analyzed using an ANOVA model with fixed effects for treatment and centre. Baseline was also included in the model as a covariate. Least squares means along with 95% confidence intervals were calculated for each treatment group and for the treatment difference. The difference between treatment groups were assessed using t-tests on the least squares mean. Exacerbation-free survival at 24 weeks was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier product limit. Analyses were performed for the Intent-to-treat (ITT) Population, composed of all subjects who received at least one dose of double-blind medication. Descriptive statistics were provided for safety parameters. The Safety Population consisted of all subjects who had received at least one dose of double-blind medication.

**Study Population:** Male/female subjects 40-75 years old with a clinical diagnosis of COPD as defined by the American Throacic Society Guidelines, a smoking history of  $\geq 10$  pack-years, a documented history of COPD exacerbations each year for 3 years prior to screening and at least one in the last year that required oral corticosteroids and/or antibiotics, a pre-salbutamol FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC< 0.7 at screening, a post-salbutamol FEV<sub>1</sub> between 25% and 70% of the predicted normal value and a % predicted FRC of  $\geq 120\%$  for subjects at a subset of sites conducting plethysmography.

	Placebo	Cilomilast
Number of Subjects:		
Planned, N	300	600
Randomised, N	340	678
Completed, n (%)	305 (90)	554 (82)
Total Number Subjects Withdrawn, N (%)	35 (10)	124 (18)
Withdrawn due to Adverse Events n (%)	8 (2)	49 (7)
Withdrawn due to Lack of Efficacy n (%)	2 (<1)	11 (2)
Withdrawn for other reasons n (%)	25 (7)	64 (9)
Demographics	Placebo	Cilomilast
N (ITT)	340	678
Females: Males	29:311	47:631
Asian, n (%)	340 (100)	678 (100)
Mean Age, years (SD)	63.9 (7.5)	64.6 (7.8)
Current smoker, n (%)	77 (23)	160 (24)
Primary Efficacy Results:		
	Placebo	Cilomilast
Change from Baseline in FEV <sub>1</sub> (L) at Endpoint		
N	328	622
Baseline adjusted mean (SE)	1.146 (0.024)	1.164 (0.018)
LS mean change from baseline (SE)	-0.006 (0.010)	0.014 (0.007)
LS mean difference versus placebo		0.021
95% confidence interval versus placebo		(-0.003, 0.044)
p-value versus placebo		0.093
Note: Mean values adjusted for centre.	•	•
Secondary Outcome Variable(s):		
` `	51 1	
	Placebo	Cilomilast
Level 2/Level 3 Exacerbation-free Survival at 24	Placebo	Cilomilast
Level 2/Level 3 Exacerbation-free Survival at 24 Weeks	Placebo	Cilomilast
	Placebo 340	Cilomilast 678
Weeks N	340	678
Weeks	340 267 (77.1)	678 535 (76.3)
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval	340	678
Weeks  N  Subjects exacerbation free, n (%)	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7)
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7)
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE)	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7) 213 4.116 (0.071)
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE)	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7) 213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051)
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7) 213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051) 0.058
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7) 213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051)
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo Functional Residual Capacity (L) at Endpoint	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098) -0.050 (0.071)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7) 213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051) 0.058 (-0.109, 0.224)
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo Functional Residual Capacity (L) at Endpoint N	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098) -0.050 (0.071)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7) 213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051) 0.058 (-0.109, 0.224)
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo Functional Residual Capacity (L) at Endpoint N Baseline mean (SE)	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098) -0.050 (0.071) 109 5.196 (0.098)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7) 213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051) 0.058 (-0.109, 0.224) 213 5.154 (0.071)
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo Functional Residual Capacity (L) at Endpoint N Baseline mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE)	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098) -0.050 (0.071)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7) 213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051) 0.058 (-0.109, 0.224) 213 5.154 (0.071) 0.038 (0.042)
N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo Functional Residual Capacity (L) at Endpoint N Baseline mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098) -0.050 (0.071) 109 5.196 (0.098)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7) 213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051) 0.058 (-0.109, 0.224) 213 5.154 (0.071) 0.038 (0.042) 0.082
N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo Functional Residual Capacity (L) at Endpoint N Baseline mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098) -0.050 (0.071) 109 5.196 (0.098)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7) 213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051) 0.058 (-0.109, 0.224) 213 5.154 (0.071) 0.038 (0.042)
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo Functional Residual Capacity (L) at Endpoint N Baseline mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo 95% confidence versus placebo	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098) -0.050 (0.071) 109 5.196 (0.098) -0.044 (0.058)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7) 213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051) 0.058 (-0.109, 0.224) 213 5.154 (0.071) 0.038 (0.042) 0.082 (-0.054, 0.218)
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo Functional Residual Capacity (L) at Endpoint N Baseline mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo 95% confidence versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098) -0.050 (0.071) 109 5.196 (0.098) -0.044 (0.058)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7)  213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051) 0.058 (-0.109, 0.224)  213 5.154 (0.071) 0.038 (0.042) 0.082 (-0.054, 0.218)
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo Functional Residual Capacity (L) at Endpoint N Baseline mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo SGRQ Total Score at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE)	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098) -0.050 (0.071) 109 5.196 (0.098) -0.044 (0.058) 320 44.7 (0.95)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7)  213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051) 0.058 (-0.109, 0.224)  213 5.154 (0.071) 0.038 (0.042) 0.082 (-0.054, 0.218)  580 45.0 (0.71)
N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo Functional Residual Capacity (L) at Endpoint N Baseline mean (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo Same change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo SGRQ Total Score at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE)	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098) -0.050 (0.071) 109 5.196 (0.098) -0.044 (0.058)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7)  213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051) 0.058 (-0.109, 0.224)  213 5.154 (0.071) 0.038 (0.042) 0.082 (-0.054, 0.218)  580 45.0 (0.71) -9.0 (0.61)
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo Functional Residual Capacity (L) at Endpoint N Baseline mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo SGRQ Total Score at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098) -0.050 (0.071) 109 5.196 (0.098) -0.044 (0.058) 320 44.7 (0.95)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7)  213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051) 0.058 (-0.109, 0.224)  213 5.154 (0.071) 0.038 (0.042) 0.082 (-0.054, 0.218)  580 45.0 (0.71) -9.0 (0.61) -0.3
N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo Functional Residual Capacity (L) at Endpoint N Baseline mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo SGRQ Total Score at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098) -0.050 (0.071) 109 5.196 (0.098) -0.044 (0.058) 320 44.7 (0.95)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7)  213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051) 0.058 (-0.109, 0.224)  213 5.154 (0.071) 0.038 (0.042) 0.082 (-0.054, 0.218)  580 45.0 (0.71) -9.0 (0.61)
Weeks  N Subjects exacerbation free, n (%) 95% confidence interval Residual Volume (L) at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo Functional Residual Capacity (L) at Endpoint N Baseline mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo 95% confidence interval versus placebo SGRQ Total Score at Endpoint N Baseline adjusted mean (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean change from baseline (SE) LS mean difference versus placebo	340 267 (77.1) (72.5, 81.7) 109 4.108 (0.098) -0.050 (0.071) 109 5.196 (0.098) -0.044 (0.058) 320 44.7 (0.95) -8.7 (0.82)	678 535 (76.3) (72.9, 79.7)  213 4.116 (0.071) 0.007 (0.051) 0.058 (-0.109, 0.224)  213 5.154 (0.071) 0.038 (0.042) 0.082 (-0.054, 0.218)  580 45.0 (0.71) -9.0 (0.61) -0.3 (-2.3, 1.7)

the start date of study medication but not later than one day after the last date of study medication. An on-therapy serious adverse event (SAE) was defined as an SAE with onset on or after the start date of study medication and up to 30 days after the last dose of medication.

	Placebo	Cilomilast
Most Frequent Adverse Events - On-Therapy	n (%)	n (%)
Subjects with any AE(s), n(%)	245 (72)	518 (76)
Chronic obstructive airways disease exacerbated	178 (52)	297 (44)
Nasopharyngitis	63 (19)	129 (19)
Diarrhoea	20 (6)	94 (14)
Dyspepsia	4 (1)	40 (6)
Nausea	3 (<1)	39 (6)
Abdominal pain	10 (3)	36 (5)
Anorexia	2 (<1)	29 (4)
Flatulence	8 (2)	27 (4)
Abdominal discomfort	2 (<1)	26 (4)
Abdominal distension	0	21 (3)

## **Serious Adverse Events - On-Therapy**

n (%) [n considered by the investigator to be related to study medication]

	Placebo	Cilomilast
	n (%) [related]	n (%) [related]
Subjects with any SAE(s), n(%)	10 (3) [1]	38 (6) [1]
Chronic obstructive airways disease exacerbated	4 (1)	21 (3) [1]
Appendicitis	0	3 (<1)
Atrial fibrillation	0	3 (<1)
Pneumonia	0	2 (<1)
Pneumothorax	0	2 (<1)
Hepatic neoplasm malignant	1 (<1)	1 (<1)
Cardiac failure acute	0	1 (<1)
Cerebral infarction	1 (<1)	0
Cerebrovascular accident	0	1 (<1)
Cholecystitis	0	1 (<1)
Coronary artery disease	0	1 (<1)
Death	1 (<1)	0
Gastritis	1 (<1) [1]	0
Gastroenteritis	1 (<1)	0
Hypertension	0	1 (<1)
Lacunar infarction	0	1 (<1)
Lung infection	0	1 (<1)
Pancreatitis acute	0	1 (<1)
Pelvic fracture	1 (<1)	0
Pulmonary bulla	0	1 (<1)
Retinal vein occlusion	0	1 (<1)
	Placebo	Cilomilast
	n (%) [related]	n (%) [related]
Subjects with fatal SAEs, n (%)	2 (<1) [0]	1 (<1) [0]
Death	1 (<1)	0
Hepatic neoplasm malignant	1 (<1)	0
Cardiac failure acute	0	1 (<1)

**Conclusion:** Cilomilast failed to show a statistically significant effect on FEV<sub>1</sub> compared with placebo when administered at 15mg twice daily over a 24-week treatment period. In the placebo group 245 subjects reported adverse events with the most frequently reported being chronic

obstructive airways disease and nasopharyngitis. In the cilomilast treated group 518 subjects reported adverse events with the most frequently reported being chronic obstructive airways disease and nasopharyngitis. Ten subjects in the placebo group and thirty-eight subjects in the cilomilast group reported serious adverse events. There were two fatalities reported in the placebo group and one fatality reported in the cilomilast group.

**Publications:** No publication

Date updated: 14-Jul-2008