

## Are You Being Brainwashed? (Part 1)

**Influence From the World and the Love of it**

**Martin G. Collins**

**Given 09-Dec-06; Tape #804**

Former First Lady, current New York state senator, and probably the next Democratic presidential candidate, Hilary Rodham Clinton said years ago that the nonfiction book *1984*, written in 1949 by George Orwell, was the book that had the greatest influence on her. This book was a type of prophecy of what the elitists see as their utopian world of the future. Mrs. Clinton's worldview fits the Globalists' goal for the common masses: to serve the elites in a worshipped, environmentally-friendly world with a drastically reduced population. It does not look very good for the average person of the world, if the prophecy of this book were to come true

The unsettling book was later made into a very disturbing movie, and I do not recommend that you go see it. Here is a quote from it:

The Party [i.e. the government] claimed, of course, to have liberated the proles [i.e. common workers] from bondage. . . . In reality very little was known about the proles. It was not necessary to know much. So long as they continued to work and breed, their other activities were without importance. Left to themselves, like cattle turned loose upon the plains of Argentina, they had reverted to a style of life that appeared to be natural to them, a sort of ancestral pattern. They were born, they grew up in the gutters, they went to work at twelve, they passed through a brief blossoming period of beauty and sexual desire, they married at twenty, they were middle-aged at thirty, and they died, for the most part, at sixty. Heavy physical work, the care of home and children, petty quarrels with neighbors, films, football, beer, and, above all, gambling filled up the horizon of their minds. To keep them in control was not difficult.

This is the description of a brainwashed society following the planned mind control of the working class populace. This working class was bred to serve the wealthy and politically powerful elite of society. I would like to go over some points in the book to bring out some principles.

In one part of the story, as "Hate Week" begins, the war with Eurasia suddenly stops and a war with East Asia starts. This meant a lot of work for the main character, Winston Smith, a Party member. (His character was fashioned after Winston Churchill, and the story takes place in a socialist England.) Nevertheless, Winston finds time to read the Party book, entitled *The Book*, which has three chapters: "War is Peace," "Ignorance is Strength," and "Freedom is Slavery," which were also the main phrases of the Party. You can see some similarities and parallels to society today.

In another part of the story, Winston has doubts about the benevolence of the Party and begins to openly question things. He is tortured by his friend O'Brien, also a Party member. O'Brien aims to teach Winston the technique of "doublethink," which he does by inflicting pain in ever-increasing intensity. He reminds Winston that he wrote in his diary the sentence, "Freedom is the freedom to say two plus two makes four." O'Brien holds up four fingers of his left hand and asks Winston how many there are. Winston answers four a couple of times, and each time the pain increases. This is not done to make Winston lie, but to make him really see five fingers instead of four. At the end of the session, under the heavy influence of drugs and agony, Winston really sees five fingers in his mind. The brainwashing is successful, but only temporarily, as the story goes.

The goal of the Party was to convince through various methods—sometimes painful, sometimes pleasant—that the truth is what the Party says the truth is. The powers behind the scenes, called "Big Brother," know that in order to make the truth of the Party more palatable, the meaning of existing terms must be changed. Keep in mind that this is all in the book *1984* and I do not know whether it was a guideline to how to continue with society in that direction or a warning that it was headed that way. Orwell got a lot of his information in what he saw happening during World War II in Nazi Germany.

I would like to interject into the story that it is interesting that the Holy Roman Catholic Church did the same thing in the fourth century B.C. when it renamed the pagan orgiastic festival of Saturnalia (December 17-24) and the winter solstice ritual of Brumalia, meaning "birthday of the sun" (December 25), Christmas. It was also the same when they demanded that Christians observe the pagan sun-worshipping spring festival of the goddess Ishtar—Easter—while banning the observance of Passover with a threat of death. Thus, the pagan festivals of Christmas and Easter are kept in mainstream professing Christian homes today. The brainwashing over the many centuries was successful in that light to bring those pagans, who began at the time of Constantine, to move from paganism to what he calls Christianity, which holds the same general pagan beliefs and the same holidays just renamed. It is a parallel to Orwell's novel of 1949.

There are some new terms in this novel that express induced trends in society today. The two terms are *newspeak* and *doublethink*. They have even been combined to the term *doublespeak*. Doublethink is a kind of manipulation of the mind. Generally, one could say that doublethink makes people accept contradictions and believe that the Party is the only institution that distinguishes between right and wrong. This manipulation is mainly done by the Minitrue, which in the book is short for Ministry of Truth, where Winston Smith works. When a person well-grounded in doublethink recognizes a contradiction or a lie of the Party, then the person thinks that he is remembering a false fact. With the help of the Minitrue, it is not only possible to change written facts but also facts remembered by the people—providing complete control of the country and its citizens.

Just prior to Orwell's writing of his book, the Nazis had already implemented the faking of history when they told the people the lie that German knights believed in the principles of National Socialism, thereby giving it credibility. Hitler is quoted as having said something to the effect of, "Tell a lie often enough and it is eventually perceived as truth!" That is a paraphrase.

There is one other term that I would like to mention, and that is *newspeak*. It was the official language of Oceania, the country in which the book took place, actually representing Britain. It was devised to meet ideological needs of the English Socialism that he called "Ingsoc" in the book. In the year 1984, there is nobody who really uses newspeak in speech or writing; only the leading articles are written in this "language." However, it is generally assumed that in the year 2050, newspeak would supersede oldspeak, or common English. The purpose of newspeak is not only to provide a medium of expression for the worldview and mental habits proper to devotees of Ingsoc but also to make all other methods of thought impossible. Another reason for developing newspeak is to make old books (those written before the era of the Party) unreadable.

With newspeak, doublethink would be even easier. Its vocabulary is so constructed as to give exact and often very subtle expression to every meaning that a Party member could properly wish to express while excluding all other meanings and the possibility of arriving at them by indirect methods. This is done partly by the invention of new words but chiefly by eliminating undesirable words.

We see this today in political correctness: e.g., abortion is called "free choice," sodomy is called "gay," and euthanasia is called "dying with dignity." Orwell's prophecy, if it was that, is actually coming true. He saw it happen in Nazi Germany, and that was a pattern for what is happening today, with our language being changed to the point that we almost do not recognize some of the words or phrases.

The prophet Jeremiah described the blatant sins of ancient Israel and Judah, shot through and through with wickedness at the time that he wrote. He pointed out their universal adultery, referring to their spiritual adultery of idolatry, which led to gross immoralities. As a whole, the citizens of the nation—especially the politicians—were a deceitful and double-crossing community.

Jeremiah 9:2-8 "Oh, that I had in the wilderness a lodging place for travelers; that I might leave my people, and go from them! For they are all adulterers, an assembly of treacherous men. And like their bow they have bent their tongues for lies. They are not valiant for the truth on the earth. For they proceed from evil to evil, and they do not know Me," says the LORD. "Everyone take heed to his neighbor, and do not trust any brother; for every brother will utterly supplant, and every neighbor will walk with slanderers. Everyone will deceive his neighbor, and will not speak the truth; they have taught their tongue to speak lies; they weary themselves to commit iniquity. Your dwelling place is in the midst of deceit; through deceit they refuse to know Me," says the LORD. Therefore thus says the LORD of hosts: "Behold, I will refine them and try them; for how shall I deal with the daughter of My people? Their tongue is an arrow shot out; it speaks deceit; one speaks peaceably to his neighbor with his mouth, but in his heart he lies in wait."

Verse 3 gives a comparison between the military bow and the tongue. The bow is the tongue; the arrow is the lie. Jeremiah says that the wicked men of Judah bend their tongues to be their war bows to shoot lies. It was not against the enemy only, however; it consisted of a great deal of what we might call "friendly fire," directed at their fellow citizens.

Just as men of past ages readied their bows before a battle, flexing and planning, so also people of a wicked society purposefully get their lying words ready to do harm as the arrows shot from the military bow. By way of analogy, the point is that they rule deceitfully rather than faithfully in the land of their people. In a state of such complete lawlessness, mutual confidence wanes, and suspicion takes its place. Nobody trusts anyone, especially not the politicians.

Tirelessly, the people went from one sin to another, fearless in their enthusiasm for lying. We see this today in television commercials, with an unlimited number of lies just within one commercial. It is portrayed as being perfectly acceptable or humorous.

In Israel and Judah, they had completely abandoned moral and social standards. Mutual trust had vanished, resulting in the break down of the inner solidarity of the nation. It was collapsing from within, much the same as is happening to our nation today. Judah was suffocating in deceit. All this stemmed from willful enmity against and ignorance of God. They cared neither to know Him nor to recognize Him.

The apostle Paul wrote an epistle to the Church of God in Rome about this same thing. He gives as his reason the perverted practices of the worldly Gentiles, for the purpose of convincing the Gentile saints in Rome to resist and overcome their previous lifestyles. Those members in Rome were Gentiles as well, having come out of a gentile world, but they were struggling to put that behind them. They, like us today, had been and were being brainwashed by the society in which they lived. However, as the called of God, they had to continue to resist that influence with all of their being.

Romans 1:28-32 And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a debased mind, to do those things which are not fitting; being filled with all unrighteousness, sexual immorality, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness; full of envy, murder, strife, deceit, evil-mindedness; they are whisperers, backbiters, haters of God, violent, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents, undiscerning, untrustworthy, unloving, unforgiving, unmerciful; who, knowing the righteous judgment of God, that those who practice such things are deserving of death, not only do the same but also approve of those who practice them.

In approving those who practice such sins as are listed here, they share the responsibility and the penalty connected with this wickedness. Corrupt leaders, for personal gain, encourage sin by passing laws that go directly against God's law. They promote decadence in society on a widespread scale by their own immoral and deceitful activities.

In this society today, the first thing people think of with regard to politicians is that they are

liars. Because of the universal distrust of politicians, most people have the question lurking in the back of their mind: "What are they up to behind the scenes?" Many people cope with this distasteful thought by blinding themselves and blocking their ears from any information that may answer that question. As the old humanly-reasoned adage goes, "Ignorance is bliss!" That is one of the ways that they get away with it, because people do not want to face the truth of what is going on.

I fear that people are also this way when it comes to immoral and treasonous propaganda crammed down our throats by the written, listened to, and viewed media—in other words, the steady diet of "misinformation" that the public is fed in newspapers, magazines, and books; what we hear on the radio; and what we see on television. Also, educators in public schools, colleges and universities take easily programmable minds and feed them politically correct "doublespeak," the "party line." They have encouraged such perverse immorality that universities are well known for their drunken orgies and other perversions.

For members of God's church, this idea that "ignorance is bliss" is especially dangerous. It leads to letting down one's guard, maintaining biases and prejudices, and developing new ones. It leads to being brainwashed by the enemies of God, to believing, or at least accepting, the lies. We saw this happening in the Worldwide Church of God years ago. Ignorance was bliss, and they did not want to know about the detail of the doctrines. Those who went that way were part of the great falling away.

The majority of you would probably deny that you have been and are being brainwashed against your will. This is a wake up call! Sadly, we are, to some extent, a product of this society and, therefore, have the effects of being brainwashed by this society! This is one of the things against which we fight, and Satan, of course, is the instigator behind it all. However, man has to face his own responsibility, and certainly the society and its leaders must and will face the judgment of what they have allowed. Predators brainwash others for any number of reasons: for power, for money, for self-validation, for self-gratification, for control, and for misguided convictions that society needs to be led like sheep rather than properly nurtured, educated, and cared for.

Other terms used to refer to brainwashing are "thought reform," "coercive persuasion," "mind control," "indoctrination," and "encoding." This is not a sermon about conspiracies. Whether or not you believe in conspiracies, Satan is the master conspirator, and there is at least his conspiracy going on. This is about being brainwashed and allowing someone or something else to take control of part of your mind. Sometimes it is through repetition; sometimes it is through enticements.

What, then, is brainwashing? The term *brainwashing* was first used in 1951 by the news correspondent Hunter to describe the conversion process that American POWs had undergone in Chinese prison camps during the Korean War. He translated the term from the Chinese concept of *hfse nao*, "wash brain." Actually, Mao Tse-tung used the term *ssu-hsiang tou-cheng*, or "thought struggle," as early as 1929 to denote what we later commonly refer to as "mind control," "thought reform," or "thought control."

According to the *World Book Dictionary*, brainwashing is "a process of systematically, forcibly

and intensively indoctrinating a person to destroy or weaken his beliefs and ideas so that he becomes willing to accept different or opposite beliefs or ideas." One of the major tools for this, as far as selling a product goes, is advertising. The commercials run over and over, over and over, and over and over again.

There are those who say that brainwashing does not exist and is not a useful concept. However, a whole industry has grown up around the concept of forcibly and intensively destroying or weakening a person's beliefs so that he becomes willing to accept different or opposite beliefs or ideas. This practice is called "deprogramming" or "exit counseling," in which family members have been known to pay large sums of money to have a family member, who has joined a religious group not acceptable to the family, brainwashed to reject the beliefs that they have and take on the beliefs that their family wants them to have.

One example is a young woman who was imprisoned in her home a few years ago. When she said she intended to leave the house, she was told by the deprogrammer that she would be leaving when he said so, and that would be when she agreed to give up her religion. To the disappointment of the deprogrammer (and the family, which had paid him handsomely), the police freed her and she remains unbrainwashed.

Although the abuse of the human rights in this case is clear—false imprisonment, violence, sleep deprivation, and endless repetition of videos showing material against a person's religious beliefs—there is an even more vicious and far-reaching attack on the person, which comes under the general heading of mind control. Some of this description of what she went through exactly describes the advertisements that we see on television and listen to on the radio, as far as the repetition of it and the impression put on the brain to the point that the brain no longer resists.

Since the existence of deprogrammers is a matter of record, in this scenario, the person presumably could be brainwashed into a religious movement and later brainwashed out again by the deprogrammers! Berkeley psychologist Margaret Singer wrote an early popular defense of the idea and subsequently developed it in her testimony in a number of court cases through the mid-1980s. Several of these cases resulted in multi-million-dollar judgments against some of the more well-known religious groups. We could face this some day if things continue as they are.

Those opposed to cults found a popular response from juries to the emotionally charged word of *cult* and it soon became the keystone of popular prejudice. Singer's views spread and became popular among other psychologists. What happened was that they were taking these "brainwashing cases" to court. All that they had to do was to mention the word cult, and the jury would immediately pass judgment on the religious groups. Some of it was probably justified, and some of it was probably not.

Later, because there was a lack of scientific evidence to support Margaret Singer's claims of religious brainwashing, the American Psychological Association slowly began to distance itself from her as it backpeddled from its earlier inclination. One of the four APA committee members, Benjamin Beit-Hallahmi, who reviewed her views to see if they were valid and backed with scientific proof, had this to say: "The term *brainwashing* is not a recognized theoretical concept

and is just a sensationalist explanation more suitable to cultists and revival preachers. It should not be used by psychologists, since it does not explain anything." They totally deny this, but they were quick to blame the religious leaders, referring to them as cultists and revival preachers.

Brainwashing, mind control, deprogramming—are these just words, or do they represent frighteningly real activities that strike at our most basic freedoms? The leaders know that to control people, they must create a society for them whereby they can be kept busy and entertained. By encouraging the basest of human desires in the continuing of society, they satisfy the natural enmity against God and the lust of the flesh, the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life. Give the people what they want up to a point, but remain in control of them by way of popular propaganda. Tell the people what they want to hear with the use of subtle, guiding deceptions.

The problem is not contained within the confines of the world. Even human beings who are called by God are susceptible to all that is in the world.

In I John 2, the apostle John encourages members of the church—that is, those who truly know Christ—about the strength of their spiritual state. He explains what they are able to do because they are strong in the spirit, have truly known God and what He stands for, have the knowledge and understanding that God has placed in them, and have overcome the wicked one. In verses 15-17, John begins a negative exhortation. Having told us what we are to do, he here reminds us of something that we are to avoid: "Do not love not the world."

As we are to love God and keep His commandments, as we are to love the brethren, so equally definitely are we not to love the world or the things that are in the world. This is something that follows quite logically and inevitably from what John has already been saying. This negative admonishment is vitally important—every bit as important as the positive admonition that he gave earlier in this chapter.

I John 2:15-17 Do not love the world or the things in the world. If anyone loves the world, the love of the Father is not in him. For all that is in the world—the lust of the flesh, the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life—is not of the Father but is of the world. And the world is passing away, and the lust of it; but he who does the will of God abides forever.

Because we all engage in self-defense, part of the danger is to approach these words and interpret them in such a way as to make us all right and probably condemn other people while we are doing it. This is an element of self-righteousness of which we have to be very careful. We say that the world is not affecting us, but it is affecting everyone else around us. We cannot have that attitude since we are right in there with everyone else.

We are all experts at rationalizing our sins and explaining away what we do. It is interesting to hear how people often quote these verses, fondly imagining that they are perfectly all right themselves with regard to these words. Yet they often display in their lives that they have certainly completely misunderstood one of the main emphases of this particular restriction.

Sometimes we hear people talking excitedly about worldliness and denouncing it, and we realize immediately that they have taken only one little section and have completely ignored the remainder. I hope that we will all, after this sermon, not ignore the remainder of it, but recognize all of that influence that is coming from the world. We have to honestly face what worldliness is and search and examine ourselves in order to know truly and exactly what it really is. We have to discover where we are and where we stand. The word *worldliness* examines us down to the very depths of our being, because it affects every last person in God's church.

First of all, let us ask what John means. What is "the world" in this case? We know that he is not referring to creation; he is not thinking of the mountains and the valleys and the rivers. He does not mean the physical world in that sense; neither is it referring to the life of the world in general.

John is not referring to family relationships. It does not mean engaging in peaceful, honest business or a profession or all the things basically essential to life. It does not indicate government and authorities and powers, because all of these have been ordained by God Himself. However, it is referring to those within such organizations who try to move forward with their globalist agenda.

What, then, does "the world" signify? Biblically, the essence of the meaning is the organization, the mind, and the outlook of mankind as it ignores God. It does not recognize Him, and it lives a life independent of Him, a life that is based upon this world and this life only. It means the outlook that has rebelled against God and turned its back on Him. It means the typical life that is being lived by the average person today, who has no thought of God, but thinks only of this world and life, who thinks in terms of time, and is governed by certain instincts and desires promoted by this world. It is the whole outlook on life that is exclusive of God.

Secondly, what are the characteristics of that kind of life? John answers that question in verse 16, but first he says,

I John 2:15-16 Do not love the world or the things in the world. If anyone loves the world, the love of the Father is not in him. For all that is in the world—the lust of the flesh, the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life—is not of the Father but is of the world.

*Lust* means an inordinate affection or desire, the abuse of something that is naturally and perfectly right and legitimate in and of itself, such as drinking wine or sexual relations within marriage.

Paul puts this in clear terms to the Corinthians when he tells us to use this world and not abuse it (I Corinthians 7:31). To abuse it is to be guilty of lust. Lust, in other words, means that instead of controlling our desires and using them as we ought to, we are controlled by them; they master us and they control us. There are certain desires in us that are perfectly legitimate and have been given by God. They are good unless we pervert those desires. However, if we are governed and controlled by them and our whole outlook on life is circumscribed by these things, then we are guilty of lust; that is the meaning of the word.

The apostle John mentions the "lust of the flesh" in I John 2:16. He is talking about lust in the sense that it arises from and appertains to nature—that is, belonging to our physical bodies. This is his definition of sensuality. He is talking about the kind of person who lives only for sensual gratification. That is not only sex but it is also gluttony and drunkenness and sexual perversions.

It includes the kind of man or woman who lives to eat, who have a lust for food—people whose whole outlook seems to be entirely defined by their interest in food and drink. The expert knowledge they have on drink means that they have made it too much a part of their life! Often, these are people who delight to talk about it and call themselves connoisseurs and experts in tastes and flavors, living for eating and drinking. I am not talking about a basic education of these things; I am talking about the obsession of it. The many magazines on the different subjects certainly show an obsession with these things that titillate the senses. Nevertheless, the hunger instinct is perfectly legitimate. We have to eat in order to live—but if we live to eat, we are guilty of the lust of the flesh. It is exactly the same with drinking: If it is our controlling and main interest in life, it is a lust. The same applies to sex.

We have only to look at the newspapers and magazines, and we see the whole thing shouting and blaring at us. The western world seems to be full of it. The clever, subtle businessmen who produce the advertisements know exactly what appeals to the public palate. They put these things always in the forefront, and they all belong to this lust of the flesh—the abuse of certain natural instincts and desires that are part of human nature and life.

John warns us not to love that, not to be guilty of that, and not to be controlled by that sort of thing. It has nothing to do with this godly life; it is the very antithesis of it.

Let us move on to the "lust of the eyes," mentioned in I John 2:16. The best way of defining this is to say that it describes the kind of man or woman who lives according to false values. They judge by appearances and by outward show, which, of course, often leads to the "lust of the flesh." It is through the eyes that sin so often arises. It is what we see and what the world makes us see that so often causes us to sin. Briefly, it no doubt includes sin when it is in the intellectual stage. Jesus Christ put it this way:

Matthew 5:28 But I say to you that whoever looks at a woman to lust for her has already committed adultery with her in his heart.

The lust of the eyes includes that; it is a kind of offensive looking, sin in the intellect, the toying and playing with it in the imagination and thought—but it does not stop at that. The lust of the eyes means also a kind of vanity that delights in spectacle and splendor, in an appearance, in anything that appeals to the eyes. The world is full of this kind of thing: great pomp and show, the mere appearance, giving an impression. In fact, you could say that is the banner of the world, merely an appearance and giving an impression.

This also pleases the people whose main interest in life is their personal appearance. There are people who live just for their own personal appearance and the impression that it makes. It is amazing the time, energy, and enthusiasm that go into this! Look at the number of people that

are always in the mall, even until it closes. The Internet is not evil in itself, but it thrives on this very thing. Consider the talking and writing about clothing fashions. There are innumerable magazines, newspapers and television shows to promote such things. It is being shouted at us everywhere. The lust of the eyes—how pathetic it is that human beings, endowed with the things which God has given, can live for things like this outlook of showiness and appearance.

The next step that the apostle John introduces in I John 2:16 is what he calls "the pride of life." The best way to define this is to call it self-glorification, a very subtle thing. This is something that we can divide into two sections. It includes ambition, and it includes contempt of others. "The pride of life" indicates a pride in oneself, generally at the expense of someone else, glorying in something that is true of oneself in this life and world.

Let us analyze this for a moment: pride in your family, that you have a particular name, or that there is particular blood in your veins; pride in your industry; pride in social status, in influence, the people we know, our acquaintances. People love this sort of thing. They are eager to get into certain circles, to belong to a certain club—and that has nothing to do with God and His honor and glory! It is amazing how much men and women give thought to this kind of thing, how much money they spend on it, how much time and energy. The way they suffer,