

91020000

Cameron Rowland

13th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution

Passed by Congress January 31, 1865. Ratified December 6, 1865.

Section 1.

Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction.

Section 2.

Congress shall have power to enforce this article by appropriate legislation.

Property is preserved through inheritance. Legal and economic adaptations have maintained and reconfigured the property interests established by the economy of slavery in the United States. The 13th constitutional amendment outlawed private chattel slavery; however, its exception clause legalized slavery and involuntary servitude when administered “as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted.” Immediately following the passage of the 13th amendment the advent of laws designed to criminalize black life, known as Black Codes, aligned the status of the ex-slave and the pre-criminal:

Every southern state except Arkansas and Tennessee had passed laws by the end of 1865 outlawing vagrancy [understood as either homelessness or joblessness] and so vaguely defining it that virtually any freed slave not under the protection of a white man could be arrested for the crime.¹

Using the 13th amendment, Southern state governments effectively enmeshed themselves within the antebellum cycle of accumulation. The system of convict leasing financialized prisoners by leasing their labor to private industry. Many former slaves were leased back to former slave owners, now as a fully fungible labor force.² Although no longer designated as private property, ex-slaves functioned as a kind of public property whose discounted labor benefited both the governments that leased them and the corporations that received them.³

1 Douglas A. Blackmon, *Slavery by Another Name: The Re-Enslavement of Black Americans from the Civil War to World War II* (New York: Anchor, 2009), 53.

2 “By the late 1870s, the defining characteristics of the new involuntary servitude were clearly apparent. It would be obsessed with ensuring disparate treatment of blacks, who at all times in the ensuing fifty years would constitute the vast majority of those sold into labor. They were routinely starved and brutalized by corporations, farmers, government officials, and small-town businessmen intent on achieving the most lucrative balance between the productivity of captive labor and the cost of sustaining them. The consequences for African Americans were grim. In the first two years that Alabama leased its prisoners, nearly 20 percent of them died. In the following year the mortality rate rose to 35 percent. In the fourth, nearly 45 percent of them were killed.” Blackmon, *Slavery by Another Name*, 57.

3 As ruled in *Ruffin v. Commonwealth*, the prisoner “is in a state of penal servitude to the State. He has, as a

U.S. steel, coal and railroad industries grew as a result of extensive convict lease programs in the South.⁴ Corporate production was limited, however, by insubstantial Southern roads. In the early 20th century, the majority of roadways in the rural south were unpaved dirt roads. Due to rain, sections frequently became impassable. In 1904 less than three percent of Georgia's 57,000 miles of roads were paved with gravel, stone, or sand clay, and none with bituminous macadam.⁵ The U.S. Department of Agriculture Office of Public Roads, established in 1905, and local, non-governmental "good roads" associations influenced Southern Progressive politicians in prioritizing road development. Up to this point, most Southern states had employed the largely ineffective statute labor system, which conscripted all citizens of a state to work on the roads four to five days per year. As a more reliable alternative, politicians turned to convict labor: "In North Carolina and elsewhere in the South where enthusiasm for good roads reigned, convict leasing was attacked, and the state was urged to put convicts to work on the roads; the good roads movement became 'identified with the movement to take the prisoner out of the cell, the prison factory and the mine to work him in the fresh air and sunshine.'"⁶ The Progressive rhetoric of penal reform emphasized mutual benefit—William L. Spoon, a civil engineer and good roads advocate in North Carolina, stated in 1910: "The convict is forced to do regular work...and that regular work results in the upbuilding of the convict, the upbuilding of the public roads, and the upbuilding of the state."⁷

Unlike convict leasing, which facilitated private corporations' use of prisoners' labor, the chain gang system restricted the labor of the incarcerated to "state-use."⁸ Organized labor championed this restriction as convict leasing competed with "free market" labor.⁹ Progressive politicians rationalized the alternative chain gang system via a procedural legal framework that continues to characterize liberal reforms today: "the punishment [of convicts] ought not to be at the hands of a private party who may be tempted by the exigencies of business ... to make punishment either more or less."¹⁰ More contemporary liberal reforms to reduce judicial discretion include the establishment of mandatory minimum sentences. As Naomi Murakawa describes, while this kind of proceduralism reduces the variance of punishment, it also contributes to the "pursuit of administrative perfection" and effectively strengthens U.S. carceral machinery.¹¹ By 1928, every U.S. state's convict lease laws had been repealed in favor of laws that restricted prison labor to state-use. In this way:

[T]he state became the direct exploiter of that labor in an effort to build and maintain a transportation infrastructure that might contribute to the expansion of the manufacturing and commercial sectors. And just as that earlier system of forced labor was driven primarily by the dictates of political economy rather than humane penology, so too was the decision to remove the South's forced labor pool from private enterprise and give it to the "people" in the interest of a more public notion of economic development.¹²

consequence of his crime, not only forfeited his liberty, but all his personal rights except those which the law in its humanity accords to him. He is for the time being a slave of the State. He is civiliter mortuus; and his estate, if he has any, is administered like that of a dead man." *Ruffin v. Commonwealth*, 62 Va. 790, 796 (Va. 1872).

4 Alex Lichtenstein, *Twice the Work of Free Labor: The Political Economy of Convict Labor in the New South* (New York: Verso, 1996), 40.

5 Lichtenstein, *Twice the Work of Free Labor*, 177.

6 Alex Lichtenstein, "Good Roads and Chain Gangs in the Progressive South: 'The Negro Convict is a Slave'," *The Journal of Southern History* 59, no. 1 (1993): 87.

7 William L. Spoon, "Road Work and the Convict," *Southern Good Roads* 2 (November 1910): 15.

8 Kim Gilmore, "Slavery and Prisons: Understanding the Connections," *History Is A Weapon*, accessed January 6, 2016, <http://www.historyisaweapon.com/defcon1/gilmoreprisonslavery.html>.

9 Lichtenstein, *Twice the Work of Free Labor*, 158.

10 Eugene C. Branson, "Eugene Cunningham Branson Papers, Correspondence," *Journal of Labor* (July 17, 1908): 4.

11 Naomi Murakawa, *The First Civil Right: How Liberals Built Prison America* (New York: Oxford, 2014), 26.

12 Lichtenstein, "Good Roads and Chain Gangs," 106.

The interwoven economy of road improvement and prison labor expanded on previous stages of industrialization. The development of transport infrastructure and logistics was a precondition for the shipping of slaves across the Atlantic, and was the primary purpose of the slave and convict leased labor used to build U.S. railroads. The transition to chain gang labor extended this genealogy, adapting it to the development of publicly owned infrastructure.

The rate of incarceration in the U.S. remained at approximately 110 people per 100,000 from 1925 to 1973.¹³ Following the passage of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 signed by President Johnson and the Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 signed by President Nixon, the scale of prison development and the rate of incarceration increased dramatically. By 2014 the rate of incarceration had risen to 612 people per 100,000.¹⁴ Despite the rhetoric of colorblindness, the administration of racialized law has effectively maintained racial order. In 2014, an estimated 539,500 black people made up the racial majority of the 1,561,500 people in federal and state prisons in the United States, and were incarcerated at over five times the rate of whites.¹⁵ Ruth Wilson Gilmore writes that the development of prisons in California beginning in the 1970s served to utilize the state's nonproductive surpluses of "finance capital, land, labor, and state capacity."¹⁶ As inert overaccumulation, the stasis of these surpluses constituted an impending crisis. The "prison fix," as Gilmore terms it, financed prison construction through government issued bonds. California avoided crisis by developing "public markets for private capital" that would use its surplus to fuel the expansion of its prison system.¹⁷

Through an increasing set of capitalizations, people in prison have become part of a nexus of government economic interests. While inmates serve as captive consumers to various private suppliers, many jails and state prisons also impose pay-to-stay fees. These daily fees incurred for residing at the institution can range from \$1 to \$142.¹⁸ These fees often outweigh the wages of typical work programs, forming a debt that is immediately up for collection upon release.¹⁹ Outside of prison, formerly incarcerated drug felons are denied welfare benefits and food stamps. In 2013, 37 states imposed some form of restrictions on access to Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) welfare benefits for drug felons, and 34 states imposed some form of restrictions on access to Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) food stamps for drug felons.²⁰

State-use laws still prescribe U.S. federal and state prison institutions as the primary conduits of inmate labor.²¹ In 2005, the Bureau of Justice Statistics recorded that 775,469 of the 1,321,685

13 Bureau of Justice Statistics, *Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics* (Washington, DC: Department of Justice, 1925-1973).

14 Bureau of Justice Statistics, *Prisoners in 2014* (Washington, DC: Department of Justice, 2014), 1.

15 Bureau of Justice Statistics, *Prisoners in 2014*, 15.

16 Ruth Wilson Gilmore, *Golden Gulag: Prisons, Surplus, Crisis, and Opposition in Globalizing California* (Berkeley: University of California, 2007), 57.

17 Gilmore, *Golden Gulag*, 63.

18 Lauren-Brooke Eisen, "Paying for Your Time: How Charging Inmates Fees Behind Bars May Violate the Excessive Fines Clause," Brennan Center for Justice, modified July 31, 2014, <https://www.brennancenter.org/analysis/paying-your-time-how-charging-inmates-fees-behind-bars-may-violate-excessive-fines-clause>.

19 "By 1988, forty-eight states authorized some form of correctional fees. Room and board fees grew rapidly in the second half of the 1980s, becoming even more common in the 1990s and into the 21st century. By 2004, approximately one-third of county jails and more than fifty percent of state correctional systems had instituted "pay-to-stay" fees, charging inmates for their own incarceration." Eisen, "Paying for Your Time."

20 The 1996 Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act welfare reform "...imposed a denial of federal benefits to people convicted in state or federal courts of felony drug offenses. The ban is imposed for no other offenses but drug crimes. Its provisions that subject individuals who are otherwise eligible for receipt of SNAP or TANF benefits to a lifetime disqualification applies to all states unless they act to opt out of the ban." Marc Mauer and Virginia McCalmont, *A Lifetime of Punishment: The Impact of the Felony Drug Ban on Welfare Benefits* (Washington D.C.: The Sentencing Project, 2013), 1-2.

21 Passed in 1979, the Prison Industry Enhancement Certification Program currently allows participating states, 38 prison systems in total, to establish joint ventures in which inmates work for private corporations (Bureau of Justice

people in public prisons (not including jails) worked in prison industries, institutional support services, public works, farming or other forms of labor.²² Many state codes have work requirements or options for requirement.²³ New York correctional code states: "The commissioner and the superintendents and officials of all penitentiaries in the state may cause inmates in the state correctional facilities and such penitentiaries who are physically capable thereof to be employed for not to exceed eight hours of each day other than Sundays and public holidays."²⁴

The state-use of prisoner labor does not result in publicly traded profit, but rather in savings. The savings function of the neoliberal state is a reflection of governance modeled after business. In New York State, inmates provide savings on the basis that they are paid \$0.10 to \$1.14 an hour.²⁵ This reduced labor cost does not appear as an increased profit margin, but is dispersed as savings on the cost of the products and services rendered to the state and as revenue intended to offset the operating budget of the Department of Corrections and Community Supervision. The savings provided by the state-use of inmate labor describes a discrete dependence between the state's correctional and economic systems. Without profits or direct comparison to market rates, it is difficult to quantify the total savings that inmate labor provides the state.²⁶

In the early 1990s, many states began to expand the savings function of inmate labor by offering commodities made in state prison industry facilities to private nonprofit organizations within the same state. New York added this provision to its correctional code in 1991.²⁷ Nonprofit partnerships often serve a savings function themselves, allowing the state to carry out operations through grants or contracts without having to maintain full-time or unionized staff. The savings function is a form of austerity that may be more efficacious than profit. These savings, as absences of costs and information, operate as financial and rhetorical instruments of governmental opacity.

91020000 is the customer number assigned to Artists Space upon registering with Corcraft; the market name for the New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision, Division of Industries. Corcraft's mission is: "to employ inmates in real work situations producing quality goods and services at competitive prices, delivered on time as required by the State of New York and its subsidiaries at no cost to the taxpayer."²⁸ By law, Corcraft can only sell to government agencies (including other states) at the state and local levels, schools and universities, courts and police departments, and certain nonprofit organizations.

Assistance, *Prison Industry Enhancement Certification Program* [Washington, D.C.: Department of Justice, 2012], 4). The majority of private prison contracts reviewed by In The Public Interest include occupancy guarantees of 80-100% (In the Public Interest, *Criminal: How Lockup Quotas and "Low-Crime Taxes" Guarantee Profits for Private Prison Corporations* [Washington D.C.: In The Public Interest, 2013], 6). However, the PIECP includes only 5000 prisoners out of the total 1.5 million prisoners (Bureau of Justice Statistics, *Census of State and Federal Correctional Facilities* [Washington, D.C.: Department of Justice, 2005], Appendix table 16). Private prisons hold 8.4% of this total prison population (Bureau of Justice Statistics, *Prisoners in 2014*, 15).

22 Bureau of Justice Statistics, *Census of State and Federal Correctional Facilities* (Washington, DC: Department of Justice, 2005), Appendix table 16.

23 Extensive case law, most recently *Sanders v. Hayden*, 544 F.3d 812, 814 (7th Cir.2008), has ruled that inmates are not employees of the state and are not protected by the Fair Labor Standards Act.


24 New York Correctional Code § 171.

25 Michael Virtanen, "For Low Pay and a Chance, Inmates get to Work," *Bloomberg Businessweek*, last modified February 13, 2012, <http://www.businessweek.com/ap/financialnews/D9SSIB180.htm>.

26 As Corcraft is a New York State Preferred Source, government agency customers do not conduct a price comparison. This is ostensibly because Corcraft offers the lowest prices on all the products it provides.

27 New York Correctional Code § 171.

28 "Who We Are," Corcraft, accessed January 6, 2016, <http://www.corcraft.org/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/WhoWeAreView?langId=1&storeId=10001&catalogId=10051>.



1

Partnership, 2016

Corcraft customer registration

91020000 is the customer number assigned to the nonprofit organization Artists Space upon registering with Corcraft, the market name for the New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision, Division of Industries. Many state correctional codes have work requirements for inmates, which include providing services within the prison, services outside the prison, and producing affordable industrial commodities for the state.

By law, Corcraft can only sell to government agencies (including other states) at the state and local levels, schools and universities, courts and police departments, and certain nonprofit organizations. This number encodes the trade relationship established between Artists Space and Corcraft.

2

Attica Series Desk, 2016

Steel, powder coating, laminated particleboard, distributed by Corcraft

60 x 71.5 x 28.75 inches

Rental at cost

The Attica Series Desk is manufactured by prisoners in Attica Correctional Facility. Prisoners seized control of the D-Yard in Attica from September 9th to 13th 1971. Following the inmates' immediate demands for amnesty, the first in their list of practical proposals was to extend the enforcement of "the New York State minimum wage law to prison industries." Inmates working in New York State prisons are currently paid \$0.10 to \$1.14 an hour. Inmates in Attica produce furniture for government offices throughout the state. This component of government administration depends on inmate labor.

3

Leveler (Extension) Rings for Manhole Openings, 2016

Cast aluminum, pallet, distributed by Corcraft

118 x 127 x 11 inches

Rental at cost

Manhole leveler rings are cast by prisoners in Elmira Correctional Facility. When roads are repaved, they are used to adjust the height of manhole openings and maintain the smooth surface of the road. Work on public roads, which was central to the transition from convict leasing to the chain gang, continues within many prison labor programs. The road is a public asset, instrumental to commercial development.

4

New York State Unified Court System, 2016

Oak wood, distributed by Corcraft

165 × 57.5 × 36 inches

Rental at cost

Courtrooms throughout New York State use benches built by prisoners in Green Haven Correctional Facility. The court reproduces itself materially through the labor of those it sentences.

5

Disgorgement, 2016

Reparations Purpose Trust, Aetna Shares

Aetna, amongst other insurance companies, issued slave insurance policies, which combined property and life insurance. These policies were taken out by slave masters on the lives of slaves, and provided partial payments for damage to the slave and full payment for the death of the slave. Death or damage inflicted by the master could not be claimed. The profits incurred by these policies are still intact within Aetna.

In 1989 Congressman John Conyers of Michigan first introduced Congressional Bill H.R. 40, which would "Establish the Commission to Study Reparation Proposals for African Americans to examine slavery and discrimination in the colonies and the United States from 1619 to the present and recommend appropriate remedies." The bill would convene a research commission, that would, among other responsibilities, make a recommendation as to whether a formal apology for slavery is owed, whether reparations are owed, what form reparations would then take and who would receive them. Conyers has reintroduced the bill to every session of congress since then. This bill acquired 48 cosponsors in 1999-2000. Currently it has no cosponsors.

In 2000 the state of California passed the bill SB 2199, which required all insurance companies conducting business in the state of California to publish documentation of slave insurance policies that they or their parent companies had issued previously. In 2002 a lawyer named Deadria Farmer-Paellmann filed the first corporate reparations class-action lawsuit seeking disgorgement from 17 contemporary financial institutions including Aetna, Inc., which had profited from slavery. Farmer-Paellmann pursued property law claims on the basis that these institutions had been enriched unjustly by slaves who were neither compensated nor agreed to be uncompensated. Farmer-Paellman called for these profits and gains to be disgorged from these institutions to descendants of slaves.

The Reparations Purpose Trust forms a conditionality between the time of deferral and continued corporate growth. The general purpose of this trust is "to acquire and administer shares in Aetna, Inc. and to hold such shares until the effective date of any official action by any branch of the United States government to make financial reparations for slavery, including but not limited to the enactment and subsequent adoption of any recommendations pursuant to H.R. 40 – Commission to Study Reparation Proposals for African-Americans Act." As a purpose trust registered in the state of Delaware this trust can last indefinitely and has no named beneficiaries.

The initial holdings of Reparations Purpose Trust consists of 90 Aetna shares. In the event that federal financial reparations are paid, the trust will terminate and its shares will be liquidated and granted to the federal agency charged with distributions as a corporate addendum to these payments. The grantor of the Reparations Purpose Trust is Artists Space, its trustee is Michael M. Gordon, and its enforcer is Cameron Rowland. The Reparations Purpose Trust gains tax-exemption from its grantor's nonprofit status.

6

1st Defense NFPA 1977, 2011, 2016

Nomex fire suit, distributed by CALPIA

50 × 13 × 8 inches

Rental at cost

"The Department of Corrections shall require of every able-bodied prisoner imprisoned in any state prison as many hours of faithful labor in each day and every day during his or her term of imprisonment as shall be prescribed by the rules and regulations of the Director of Corrections." – California Penal Code § 2700

CC35933 is the customer number assigned to the nonprofit organization California College of the Arts upon registering with the CALPIA, the market name for the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, Prison Industry Authority.

Inmates working for CALPIA produce orange Nomex fire suits for the state's 4300 inmate wildland firefighters.

7

1st Defense NFPA 1977, 2011, 2016

Nomex fire suit, distributed by CALPIA

50 × 13 × 8 inches

Rental at cost

"The Department of Corrections shall require of every able-bodied prisoner imprisoned in any state prison as many hours of faithful labor in each day and every day during his or her term of imprisonment as shall be prescribed by the rules and regulations of the Director of Corrections." – California Penal Code § 2700

CC35933 is the customer number assigned to the nonprofit organization California College of the Arts upon registering with the CALPIA, the market name for the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, Prison Industry Authority.

Inmates working for CALPIA produce yellow Nomex fire suits for the state's non-inmate wildland firefighters.

8

Insurance, 2016

Container lashing bars, Lloyd's Register certificates

102 × 96 × 11.5 or 149 × 18 × 4.5 inches

Lloyd's of London monopolized the marine insurance of the slave trade by the early 18th Century. Lloyd's Register was established in 1760 as the first classification society in order to provide insurance underwriters information on the quality of vessels. The classification of the ship allows for a more accurate assessment of its risk. Lloyd's Register and other classification societies continue to survey and certify shipping vessels and their equipment. Lashing equipment physically secures goods to the deck of the ship, while its certification is established to insure the value of the goods regardless of their potential loss.

9

Insurance, 2016

Container lashing bars, Lloyd's Register certificates

102 × 96 × 11.5 or 149 × 18 × 4.5 inches

Lloyd's of London monopolized the marine insurance of the slave trade by the early 18th Century. Lloyd's Register was established in 1760 as the first classification society in order to provide insurance underwriters information on the quality of vessels. The classification of the ship allows for a more accurate assessment of its risk. Lloyd's Register and other classification societies continue to survey and certify shipping vessels and their equipment. Lashing equipment physically secures goods to the deck of the ship, while its certification is established to insure the value of the goods regardless of their potential loss.

10

Insurance, 2016

Container lashing bars, Lloyd's Register certificates

102 × 96 × 11.5 or 149 × 18 × 4.5 inches

Lloyd's of London monopolized the marine insurance of the slave trade by the early 18th Century. Lloyd's Register was established in 1760 as the first classification society in order to provide insurance underwriters information on the quality of vessels. The classification of the ship allows for a more accurate assessment of its risk. Lloyd's Register and other classification societies continue to survey and certify shipping vessels and their equipment. Lashing equipment physically secures goods to the deck of the ship, while its certification is established to insure the value of the goods regardless of their potential loss.

11

National Ex-Slave Mutual Relief, Bounty and Pension Association Badges, 2016

1.25 × 1.25 inches and 1.25 × 1.25 inches

Pot metal

The National Ex-Slave Mutual Relief, Bounty and Pension Association was founded in 1898 by ex-slaves I.H. Dickerson and Callie House. It was one of the first organizations to advocate for ex-slave compensation. Members were provided with badges and certificates of membership. The certificate of membership read:

“Having paid the membership fee of 50 cents to aid the movement in securing the passage of the Ex-Slave Bounty and Pension Bill, as introduced February 17th, to the 57th House of Representative of The United States by the Hon. E.S. Blackburn of N. C. The holder of this Certificate agrees to pay ten cents per month to the local association to Aid the Sick and Bury the Dead. I hereby testify that I was born a slave in _____ and am entitled to all the benefits included in said Bill.”

The badge on left was dug in Faison, North Carolina. The badge on the right was dug in Vicksburg, Virginia. Both were sold in 2015 by Civil War memorabilia dealers.

12

2015 NCIA Directory, 2016

100 reprints \$10 each

The collection of data from state prison industry programs is administered by the private, nonprofit organization The National Correctional Industries Association. The NCIA Directory is the only publication of annual statistics on U.S. prison labor. This information is provided exclusively to NCIA members, which include prison industry agencies as well as their suppliers. As a result annual reports for U.S. prison industry programs have limited distribution.

Artists Space
Support
ers

Leading Exhibition
Supporters

The Friends of Artists Space
The 40 Years Artists Space
Program Fund
New York City Department of
Cultural Affairs
Pedro Barbosa & Patricia Moraes
ESSEX STREET, New York

Exhibition Supporters Circle

Shane Akeroyd
Paul Bernstein &
Dr. Alfred P. Gillio
James Cahn &
Jeremy Collatz
Eleanor Cayre
Lonti Ebers
Joan Jonas
David Joselit &
Steve Incontro
Anne Simone Kleinman &
Thomas Wong
Glenn Ligon
Barbara & Howard Morse
Eleanor H. Propp
R. H. Quaytman
Rob Teeters &
Bruce Sherman

Board of Directors

Honorary Lifetime Members

Kynaston McShine
Irving Sandler
Cindy Sherman

Negar Azimi, President
Steven Schindler, President
Andy Stillpass, Treasurer
Amanda Sharp, Secretary

Shane Akeroyd
Carolyn Alexander
Andrew Black
Jonathan Caplan
Virginia Cowles Schroth
Martin Cox
Carol Greene
Liam Gillick
Rachel Harrison
Joan Jonas
David Joselit
Margaret Lee
Lawrence Luhring
Philippa Polskin
Seth Price
Allan Schwartzman
Rirkrit Tiravanija
Thomas Wong

Super Friends
of Artists Space

Shane Akeroyd
Galerie Buchholz
Virginia Cowles Schroth
Igor DaCosta
Marian Goodman Gallery
David Kordansky Gallery
Lisson Gallery
Lawrence Luhring
LUMA Foundation
Maja Oeri &
Hans Bodenmann
Allan Schwartzman
Helene Winer
Thomas Wong &
Anne Simone Kleinman

Charles Asprey
Andrew Black
Gladstone Gallery
Greene Naftali
David Joselit &
Steven Incontro
Eleanor Heyman Propp
Michael Ringier
Andy Stillpass
Thea Westreich Wagner &
Ethan Wagner

Friends of Artists Space

Sadie Coles HQ
Hauser & Wirth
Matthew Marks
Ronnie Sassoon &
James Crump
Jerry I. Speyer

Carolyn Alexander
Nayla Audi
Saskia Bos
Cecily Brown
Jonathan Caplan &
Angus Cook
Lonti Ebers
Allison & Warren Kanders
Jill & Peter Kraus
Kurimanzutto
Margaret Lee
Dorothy Lichtenstein
Philippa Polskin
Shaun Caley Regen
Andrea Rosen Gallery
Steven Schindler
David Zwirner
Nina & Michael Zilkha
Anonymous

Paul Bernstein &
Dr. Alfred P. Gillio
Galerie Gisela Capitain
Chantal Crousel and
Niklas Svennung
Thomas Dozol &
Michael Stipe
Martin & Rebecca Eisenberg
Mitzi & Warren Eisenberg
Galerie Lelong
Suzanne Modica and
Ashley Carr
John Morace &
Tom Kennedy
Barbara & Howard Morse
Maureen Paley
Alden & Janelle Pinnell
Real Fine Arts
Esther Schipper
Rob Teeters &
Bruce Sherman
Christen & Derek Wilson

Miguel Abreu
Brooke Alexander
Candy & Michael Barasch
Pedro Barbosa &
Patricia Moraes
Simone Battisti
Blum & Poe
Bortolami Gallery
The Brant Foundation, Inc.
James Cahn &
Jeremy Collatz

Eileen & Michael Cohen
Lisa Cooley
Pilar Corrias
Peter Darrell &
Jane Ormerod
Beth Rudin DeWoody
Frederick & Diana Elghanayan
Francis Feeney
Charlotte Ford
David Fox
Peter Freeman
Suzanne Geiss
Maxwell Graham
Wade Guyton
Jane Hait &
Justin Beal
Herald St
Alice & Paul Judelson
Andrew Kreps
Kuehn Malvezzi
Max Levai
David Lewis
Toby Devan Lewis
Catherine Lord &
Kim Thomsen
Joshua Mack
Marcelo & Ana Martins
Material Vodka
Mendes Wood DM
Matt Moravec
Sophie Morner
Adrienne & Joel Petersen
Petzel Gallery
Galerie Eva Presenhuber
Charles Renfro
César & Mima Reyes
Rodeo
Armins & Cynthia Ruis
Sarah Rutson
Alex Sainsbury &
Elinor Jansz
Cindy Sherman
Brent Sikkema
Kelley Walker
Toby Webster
Ali Winter
Elizabeth Winter
Catherine Woodard
Begum Yasar
Alex Zachary

40 Years Artists Space
Program Fund

Tomma Abts
Allora & Calzadilla
Lutz Bacher
Carol Bove
Tom Burr
Duncan Campbell
Anne Collier
Jeremy Deller
Mario Garcia Torres
General Idea
Isa Genzken
Liam Gillick
Dan Graham
Rodney Graham
Wade Guyton
Rachel Harrison
Jim Hodges
Carsten Höller
Jenny Holzer
Roni Horn
Alfredo Jaar
Sergej Jensen
Jasper Johns
Joan Jonas
Jutta Koether
Michael Krebber
Barbara Kruger
Louise Lawler
Zoe Leonard
Roy Lichtenstein Estate
Glenn Ligon
Robert Longo
Sarah Morris
Shirin Neshat
Henrik Olesen
Gabriel Orozco
Silke Otto-Knapp
Laura Owens
Jorge Pardo
Elizabeth Peyton
Paul Pfeiffer
Charlotte Posenenske Estate
Seth Price
Richard Prince
R. H. Quaytman
Walid Raad
Tim Rollins and K.O.S.
Ugo Rondinone
Richard Serra
Cindy Sherman
Hito Steyerl
Wolfgang Tillmans
Rirkrit Tiravanija
Oscar Tuazon
Danh Vo
Kelley Walker
Lawrence Weiner
Christopher Williams
Jordan Wolfson

Core Contributors

Cowles Charitable Trust
The Horace W. Goldsmith
Foundation
Lambent Foundation
Fund of Tides Foundation
Stavros Niarchos Foundation
Stella Artois
The Andy Warhol Foundation
for the Visual Arts
The New York City Department
of Cultural Affairs
The New York State Council on
the Arts, a State Agency

Contributors

The ARTS Council of
the Southern Finger Lakes
Michael Asher Foundation
The Milton and Sally Avery
Arts Foundation
The Bay and Paul Foundations
Spencer Brownstone
Con Edison
The Danielson Foundation
Dedalus Foundation
Étant donnés, the French-
American Fund
for Contemporary Art,
a Program of FACE
Finnish Cultural Institute
in New York
Goethe-Institut
Herman Goldman Foundation
Graham Foundation for Advanced
Studies in the Fine Arts
The Greenwich Collection Institut
für Auslandsbeziehungen e.V.
The Keith Haring Foundation
Robert Mapplethorpe Foundation
NYU Community Fund
Puffin Foundation Ltd.
Dr. Robert C. and Tina Sohn
Foundation

91020000
Cameron Rowland
January 17 – March 13, 2016

Programs

All programs will take place at:
Artists Space Books & Talks
55 Walker Street
New York
NY 10013

The Afterlife of Slavery: Markets, Property and Race
Cheryl I. Harris
Tuesday, January 19, 7pm
Talk

Cheryl I. Harris is Professor of Law and Director of the Center for Critical Race Studies at UCLA School of Law. Harris is the author of key texts in the field of critical race theory including "Whiteness as Property" (1993) and "Equal Treatment and the Reproduction of Inequality" (2001).

Naomi Murakawa
Thursday, March 10, 7pm
Talk

Naomi Murakawa is an associate professor of African American Studies at Princeton University, and the author of *The First Civil Right: How Liberals Built Prison America* (2014).

With thanks to:

Alexander Bove
Neal Curley
Michael M. Gordon
Natalia Grabowska
Maxwell Graham
Linda Mai Green
Leila Grothe
Jason Hirata
Jennifer Hutchinson
Emmy Levitas
Jason Loeb
Ruth Mattson
Park McArthur
Carissa Rodriguez
Jamie Stevens
Thomas Wong

Artists Space
Books &
Talks
55 Walker Street
New York
NY 10013
T 212 226 3970
books@artistspace.org

Artists Space
Exhibitions

38 Greene Street
3rd Floor
New York
NY 10013
T 212 226 3970
info@artistspace.org